The Natural History of Brain Arteriovenous Malformations

História natural das malformações arteriovenosas encefálicas

Carlos Michel Albuquerque Peres¹ Vitor Nagai Yamaki² Eberval Gadelha Figueiredo²

¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital Santa Julia, Manaus, AM, Brazil

² Division of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Address for correspondence Carlos Michel Albuquerque Peres, MD, Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital Santa Julia, Av. Jorn. Umberto Calderaro Filho, 455 / 1204, Manaus, AM 69057-015, Brazil (e-mail: cmaperes@mac.com).

Arq Bras Neurocir 2017;36:153–159.

Abstract

Brain arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are relatively rare lesions with irreversible consequences in the context of hemorrhage. They are characterized by direct connections between arteries and veins without an intervening capillary network. The natural history of brain AVMs is controversial in the literature, with low evidence level gathered in the papers published, and with large divergence of results among them. A detailed understanding of the natural history is critical for treatment decision. The risk of development of deleterious outcomes such as hemorrhage or brain infarction should always be considered when submitting a patient to the risks of treatment. Several factors related to the patient and to the AVMs are determinants in the natural history of this disease. The topography, size, morphology and angioarchitecture of AVMs determine the risk of rupture. Large AVMs, those located in the posterior fossa and with deep venous drainage, have higher risk of rupture. Due to divergence in the literature regarding the natural history of AVMs, the choice of treatment should also consider experiences acquired over the years from reference centers with a high number of AVMs treated per year. We determined 7 variables that should be considered during the decision to treat an AVM: 1) previous hemorrhage; 2) aneurysm associated to the AVM; 3) direct arteriovenous fistula; 4) factors related to the nidus; 5) age and habits (smoking, sedentary lifestyle, diet quality); 6) the functional performance of the patient; 7) psychological factors.

Keywords

- intracranial arteriovenous malformations
- arteriovenous fistula
- cerebral hemorrhage
- natural history of diseases

Resumo

Malformações arteriovenosas (MAVs) são lesões caracterizadas por conexões diretas entre artérias e veias sem rede capilar. São relativamente raras e com consequências muitas vezes irreversíveis no contexto de hemorragia. A história natural das MAVs é controversa na literatura, com pouca evidência extraída dos artigos publicados, além de alta divergência entre resultados. Um entendimento detalhado da história natural da doença é determinante para a decisão do tratamento. O risco de desenvolver

received
May 18, 2017
accepted
June 5, 2017
published online
July 19, 2017

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1604344>.
ISSN 0103-5355.

Copyright © 2017 by Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

License terms

Palavras-chave

- malformações arteriovenosas intracranianas
- fístula arteriovenosa
- hemorragia cerebral
- história natural das doenças

consequências deletérias como hemorragia ou isquemia deve ser sempre considerado antes de submeter pacientes ao tratamento. Vários fatores relacionados ao paciente e às MAVs são determinantes na história natural da doença. A localização, tamanho, morfologia e angioarquitetura das MAVs estimam o risco de ruptura. As MAVs grandes, de fossa posterior, com drenagem venosa profunda, têm maior risco de ruptura. A decisão de tratar deve também levar em conta a experiência do serviço, adquirida após anos de tratamento de MAVs. Nós determinamos 7 fatores que devem ser avaliados diante da decisão de tratamento das MAVs: 1) hemorragia prévia; 2) aneurismas associados à MAV; 3) fístula arteriovenosa; 4) fatores relacionados ao nidus; 5) idade e hábitos de vida; 6) performance funcional do paciente; 7) fatores psicológicos.

