Acute Glycemic Variability and Early Outcomes After Cardiac Surgery: A Meta-Analysis

Authors

Shuo Chang¹, Mian Xu², Yu Wang², Yanbo Zhang¹

Affiliations

- 1 Surgery ICU, Cardiac surgery, Fuwai Hospital State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Beijing, China
- 2 Surgery ICU, Cardiac surgery, Fuwai Yunnan Cardiovascular Hospital, Kunming, China

Key words

glycemic variability, cardiac surgery, adverse events, mortality, meta-analysis

received 20.04.2023 accepted after revision 01.06.2023 published online 04.07.2023

Bibliography

Horm Metab Res 2023; 55: 771–780 DOI 10.1055/a-2106-5539 ISSN 0018-5043 © 2023. Thieme. All rights reserved. Georg Thieme Verlag, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

Correspondence

Dr. Yanbo Zhang Fuwai Hospital State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Surgery ICU, Cardiac surgery No. 167 Beilishi Road 100037 Beijing China Tel.: 86-871-68521200, zyb_fwyy@21cn.com

ABSTRACT

The influence of acute glycemic variability (GV) on early outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery remains not fully determined. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the association between acute GV and in-hospital outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery. Relevant observational studies were obtained by search of electronic databases including Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. A randomized-effects model was selected to pool the data by incorporating the influence of potential heterogeneity. Nine cohort studies involving 16 411 patients after cardiac surgery were included in this meta-analysis. Pooled results showed that a high acute GV was associated with an increased risk of major adverse events (MAE) during hospitalization for patients after cardiac surgery [odds ratio [OR]: 1.29, 95 % CI: 1.15 to 1.45, p < 0.001, I22 = 38 %]. Sensitivity analysis limited to studies of on-pump surgery and GV evaluated by coefficient of variation of blood glucose showed similar results. Subgroup analysis suggested that a high acute GV was related to an increased incidence of MAE in patients after coronary artery bypass graft, but not for those after isolated valvular surgery (p = 0.04), and the association was weakened after adjustment of glycosylated hemoglobin (p = 0.01). Moreover, a high acute GV was also related to an increased risk of in-hospital mortality (OR: 1.55, 95% CI: 1.15 to 2.09, p = 0.004; 122 = 0%). A high acute GV may be associated with poor in-hospital outcomes in patients after cardiac surgery.

Introduction

Glycemic disorder has been suggested to be related to a poor prognosis of patients after cardiac surgery [1]. Accumulating evidence suggests that compared to those without preexisting diabetes, patients with diabetes are associated with poor clinical outcomes after cardiac surgery [2]. A previous meta-analysis including 12 965 patients from 11 cohort studies showed that diabetes may be an independent risk factor of mortality in patients after coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) [3]. Subsequent studies indicated that the influence of diabetes on the prognosis of patients after cardiac surgery is complicated, which may also be influenced by the strategy of blood control [4, 5]. It was shown that compared with moderate glycemic control strategy (7.8–10.0 mmol/l) in patients with diabetes undergoing cardiac surgery, who maintained strict glycemic control (7.8 mmol/l), was associated with lower risk of atrial fibrillation (AF) and sternal wound infection, although there was no significant differences in postoperative mortality, stroke, and hypoglycemic episodes [6]. However, results of previous studies were not consistent, and a recent study suggested that strict glucose control was associated with an increased risk of in-hospital mortality among patients with diabetes after CABG, whereas it reduced the risk of major complications among non-previous diabetic patients [7]. The potential adverse influence of strict glucose control on survival of patients after cardiac surgery may be related to the increased risk of hypoglycemia [8]. Collectively, these findings suggest the complexity of the influences of glycemic disorders and glycemic control strategies on the clinical outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery.

Since persistent hyperglycemia and incidental hypoglycemia have both been suggested to be associated with adverse outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery, it was hypothesized that acute glycemic fluctuation may be an important predictor of poor prognosis of these patients [9]. The concept of glycemic variability (GV) was proposed during the research of glycemic disorder in recent decades. By definition, GV reflects the extent of glucose fluctuation within days (acute GV) or months/years (long-term GV). Increasing evidence suggests that for patients with acute clinical conditions, a high acute GV may be a risk factor of poor prognosis, such as in hospitalized patients with acute stroke [10], sepsis [11], acute coronary syndrome [12], and intracranial hemorrhage [13]. However, the influence of acute GV in perioperative period on the in-hospital outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery remains not fully determined [14, 15]. Although several pilot studies have been performed, the results of these studies are not always consistent [16–24]. Accordingly, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the association between acute GV and in-hospital outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery.

