Distributed Biomedical Terminology Development: From Experiments to Open Process

C. G. Chute

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Summary

Objective: Can social computing efforts materially alter the distributed creation and maintenance of complex biomedical terminologies and ontologies; a review of distributed authoring history and status.

Background: Social computing projects, such as Wikipedia, have dramatically altered the perception and reality of large-scale content projects and the labor required to create and maintain them. Health terminologies have become large, complex, interdependent content artifacts of increasing importance to biomedical research and the communities understanding of biology, medicine, and optimal healthcare practices. The question naturally arises as to whether social computing models and distributed authoring platforms can be applied to the voluntary, distributed authoring of high-quality terminologies and ontologies.

Methods: An historical review of distributed authoring developments.

Results: The trajectory of description logic-driven authoring tools, group process, and web-based platforms suggests that public distributed authoring is likely feasible and practical; however, no compelling example on the order of Wikipedia is yet extant. Nevertheless, several projects, including the Gene Ontology and the new revision of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-11) hold promise.

Keywords

e-Health, biomedical informatics, health informatics, education, capacity building, complex adaptive systems, information and communications technology (ICT), applied clinical informaticians, AMIA Global Partnership Program

Yearb Med Inform 2010;58-63

Introduction

Biomedical terminologies have expanded greatly in scope and sophistication during the past decades. What were previously considered large and complex representations of biomedical concepts, clinical observations, or detailed depictions of drugs or laboratories are now considered commonplace. However, users remain frustrated with their inability to find concepts, terms, or representations that exactly suit their needs and requirements. The resources to maintain and grow terminological content to suit these demands remain limited, while the demand increases exponentially. In part to address this conundrum, many terminology developers have turned to the Web for user input, distributed authoring, and a greatly expanded workforce.

We are living in an era where public participation and comment on major terminologies and classifications is becoming the norm, no doubt influenced by the web. This was made remarkably manifest when the historically closed Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) version V draft [1] was made publicly available on the web for review and comment by the planet [2]. Such disclosure and invitation for global review may presage the norm of distributed engagement, and ultimately authoring.

The unanticipated and unprecedented success of Wikipedia has posed the question, "Can distributed terminology development leverage a wiki-like expansion?" The question remains fundamentally unanswered, but many developments in informatics history,

coupled with recent advances in experimentations, portend at least meaningful evaluation of this question. In this brief review I consider some of the more prominent contributing technologies and experimental undertakings.

Since this is a terminology paper, a note about terminology seems apropos. For the purposes of this review, terminology refers to the broad set of term collections that have controlled names and some kind of relationships among them, typically hierarchies. Thus, these would include most controlled vocabularies, classifications, and ontologies. The latter category of ontology is distinguished by the relationships conforming to Description Logic (DL) [3] principles, most conventionally using OWL; these special terminologies can therefore support some degree of reasoning and algorithmic consistency checking and pertinent to this review the merger of evolving versions based on DL reasoner technologies.

The SNOMED Demonstration

The Heritage of Galapagos

The recent history of distributed terminology authoring environments witnessed a turning point with the advent of Keith Campbell's PhD thesis at Stanford, on "Distributed Development of a Logic-Based Controlled Medical Terminology [4]." Up until that time, techniques for the distributed development of terminology included locking mechanisms, which

required authors to check-in and check-out segments of complex terminologies. This often resulted in redundancy, inconsistencies, and de-synchronizations. Campbell's insight was to apply the emerging science of description logics (a subset of first-order predicate logics) that were then becoming tractable in computer science communities. This was the predecessor of today's OWL-style representations that supported reasoners and consistency checkers embedded within an authoring environment. This work built on the pioneering efforts for logically-described terminologies made by Rector and colleagues in the Galen project [5].

Campbell divided his thesis into the representation of clinical data, based on a logically founded representation that would support reasoning and consistency. Concurrency control was managed by conflict detection and the merger of logically-based definitions to achieve a consistent state. Finally, configuration management dealt with verification and automated migration from one version to another, or the local update resolution so often required when terminologies are used in the field. This work was subsequently published under the Galapagos series of papers [6, 7], referencing islands of "software" that would incrementally define sequential functions needed to achieve the vision of truly distributed software development.

KREP and SNOMED RT

The principles that Campbell articulated in his thesis were made manifest in the early efforts by Kaiser Permanente and Mayo Clinic to create a "Convergent Medical Terminology(CMT) [8]." This became the prototype for SNOMED RT, and invoked a classifier developed by Campbell and Eric Mays of IBM, called the KRep classifier [9, 10]. That classifier subsequently developed into the Ontyx Corporation, which eventually merged with Lexical Technology to create Apelon.