Introduction

Brain arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are characterized by a nidus of abnormal vessels that forms a direct connection between arteries and veins, without an intervening capillary bed, resulting in one or several arteriovenous fistulas in the same lesion.^{1,2} Arteriovenous malformations have specific characteristics according to their topography, size, morphology, and angioarchitecture. Therefore, the choice of treatment should be individualized for each patient. Despite the relatively low prevalence (16 cases per 100,000 habitants),³ they are the most frequent etiology of non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage in the young population (< 40 years old).^{4,5}

Although several papers have been published recently, there are no evidence-based guidelines for the treatment of AVMs.⁶ Most studies addressing the natural history of this disease were conducted with an observational design with low statistical power for consistent conclusions; in addition, there is a large disagreement among the results presented in the literature. Thus, the risk factors should be carefully taken into consideration before the decision to treat these lesions.⁷

The Natural History of AVM

There is a large spectrum of AVMs presenting symptom, such as:

- Cerebral hemorrhage (50%): the neurological deficit is related to the topography of the lesion inside the parenchyma, or to its extension to the subarachnoid or ventricular compartments.
- Seizure (30%): the seizure semiology might be related to specific locations in the brain, especially for focal seizures.
- Headache (14%).
- Other symptoms: neurological deficit (related to inadequate blood influx caused by the AVM shunt); and pulsatile tinnitus.

The frequency of these symptoms varies according to the geographical differences of the population studied: hemorrhage represented 71% of AVM presenting symptoms in

Nordic countries, while in the United States and Europe the rates were 42% and 52% respectively.^{8,9}

The most common hemorrhage caused by AVM rupture is intraparenchymal bleeding; but, in 24% of the cases, subarachnoid or ventricular hemorrhage may be associated.^{10,11} Arteriovenous malformations are usually isolated lesions, except when they are included in the manifestations of genetic diseases, such as hemorrhagic hereditary telangiectasia (Rendu-Osler-Weber disease).¹²

The first question to be answered is related to the decision of whether to treat AVMs: is the risk of hemorrhage higher than the risk of the treatment? Unfortunately, such details on the natural history of AVMs remain unknown. The first studies that came out addressing this issue were surgical case series with only frequency variables analyzed retrospectively.^{13,14} Nowadays, the multivariate analysis in large prospective series predicts the annual risk of rupture related to a specific risk factor. This study design is certainly adding more evidence to the literature over time.¹⁵

Intracranial hemorrhage was the most frequent symptom (65% of cases) at admission in a population study conducted by the Mayo Clinic in the state of Minnesota, USA. The incidence rate of the first hemorrhage was of 0.82 per 100.000 people/year; 75% of the bleeding events happened in patients under 50 years old, and the mortality rate in 30 days was of 17.5%.¹⁶

Ondra et al,¹¹ in a prospective study of 166 symptomatic AVM patients with 24 years of follow-up, found an annual rate of rupture of 4% per year, and a mortality rate of 1% per year. At the end of this study, 23% of the patients had died of hemorrhage. This study was a landmark for the natural history of AVMs. However, the data included ruptured and unruptured AVMs in the same analysis; moreover, most patients had their hemorrhages detected clinically or by lumbar puncture, since the computerized tomography (CT) scan only became available after 1973, while the study was accomplished in 1975.¹⁷

Considering the annual risk of rupture between 2–4% for unruptured AVMs, a formula to estimate the risk of hemorrhage has been suggested.^{8,18,19}

$$\text{RISK OF HEMORRHAGE (\%)} = 105 - \text{AGE}$$

This formula was created based on a homogeneous population, and does not consider additional risk factors that might increase the chances of hemorrhage, such as: associated aneurysms, venous drainage stenosis, and AVM topography.

In 2008, a Finnish study included 238 patients with untreated AVMs from admission to the beginning of treatment or hemorrhage or death. The study lasted from 1942 until 2005, with a median follow-up of 13.5 years. The annual rate of hemorrhage was of 2.4%, and the risk factors identified were: younger population, previous rupture, deep location, infratentorial lesions and those with exclusive deep venous drainage.²⁰ Then, a Canadian study²¹ with 678 patients (211 previously submitted to partial embolization), using the same statistic tests, found an annual risk of hemorrhage of 4.6%. Even though both studies were performed under same conditions and methodological requirements, the Canadian population presented a risk of hemorrhage ~ 2 times higher.