Materials and Methods

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) [25, 26] and MOOSE (Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) [27] guidelines in conducting and reporting of the meta-analysis.

Search of electronic databases

We identified relevant studies by a systematic search of Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science electronic databases using the following terms: (1) "glycemic variability" OR "glyceamic variability" OR "glucose variability" OR "glucose fluctuation" OR "standard deviation of blood glucose" OR "coefficient of variation of blood glucose" OR "glycemic lability index" OR "GLI" OR "mean amplitude of glycemic excursion" OR "MAGE" OR "largest amplitude of glycemic excursion" OR "LAGE"; and (2) "cardiac surgery" OR "heart surgery" OR "coronary artery bypass" OR "CABG" OR "cardiopulmonary bypass" OR "valve surgery" OR "tricuspid valve replacement" OR "mitral valve replacement" OR "aortic valve replacement". The search was performed from the inception of the databases to the date of last search (February 20, 2023). Only studies involving human subjects and published in English were selected. According to the aim of the meta-analysis, only original studies were included. However, we also performed a manual check-up for the reference lists of the related original and review articles for potential identification of non-included original studies.

Selection of eligible studies

The PICOS criteria were used for study inclusion.

- 1. P (Participants): Adult patients who received cardiac surgery.
- 2. I (Intervention/exposure): Patients with a high acute GV during the perioperative period of the surgery. The parameters,

protocols, and cutoff values for measuring acute GV were consistent of the methods used in the original studies.

- 3. C (Control/comparator): Patients with a low acute GV during the perioperative period of the surgery.
- 4. O (Outcome): The primary outcome was the incidence of major adverse events (MAE) during hospitalization, which generally included a composite of in-hospital mortality, myocardial infarction, pneumonia, stroke, renal failure, surgical site infection requiring operative intervention and mediastinal reexploration for reintervention for bleeding/tamponade, valvular dysfunction, graft dysfunction, or other complications. The secondary outcome was the incidence of in-hospital allcause mortality.
- 5. S (Study design): Observational studies with longitudinal follow-up, such as cohort studies, nested case-control studies, and post-hoc analysis of clinical trials. Only studies published as full-length articles peer-reviewed journals in English were included in the meta-analysis. Grey literatures such as conference abstracts or unpublished data were excluded because these literatures are mostly not peer-reviewed.

Reviews, editorials, meta-analyses, preclinical studies, studies that did not include patients after cardiac surgery, without measuring acute GV as exposure, or not reporting the outcomes of interest were excluded.

Study quality evaluation and data collection

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [28] was used for study guality assessment, which included three domains such as defining of study groups, between-group comparability, and validation of the outcome. A total of nine criteria were incorporated for the NOS, and one point was given if a certain criterion was met by the individual study. This scale totally scored from 1 to 9 stars, with 9 stars indicating the highest study quality level. Two of the authors independently conducted electronic database search, extraction of study data, and assessment of study quality according to the inclusion criteria described above. If there were discrepancies, discussion with the corresponding author was indicated to resolve them. The extracted data included the following: (1) study information (authors, countries, publication year, and study design); (2) numbers of patients included, type of surgery, mean age, sex, and diabetic status of the patients; (3) parameters and durations for measuring GV; (4) detailed definition of MAE and number of patients who had MAE during hospitalization; and (5) variables included in the multivariate regression analysis for the association between acute GV and in-hospital outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery.

Statistical methods

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) were selected as the general outcome variable for the relationship between acute GV and in-hospital outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery. Data of ORs and standard errors (SEs) were calculated from 95 % CIs or p-values, and an additional logarithmical transformation was performed to stabilize variance and normalize to the distribution [25]. The Cochrane Q-test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity, and the I² statistic was also estimated [29]. Heteroge-



Fig. 1 Flowchart of database search and study inclusion.

neity was deemed to be significant if I² > 50 %. We used a randomized-effects model for data synthesis because this model has incorporated the potential between-study heterogeneity and could provide a more generalized result [25]. Sensitivity and subgroup analysis were performed to evaluate the influences of patient or study characteristics on the outcome of the meta-analysis. Funnel plots were constructed, and a visual inspection of the symmetry was conducted to reflect the publication bias. The Egger's regression asymmetry test was further performed for the evaluation of potential publication bias [30]. We used the RevMan (Version 5.1; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata (version 12.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) software for the statistical analyses.

