Fan-on-Face Therapy in Relieving Dyspnea of Adult Terminally Ill Cancer Patients: A Meta-Analysis

Marvin Jonne L. Mendoza^{1,} Frederic Ivan L. Ting^{1,} John Paulo B. Vergara^{1,}
Danielle Benedict L. Sacdalan^{1,2,} Jennifer Sandoval-Tan^{1,}

¹Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Philippine General Hospital and University of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines

²Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Medicine, University of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines

Address for correspondence Frederic Ivan L. Ting, MD, Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Philippine General Hospital and University of the Philippines, Manila 1000, Philippines (e-mail: fredtingmd@gmail.com).

Asian J Oncol 2020;6:88–93

Abstract

Introduction Dyspnea is often encountered in terminally ill cancer patients and is attributed to multiple factors. The use of fan-on-face therapy is a nonpharmacologic adjunct treatment for adult cancer patients suffering from dyspnea at the end-of-life. This study was done to determine if the use of fan-on-face therapy in addition to the standard of care treatment indeed confers clinical benefit to terminally ill adult cancer patients with dyspnea.

Materials and Methods A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on the use of fan-on-face therapy in relieving dyspnea among terminally ill adult cancer patients was performed. A computerized search was done in MEDLINE, NICE, CENTRAL, Science Direct, and Google Scholar for relevant articles. Other publications were searched, and authors were contacted for additional information. The primary outcome measure was the mean change in dyspnea score, determined by the Modified Borg Scale, Numeric Rating Scale, or Visual Analog Scale. The secondary outcome was the post-intervention mean change in respiratory rate (RR).

Results Four eligible randomized controlled trials of low to moderate risk of bias employed a total of 139 cancer patients. Fan-on-face therapy was effective in decreasing mean dyspnea scores (standard mean difference = -1.81 , 95% confidence interval [CI]: -3.12 , -0.50 , $p < 0.00001$, I^2 93%) and improving mean change in RR (mean difference = -0.91 , 95% CI: -1.68 , -0.15 ; $p = 0.001$, $I^2 = 81\%$) versus control.

Conclusion Fan-on-face therapy, as an adjunct to standard of care, is effective in alleviating dyspnea among adult cancer patients during the end of life.

Keywords

- fan-on-face therapy
- fan therapy
- dyspnea
- dyspnea score
- terminally ill cancer patients
- meta-analysis

Introduction

Dyspnea is a distressing symptom often experienced by patients with advanced cancer and has multifactorial causes.¹ It negatively affects the patient's physical, psychological, and emotional well-being. Furthermore, it causes a great deal of anxiety for their relatives and caregivers, thus a need for its effective management.

Among patients with terminally ill cancer, the symptom of breathlessness has many causes. It may be tumor-related (e.g., cancer-induced airway obstruction), from complications related to the malignant condition (e.g., anemia, malignant effusion), or associated with the patient's comorbid conditions (e.g., chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma). With careful regard to a cancer patient's overall condition and the stage of disease,

symptom-directed therapeutic options should always be considered and discussed with the patient and the family.¹ At the end-of-life, the primary goals shift from cure to alleviation of distressing symptoms, provision of comfort, and enhancing the quality of life.

In managing dyspnea, strategies include both pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions. Pharmacologic interventions are guided by the underlying comorbidities and include bronchodilators, nebulized saline, corticosteroids, diuretics, atypical antipsychotics, opioids, anxiolytics, or a combination of any of these.² However, a study also showed that drug therapy was not completely effective in relieving dyspnea in patients with advanced cancer.³

Nonpharmacologic interventions on the other hand include cognitive behavioral therapy, coping and adaptation strategies, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, acupuncture, and fan-on-face therapy. These strategies are diverse and cover the gamut of supportive care disciplines and serve as adjuncts to pharmacologic interventions. Moreover, these interventions may require specialist training to properly administer.^{4,5} In this context, fan-on-face therapy stands out due to its ease of performance, low-cost, and minimal side effect.

