An Update on Surgical and Medical Management Strategies for Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Natalie Kreitzer, MD^{1,2} Opeolu Adeoye, MD, MS^{1,2,3}

¹University of Cincinnati Neuroscience Institute, Cincinnati, Ohio

²Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio

³Department of Neurosurgery, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio

Address for correspondence Opeolu Adeoye, MD, MS, Division of Neurocritical Care, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Cincinnati, College of Medicine, 231 Albert Sabin Way, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267-0769 (e-mail: Opeolu.Adeoye@uc.edu).

Semin Neurol 2013;33:462–467.

Abstract

Keywords

- intracerebral hemorrhage
- surgical management
- medical management

Intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) accounts for ~ 10 to 15% of all strokes and is one of the major causes of stroke-related death and disability. After the initial hemorrhage, further bleeding and edema contribute to secondary damage and worsened outcomes. As such, goals of previous and ongoing trials are to prevent continued bleeding, as well as mitigate the impact of cerebral edema. Although no trials have shown a definite functional outcome benefit with a given intervention, much progress has been made recently. This review focuses on recent developments that inform the acute management of ICH.

Intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is an important cause of stroke-related death and disability. Intracerebral hemorrhage accounts for ~ 10 to 15% of all strokes in the United States with an incidence of 12 to 15 cases per 100,000 per year.^{1,2} The incidence is higher in Asian countries, at ~ 20 to 30% of all strokes.³ Mortality is almost 50% at 30 days, and half of these deaths occur within the first 24 hours.¹ Although no intervention tested in clinical trials to date has significantly demonstrated improved functional outcome following ICH, recently completed and ongoing trials may have a direct impact on the acute care of ICH patients. In this review, we discuss acute management of ICH in light of recently completed and ongoing ICH clinical trials.

Prognosis in Intracerebral Hemorrhage

An important prognostic factor in ICH is the presenting hematoma volume and subsequent hematoma expansion. Hematoma expansion occurs in upwards of 40% of ICH patients,^{4,5} typically occurs within the first few hours, and portends neurologic deterioration, poorer functional outcome, and increased mortality. Each 10% increase in hematoma size from baseline has been associated with a 5% increase in mortality and 16% chance of worse functional outcome.⁶ Unfortunately, trials of hemostatic agents for ICH (discussed

in more detail below) have not shown improved functional outcomes.^{7,8}

Concurrent intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) is another factor that has been associated with worse outcome after ICH. Intracerebral hemorrhage may lead to obstructive hydrocephalus causing acute intracranial hypertension that may result in herniation syndromes. Mortality rates of 50 to 80% have been reported in ICH patients with IVH.^{9,10} Emergent placement of an extraventricular drain (EVD) may be life-saving and recent studies suggest administration of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) or urokinase may facilitate resolution of the IVH.^{11,12} A phase III clinical trial is ongoing to determine if resolution of IVH with rt-PA is associated with improved long-term clinical outcome.

Other factors that have been associated with poorer outcome after ICH include age, infratentorial ICH location, edema, and warfarin or other anticoagulant use. A variety of prognostic tools have been published for ICH.^{10,13} However, clinicians must be cautious regarding the so-called self-fulfilling prophecy of ICH management,¹⁴ whereby withdrawal of care or initiation of comfort care measures early in the clinical course has become the leading proximate cause of death after ICH. This is particularly important given recent data suggesting that functional outcomes after ICH continue

improve past the 6-month mark and reports of early morbidity and mortality may misrepresent potential outcomes.¹⁵

Acute Management of Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Intracerebral hemorrhage is an emergency. The acute clinical presentation depends on the location of the lesion within the brain. A sudden focal neurologic deficit, change in consciousness, headache, or vomiting are common presentations. A computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is required to confirm a diagnosis of ICH. Care should always begin with airway stabilization, breathing, and circulation (ABCs). Specifically, many patients require active airway management with endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation secondary to their depressed mental status. The head of the bed should be elevated to 30 degrees at all times, and the neck kept in a midline position. Adequate analgesia and sedation should be provided. Other specific measures such as blood pressure management are crucial as well. Acute emergency department (ED) interventions that can minimize bleeding are critical for improved outcomes. These are discussed further below and in addition to the ABCs include timely diagnosis, blood pressure management, and reversal of anticoagulation.