The use of KRep editor, perhaps the first practical description logic engine for terminology development, enables Campbell's thesis points to be demonstrated through distributed authoring of complex extensions to SNOMED III to create SNOMED RT, a manifest version of the CMT. While SNOMED RT was not fully classifiable, it was hugely more robust than its predecessor. Furthermore, it materially demonstrated the practicality of distributed authoring by a large workgroup.

Apelon DTS and SNOMED

As SNOMED RT merged with the NHS Clinical Terms Version 3 to create SNOMED CT, requirements for distributed editing and authoring expanded correspondingly. To scale up to this task, Apelon created its Terminology Development Environment (TDE) which was an expansion upon the previous KRep software. KRep had by this point become known as Ontylog. The integration of user workflow, workload packaging, and formal quality assurance mechanisms migrated the Ontylog system into a full-fledged distributed terminology development environment.

The TDE also introduced a formalization of the description logic evolved from KRep to include role groups. Role groups permitted the formation of simple concept expressions that nevertheless conveyed group association [11]. The Ontylog's description logic subsequently became known as the EL(++) description logic, which is distinct from that used in OWL today. Nevertheless, it remains well regarded as a computationally tractable and sufficiently expressive description logic to achieve the kinds of classification tasks that SNOMED development requires [12].

The IHTSDO Workbench

The next generation of SNOMED development, under the auspices of the

International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization (IHTSDO), has ushered in what IHTSDO calls its Health Terminology Workbench, previously known as Snorocket. This was made open source in December 2009n[13], some 15 years after the early prototypes of KRep were being developed. It is perhaps not surprising that Campbell remains the architectural force behind this workbench, which is at present tightly tailored to the IHTSDO workflows and SNOMED development required. The Health Terminology Workbench continues to use the EL(++) description logic at its core.

Many people are hopeful that the IHTSDO Health Terminology Workbench can emerge as a generalizable distributed authoring environment for many terminologies, though at present it seems clear that its tight coupling to SNOMED mitigates immediate expectations.

Wiki-based Extensions

Semantic Wiki

Semantic Wikis are special adaptations of historical wikis (such as Wikipedia) that embody formal linkage properties, which can correspond to OWL or RDF formalisms. As such, semantic wikis are well suited to modeling ontology or terminology content where pages correspond to a concept, and linkages between pages correspond to relationships. In a similar way, the "ontology" can be readily navigated by browsing pages and following linkages. Semantic wikis were explicitly inspired by the desire to blend ontologies and wikis into "Wikitology." [14]

Mayo Clinic has adopted semantic wikis, most specifically the Semantic Mediawiki [15], to function as both a metadata registry for data elements (as in the CDISC Shared Health And Clinical Research Electronic Library (SHARE) project [16], and in LexWiki

below) or to use and in authoring ontologies [17]. BiomedGT is the most public example of ontology authoring using semantic wikis, BiomedGT happens to use LexWiki.

LexWiki

LexWiki is a tailored instance of Semantic MediaWiki, modified to explicitly manage terminology authoring in a distributed context. The name is inspired by LexGrid [18] (a suite of terminology services), applied to an authoring wiki environment. The functional support for LexWiki, which has grown substantially, now includes browsing, authoring, and workflow support. It is part of the caBIG vocabulary Knowledge Center [19] supported suite, along with LexGrid and Protégé.

Three major projects have been undertaken with LexWiki. The highest profile project is BiomedGT (below). NCI also sponsored the distributed development of Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) [20], version 4 in May 2009. Finally, the CDISC SHARE meta-registry for data elements is authored in LexWiki. This metaregistry has the ability to independently manage value sets associated with data elements and to harmonize their contents across multiple but similar data elements.

BiomedGT

The Biomedical Grid Terminology (BiomedGT) [21] is the first terminology promoted by the National Cancer Institute as explicitly being developed by the community for translational research. It invokes the LexWiki authoring environment to enable developers to generate proposals for content, which are subsequently reviewed and if accepted subject to final authoring and ontology refinement within Protégé. Opportunities to generate changes, new terms, and revisions

are available to the broader scientific community, demonstrating for the first time the potential power of the commons for terminology development.

The terminology itself is divided into categories, properties, roles, and associations. Each of these has its own page, but is invoked via a “semantic link” using semantic wiki infrastructure. BiomedGT presently generates more than 17 million lines of Owl-based XML, indicating its considerable size and scope.

Collaborative Protégé

Protégé Background

Protégé is perhaps the most widely used terminology authoring engine in the world today. It began life in 1994 as a tool for knowledge acquisition in decision support [22]. However, its frame-based technology was highly amenable to the creation of ontologies and structured vocabularies. The open source version of Protégé was released as Protégé 2000[23], which caused a sharp increase in adoption and use.

Protégé currently supports OWL and associated reasoners, making it a fully-functioning ontology authoring environment. It is presently supported by its Stanford-based developers, as well as the caBIG Vocabulary Knowledge Center.

Collaborative Protégé Extension

Despite Protégé’s popularity and broadly based use, it suffers from the inability for distributed authoring by a community. Historically, it required contents to be checked in or checked out, and manipulated by a thick client on a single instance. To address this shortcoming, the Stanford team introduced Collaborative Protégé [24, 25], which explicitly supports the simultaneous editing of content with annotation and supported discussion.

Collaborative Protégé [26] is a thin client application built from the Google Web toolkit and leveraging Protégé functionality and heritage. It is explicitly designed for simultaneous authoring by communities, and is demonstrating this capability in the World Health Organization ICD 11 development process.

WHO iCAT

The most ambitious manifestation of Collaborative Protégé is what the World Health Organization is calling iCAT [27]. iCAT is a highly specialized version of collaborative Protégé, which adheres to the information model of the ICD 11 revision process. This model (described below) includes the attributes and relationships that must be or may optionally be associated with each ICD 11 rubric. The availability of iCAT enables a highly distributed classification authoring process, where technical advisory groups, working groups, and an overarching steering committee can manage the input and contributions of many.

iCAT was first prototyped in Geneva during an “iCAMP” in September 2009. During that two-week period, iCAT was intensively used in a small community of dedicated editors, comprising classification and clinical specialists. While it is not surprising that a large list of revisions and changes emerged from that process, the tool proved robust, and has been the core editing platform for the ICD 11 revision process to the present.

Major Terminology Collaborative Projects

The NCI Thesaurus

Despite its origins at the US National Cancer Institute, the NCI Thesaurus [28, 29] covers a broad range of con-

cepts in clinical care, translation research, and basic biomedical research. It additionally covers most things related to cancer. Presently comprising nearly 75,000 terms and associated relationships, with many terms having over 100 properties, the creation of this novel ontology involved tightly coordinated collaboration among the NCI Thesaurus curators at the NCI. The effort was designed from its beginnings to invoke DLs, and used the Apelon DTE authoring environment initially, but migrated to the open-source Protégé tools in 2006 consistent with NCI's emphasis on open-source software within the caBIG (Cancer Bio-medical Informatics Grid) initiative. As such, it demonstrates the utility of Protégé as a collaborative development tool for a larger-scale ontology; however, the use of a collaborative tool among a tightly organized group of professional curators should be distinguished from an open authoring and contribution process by the public for the distributed authoring of complex terminology artifacts.

The Gene Ontology and the OBO Foundry

While this review emphasizes clinical terminologies prevalent in the medical informatics community, it would be remiss to omit the dramatic influence and adoption of formal ontologies in the biological research domain. By far the most familiar and broadly embraced effort is the Gene Ontology [30, 31] (GO), which characterizes cellular components, molecular functions, and biological processes relevant to gene function. It is used primarily to annotate genomic databases but is increasingly finding applications for identifying biological similarity on the basis of "enrichment" of GO annotation terms [32]. GO does represent a superb example of a large community contributing content, and thus manifests a kind of distributed develop-

ment. However, GO authoring does not invoke a true collaborative-authoring platform as such.

Somewhat emergent from the success and methods of the GO initiative is the body of work now known as the OBO Foundry [33]. The pertinent contribution of the Foundry is an articulation of principles that should govern good ontology development and maintenance. These in turn will influence distributed authoring environments.

WHO ICD-11 Revision

Perhaps one of the most intriguing prospects in the domain of distributed classification development is the advent of the 11th revision of the ICD [34, 35] (International Classification of Disease). Central to the ICD 11 process is a public editing phase which will embrace collaborative tooling.