In fan-on-face therapy, the air from a motorized fan is directed to the face or cheeks to relieve symptoms of breathlessness.⁶ There is substantial variability in the way patients use fan-on-face therapy vis-à-vis other management strategies. Moreover, there is no established flow rate, timing, frequency, duration, positioning, and location of use. Despite these, studies have supported its effectiveness in reducing dyspnea.^{7,8}

Here we present the results of an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trial data on the use of fan-on-face therapy as an adjunct to standard therapy to relieve dyspnea in terminally ill adult cancer patients.⁹ The mean change in self-reported dyspnea score was used to measure its clinical impact. Mean change in respiratory rate (RR), an objective parameter of improved breathing and adequate ventilation, was also taken into consideration as a surrogate for fan-on-face therapy's potential physiologic benefits.

Materials and Methods

A systematic review was conducted to identify studies on the benefit of fan-on-face therapy as an adjunct intervention in the management of dyspnea of terminally ill adult cancer patients. A computerized search for relevant published and unpublished articles was performed in MEDLINE, NICE, CENTRAL, Science Direct, and Google Scholar. A search in clinical registries (clinicaltrials.gov and Cochrane Central) for ongoing trials was also conducted. Search structures, subject headings, and keywords were tailored to each search database. The search terms included the following: fan-on-face therapy, fan therapy, dyspnea, dyspnea score, cancer, terminally ill cancer

patients, and randomized controlled trials. The last search was updated last March 12, 2020. For clarifications of existing and/or missing data corresponding authors listed in eligible studies were contacted by electronic mail.

Five reviewers screened all citations, titles, and abstracts independently. Included studies were randomized controlled trials involving fan-on-face therapy versus placebo or any other interventions in the management of dyspnea of terminally ill adult cancer patients, with dyspnea score and RR assessment before and after intervention, were included for review. Excluded studies were any of the following: (1) indexed papers such as reviews, letters, commentaries, case reports/series, and expert opinions, (2) pediatric patients (age 17 years old and below), (3) other terminal conditions without malignancy, (4) studies with incomplete data, and (5) non-English language publications that do not have an English translation available.

Five investigators independently extracted data on the mean change in dyspnea score and mean change in RR of patients from the included studies using a data collection form from Cochrane. Risks of bias were independently assessed without blinding to authorship or journal by using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. Conflicts or discrepancies were resolved by consensus among the five investigators.

All tests were analyzed using Review Manager 5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark). Continuous data were expressed as standard mean difference for the mean change in dyspnea score and weighted mean difference for the mean change in RR. Analysis of pooled outcomes employed the random effects analysis model. A *p*-value of <0.05 was used to ascertain statistical significance.

Results

Description of Studies

The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in ►Table 1. These studies were four randomized controlled trials from Indonesia, China, Japan, and Philippines. The primary outcome considered was the mean change in dyspnea score, determined by the Modified Borg Scale, Numeric Scale, or Visual Analog Scale. The secondary outcome determined was the mean change in RR. All four randomized controlled trials employed a total of 139 terminally ill adult cancer patients. Two studies^{10,11} had a crossover design after a wash-out period of 1 hour. Lung cancer was the most common malignancy, and a great majority were provided with supplemental oxygen. All studies assessed outcomes after 5 minutes of providing fan-on-face therapy or placebo. Two studies used fan-on-legs as the comparison arm.^{11,12}

Results of the Search

A total of 164 records from all searches were identified and subsequently, three duplicates were excluded. After

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

Parameters	Puspawati et al 2017 ¹⁰	Wong et al 2017 ²¹	Kako et al 2018 ¹²	Ting et al 2019 ¹¹
Country	Indonesia	China	Japan	Philippines
Setting	Hospital	Hospital	Hospital	Hospital
Research design	Open, randomized, controlled, crossover trial	Randomized, controlled trial	Parallel-arm, randomized, controlled trial	Open, randomized, placebo-controlled crossover trial
Blinding	Not possible	Not possible	Not possible	Not possible
Population (N)	21	30	40	48
Mean age (y)	54	No data	69	51
Duration of intervention (min)	5	5	5	5
Intervention	Fan therapy (Hand-held fan)	Fan-on-face therapy (electric desk fan)	Fan-on-face therapy (stand fan)	Fan-on-face therapy (stand fan)
Comparison	Diaphragmatic breathing technique	No fan, accompanied by caregivers	Fan-on-legs (stand fan)	Fan-on-legs (stand fan)
Outcome measures	Mean change in dyspnea score (Modified Borg Scale) Mean change in RR	Mean change in dyspnea score (verbal numerical rating scale) Mean change in RR	Mean change in dyspnea score (Numerical rating scale) Mean change in RR	Mean change in dyspnea score (Modified Borg scale) Mean change in RR