Imaging

Initial imaging and diagnosis of ICH is almost always made on a noncontrast head CT. Addition of CT angiography (CTA) may reveal a “spot sign,” a hyperdensity within the hematoma corresponding to contrast extravasation, which has been associated with subsequent hematoma expansion.^{16–18} In the largest prospective observational study published to date, 30% of 268 ICH patients with ICH volume less than 100 mL who underwent CTA within 6 hours of symptom onset had a spot sign.¹⁸ Of these, 61% experienced hematoma expansion compared with 22% of patients who had no spot sign. Sensitivity of the spot sign for predicting hematoma expansion was 51% and specificity was 85%.¹⁸

Early CTA may also reveal secondary causes of ICH such as arteriovenous malformations and aneurysms. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), MR angiography (MRA), catheter

angiography also play a significant role in identifying secondary causes of ICH and may be essential prior to enrolling patients in clinical trials testing administration of rt-PA for hastening clot resolution. The most recent American Stroke Association (ASA) guidelines do not specify which ICH patients may benefit from vascular imaging for evaluation of secondary causes.¹ However, recent clinical experience suggests such imaging may be warranted in more patients than currently recognized, particularly in the young (–Fig. 1).

Blood Pressure Control

Effective management of blood pressure, especially in the acute phase of ICH, is imperative.

The European Stroke Initiative (EUSI) in 2006 recommended that antihypertensive treatment be initiated if systolic blood pressure (SBP) is ≥ 180 mm Hg,¹⁹ which is similar to the ASA guidelines from 1999, which recommended treatment for SBP ≥ 180 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 105 mm Hg.²⁰ The 2007 ASA guidelines recommend treatment if SBP is ≥ 180 mm Hg or mean arterial pressure (MAP) is ≥ 130 mm Hg and there is no evidence or suspicion of elevated ICP. If there is concern for elevated ICP, then patients should have intracranial pressure (ICP) monitoring, and cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) should be maintained between 60 to 80 mm Hg.²¹ In 2010, the ASA guidelines were further modified and recommended a goal SBP < 160 mm Hg or a MAP below 110 mm Hg.¹ The guidelines further state that if SBP > 180 , or MAP > 130 and increased ICP is suspected, then ICP monitoring is recommended. Intravenous, short-acting medications are recommended for treating acute hypertension in ICH. Recommended medications include labetalol (5–20 mg every 15 minutes or infusion of 2 mg/min), nicardipine (infusion of 5–15 mg/h), esmolol (bolus 250 μ g/kg, infusion 25–300 μ g/kg/min), enalaprilat (1.25–5 mg every 6 hours with starting dose of 0.625 mg intravenously [IV]), hydralazine (5–10 mg every 30 minutes, or infusion of 1.5–5 μ g/kg/min), nitroprusside (infusion 0.1–10 μ g/kg), or nitroglycerin (infusion 20–400 μ g/min). In 2010, a goal SBP of 140 mm Hg was considered “probably safe,” which was a modification from the 2007 guidelines. The evolution of these practice guidelines reflects the growing evidence for blood pressure management in ICH.

Fig. 1 A 43-year-old woman with poorly controlled diabetes and hypertension who presented with an intracerebral hemorrhage. A dural arteriovenous fistula is demonstrated on computed tomography angiography.