ICD-11 Use-case

Historically, the ICD was developed to support international comparison of mortality statistics. Subsequently, hospital morbidity was added as a major use case. Presently, outpatient morbidity is increasingly tabulated using the ICD. In parallel with enhancements in the underpinning technology and representation of ICD, WHO has embraced a broadened set of use cases to drive ICD 11 development. These are:

- Scientific consensus of clinical phenotype (definition and criteria)
- Public health surveillance
 - Mortality
 - Morbidity
- Clinical data aggregation
 - Metrics of clinical activity
 - Quality management
 - Patient safety
- Financial administration
 - Case mix
 - Resource allocation

The Three-tiered Model

Central to the revised architecture of ICD 11 is the division of the underlying content into three discrete information spaces: 1) an ontology layer with definition of terms and relationships, 2) a foundation layer as an acyclic network graph which contains the core ICD rubrics, hierarchies, synonyms, and inclusion terms expressed as children or siblings, and 3) a linearization for specific use cases such as morbidity or mortality, resembling the historical ICD formats. WHO is in final negotiations with IHTSDO to establish SNOMED CT as the core of the ontology layer. This would in a stroke address the mapping problems between SNOMED and ICD 11, because SNOMED would become definitionally integral to the ICD.

The distributed authoring process envisioned for ICD will focus on the foundation layer, drawing on SNOMED terms for definitional context and populating the details of a rubric such as anatomy, severity, histopathology, or symptoms. Attributes within the foundation layer will enable the algorithmic derivation of a linearization from the foundation layer, thus unifying all linearizations with a common foundation core.

The Open Review Beta Process

WHO began the generation of the alpha content of ICD 11 in August 2009. The iCAT tool has been the core of this authoring process among a designated community of advisors, working groups, and collaborators. From the beginning, the vision has been and remains to broaden the review, contribution, and refinement of ICD 11 using the collective wisdom of clinicians, scholars, and researchers throughout the world. This will constitute the open review and enhancement in the beta phase of ICD 11, which is expected to begin in May 2011.

The tooling for this process will embrace iCAT as its core and will lev-

erage many of the technologies and techniques demonstrated in LexWiki. This hybrid solution may presage a new open-source resource for distributed authoring of complex classifications and terminologies. The intrinsic classification power of Protégé implicit in the iCAT tool, coupled with a proposal-driven methodology derived from LexWiki, available through Web clients, is anticipated to make an unprecedented impact on ICD 11. More significantly, this project may demonstrate the power and effectiveness of large-scale distributed authoring and public input.

Distributed Access

While I have thus far emphasized distributed authoring environments, the flip side of that coin is distributed access to terminologies and classifications through browsers and services. These initiatives somewhat predate distributed authoring, and have achieved a level of maturity.

Common Terminology Services

A major problem confronting users of terminology is their highly varied formats and structures. To address this in part, HL7 has specified “Common Terminology Services” that support a standard programming interface to any arbitrary terminology content. This was derived from a LexGrid project (below) and significantly refined through the HL7 collaborative process. Resulting from this effort was the first version of CTS as an ANSI standard in 2005[36], subsequently adopted as an ISO standard [37].

HL7 is presently working on CTS II, which adds distributed authoring functionality. This new specification will be implemented as an object management group (OMG) specification, and may have far-reaching industry impact.

LexGrid

Terminology services has a 15-year history of development and refinement at Mayo Clinic, and in partnership with Lexical Technology Inc. (now Apelon). This is evolved into the LexGrid family of services[18], the most high-profile instance of which is the NCI LexEVS (Enterprise Vocabulary Services) server as part of caBIG [38]. LexEVS is the major delivery mechanism for the NCI Thesaurus, and constitutes a reference implementation of CTS functionality, including some functionality we expect will be formalized in CTS II. Recent extensions include the specification of formal subsets, commonly known as value sets within the health data standards community, as well as sophisticated representations of Semantic Web compliant subsets [39].

LexGrid represents the first scalable resource for distributed terminology access through remote services or as cloned copies of the open-source LexGrid nodes. LexGrid services have been embedded into terminology authoring environments such as LexWiki to enable the nested inclusion of control terms within terminology definitions and attributes.

National Center for Biomedical Ontology

The National Center for Biomedical Ontology (NCBO) [40] is one of the National Centers for Biomedical Computing designated through the NIH Roadmap process[41]. For the past five years, it has served as a national reference point for ontologies and terminologies related to biomedical and clinical research and practice. NCBO is hosted at Stanford University with Mark Musen as PI. Mayo Clinic and the LexGrid team have been an integral partner in establishing the NCBO, in that a major component of NCBO is the BioPortal [42] which in its original implementation

was built on a LexGrid framework. It has subsequently adopted Protégé/OWL backend capabilities, in addition to LexGrid services.