Results

Results of database search

The database search process is summarized in **Fig. 1**. Briefly, 529 articles were found in the initial literature search of the databases;

after excluding the duplications, 412 studies remained. An additional 391 were excluded through screening of the titles and abstracts mainly because of the irrelevance to the meta-analysis. The remaining 21 studies underwent a full-text review, of which 12 were further excluded for the reasons listed in **Fig. 1**. Finally, nine observational studies [16–24] were included in the meta-analysis.

Characteristics of the included studies

As shown in **Table 1**, three prospective cohort studies [17, 18, 21], and six retrospective cohort studies [16, 19, 20, 22–24] were included in the meta-analysis. These studies were published between 2010 and 2023 and performed in the United States, Korea, and China, respectively. Overall, 16 411 patients after cardiac surgery were included. The surgery type included CABG, valvular surgeries, and other types of cardiac surgery, which were mainly on-pump cardiac surgeries (95.6%). The mean ages of the patients were 42 to 68 years, and the proportions of men were 54% to 84%. The prevalence of diabetes was 8% to 100% among the included patients. The coefficient of variation of blood glucose (CVBG) was used to evaluate acute GV in eight studies [16–23], which was de-

| | Variables adjusted | Age, sex, hypoglycemia, histories of HTN, DM, COPD, AF, procedural and perioperative characteristics | Age, sex, history of MI, CHF and COPD, HbA1c levels (above vs. below 6.5 %), STS score, and valvular surgery | Age, sex, HbA1c, dialysis, STS score, dyslipidemia, and cross- clamp time | Age, sex, HbA1c, EF, hyperlipidem- ia, HTN, time of CPB, and total blood transfusion | Age, sex, comorbidities, DM, STS score, and surgery type |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | No. of patients with MAE | 367 | 143 | 87 | 260 | 170 |
| | Definition of MAE | Cardiac and neurologic morbidities, prolonged intubation, renal morbidity and infection, and death | In-hospital mortality, MI, pneumonia, stroke, renal failure, SSI requiring operative interven- tion and mediastinal reexploration for reintervention for bleeding/tampon- ade, valvular dysfunction, graft dysfunction, or other complications | In-hospital death, MI, reoperations, sternal infection, cardiac tamponade, pneumonia, stroke, or renal failure | Postoperative cardiac arrest, pneumonia, renal failure, stroke, sepsis, reoperation, and mortality | Death, reoperation, deep sternal infection, stroke, pneumonia, renal failure, tamponade, and MI |
| | Duration for GV | During ICU stay | During the first 24h in ICU after surgery | During the first 24h in ICU after surgery | During the first 24h in ICU after surgery | During the first 24 h in ICU after surgery |
| | Pa- ra-me- ters for GV | CVBG | CVBG | CVBG | CVBG | CVBG |
| | MD (%) | 23.8 | 38.6 | 19 | 48.5 | 35.4 |
| | Men (%) | 6.9 | 74.8 | 54.8 | 83.5 | 70.8 |
| | Mean age (years) | 64.2 | 89 | 67 | 64.9 | 67.8 |
| | No. of pa- tients | 4302 | 1461 | 763 | 2215 | 1963 |
| | On- pump (%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 100 |
| uded studies. | Surgery | Any on-pump cardiac surgery | CABG with or without valvular surgery | Isolated valve surgery | Isolated CABG | Any on-pump cardiac surgery |
| s of the incl | De- sign | 22 22 | Q | РС | RC | РС |
| Characteristic | Coun- try | USA | USA | USA | USA | USA |
| Table 1 | Study [Ref] | Duncan 2010 [16] | Subrama- niam 2014 [17] | Bardia 2017 [18] | Clement 2019 [19] | Ranga- samy 2020 [21] |