The pilot Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage Trial (INTERACT) trial evaluated 404 patients randomized to early BP lowering (systolic target 140 mm Hg) versus standard management (target < 180 mm Hg) within 6 hours of onset.²² Rapid blood pressure reduction following ICH was found to be safe in INTERACT. Further, the intensive blood pressure control group demonstrated reduced hematoma expansion (14% vs. 36%), but these results were not statistically significant.²² Subsequently, ATACH and INTERACT2 were conducted and ATACH-2 is ongoing. Given the current available evidence, we believe a target SBP of 140 mm Hg may be safe in the early clinical (< 6 hours) course of acute ICH. It remains unclear whether the blood pressure may be safely dropped to 140 mm Hg in the ultraearly period (< 3.5 hours) and whether such early aggressive blood pressure control would result in improved outcomes.

Hemostatics

Various hemostatic agents have been and are currently being tested as therapies for the management of ICH. One hemostatic agent of high interest is recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa). rFVIIa has been proven to significantly reduce hematoma expansion in phase IIb ($n = 339$)⁷ and phase III ($n = 841$)⁸ trials when given within 4 hours of symptom onset. Unfortunately, clinical efficacy in terms of improved outcomes was not demonstrated in those trials. A proposed explanation for this lack of efficacy for improving outcomes despite reducing hematoma expansion was the inclusion of an unselected patient population. Particularly, rFVIIa treatment was associated with an ~ 20% absolute increase in ischemic strokes and myocardial infarction in the phase III trial.²³ Thus, exposure of patients who were not bound to experience hematoma expansion to this risk may have blunted any benefits from reducing hematoma expansion in patients who experienced expansion.⁸ Patients with large hematomas were also included although they were bound to have a poor outcome regardless of hematoma expansion. As such, it has been suggested that patients aged 70 years or younger, ICH volume < 60 mL with minimal IVH and treated within 2.5 hours may be a subset of patients who would benefit from rFVIIa.²⁴ Patient selection based on the spot sign may also select those patients most likely to experience hematoma expansion.

Two ongoing trials are using early CTA to select ICH patients for treatment with rFVIIa. The Spot Sign for Predicting & Treating ICH Growth (STOP-IT) study is an ongoing phase II, randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with the primary goals of (1) determining the sensitivity and specificity of the CTA spot sign for predicting hematoma expansion, (2) determining the feasibility of using CTA to identify ICH patients at high risk of hematoma expansion and to select spot-positive patients for randomization to treatment with rFVIIa or placebo, and (3) determining the rate of hematoma expansion among spot-positive patients at 24 hours. The planned enrollment is for 184 total patients.²⁵ The Spot Sign Selection of Intracerebral Hemorrhage to Guide Hemostatic Therapy (SPOTLIGHT) study is also

an ongoing, phase II, randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with similar goals of randomizing spot-positive ICH patients to treatment with rFVIIa to those treated with placebo. The planned enrollment size is 110 patients in Canada.²⁵

Anticoagulant-Related Intracerebral Hemorrhage

About one in five cases of ICH are anticoagulant related.²⁶ Warfarin remains the most commonly used oral anticoagulant, and interventions that reverse anticoagulation due to warfarin are crucial. Options for reversal of anticoagulation due to warfarin include fresh frozen plasma (FFP), vitamin K, prothrombin complex concentrates (PCCs), and rFVIIa, alone or in combination.²⁷

Fresh frozen plasma and vitamin K remain the most commonly used reversal agents. Delays in administration of FFP have been reported and every 30-minute delay in administration of FFP is associated with a 20% reduction in successful INR correction by 24 hours after presentation.²⁸ Vitamin K should be administered to all patients with warfarin-related ICH, but it is not sufficiently effective in a short period to suffice as the only treatment for ICH. Prothrombin complex concentrates may be classified as three factor (sufficient levels of factors II, IX, and X, and low levels of factor VII) or four factor (sufficient levels of factors II, VII, IX, and X, as well as proteins C and S). Prothrombin complex concentrates are more effective than FFP in rapidly reversing anticoagulation due to warfarin,²⁹ require less volume infusion, and can be delivered rapidly. As such, in addition to vitamin K administration, four-factor PCCs is recommended over FFP for patients with major bleeding.²⁷ Four-factor PCCs had been unavailable in the United States until the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved Kcentra (CSL Behring GmbH, Marburg, Germany) in May 2013. Given the life-threatening consequence of delays in reversal of anticoagulation in patients with warfarin-related ICH, we believe four-factor PCCs should be first-line therapy in addition to administration of vitamin K and additional FFP as needed.

