Original Article

Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) Of Spread of HIV Infection through Needle Stick Injury among Dental Paramedics

Nidarsh Hegde¹, Freddy Kersi Mistry², Soumi Samuel³ & S.M. Sharma⁴

¹Professor, ²Post Graduate, ³Reader, ⁴Professor & HOD, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, A.B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Correspondence

Freddy Kersi Mistry

Post Graduate, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery,

A.B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Mangalore 575 018, Karnataka, India

Mobile : +91 99004 09342 E-mail : drfreddymistry@gmail.com

Abstract

Aim: Study was conducted to know the knowledge of the paramedics working at Dental Institute, regarding spread of HIV infection through needle stick injury. Their current practice for the management of needle stick injury and their attitude for the same.

Materials and Method : 100 paramedical staff working in a Dental Institute were selected randomly to participate in the study. Each one of the participant were requested to fill a structured survey form prepared by the surveyor reflecting their knowledge, practice and attitude of spread of HIV infection through needle stick injury.

Results : The statistical analysis revealed the 92% of the paramedical staff knows what HIV infection is and that it can be spread through needle stick injury irrespective of their academic background. 42% of the paramedics have experienced needle stick injury, among which 95% have experienced 1-2 times a year. 61% of the needle stick injury have been reported by the paramedics with majority of them reporting to the head of the department followed by colleagues. According to the survey 95% of the paramedics followed the correct post injury protocol even though only 90% of them knew how to manage it correctly. 88% of the paramedics have been educated regarding the management of needle stick injury through the programme they have attended conducted by their respective institution.

Conclusion : This survey revealed that knowledge of paramedics about the risk association of spread of HIV infection with needle-stick injuries and use of preventive measures was adequate. A standing order procedure (SOP) which if formulated regarding needle-stick injuries should be further encouraged by the head of the respective departments especially to those who have newly joined the institution to obtain still better safety measures.

Keywords : Dental Paramedics, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Needle Stick Injury (NIS).

Introduction

Dental surgeons are trained to follow a strict infection control protocol, as it has been inculcated in a trained professional through their academic curriculum. But in a dental hospital set up it's not just a dental surgeon who runs the entire show, theirs a major role even played by the dental paramedics.

Dental paramedics are equally exposed to needle stick injury as much as a dental surgeons. In order to prevent the spread of HIV infection through the mode of needle stick injury among paramedics it is important to know how much knowledge do

they have regarding this mode of spread, how strictly they follow the departmental protocol and also to know their attitude for the same.

Studies conducted in various other countries showed that knowledge of health care workers about the risk associated with needle-stick injuries and use of preventive measures was inadequate. A standing order procedure (SOP) should be formulated regarding needle-stick injuries in all the health institutions.¹⁻⁵

As most of the studies regarding spread of HIV through needle stick injury are done on the medical paramedics we found a need to know the knowledge, attitude and practice of the dental paramedics as they are equally prone to such injury in day to day practice.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code

Materials and Methods

Sample selection

A list of paramedical staff working at A. B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore from 2013-2014 was obtained from the institution office. A total of 100 paramedical staff were selected randomly who were doing different nature of work in different departments.

Methodology

A structured form of 14 questions was constructed by the surveyor and was distributed among the samples to know their knowledge, attitude and practice regarding the spread of HIV infection through needle stick injury.

The form was prepared in both the languages i.e. Universal language English and Local regional language i.e. Kanadda.

The samples were told to fill the form in front of the surveyor to prevent any influential bias.

Results

Demographic Characteristics of the paramedical staff working at institute

Table 1 : Demographic Characteristics

Demographic Characteristics	Number
1.) Sex	
a.) Male	22
b.) Female	78
2.) Age	
a.) 18-30	3
b.) 31-40	44
c.) 41-50	46
d.) 51-60	7
3.) Duration of their association to the institute	
a.) 0-5	12
b.) 6-10	18
c.) 11-15	06
d.) 16-20	18
e.) 21-25	34
f.) 26-30	12
4.) Department	
a.) Oral Medicine and Radiology	10
b.) Prosthodontics	20
c.) Conservative Dentistry and Endodontic	20
d.) Periodontics	10
e.) Orthodontics	10
f.) Pedodontics	10
g.) Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery	10
h.) Oral Pathology	05
i.) Preventive and Public Health Dentistry	05

Fig. 1: Distribution of Nature of Work

Among the total sample size of 100: 37 worked in sterilization area, 24 were sweepers, 14 were receptionist, 13 were laboratory technicians, 9 were chair side attender, 3 were dental nurse. (Fig. 1)

Fig. 2: Distribution of Academic Qualification

When their educational qualification was surveyed it was observed that majority i.e. 58% have not completed their 10th std., 14% had finished their education till 10th std. and 18% till 12th std., 5% had finished their graduation and 5% had got a degree in Dental Technician course. (Fig. 2)