| | | | N | - | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Variables adjusted | Age, sex, CHF, CKD, PAD, previous PCI, MR, HbA1c | Age, sex, HbA1c, systemic emboli, staphylococcu infection, prosthetic valve endocarditis, mitral and aortic involvement | Age, sex, education, eGFR, surgery type, CPB time and VIS at end of surgery | Age, sex, BMI, smoking, LDL-C, CRF, CHF, previous MI, and PAD | tive cohort; PC: 5SI: Surgical site aronic kidney ndex; LDL-C: | |
| ontinued | No. of patients with MAE | 128 | 61 | 121 | 126 | RC: Retrospeci lial infarction; S action; CKD: Ch Al: Body mass i | |
| | Definition of MAE | Postoperative permanent stroke, prolonged ventilation, deep sternal wound infection, renal failure, reoperation, and mortality | All-cause death, stroke, myocardial infarction, acute heart failure, IE recurrence, acute renal failure and sepsis | Mortality, permanent stroke, pneumonia, renal failure, prolonged ventilation, deep sternal wound infection, and reoperation | Mortality, acute MI, strokes and acute kidney injuries | r; DM: Diabetes mellitus; ve care unit; MI: Myocard Surgeons; EF: Ejection fr : Chronic renal failure; BN | |
| | Duration for GV | During the first 24h in ICU after surgery | During the first 24h in ICU after surgery | During surgery and post-opera- tive period | During post-opera- tive period | ylated hemoglobii Irsion; ICU: Intensi ociety of Thoracic regurgitation; CRF | |
| | Pa- ra-me- ters for GV | CVBG | CVBG | CVBG | LAGE | HbA1c: glycos glycemic excu failure; STS: S n; MR: Mitral | |
| | MQ (%) | 100 | ω Ω | 30.9 | 100 | ass graft; | |
| | Men (%) | 71 | 63.1 | 59.1 | 74.5 | y artery byp. : Largest aml CHF: Conges La coronary ii | |
| | Mean age (years) | 65.8 | 42 | 99 | 6.0.9 | ABG: Coronal Ilucose; LAGE 1ary disease; 1 Percutaneou | |
| | No. of pa- tients | 703 | 381 1 | 705 | 3918 | iary bypass; C ion of blood <u>c</u> uctive pulmor y disease; PCl | |
| | on- pump (%) | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | Cardiopulmor icient of variat Chronic obstr eripheral arter pic score. | |
| | Surgery | Off-pump CABG | Cardiac surgery for IE | CABG and/or valvular surgery | CABG | adverse events; CPB: carditis; CVBC: Coeffi ial fibrillation; COPD: filtrating rate; PAD: P¢ /IS: Vasoactive-inotro | |
| | De- sign | RC | 22 V | RC | RC | MAE: Major fective endc ision; AF: Atr glomerular tholesterol; ^v | |
| | Coun- try | Korea | China | Korea | China | ic variability; cohort; IE: In TN: Hyperter R: Estimated lipoprotein o | |
| Table 1 C | Study [Ref] | Kim 2020 [20] | Liang 2021 [22] | Choi 2022 [23] | You 2023 [24] | GV: Glycemi Prospective infection; H ⁻ disease; eGF Low-density | |

Table 2 Study quality evaluation with the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

| Study [Ref] | Represent- ativeness of the exposed cohort | Selection of the non-ex- posed cohort | Ascer- tain- ment of expo- sure | Outcome not present at baseline | Control for age and sex | Control for other confound- ing factors | Assess- ment of outcome | Enough long follow-up duration | Adequa- cy of fol- low-up of cohorts | Total |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|-------|
| Duncan 2010 [16] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Subrama- niam 2014 [17] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Bardia 2017 [18] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Clement 2019 [19] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Rangasamy 2020 [21] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Kim 2020 [20] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Liang 2021 [22] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Choi 2022 [23] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| You 2023 [24] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |





fined as the standard deviation divided by the mean values of the blood glucose during the observational duration [31]. For another study, the largest amplitude of glycemic excursion (LAGE) was used to define acute GV, which was calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum blood glucose levels in a day [31]. The

duration for measuring acute GV varied from during the first 24 hours to several days after surgery. During hospitalization, MAE occurred in 1481 (9.1%) patients. Variables including maternal age, sex, comorbidities, surgery characteristics, diabetic status, and glycemic levels, etc. were adjusted to a different degree among the

Table 3 Sensitivity and subgroup analyses for the association between GV and MAEs after cardiac surgery.

| | MAE | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study characteristics | Dataset number | OR [95% CI] | l ² | p for subgroup effect | p for subgroup difference | | | |
| Use of CPB | | | | | | | | |
| Only on-pump surgeries | 7 | 1.37 [1.18, 1.60] | 45% | < 0.001 | - | | | |
| Parameters for GV | | | | | | | | |
| Only studies with CVBG | 8 | 1.27 [1.13, 1.42] | 36% | < 0.001 | - | | | |
| Study location | | | | | | | | |
| America | 5 | 1.24 [1.11, 1.38] | 33% | < 0.001 | | | | |
| Asia | 4 | 1.46 [1.10, 1.94] | 39% | 0.009 | 0.29 | | | |
| Study design | | | | | | | | |
| Prospective | 3 | 1.27 [1.01, 1.61] | 63% | 0.04 | | | | |
| Retrospective | 6 | 1.32 [1.15, 1.52] | 30% | < 0.001 | 0.75 | | | |
| Surgery type | | | | | | | | |
| CABG | 4 | 1.24 [1.12, 1.38] | 11% | < 0.001 | | | | |
| Valvular surgery | 1 | 1.05 [0.85, 1.30] | - | 0.65 | | | | |
| Mixed cardiac surgery | 4 | 1.49 [1.25, 1.78] | 3 % | <0.001 | 0.04 | | | |
| Prevalence of diabetes | | | | | | | | |
| <35% | 4 | 1.32 [1.05, 1.66] | 55% | 0.03 | | | | |
| ≥35% | 5 | 1.29 [1.12, 1.49] | 36% | <0.001 | 0.87 | | | |
| Duration of GV measurements | | | | | | | | |
| First 24h after surgery | 6 | 1.22 [1.09, 1.36] | 27% | < 0.001 | | | | |
| Longer than 24 h | 3 | 1.49 [1.22, 1.83] | 16% | < 0.001 | 0.08 | | | |
| Adjustment of HbA1c | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 5 | 1.20 [1.10, 1.30] | 0% | < 0.001 | | | | |
| No | 4 | 1.54 [1.28, 1.85] | 11% | < 0.001 | 0.01 | | | |
| Quality scores | | | | | | | | |
| NOS = 8 | 5 | 1.32 [1.13, 1.55] | 41% | < 0.001 | | | | |
| NOS=9 | 4 | 1.28 [1.04, 1.58] | 49% | 0.02 | 0.81 | | | |

GV: Glycemic variability; MAE: Major adverse events; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass; CABG: Coronary artery bypass graft; HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; CVBG: Coefficient of variation of blood glucose.

included studies when the association between GV and in-hospital outcomes was estimated. The NOS of the included studies were 8 to 9 stars, suggesting good quality (**> Table 2**).

Meta-analysis results

Pooled results of nine studies [16–24] showed that a high acute GV was associated with an increased risk of MAE during hospitalization for patients after cardiac surgery (OR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.15 to 1.45, p < 0.001; **Fig. 2a**) with mild heterogeneity (p for Cochrane Q-test = 0.12, $l^2 = 38\%$). Sensitivity analysis limited to studies of on-pump surgery only (OR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.18 to 1.60, p < 0.001; $l^2 = 45\%$) or studies with GV evaluated by CVBG only (OR: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.13 to 1.42, p < 0.001; $l^2 = 36\%$) also showed consistent results (**• Table 3**). Interestingly, subgroup analysis suggested that a high acute GV was related to an increased incidence of MAE in patients

after CABG (OR: 1.24, 95% CI: 1.12 to 1.38, p < 0.001; $I^2 = 11\%$) or mixed types of cardiac surgery (OR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.25 to 1.78, p < 0.001; $I^2 = 3\%$), but not for those after isolated valvular surgery (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.85 to 1.30, p = 0.65; p for subgroup difference = 0.04; **Table 3**). Moreover, the association was weakened in studies after adjustment of HbA1c (OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.10 to 1.30, p < 0.001; $I^2 = 0\%$) as compared to those without adjustment of HbA1c (OR: 1.54, 95% CI: 1.28 to 1.85, p < 0.001; $I^2 = 11\%$; p for subgroup difference = 0.01; **Table 3**). Subgroup analysis according to study location, design, prevalence of diabetes, duration of GV measurements, and study quality scores showed similar results (p for subgroup difference all > 0.05; **Table 3**). Finally, meta-analysis of three studies [16, 22, 23] showed that a high acute GV was also related to an increased risk of in-hospital mortality in patients



▶ Fig. 3 Funnel plots for the publication bias underlying the meta-analysis of the association between acute GV and the risk of MAE in patients after cardiac surgery.

after cardiac surgery (OR: 1.55, 95 % CI: 1.15 to 2.09, p = 0.004; l² = 0 %; ► **Fig. 2b**).

Publication bias

▶ Fig. 3 shows the funnel plots regarding the association between acute GV and the incidence of in-hospital MAE in patients after cardiac surgery. Visual inspection found symmetry of the plots, which suggested a low risk of publication bias. Egger's regression test also suggested a low risk of publication bias (p = 0.80). For the meta-analysis of the relationship between acute GV and the risk of in-hospital mortality, the publication bias could be evaluated since only three studies were included.

Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we pooled the results of nine eligible cohort studies and the results showed that a high GV during the perioperative period was associated with an increased risk of in-hospital MAE in patients after cardiac surgery. The results were mainly based on patients receiving on-pump cardiac surgeries and studies with GV measured using CVBG. Subsequent subgroup analyses according to the location of study country, study design, prevalence of diabetes, duration of GV measurements, and study quality scores showed consistent results. In addition, the subgroup analysis suggested that the association between a high acute GV and an increased risk of MAE after cardiac surgery was weakened but still significant after the adjustment of HbA1c. Finally, a high GV during the perioperative period was also associated with an increased risk of in-hospital mortality in these patients. Taken together, results of the meta-analysis suggest that a high acute GV in perioperative period may be a risk factor of poor in-hospital outcome in patients after cardiac surgery, even after considering the mean glucose status.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first systematic review and meta-analysis which investigated the association between acute GV in perioperative period and the in-hospital outcomes of patients after cardiac surgery. The methodological advantages of the study may include the followings. First, we conducted the extensive literature search in four commonly used electronic databases and retrieved the up-to-date literatures concerning the potential prognostic role of acute GV in patients after cardiac surgery. In addition, only cohort studies were included, and the results of the meta-analysis supported a longitudinal association between a high GV and poor in-hospital outcome in these patients. Moreover, multivariate regression analyses were performed among all of the included studies when the association between acute GV and in-hospital MAE was evaluated. Accordingly, results of the meta-analysis suggest that the relationship between a high acute GV and an increased risk of MAE may be independent of the potential confounding factors such as the age, sex, and comorbidities of the patients. Finally, we performed multiple sensitivity and subgroup analyses for the outcome of MAE. The consistent results in these studies further confirmed the stability and robustness of the findings. Overall, the above results indicate that a high acute GV during the perioperative period may be a risk factor of poor in-hospital outcome of patients after cardiac surgery, and measuring acute GV may be important for prognostic prediction in these patients.

Several parameters have been used to assess glycemic variability currently. There is a new vital glycemic control index called time in target range (TIR) that was recently proposed to describe the percentage of time when glucose levels are within the target range during one day [32]. According to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines, the TIR is highly recommended for assessing blood glucose fluctuations and determining glycemic target levels [33]. However, none of the included studies used TIR to indicate acute GV because this parameter is recently proposed. In this meta-analysis, most of the included studies used CVBG as the parameter for reflecting cute GV, which is convenient and easy to calculate but may be affected by the different protocols of glucose monitoring [34]. Efforts are still needed to determine the optimal parameter and cutoff for GV in patients with acute clinical conditions.

Results of the subgroup analysis showed that difference of surgery type may affect the association between acute GV and the incidence of MAE in patients after cardiac surgery. We observed a significant association in patients who received CABG or mixed type of cardiac surgery, but not in patients after isolated valvular surgery. These results should be interpreted with caution because only one study was available for the subgroup of isolated valvular surgery. In addition, results of the meta-analysis according also showed that the association between a high acute GV and the risk of in-hospital MAE was weakened but still significant in studies after adjustment of HbA1c, as compared to that of studies without adjustment of HbA1c. These findings may suggest that the relationship between a high acute GV and an increased risk of MAE in patients after cardiac surgery may be independent of the mean glycemic levels, as indicated by HbA1c. The mechanisms underlying the association between a high acute GV and poor in-hospital outcome of patients after cardiac surgery remain poorly understood at current stage. Previous studies have shown that a high acute GV may be a risk factor for acute kidney injury [35], arrhythmia (including postoperative AF) [36, 37], and postoperative delirium [23, 38] after cardiac surgery, all of these events have been related to an increased risk of in-hospital mortality in these patients. Pathophysiologically, a high glycemic fluctuation is associated with enhanced oxidative stress and an increased level of systemic reactive oxygen species (ROS) [39], which may mediate multiple organ injury and functional disorder in vulnerable patients, such as those who are in recovery from cardiac surgeries [40]. Studies are warranted to determine the key molecular mechanisms underlying the association between a high acute GV and poor in-hospital outcome in patients after cardiac surgery.

This study also has limitations. First, the number of studies available for the meta-analysis is small, and more prospective cohort studies are needed to validate the finding. Moreover, the parameters, cutoffs, and evaluating durations for acute GV varied among the included studies, which may lead to heterogeneity. However, since no consensus has been reached regarding the optimized parameter and protocol for evaluating GV in patients with acute clinical conditions, studies are needed in this regard. In addition, it is important to determine if the results were similar in patients with and without preexisting diabetes. However, this is not possible based on the currently included studies because stratified analyses according to diabetic status of the patients were rarely reported. Further studies are needed. Furthermore, although multivariate regression analysis was used in all of the included studies, we could not exclude the possibility that there may be residual factors confounding the association, such as the potential influences of different antidiabetic medications and glycemic control strategies. A recent study showed that dapagliflozin (one of the sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors) may ameliorate glycemic variability in patients with type 2 diabetes [41], while dapagliflozin has also been shown to benefit cardiac function [42]. Since poor cardiac function has been recognized as an independent risk factor of poor in-hospital outcome of patients after cardiac surgery [43], use of antidiabetic such as dapagliflozin may therefore confound the relationship between acute GV and in-hospital outcome of these patients. Finally, this meta-analysis was on the basis of observational studies. Accordingly, a causative relationship between a high GV and an increased risk of in-hospital MAE and mortality after cardiac surgery could not be derived based on this meta-analysis. Clinical studies should be considered to investigate if reduced acute glycemic fluctuation could improve the prognosis of these patients.

Conclusion

To sum up, results of the meta-analysis indicate that a high acute GV in perioperative period may be a risk factor of poor in-hospital outcome in patients after cardiac surgery. The association between a high acute GV and an increased risk of MAE after cardiac surgery is weakened but still significant even after the adjustment of HbA1c. Measuring acute GV may be important for prognostic prediction in patients after cardiac surgery. Further studies are needed to determine the optimal parameters and cutoff values for defining a high GV in these patients. In addition, studies are needed to determine whether reducing acute GV is associated with improved early outcome of patients after cardiac surgery.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Ware LR, Gilmore JF, Szumita PM. Practical approach to clinical controversies in glycemic control for hospitalized surgical patients. Nutr Clin Pract 2022; 37: 521–535
- [2] Girish G, Agarwal S, Satsangi DK et al. Glycemic control in cardiac surgery: rationale and current evidence. Ann Card Anaesth 2014; 17: 222–228
- [3] Bundhun PK, Bhurtu A, Yuan J. Impact of type 2 diabetes mellitus on the long-term mortality in patients who were treated by coronary artery bypass surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017; 96: e7022
- [4] Bayfield NGR, Bibo L, Budgeon C et al. Conventional glycaemic control may not be beneficial in diabetic patients following Ccardiac surgery. Heart Lung Circ 2022; 31: 1692–1698
- [5] Sindhvananda W, Poopuangpairoj W, Jaiprasat T et al. Comparison of glucose control by added liraglutide to only insulin infusion in diabetic patient undergoing cardiac surgery: a preliminary randomizedcontrolled trial. Ann Card Anaesth 2023; 26: 63–71
- [6] Jin X, Wang J, Ma Y et al. Association between perioperative glycemic control strategy and mortality in patients with diabetes undergoing cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020; 11: 513073
- [7] Chen Y, Zhang H, Hou X et al. Glycemic control and risk factors for in-hospital mortality and vascular complications after coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with and without preexisting diabetes.
 J Diabetes 2021; 13: 232–242
- [8] Lowden E, Schmidt K, Mulla I et al. Evaluation of outcomes and complications in patients who experience hypoglycemia after cardiac surgery. Endocr Pract 2017; 23: 46–55
- [9] Dell'Aquila AM, Ellger B. Perioperative glycemic control: what is worth the effort? Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2013; 26: 438–443
- [10] Lin J, Cai C, Xie Y et al. Acute glycemic variability and mortality of patients with acute stroke: a meta-analysis. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2022; 14: 69
- [11] Li X, Zhang D, Chen Y et al. Acute glycemic variability and risk of mortality in patients with sepsis: a meta-analysis. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2022; 14: 59
- [12] Zhang L, Li F, Liu HH et al. Glycaemic variability and risk of adverse cardiovascular events in acute coronary syndrome. Diab Vasc Dis Res 2022; 19: 14791641221137736
- [13] Jiao X, Wang H, Li M et al. Glycemic variability and prognosis of patients with intracerebral hemorrhage: a meta-analysis. Horm Metab Res 2023; 55: 176–183
- [14] Ravi R, Balasubramaniam V, Kuppusamy G et al. Current concepts and clinical importance of glycemic variability. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2021; 15: 627–636
- [15] Landoni G, Lomivorotov V, Silvetti S et al. Nonsurgical strategies to reduce mortality in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: an updated consensus process. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2018; 32: 225–235
- [16] Duncan AE, Abd-Elsayed A, Maheshwari A et al. Role of intraoperative and postoperative blood glucose concentrations in predicting outcomes after cardiac surgery. Anesthesiology 2010; 112: 860–871
- [17] Subramaniam B, Lerner A, Novack V et al. Increased glycemic variability in patients with elevated preoperative HbA1C predicts adverse outcomes following coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. Anesth Analg 2014; 118: 277–287