Abbreviation: RR, respiratory rate.

screening titles and abstracts, five full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Of these, one study was excluded since data specific for cancer patients only could not be extracted.⁸ Finally, four full-text journals were eligible for inclusion. For the missing data of the study by Kako et al,⁹ the corresponding author was contacted and the raw data for pre- and post-intervention values of RR were provided. The flow of literature search is shown in ►Fig. 1.

Risk of Bias in Included Studies

Risk of bias amongst the included studies is shown in ►Fig. 2. There was poor reporting of methodological details. Two studies did not provide information on methods for randomization, while the implementation of allocation concealment was not described in three studies. Blinding of participants and personnel was difficult because of the nature of fan therapy. Follow-up among the studies were adequate.

Effects of Interventions

Primary Outcome: Mean Change in Dyspnea Score

All four RCTs demonstrated that fan-on-face therapy was effective in decreasing dyspnea scores. Pooled results showed statistically significant improvement in mean change in dyspnea score for the fan-on-face therapy arm (standard mean difference = -1.81, 95% CI: -3.12, -0.50; $p < 0.00001$, $I^2 = 93\%$) (►Fig. 3).

Secondary Outcome: Mean Change in Respiratory Rate

Pooled analysis showed statistically significant improvement in mean change in RR (mean difference = -0.91, 95% CI: -1.68, -0.15; $p = 0.001$, $I^2 = 81\%$; ►Fig. 4).

Discussion

This updated meta-analysis indicated that fan-on-face therapy, as an adjunct treatment, is an effective strategy in alleviating dyspnea in terminally ill adult cancer patients. Fan-on-face therapy significantly improved the mean change in dyspnea score which could be translated into clinical significance. The clinical benefit of dyspnea, measured as the minimum clinically important difference, is defined as a reduction of ≥ 1 unit in any of the numerical rating scale.^{13,14} It is important to emphasize that dyspnea is a negative, subjective experience.¹⁵ Any improvement therefore in a patient's self-reported dyspnea score would translate significantly to their overall well-being and comfort.

Hypothesized physiological effects of fan-on-face therapy include direct stimulation of the face via cranial nerve V (trigeminal nerve), nasal mucosa, and the naso- and oropharyngeal apparatuses, and temperature cooling, all of which could potentially improve ventilation patterns.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In contrast to the other recently published meta-analysis, which only looked into the effect of fan-on-face therapy on improvement in dyspnea score, this updated review attempted to look into potential physiologic improvements by fan-on-face therapy with the inclusion of mean change in RR as surrogate outcome.⁹ The present pooled analysis has shown significant improvement in mean change in RR. Admittedly, change in RR is potentially confounded by factors other than fan-on-face therapy such as the effect of opioids, which are commonly given in the palliative care of cancer patients.¹⁹

This study had several limitations. For all the included studies, the treatment duration was only 5 minutes. Moreover, the duration of relief afforded by this intervention was evanescent and varied from patient to patient.

Fig. 1 Flow of literature search.

It is worth investigating if longer periods of fan-on-face therapy will afford longer periods of relief.

Publication bias could have been a major limitation since the selection of studies only included those which are published or can be translated into the English language. This meta-analysis included data from a local randomized controlled trial.¹¹ The limited number of studies available for review is another concern.

Finally, it is unclear if fan-on-face therapy has an ideal method of administration. Questions such as an ideal fan for use or the ideal distance of patient from fan do not have clear answers. It is likely that for this intervention, no definite protocol will be defined but rather guidelines based on collective experience will be offered.