The NCBO over its next five years intends to embrace Semantic Web technologies (specifically RDF and SPARQL compliant formats) as a common backend, and to extend the scope of terminology access services to include OWL and LexGrid capabilities. It presently serves as the terminological reference point for the WHO ICD11 iCAT tool, blending terminological access with robust authoring.

The hybrid resources of LexGrid and BioPortal are important resources for providing reference ontology and terminology content into an authoring process, such as ICD11 development. Indeed many attributes of ICD11 terms are populated using the search and REST services of these tools.

Conclusions

The advent of sophisticated tooling to support distributed authoring of complex ontologies may fundamentally transform the way we think about and participate in ontology and terminology development. Although this field has more than a decade of associated history, it is the emergence in the past year or two of tools and resources that can meaningfully support public distributed development, using open-source software, that may make this transformation palpable. The quality and utility of public engagement is not yet proven, but if Wikipedia has been any guide, it should not be underestimated.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Center for Biomedical Ontology, N01-HG04028. Dr. Chute Chairs the ICD-11 Revision Steering Committee, and is grateful for the input and advice of the World Health Organization.

References:

- American Psychiatric Association. DSM-5: The Future of Psychiatric Diagnosis. 2010 10 Feb 2010 [cited 14 Feb 2010]; Available from: <http://www.dsm5.org/Pages/Default.aspx>.
- Psychiatric Diagnosis: That way, madness lies. *The Economist* 2010. p. 88.
- Baader F. The description logic handbook : theory, implementation, and applications. 2nd ed. 2007, Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press. xix, 601 p.
- Campbell KE. Distributed Development of a Logic-based Controlled Medical Terminology, in Department of Computer Science. 1997, Stanford University: Palo Alto. p. 289.
- Rector AL, Nowlan WA. The GALEN project. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 1994;45(1-2):75-8.
- Campbell KE, Cohn SP, Chute CG, Rennels G, Shortliffe EH. Galapagos: computer-based support for evolution of a convergent medical terminology. *Proc AMIA Annu Fall Symp* 1996:269-73.
- Campbell KE, Cohn SP, Chute CG, Shortliffe EH, Rennels G. Scalable methodologies for distributed development of logic-based convergent medical terminology. *Methods Inf Med* 1998;37(4-5):426-39.
- Dolin RH, Mattison JE, Cohn S, Campbell KE, Wiesenthal AM, Hochhalter B, et al. Kaiser Permanente's Convergent Medical Terminology. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2004;107(Pt 1):346-50.
- Mays E, Dionne R, Weida R. K-Rep system overview. *SIGART Bulletin* 1991;2(3):93-7.
- Mays E, Weida R, Dionne R, Laker M, White B, Liang C, Oles FJ. Scalable and expressive medical terminologies. *Proc AMIA Annu Fall Symp* 1996:259-63.
- Spackman KA, Dionne R, Mays E, Weis J. Role grouping as an extension to the description logic of Ontolog, motivated by concept modeling in SNOMED. *Proc AMIA Symp* 2002:712-6.
- Schulz, S, Suntisrivaraporn B, Baader F, Boeker M. SNOMED reaching its adolescence: ontologists' and logicians' health check. *Int J Med Inform* 2009;78 Suppl 1:S86-94.
- IHTSDO. IHTSDO Open Sources Health Terminology Workbench. 2009 17 Dec 2009 [cited 14 Feb 2010]; Available from: <http://www.ihtsdo.org/news/article/view/ihtsdo-open-sources-health-terminology-workbench/>.
- Klein B, Hoecht C, Decker B. Beyond capturing and maintaining software engineering knowledge - "Wikilogy" as shared semantics, in Workshop on Knowledge Engineering and Software Engineering, KI 2005. Koblenz; 2005.
- Krötzsch M. Semantic MediaWiki. 26 Jan, 2010 [cited 16 Feb, 2010]; Available from: http://semantic-mediawiki.org/wiki/Main_Page.
- CDISC. CDISC Shared Health And Clinical Research Electronic Library. 2009 2009 [cited 16 Feb 2010]; Available from: <http://www.cdisc.org/cdisc-share>.
- Solbrig H. Terminology Curation with the Semantic MediaWiki. in Environmental Terminology Workshop. April 2007. Vienna, Austria