The study “Columbia and the New York Island” (Columbia AVM Database project and the New York Islands AVM study)^{22,23} performed a prospective analysis of over 600 patients. The main findings of this study were:

- Annual incidence of hemorrhage of 34.4% for patients with 3 simultaneous risk factors: previous hemorrhage, deep location and deep venous drainage.
- Annual incidence of hemorrhage determined at 4.5% for patients with only previous hemorrhage.
- For patients without previous hemorrhage, the annual rate of hemorrhage was 3.1% for deep location AVMs; 2.4% for AVMs with deep venous drainage; and 0.9% for patients without any risk factor.¹⁷

The study called “A Randomized Trial of Unruptured Brain Arteriovenous Malformations” (ARUBA) gathered results from different institutions worldwide, and found that the conservative treatment had better outcomes than intervention (surgery, radiosurgery, embolization). However, this study recruited only 226 out of the initially planned sample

of 400 patients due to a National Institution of Health (NIH) safety board intervention, since the preliminary results showed symptomatic stroke or death in 10% of the patients for the clinical treatment group, and in 31% of those submitted to any intervention ($p < 0.0001$) during a mean follow-up of 33 months.²⁴

The external validity of the ARUBA trial was questioned since AVMs with different grades were analyzed together, as well as different interventions (surgery, coiling, radiosurgery). There was a considerable selection bias in the recruitment of the patients. Several centers in the United States did not contribute with the research due to lack of clinical equipoise, since there was not enough doubt to justify the randomization instead of treating patients with high risk factor unruptured AVMs.²⁵ ▶ **Table 1** summarizes the main shortcomings of the ARUBA trial.

In a meta-analysis published by the American Association of Neurological Surgeons,¹³ Gross and Du gathered information from 9 natural history studies with 3.923 patients and 18.423 patient-years of follow-up. The annual rate of hemorrhage was of 2.2% (95% confidence interval [95%CI]: 1.7–2.7%) for unruptured AVMs; and of 4.5% (95%CI: 3.7–5.5%) for ruptured AVMs. These results might be influenced by the risk factors that will be described in the next section.

Risk Factors for AVM hemorrhage

Arteriovenous malformations belong to a heterogeneous group of cerebrovascular diseases in which several risk factors influence the risk of rupture. A well-designed multivariate analysis can differentiate the independent risk factors from those that are just associated with a positive predictive value.⁹ For example, it was postulated that AVMs with a small nidus have higher risk of rupture. However, a prospective Finnish study proved that a larger nidus is a good predictor of future hemorrhagic events.^{26,27} Another relationship previously accepted was that younger patients were more prone to AVM rupture; however, the opposite relation showed statistical significance in the most current

Table 1 ARUBA trial shortcomings

Topic	Limitations
Study design	Absence of therapeutic plan: selection bias (the European centers randomized more for endovascular therapy, while the American centers randomized more AVMs for the surgery group).
	The study did not analyze the outcomes with different interventions separately. Thus, the study suggested the superiority of the clinical treatment for all kinds of unruptured AVMs, but failed to determine whether this is true for one specific treatment modality.
	The study did not evaluate the associated conditions that would increase the risk of AVM rupture, such as: the angioarchitecture and topography of the lesions, and the presence of aneurysms. Therefore, the study failed to conclude if the clinical treatment is superior for all types of AVMs.
	The requirement for participation in the study was 10 AVMs treated per year. This may suggest that centers unexperienced in AVMs collaborated with the treatment outcomes.
Study conduction	Short follow-up (3 years); intervention group still under analysis.
	Cohort shorter than planned (interrupted for ethical and safety issues).

Abbreviations: ARUBA, A Randomized Trial of Unruptured Brain Arteriovenous Malformations; AVMs, arteriovenous malformations.

large series.²⁸ It is noteworthy that the most updated knowledge about AVMs is based on non-randomized observational studies with some degree of methodological bias.⁷

► **Fig. 1** depicts a case of hemorrhagic brain AVM showing an unusual evolution in adults, but relatively well-described in children. A 7-year-old male child presented an intraventricular hemorrhage in 2004 (► **Fig. 1A**). The patient was treated with embolization, follow-up magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) exams, and two digital subtraction angiographies (DSAs). The last DSA, in 2009, showed radioanatomical cure (data not shown). In 2014 (10 years after the bleeding), the patient developed seizures. Magnetic resonance imaging (► **Fig. 1B**) and DSA (► **Fig. 1C**) showed the AVM in the medial left occipital lobe. The patient underwent total microsurgical resection of the lesion (► **Fig. 1D**), without new deficits.

The evidence-based variables related to the risk of rupture in the literature are:^{13,29}

- **Previous hemorrhage:** hazard ratio (HR): 5.38 (95%CI: 2.64–10.96), and annual rate of rupture: 9.56–15.42%.^{9,17,23}
- **Deep location of AVMs:** HR: 3.25 (95%CI: 1.30–8.16);²³ thalamic, basal nuclei, and brainstem AVMs have hemorrhage as the presenting symptom in 72–91% of cases,^{23,30} although they represent only 5–10% of AVMs
- **Exclusive deep venous drainage:** HR: 3.25 (95%CI: 1.01–5.67);²³ here there is a confounding factor because these lesions often coincide with deeply-located lesions. More studies are necessary to determine deep venous drainage as an independent risk factor for the rupture of AVMs. The annual risk of hemorrhage for deep venous drainage and deep location AVMs is of 8%; however, when combining

Fig. 1 (A) Computed tomography (CT) scan shows a hemorrhagic occipital arteriovenous malformation (AVM). (B) Coronal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showing a medial paraventricular occipital AVM. (C) Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) after 10 years of the initial hemorrhage. (D) Post-microsurgical AVM resection DSA.

deep venous drainage with previous bleeding, the risk is of 11.4% per year.^{9,27}

- **Arteriovenous fistula:** it is characterized by direct connections between arteries and veins without a nidus interposed. Those fistulas might be within the AVM³¹ nidus, which may be identified only through super selective DSA with microcatheters.³¹
- **Venous ectasias:** they are characteristic of reduced venous drainage, which is considered a risk factor for rupture. The hemorrhage happens by rupture of the nidus vessels, often the vein of drainage, for increased pressure or thrombosis.³²
- **AVM-related aneurysms:** aneurysms are present in 2.3% to 16.7% of brain AVMs.³³ They represent a risk factor for hemorrhage, with an odds ratio of 1.8 (95%CI: 1.6–2.0).¹³ Aneurysms on the distal arterial branches (closer to the nidus) or intranidal aneurysms are more prone to rupture. It is a hard task to identify intranidal aneurysms, for their identification depends on a good-quality microcatheterization.³⁴ Some authors consider those aneurysms originating from the venous system, since, histologically, the nidus is more related to the venous structure.^{34,35} Proximal aneurysms (Willis polygon arteries), related or not to the arterial influx of the nidus, do not increase the risk of AVM hemorrhage. Some authors advocate that they should be treated as saccular aneurysms, according to the findings of the International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms (ISUIA).^{34,36,37} Proximal aneurysms are more easily diagnosed by DSA, and have a higher incidence in the elderly and posterior circulation.³⁸

Treatment indications for Arteriovenous Malformation

The decision of whether to treat an AVM or not is not a simple task, especially for the unruptured lesions. A strict clinical

pre-operative evaluation is mandatory, including age, detailed neurological examination, use of anti-epileptic drugs, perspectives about the disease, degree of disability, and its influence in daily life activities. The modified Rankin scale is the most relevant scale to stratify these patients. ► **Fig. 2** shows the case of a valid option for conservative treatment. A 42 year-old woman, a Portuguese language college professor, with a single episode of seizure, which was well-controlled with anticonvulsant monotherapy. Conservative treatment was chosen. This is the 4th year MRI (► **Fig. 2A**) and second digital subtraction angiography (► **Fig. 2B**), unchanged related to the first one (data not shown).