Conclusion and Recommendation

This updated meta-analysis was able to show a detailed comparison between fan-on-face therapy versus control as an adjunct treatment to standard of care in the alleviation of dyspnea. As for practicality and applicability, fan-on-face therapy seems to be a favorable option for cancer patients at the end-of-life. By providing a steady airflow that cools down the surface temperature of the skin, fan-on-face therapy creates an environment which may optimize the breathing conditions of terminally-ill cancer patients.²⁰ Lastly, because of its uncomplicated nature, fan-on-face therapy is generally safe, and gives patients and their caregivers the opportunity to actively and effectively manage dyspnea during the end of life.

Fig. 2 Risk of bias summary.

Fig. 3 Forest plot of comparison: mean change in dyspnea score.

Fig. 4 Forest plot of comparison: mean change in respiratory rate.

Authors' Contributions

M.J.L.M., F.I.L.T., J.P.B.V., D.B.L.S., and J.S.T. contributed to the analysis of data. All authors were involved during all the stages of the manuscript development.

Funding

None.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1 Klope M, Cherny N; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Treatment of dyspnoea in advanced cancer patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol* 2015;26(suppl 5):v169–v173
- 2 Viola R, Kiteley C, Lloyd NS, Mackay JA, Wilson J, Wong RK; Supportive Care Guidelines Group of the Cancer Care Ontario Program in Evidence-Based Care. The management of dyspnea in cancer patients: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer* 2008;16(4):329–337
- 3 Ekström MP, Abernethy AP, Currow DC. The management of chronic breathlessness in patients with advanced and terminal illness. *BMJ* 2015;350:g7617
- 4 Zhao I, Yates P. Non-pharmacological interventions for breathlessness management in patients with lung cancer: a systematic review. *Palliat Med* 2008;22(6):693–701
- 5 Bausewein C, Booth S, Gysels M, Higginson I. Non-pharmacological interventions for breathlessness in advanced stages of malignant and non-malignant diseases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;(2):CD005623
- 6 Booth S, Moffat C, Burkin J, Galbraith S, Bausewein C. Nonpharmacological interventions for breathlessness. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2011;5(2):77–86
- 7 Galbraith S, Fagan P, Perkins P, Lynch A, Booth S. Does the use of a handheld fan improve chronic dyspnea? A randomized, controlled, crossover trial. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2010;39(5):831–838
- 8 Bausewein C, Booth S, Gysels M, Kühnbach R, Higginson IJ. Effectiveness of a hand-held fan for breathlessness: a randomised phase II trial. *BMC Palliat Care* 2010;9:22
- 9 Kako J, Kobayashi M, Oosono Y, Kajiwaru K, Miyashita M. Immediate effect of fan therapy in terminal cancer with dyspnea at rest: a meta-analysis. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2020;37(4):294–299
- 10 Puspawati NLPD, Sitorus R, Herawati T. Hand-held fan airflow stimulation relieves dyspnea in lung cancer patients. *Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs* 2017;4(2):162–167
- 11 Ting FIL, Estreller S, Strebel HMJ. The FAFA Trial: A phase 2 randomized clinical trial on the effect of a fan blowing air on the face to relieve dyspnea in Filipino patients with terminal cancer. *Asian J Oncol* 2020;6:3–9
- 12 Kako J, Morita T, Yamaguchi T, et al. Fan therapy is effective in relieving dyspnea in patients with terminally ill cancer: a parallel-arm, randomized controlled trial. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2018;56(4):493–500
- 13 Johnson MJ, Bland JM, Oxberry SG, Abernethy AP, Currow DC. Clinically important differences in the intensity of chronic refractory breathlessness. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2013;46(6):957–963
- 14 Hui D, Shamieh O, Paiva CE, et al. Minimal clinically important difference in the physical, emotional, and total symptom distress scores of the Edmonton symptom assessment system. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2016;51(2):262–269
- 15 NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Palliative care (version 2. 2019). Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/palliative.pdf. Accessed September 12, 2019
- 16 Simon PM, Basner RC, Weinberger SE, Fencel V, Weiss JW, Schwartzstein RM. Oral mucosal stimulation modulates intensity of breathlessness induced in normal subjects. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;144(2):419–422
- 17 Schwartzstein RM, Lahive K, Pope A, Weinberger SE, Weiss JW. Cold facial stimulation reduces breathlessness induced in normal subjects. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987;136(1):58–61
- 18 Burgess KR, Whitelaw WA. