Erratum

Diastereoselective Thia-Claisen Rearrangement of Pyrrolidinone-Derived Ketene *N,S*-Acetals

Adam R. Ellwood, Anne J. Price Mortimer, Derek A. Tocher, Michael J. Porter* *Synlett* **2008**, 2199.

The reactive conformations in Scheme 8 depicted the wrong absolute configuration of the substrates. The correct Scheme is shown below, with conformation **I** now giving rise to **17a** and **17b**, and conformation **II** leading to **18a** and **18b**.

Scheme 8 Proposed reactive conformations of **16a** (R = H) and **16b** (R = Br)

The paragraph which begins “In the case of brominated intermediate **16b**” should be replaced with the following text: “In the case of non-brominated intermediate **16a**, conformation **I** is slightly favored over **II** (possibly due to the additional A^{1,3}-strain in the latter conformation) and the major product is **17a**. For the brominated analogue **16b**, it appears that conformation **II** is strongly favored. The reasons for this unexpected reversal in selectivity are currently the subject of further investigation.”

The authors thank Professor Donald Craig, Imperial College, for drawing their attention to this error.