In addition, a detailed neuroimaging evaluation should be performed, including an MRI with susceptibility weighted imaging, and good-quality DSA with at least 4 frames per second. Three-dimensional imaging reconstructions and microcatheterization techniques will provide additional information on the therapeutic plan, as well as enhance the possibility of detecting small intranidal aneurysms.

The classification of AVMs as ruptured and unruptured is based on clinical presentation and imaging findings (CT or MRI). They can also be differentiated according to histological characteristics after microsurgical resection. The unruptured AVMs, in more than 30% of cases, show microhemorrhages due to the presence of hemosiderin and macrophages.³⁸

Magnetic resonance imaging sequencing with increased iron sensibility, such as T2* gradient echo and susceptibility-weighted images, might detect microhemorrhages in asymptomatic AVM patients. The micro hemorrhage found in those patients can be compared with sentinel hemorrhage in the aneurismal subarachnoid hemorrhage.^{39,40}

Before making the decision to treat or not an AVM, it is always important to consider:

1. Previous hemorrhage.
2. Aneurysm associated to the AVM.

Fig. 2 (A) MRI showing a left frontal unruptured AVM. (B) DSA showing a left frontal unruptured AVM.

Table 2 AVM annual bleeding rate according to previous hemorrhage, venous drainage pattern and nidus topography^{9,17}

Previous hemorrhage	Superficial venous drainage	Deep venous drainage	Nidus topography
Unruptured AVM	0.9%	2.4%	Superficial nidus
	3.1%	8.0%	Deep nidus
Ruptured AVM	14.8%	34.4%	Superficial nidus
	4.5%	11.4%	

Abbreviation: AVM, arteriovenous malformation.

3. Direct arteriovenous fistula.
4. Factors related to the nidus.
5. Age and habits (smoking, sedentary lifestyle, diet quality).
6. The functional performance of the patient.
7. Psychological factors.

The aforementioned topics do not represent a consensus in the literature. However, there is no guideline with level 1 or 2 of evidence addressing the treatment decisions in AVMs. Therefore, the decisions should be taken based on the evidences that fit better according to the clinical practice experience; moreover, a multidisciplinary discussion (with neuroradiologists, neurologists, neurosurgeons, and radiotherapists) is mandatory in order to achieve better outcomes. Since it is a relatively rare disease, favorable outcomes might be easily reached through specialized AVM centers with enough cases and acquired experience over time. ► **Table 2** summarizes the annual rate of AVM rupture according to topographic and vascular variables.