Novel anticoagulant agents including direct thrombin inhibitors such as dabigatran and factor Xa inhibitors such as rivaroxaban and apixaban have recently been approved for treatment of atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism.^{30–33} Although case vignettes of ICH and other major bleeding associated with these agents have been published, no proven reversal regimens exist. As such, options for treating ICH related to these novel anticoagulants are FFP, PCCs, and rFVIIa.

Surgical Management

Surgical management of ICH should be discussed in terms of approach (craniotomy/craniectomy vs. minimally invasive approaches) and ICH location (supra- or infratentorial location). Emergent craniectomy may be life-saving in patients with posterior fossa ICH, and surgery is recommended in patients with posterior fossa hemorrhages larger than 3 cm in diameter, brainstem compression, or hydrocephalus from ventricular obstruction.¹ Because patients with posterior fossa lesions may regain close to full function with this life-

saving surgery, there is little controversy with this recommendation and treatment approach. In the context of the STICH II results discussed below, it remains unclear whether craniotomy/craniectomy is beneficial in supratentorial ICH.

Minimally invasive hematoma evacuation after ICH offers the benefit of removal of offending factors in blood that may cause secondary injury without the morbidity associated with craniotomy. The largest trial published to date randomized 377 patients with small basal ganglia ICH (25–40 mL) to craniopuncture followed by urokinase and clot aspiration versus medical therapy alone.³⁴ At 90 days, there was no significant difference in mortality (6.7% vs. 8.8%, $p = 0.44$), and the medical therapy group was more likely to be dependent (modified Rankin score 3–6) (63% vs. 41%, $p < 0.01$).³⁴ The MISTIE III and CLEAR III trials will confirm whether this approach is associated with improved long-term outcomes in treated patients.

Neuroprotective Strategies

In addition to direct tissue destruction and mass effect on adjacent tissues, ICH may trigger many deleterious inflammatory cascades. These include tissue necrosis, cellular apoptosis, and edema. Various neuroprotective approaches are being evaluated for efficacy in reducing secondary injury after ICH. To our knowledge, none are currently mature enough for phase III clinical trial evaluation.

Phase III Clinical Trials in Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Clinical Trials Published in 2013

Two critical phase III clinical trials of ICH were published in the past year: (1) rapid blood-pressure lowering in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage (INTERACT2)³⁵ and (2) early surgery versus initial conservative treatment in patients with spontaneous supratentorial lobar intracerebral hematomas (STICH II), a randomized trial.³⁶

INTERACT2 randomized 2839 patients with spontaneous ICH diagnosed on CT or MRI who presented within 6 hours to an intensive treatment to lower blood pressure (target systolic of < 140 mm Hg within 1 hour) or current guideline-recommended treatment (target systolic level of < 180 mm Hg). Patients were eligible if they had a systolic blood pressure (SBP) between 150 and 220 mm Hg and had no contraindication to blood pressure lowering. Antihypertensive medication choices were at the discretion of the treating physician. Of 2,794 patients for whom the primary outcome of death or major disability (defined as a score of 3–6 on the modified Rankin scale) was available at 90 days, 52% in the intensive group versus 56% in the standard treatment group had the primary outcome (odds ratio = 0.87; 95% CI = 0.75–1.01, $p = 0.06$). The authors concluded that intensive blood pressure reduction in acute ICH was safe, but did not significantly reduce death or major disability.³⁵