- Pathak J, Solbrig HR, Buntrock JD, Johnson TM, Chute CG. LexGrid: a framework for representing, storing, and querying biomedical terminologies from simple to sublime. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2009;16(3):305-15.
- NCI. caBIG® Vocabulary Knowledge Center. 16 Feb 2010 [cited 16 Feb 2010]; Available from: https://cabig-kc.nci.nih.gov/Vocab/KC/index.php/Main_Page.
- NCI. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events. 2009 8 February 2010 [cited 16 Feb 2010]; Available from: <https://cabig-kc.nci.nih.gov/Vocab/KC/index.php/CTCAE>.
- NCI. Biomedical Grid Terminology (BiomedGT). 2008 Jan 2009 [cited 14 Feb 2010]; Available from: http://biomedgt.nci.nih.gov/wiki/index.php/Main_Page.
- Musen MA, Eriksson H, Gennari JH, Tu SW, Puerta AR. PROTEGE-II: a suite of tools for development of intelligent systems from reusable components. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care* 1994: 1065.
- Noy NF, Crubezy M, Ferguson RW, Knublauch H, Tu SW, Vendetti J, et al. Protege-2000: an open-source ontology-development and knowledge-acquisition environment. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc* 2003:953.
- Tudorache T, Noy NF, Tu S, Musen M. Supporting collaborative ontology development in Protege. *Seventh International Semantic Web Conference*. Karlsruhe: Springer; 2008.
- Noy NF, Tudorache T, de Coronado S, Musen MA. Developing biomedical ontologies collaboratively. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc* 2008:520-4.
- Tudorache T. Collaborative Protege. January 25, 2010 [cited 14 Feb 2010]; Available from: http://protegewiki.stanford.edu/index.php/Collaborative_Protege.
- ICD Collaborative Authoring Tool. 2010 [cited 18 May 2010]; Available from: <http://icat.stanford.edu/>
- Fragoso G, de Coronado S, Haber M, Hartel F, Wright L. Overview and Utilization of the NCI Thesaurus. *Comp Funct Genomics* 2004;5(8):648-54.
- de Coronado S, Wright LW, Fragoso G, Haber MW, Hahn-Dantona EA, Hartel FW, et al. The NCI Thesaurus quality assurance life cycle. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42(3):530-9.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* 2000;25(1):25-9.
- The Gene Ontology project in 2008. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008;36(Database issue):D440-4.
- Xu T, Gu J, Zhou Y, Du L. Improving detection of differentially expressed gene sets by applying cluster enrichment analysis to Gene Ontology. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;10:240.
- Smith B, Ashburner M, Rosse C, Bard J, Bug W, Ceusters W, et al. The OBO Foundry: coordinated evolution of ontologies to support biomedical data integration. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007;25(11):1251-5.
- WHO. Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). 2007 2009 [cited 14 Feb 2010]; Available from: <http://www.who.int/classifications/icd/ICDRevision/en/index.html>.
- WHO. ICD 11 Channel. 2009 January 2010 [cited 16 Feb 2010]; Available from: <http://www.youtube.com/user/WHOICD11>.
- (ANSI) American National Standards Institute. Common Terminology Services (CTS), ANSI/HL7 CTS, V1-2005 Health Level Seven Standard: Common Terminology Services. 2005 [cited Version 1.
- International Organization for Standardization, ISO International Standard (IS) 27951 Common Terminology Services 2009. Version 1.
- caBIG. LexBig and LexEVS. [cited 2010 Feb 17, 2010]; Available from: https://cabig-kc.nci.nih.gov/Vocab/KC/index.php/LexBig_and_LexEVS.
- Pathak J, Jiang G, Dwarkanath SO, Buntrock JD, Chute CG. LexValueSets: an approach for context-driven value sets extraction. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc* 2008:556-60.
- National Center for Biomedical Ontology. 2010 [cited 17 Feb 2010]; Available from: <http://www.bioontology.org/>.
- Rubin DL, Lewis SE, Mungall CJ, Misra S, Westerfield M, Ashburner M, et al. National Center for Biomedical Ontology: advancing biomedicine through structured organization of scientific knowledge. *Omics* 2006;10(2):185-98.
- Musen MA, Shah NH, Noy NF, Dai BY, Dorf M, Griffith N, et al. BioPortal: ontologies and data resources with the click of a mouse. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc* 2008:1223-4.

Correspondence to:
 Christopher G. Chute, MD, DrPH
 200 First St SW
 Mayo Clinic
 Rochester, MN 55905
 Chute@Mayo.edu