References

- 1 McCormick WF. The pathology of vascular ("arteriovenous") malformations. *J Neurosurg* 1966;24(04):807–816
- 2 Atkinson RP, Awad IA, Batjer HH, et al; Joint Writing Group of the Technology Assessment Committee American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology; Joint Section on Cerebrovascular Neurosurgery a Section of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons and Congress of Neurological Surgeons; Section of Stroke and the Section of Interventional Neurology of the American Academy of Neurology. Reporting terminology for brain arteriovenous malformation clinical and radiographic features for use in clinical trials. *Stroke* 2001;32(06):1430–1442
- 3 Al-Shahi R, Fang JS, Lewis SC, Warlow CP. Prevalence of adults with brain arteriovenous malformations: a community based study in Scotland using capture-recapture analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;73(05):547–551
- 4 Fleetwood IG, Steinberg GK. Arteriovenous malformations. *Lancet* 2002;359(9309):863–873
- 5 Ruíz-Sandoval JL, Cantú C, Barinagarrementeria F. Intracerebral hemorrhage in young people: analysis of risk factors, location, causes, and prognosis. *Stroke* 1999;30(03):537–541
- 6 Pollock BE. The alchemy of brain arteriovenous malformation management. *World Neurosurg* 2015;83(03):337–338
- 7 Raymond J, Naggara O, Guilbert F, Altman DG. Assessing prognosis from nonrandomized studies: an example from brain arteriovenous malformations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32(05):809–812
- 8 Ogilvy CS, Stieg PE, Awad I, et al; Stroke Council, American Stroke Association. Recommendations for the management of intracranial arteriovenous malformations: a statement for healthcare professionals from a special writing group of the Stroke Council, American Stroke Association. *Circulation* 2001;103(21):2644–2657
- 9 Abecassis IJ, Xu DS, Batjer HH, Bendok BR. Natural history of brain arteriovenous malformations: a systematic review. *Neurosurg Focus* 2014;37(03):E7
- 10 Graf CJ, Perret GE, Torner JC. Bleeding from cerebral arteriovenous malformations as part of their natural history. *J Neurosurg* 1983;58(03):331–337
- 11 Ondra SL, Troupp H, George ED, Schwab K. The natural history of symptomatic arteriovenous malformations of the brain: a 24-year follow-up assessment. *J Neurosurg* 1990;73(03):387–391
- 12 Brown RD Jr, Flemming KD, Meyer FB, Cloft HJ, Pollock BE, Link ML. Natural history, evaluation, and management of intracranial vascular malformations. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2005;80(02):269–281
- 13 Gross BA, Du R. Natural history of cerebral arteriovenous malformations: a meta-analysis. *J Neurosurg* 2013;118(02):437–443
- 14 Forster DM, Steiner L, Håkanson S. Arteriovenous malformations of the brain. A long-term clinical study. *J Neurosurg* 1972;37(05):562–570
- 15 Stefani MA, Porter PJ, terBrugge KG, Montanera W, Willinsky RA, Wallace MC. Angioarchitectural factors present in brain arteriovenous malformations associated with hemorrhagic presentation. *Stroke* 2002;33(04):920–924
- 16 Brown RD Jr, Wiebers DO, Torner JC, O'Fallon WM. Frequency of intracranial hemorrhage as a presenting symptom and subtype analysis: a population-based study of intracranial vascular malformations in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *J Neurosurg* 1996;85(01):29–32
- 17 Stapf C, Mohr JP, Choi JH, Hartmann A, Mast H. Invasive treatment of unruptured brain arteriovenous malformations is experimental therapy. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2006;19(01):63–68
- 18 Kondziolka D, McLaughlin MR, Kestle JR. Simple risk predictions for arteriovenous malformation hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery* 1995;37(05):851–855
- 19 Brown RD Jr. Simple risk predictions for arteriovenous malformation hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery* 2000;46(04):1024
- 20 Hernesniemi JA, Dashti R, Juvela S, Väärt K, Niemelä M, Laakso A. Natural history of brain arteriovenous malformations: a long-term follow-up study of risk of hemorrhage in 238 patients. *Neurosurgery* 2008;63(05):823–829, discussion 829–831
- 21 da Costa L, Wallace MC, Ter Brugge KG, O'Kelly C, Willinsky RA, Tymianski M. The natural history and predictive features of hemorrhage from brain arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke* 2009;40(01):100–105
- 22 Stapf C, Mast H, Sciacca RR, et al; New York Islands AVM Study Collaborators. The New York Islands AVM Study: design, study progress, and initial results. *Stroke* 2003;34(05):e29–e33
- 23 Stapf C, Mast H, Sciacca RR, et al. Predictors of hemorrhage in patients with untreated brain arteriovenous malformation. *Neurology* 2006;66(09):1350–1355