- [18] Bardia A, Khabbaz K, Mueller A et al. The association between preoperative hemoglobin A1C and postoperative glycemic variability on 30-day major adverse outcomes following isolated cardiac valvular surgery. Anesth Analg 2017; 124: 16–22
- [19] Clement KC, Suarez-Pierre A, Sebestyen K et al. Increased glucose variability is associated with major adverse events after coronary artery bypass. Ann Thorac Surg 2019; 108: 1307–1313
- [20] Kim HJ, Shim JK, Youn YN et al. Influence of preoperative hemoglobin A1c on early outcomes in patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020; 159: 568–576
- [21] Rangasamy V, Xu X, Susheela AT et al. Comparison of glycemic variability indices: blood glucose, risk index, and coefficient of variation in predicting adverse outcomes for patients undergoing cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020; 34: 1794–1802
- [22] Liang M, Xiong M, Zhang Y et al. Increased glucose variability is associated with major adverse events in patients with infective endocarditis undergo surgical treatment. J Thorac Dis 2021; 13: 653–663
- [23] Choi H, Park CS, Huh J et al. Intraoperative glycemic variability and mean glucose are predictors for postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Interv Aging 2022; 17: 79–95
- [24] You H, Hou X, Zhang H et al. Effect of glycemic control and glucose fluctuation on in-hospital adverse outcomes after on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with diabetes: a retrospective study. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2023; 15: 20
- [25] Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.2. The Cochrane Collaboration 2021 www.training.cochrane.org/handbook
- [26] Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021; 372: n160
- [27] Brooke BS, Schwartz TA, Pawlik TM. MOOSE reporting guidelines for meta-analyses of observational studies. JAMA Surg 2021; 156: 787–788
- [28] Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses 2010 http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_ epidemiology/oxford.asp
- [29] Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med 2002; 21: 1539–1558
- [30] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629–634

- [31] Breyton AE, Lambert-Porcheron S, Laville M et al. CGMS and glycemic variability, relevance in clinical research to evaluate interventions in T2D, a literature review. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2021; 12: 666008
- [32] Yoo JH, Kim JH. Time in range from continuous glucose monitoring: a novel metric for glycemic control. Diabetes Metab J 2020; 44: 828–839
- [33] Gabbay MAL, Rodacki M, Calliari LE et al. Time in range: a new parameter to evaluate blood glucose control in patients with diabetes. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2020; 12: 22
- [34] Sakamoto M. Type 2 Diabetes and glycemic variability: various parameters in clinical practice. J Clin Med Res 2018; 10: 737–742
- [35] Nam K, Jeon Y, Kim WH et al. Intraoperative glucose variability, but not average glucose concentration, may be a risk factor for acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: a retrospective study. Can J Anaesth 2019; 66: 921–933
- [36] Li X, Zhou X, Wei J et al. Effects of glucose variability on short-term outcomes in non-diabetic patients after coronary artery bypass grafting: a retrospective observational study. Heart Lung Circ 2019; 28: 1580–1586
- [37] Clement KC, Alejo D, DiNatale J et al. Increased glucose variability is associated with atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass. J Card Surg 2019; 34: 549–554
- [38] Lin YJ, Lin LY, Peng YC et al. Association between glucose variability and postoperative delirium in acute aortic dissection patients: an observational study. J Cardiothorac Surg 2021; 16: 82
- [39] Valente T, Arbex AK. Glycemic variability, oxidative stress, and impact on complications related to type 2 diabetes mellitus. Curr Diabetes Rev 2021; 17: e071620183816
- [40] Tossios P, Bloch W, Huebner A et al. N-acetylcysteine prevents reactive oxygen species-mediated myocardial stress in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003; 126: 1513– 1520
- [41] Luo M, Kong X, Wang H et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on glycemic variability in patients with type 2 diabetes under insulin glargine combined with other oral hypoglycemic drugs. J Diabetes Res 2020; 6666403
- [42] Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Claggett B et al. Dapagliflozin in heart failure with mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2022; 387: 1089–1098
- [43] Kurniawaty J, Setianto BY, Supomo et al. The effect of low preoperative ejection fraction on mortality after cardiac surgery in Indonesia. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2022; 18: 131–137