The STICH II trial hypothesized that early surgery could improve outcome in conscious patients in whom there was a superficial ICH of 10 to 100 mL and no evidence of IVH. STICH II randomized 601 patients from 78 centers in 27 countries to

early surgery (within 12 hours of ictus) or initial conservative treatment. The primary outcome was a prognosis-based favorable or unfavorable outcome based on the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOSE) at 6 months after randomization. Forty-one percent (123 out of 297) of patients in the early surgery group had a favorable outcome at 6 months compared with 38% (108 out of 286) of patients in the initial conservative treatment group (OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.62–1.20, $p = 0.367$). Notably, 21% of the initial conservative treatment group in STICH II had surgery, thereby potentially blunting any treatment effect that may have been observed. Ultimately, it remains unclear what subgroup of ICH patients would benefit from surgery although ongoing studies may better define this.³⁶

Ongoing Phase III ICH Clinical Trials

To our knowledge, there are three ongoing phase III acute interventional trials in ICH. The Antihypertensive Treatment of Cerebral Hemorrhage (ATACH) 2 trial is randomizing ICH patients to a goal SBP of < 140 mm Hg versus < 180 mm Hg within 3.5 hours of symptom onset. Blood pressure targets are to be maintained for 24 hours after randomization. Both arms of the trial receive nicardipine in standard dosing regimens established per trial protocol.³⁷ Labetalol may also be used if maximum amounts of nicardipine are used. Given the relatively delayed onset to initiation of therapy (< 6 hours), the multiple medications used and the difference in time from randomization to initiation of antihypertensive therapy between the treatment groups in INTERACT2,³⁵ ATACH 2 should provide further data on the safety and efficacy of ultraearly (< 3.5 hours) rapid reduction of blood pressure using a single antihypertensive agent after ICH.

With a planned enrollment of 500 ICH patients with IVH, the Clot Lysis: Evaluating Accelerated Resolution of Intraventricular Hemorrhage (CLEAR) III trial is randomizing ICH patients who require an EVD for obstructive hydrocephalus due to third or fourth ventricular blood to recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) versus placebo for resolving the IVH. Treatment must begin within 72 hours of the diagnostic CT scan. In addition to third or fourth ventricular obstruction, eligible patients must have < 30 mL of ICH. The primary outcome measure is the modified Rankin scale score at 180 days.³⁸

The Minimally Invasive Surgery Plus Rt-PA for ICH Evacuation (MISTIE) Phase III trial is a randomized clinical trial of minimally invasive surgery plus rt-PA versus medical therapy alone after ICH. Eligible patients must have supratentorial ICH ≥ 30 mL, symptom onset within 24 hours of diagnostic CT and initiation of treatment from 12 to 72 hours of the diagnostic CT, with the first dose given within 76 hours of the diagnostic CT. The primary efficacy outcome measure is a 12% increase in the proportion of rt-PA treated patients with a modified Rankin score of 0 to 3 compared with medically treated patients at 180 days. The primary safety outcome measure is the rate of mortality, rebleeding, and infection at 30 days.³⁹

These ongoing trials complement the recently completed trials and have a direct clinical impact on the bedside

Table 1 Recently completed and ongoing phase III clinical trials of ICH

Trial	Number of trial participants	Intervention	Outcomes in patients with ICH
INTERACT2 Anderson et al (2013) ³⁵	2,839	Blood pressure reduction to goal SBP < 140 mm Hg within 6 h of onset	Found to be safe. Did not reduce severe disability or death
STICH II Mendelow et al (2013) ³⁶	601	Early surgery vs. conservative treatment	No clear benefit from early surgery
MISTIE III Hanley et al ³⁹	Projected 500	Minimally invasive surgery plus rt-PA	Currently enrolling
CLEAR III Ziai et al (2013) ³⁸	Projected 500	rt-PA in patients who require EVD for obstructive hydrocephalus due to 3 rd or 4 th ventricular blood	Currently enrolling
ATACH2 Qureshi et al (2011) ³⁷	Projected 1280	Blood pressure reduction to goal SBP < 140 mm Hg within 3.5 h of onset	Currently enrolling

Abbreviations: EVD, extraventricular drain; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; rt-PA, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; SBP = systolic blood pressure.

management of ICH. ►Table 1 summarizes recently completed and ongoing phase III clinical trials of ICH.

Conclusions

Intracerebral hemorrhage remains a challenging clinical and public health problem. Fortunately, recently completed and ongoing acute clinical trials have and will meaningfully impact its clinical management. Although no trials to date have shown a definite improvement in functional outcomes with a given intervention, recent trials have informed blood pressure management and the need for surgery after acute ICH. Ongoing trials should add to current knowledge and are promising for establishing the efficacy of the medical and surgical interventions being tested.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Dr. Achala Vagal for assistance with the images.

References

- Morgenstern LB, Hemphill JC III, Anderson C, et al; American Heart Association Stroke Council and Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2010;41(9):2108–2129
- Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2012 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2012;125(1):e2–e220
- Toyoda K, Steiner T, Eppe C, et al. Comparison of the European and Japanese guidelines for the acute management of intracerebral hemorrhage. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2013;35(5):419–429
- Brott T, Broderick J, Kothari R, et al. Early hemorrhage growth in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 1997;28(1):1–5
- Broderick JP, Diringer MN, Hill MD, et al; Recombinant Activated Factor VII Intracerebral Hemorrhage Trial Investigators. Determinants of intracerebral hemorrhage growth: an exploratory analysis. *Stroke* 2007;38(3):1072–1075
- Davis SM, Broderick J, Hennerici M, et al; Recombinant Activated Factor VII Intracerebral Hemorrhage Trial Investigators. Hematoma growth is a determinant of mortality and poor outcome after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2006;66(8):1175–1181
- Mayer SA, Brun NC, Begtrup K, et al; Recombinant Activated Factor VII Intracerebral Hemorrhage Trial Investigators. Recombinant activated factor VII for acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352(8):777–785
- Mayer SA, Brun NC, Begtrup K, et al; FAST Trial Investigators. Efficacy and safety of recombinant activated factor VII for acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358(20):2127–2137
- Hemphill JC III, Farrant M, Neill TA Jr. Prospective validation of the ICH Score for 12-month functional outcome. *Neurology* 2009;73(14):1088–1094
- Hemphill JC III, Bonovich DC, Besmertis L, Manley GT, Johnston SC. The ICH score: a simple, reliable grading scale for intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2001;32(4):891–897
- Morgan T, Awad I, Keyl P, Lane K, Hanley D. Preliminary report of the clot lysis evaluating accelerated resolution of intraventricular hemorrhage (CLEAR-IVH) clinical trial. *Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien)* 2008;105:217–220
- Naff NJ, Carhuapoma JR, Williams MA, et al. Treatment of intraventricular hemorrhage with urokinase: effects on 30-day survival. *Stroke* 2000;31(4):841–847
- Rost NS, Smith EE, Chang Y, et al. Prediction of functional outcome in patients with primary intracerebral hemorrhage: the FUNC score. *Stroke* 2008;39(8):2304–2309
- Becker KJ, Baxter AB, Cohen WA, et al. Withdrawal of support in intracerebral hemorrhage may lead to self-fulfilling prophecies. *Neurology* 2001;56(6):766–772
- Hanley DF, Awad IA, Vespa PM, Martin NA, Zuccarello M. Hemorrhagic stroke: introduction. *Stroke* 2013;44(6, Suppl 1):S65–S66
- Goldstein JN, Fazen LE, Snider R, et al. Contrast extravasation on CT angiography predicts hematoma expansion in intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2007;68(12):889–894