- 24 Mohr JP, Parides MK, Stapf C, et al; international ARUBA investigators. Medical management with or without interventional therapy for unruptured brain arteriovenous malformations (ARUBA): a multicentre, non-blinded, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2014; 383(9917):614–621
- 25 Amin-Hanjani S. ARUBA results are not applicable to all patients with arteriovenous malformation. *Stroke* 2014;45(05):1539–1540
- 26 Spetzler RF, Hargraves RW, McCormick PW, Zabramski JM, Flom RA, Zimmerman RS. Relationship of perfusion pressure and size to risk of hemorrhage from arteriovenous malformations. *J Neurosurg* 1992;76(06):918–923
- 27 Laakso A, Hernesniemi J. Arteriovenous malformations: epidemiology and clinical presentation. *Neurosurg Clin N Am* 2012;23(01):1–6
- 28 Fullerton HJ, Achrol AS, Johnston SC, et al; UCSF BAVM Study Project. Long-term hemorrhage risk in children versus adults with brain arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke* 2005;36(10):2099–2104
- 29 Pollock BE, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD, Bissonette DJ, Kondziolka D. Factors that predict the bleeding risk of cerebral arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke* 1996;27(01):1–6
- 30 Cheng C-H, Crowley RW, Yen C-P, Schlesinger D, Shaffrey ME, Sheehan JP. Gamma Knife surgery for basal ganglia and thalamic arteriovenous malformations. *J Neurosurg* 2012;116(04):899–908
- 31 Yuki I, Kim RH, Duckwiler G, et al. Treatment of brain arteriovenous malformations with high-flow arteriovenous fistulas: risk and complications associated with endovascular embolization in multimodality treatment. *Clinical article. J Neurosurg* 2010;113(04):715–722
- 32 Valavanis A, Pangalu A, Tanaka M. Endovascular treatment of cerebral arteriovenous malformations with emphasis on the curative role of embolisation. *Interv Neuroradiol* 2005;11(01, Suppl 1):37–43
- 33 Miyasaka K, Wolpert SM, Prager RJ. The association of cerebral aneurysms, infundibula, and intracranial arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke* 1982;13(02):196–203
- 34 D'Aliberti G, Talamonti G, Cenzato M, et al. Arterial and venous aneurysms associated with arteriovenous malformations. *World Neurosurg* 2015;83(02):188–196
- 35 Cagnazzo F, Brinjikji W, Lanzino G. Arterial aneurysms associated with arteriovenous malformations of the brain: classification, incidence, risk of hemorrhage, and treatment—a systematic review. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 2016;158(11):2095–2104
- 36 Wiebers DO, Whisnant JP, Huston J III, et al; International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms Investigators. Unruptured intracranial aneurysms: natural history, clinical outcome, and risks of surgical and endovascular treatment. *Lancet* 2003;362(9378):103–110
- 37 Mansmann U, Meisel J, Brock M, Rodesch G, Alvarez H, Lasjaunias P. Factors associated with intracranial hemorrhage in cases of cerebral arteriovenous malformation. *Neurosurgery* 2000;46(02):272–279, discussion 279–281
- 38 Morgan MK, Alsahli K, Wiedmann M, Assaad NN, Heller GZ. Factors Associated With Proximal Intracranial Aneurysms to Brain Arteriovenous Malformations: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Neurosurgery* 2016;78(06):787–792
- 39 Abula AA, Nelson J, Kim H, Hess CP, Tihan T, Lawton MT. Silent arteriovenous malformation hemorrhage and the recognition of “unruptured” arteriovenous malformation patients who benefit from surgical intervention. *Neurosurgery* 2015;76(05):592–600, discussion 600 discussion
- 40 Lawton MT, Abula AA. In Reply: Silent Arteriovenous Malformation Hemorrhage and the Recognition of “Unruptured” Arteriovenous Malformation Patients Who Benefit From Surgical Intervention. *Neurosurgery* 2015;77(05):E843–E844