Table 2: Level of knowledge and preventive measures taken by paramedical staff regarding needle stick injury

Occupational Hazards and Preventive Measures	Number
1.) Do you know what HIV infection is?	
a.) Yes	92
b.) No	08
2.) Have you ever experienced Needle Stick Injury?	
a.) Yes	42
b.) No	58
3.) What is the frequency of Needle Stick Injury per year?	
a.) 1-2	40/42
b.) 3-4	02/42
c.) 5-6	00
4.) Have you reported the incident of Needle Stick Injury	
a.) Yes	26/42
b.) No	16/42
5.) Do you know how to manage Needle Stick Injury?	
a.) Yes	90
b.) No	10

92% of the paramedical staff knows what HIV infection is and that it can be spread through needle stick injury irrespective of their academic background. 42% of the paramedics have experienced needle stick injury, among which 95% have experienced 1-2 times a year. 61% of the needle stick injury have been reported by the paramedics with majority of them reporting to the head of the department followed by colleagues. According to the survey 95% of the paramedics followed the correct post injury protocol even though only 90% of them knew how to manage it correctly. 88% of the paramedics have been educated regarding the management of needle stick injury through the programme they have attended conducted by their respective institution.

Discussion

In this study out of 100 paramedics who participated 92% were aware that HIV infection can be transmitted through needle stick injury. A data combined from more than 20 prospective studies worldwide of health care workers exposed to HIV-infected blood through percutaneous injury revealed an average transmission rate of 0.3% per injury.⁶ One study reported that transmission occurred only from hollow-bore needles as compared with other sharp objects.⁷

Out of 42 paramedics experiencing needle stick injury, 61% of them have reported. Data from EPINet system suggest that at an average hospital, workers incur approximately 30 needle-stick injuries per 100 beds per year.⁸ It is believed

that only one out of three needle-stick injuries are reported in the US, while these injuries virtually go undocumented in many developing countries.⁹

The circumstances leading to needle-stick injury depend partly on the type and design of the device and certain work practices. In this study 84% of the paramedics wear double gloves when in contact with potential sharp objects, while in other studies they used double glove as precaution only in 23% of cases.¹

In 1985, in order to increase awareness among health care workers of the dangers of sharp injuries and other types of disease transmission, the Centre for Disease Control (CDC) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in the United States introduced the "Universal Precaution Guidelines", which have been the worldwide standard in both hospital and community care settings.¹⁰ In our study we found that 90% of the participants knew how to manage needle stick injury, which is statistically high compared to various other studies.^{1,2,3,4}

Conclusion

This survey revealed that knowledge of paramedics about the risk association of spread of HIV infection with needle-stick injuries and use of preventive measures was adequate. A standing order procedure (SOP) which if formulated regarding needle-stick injuries should be further encouraged by the head of the respective departments especially to those who have newly joined the institution to obtain still better safety measures.

References

1. Gurubacharya DL, Mathura KC, Karki DB. Knowledge, attitude and practices among health care workers on needle-stick injuries. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2003 Apr-Jun; 1(2):91-4.
2. Khurram Siddique, Shirin Mirza, Syeda Fizza Tauqir, Idress Anwar, Asif Zafar Malik. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding needle stick injuries amongst healthcare providers. Pakistan Journal of Surgery. 2008 Vol 24 (4): 243-48.
3. Gumodoka B, Favot I, Berege ZA, Dolmans WM. Occupational exposure to the risk of HIV infection among health care workers in Mwanza Region, United Republic of Tanzania. Bull World Health Organ. 1997; 75(2):133-40.
4. Askarian M, Malekmakan L. The prevalence of needle stick injuries in medical, dental, nursing and midwifery students at the university teaching hospitals of Shiraz, Iran. Indian J Med Sci. 2006 Jun; 60(6):227-32.
5. Muralidhar S, Singh PK, Jain RK, Malhotra M, Bala M. Needle stick injuries among health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of India. Indian J Med Res. 2010 Mar; 131: 405-10.
6. Gerberding JL. Incidence and prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus and cytomegalovirus virus among health care personnel at a risk of blood exposure: a final report from a longitudinal study. J Infect Dis 1994; 170:1410-7.
7. Puro V, Petrosilla N, Ippolito G. Italian Study Group on Occupation Risk of HIV and other Blood-borne Infections. Risks of hepatitis C seroconversion after occupational exposure in health care workers. Am J Infect Control 1995; 23: 273-7.
8. EPINet. Exposure Prevention Information Network data reports. University of Virginia: International Health Care Worker Safety Center.
9. Roy E, Robillard P. Under-reporting of accidental exposures to blood and other body fluids in health care setting: an alarming situation. Adv Exposure Prev 1995; 14: 11-3.
10. Centers for Disease Control. Perspective in disease prevention and health promotion update. Universal precautions for prevention of transmission of HIV, HBV and other blood-borne pathogens in health care settings. MMWR 1988; 37:24.