- 17 Wada R, Aviv RI, Fox AJ, et al. CT angiography "spot sign" predicts hematoma expansion in acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2007;38(4):1257-1262
- 18 Demchuk AM, Dowlatshahi D, Rodriguez-Luna D, et al; PREDICT/Sunnybrook ICH CTA study group. Prediction of haematoma growth and outcome in patients with intracerebral haemorrhage using the CT-angiography spot sign (PREDICT): a prospective observational study. *Lancet Neurol* 2012;11(4):307-314
- 19 Steiner T, Kaste M, Forsting M, et al; The European Stroke Initiative Writing Committee and the Writing Committee for the EUSI Executive Committee. Recommendations for the management of intracranial haemorrhage - part I: spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006;22(4):294-316
- 20 Broderick JP, Adams HP Jr, Barsan W, et al. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a statement for healthcare professionals from a special writing group of the Stroke Council, American Heart Association. *Stroke* 1999;30(4):905-915
- 21 Broderick J, Connolly S, Feldmann E, et al; American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council; American Heart Association/American Stroke Association High Blood Pressure Research Council; Quality of Care and Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage in adults: 2007 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, High Blood Pressure Research Council, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation* 2007;116(16):e391-e413
- 22 Anderson CS, Huang Y, Wang JG, et al; INTERACT Investigators. Intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral haemorrhage trial (INTERACT): a randomised pilot trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2008;7(5):391-399
- 23 Diringer MN, Skolnick BE, Mayer SA, et al. Thromboembolic events with recombinant activated factor VII in spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: results from the Factor Seven for Acute Hemorrhagic Stroke (FAST) trial. *Stroke* 2010;41(1):48-53
- 24 Mayer SA, Davis SM, Skolnick BE, et al; FAST trial investigators. Can a subset of intracerebral hemorrhage patients benefit from hemostatic therapy with recombinant activated factor VII? *Stroke* 2009;40(3):833-840
- 25 The Spot Sign for Predicting & Treating ICH Growth (STOP-IT); The Spot Sign Selection of Intracerebral Hemorrhage to Guide Hemostatic Therapy (SPOTLIGHT). 2013. <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>. Accessed September 30, 2013
- 26 Flaherty ML, Kissela B, Woo D, et al. The increasing incidence of anticoagulant-associated intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2007;68(2):116-121
- 27 Holbrook A, Schulman S, Witt DM, et al. Evidence-based management of anticoagulant therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e152S-184S
- 28 Goldstein JN, Thomas SH, Frontiero V, et al. Timing of fresh frozen plasma administration and rapid correction of coagulopathy in warfarin-related intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2006;37(1):151-155
- 29 Sarode R, Milling TJ Jr, Refaai MA, et al. Efficacy and safety of a 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate in patients on vitamin K antagonists presenting with major bleeding: a randomized, plasma-controlled, phase IIb study. *Circulation* 2013;128(11):1234-1243
- 30 Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, et al; ARISTOTLE Committees and Investigators. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(11):981-992
- 31 Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al; RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361(12):1139-1151
- 32 Büller HR, Prins MH, Lensin AW, et al; EINSTEIN-PE Investigators. Oral rivaroxaban for the treatment of symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366(14):1287-1297
- 33 Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al; ROCKET AF Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(10):883-891
- 34 Wang WZ, Jiang B, Liu HM, et al. Minimally invasive craniopuncture therapy vs. conservative treatment for spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: results from a randomized clinical trial in China. *Int J Stroke* 2009;4(1):11-16
- 35 Anderson CS, Heeley E, Huang Y, et al; INTERACT2 Investigators. Rapid blood-pressure lowering in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368(25):2355-2365
- 36 Mendelow AD, Gregson BA, Rowan EN, Murray GD, Gholkar A, Mitchell PM; STICH II Investigators. Early surgery versus initial conservative treatment in patients with spontaneous supratentorial lobar intracerebral haematomas (STICH II): a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2013;382(9890):397-408
- 37 Qureshi AI, Palesch YY. Antihypertensive Treatment of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage (ATACH) II: design, methods, and rationale. *Neurocrit Care* 2011;15(3):559-576
- 38 Ziai WC, Tuhim S, Lane K, et al; CLEAR III Investigators. A multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase III study of Clot Lysis Evaluation of Accelerated Resolution of Intraventricular Hemorrhage (CLEAR III). *Int J Stroke* 2013
- 39 Hanley DF, et al. Minimally Invasive Surgery Plus Rt-PA for ICH Evacuation Phase III (MISTIE III). 2013. <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>. Accessed September 30, 2013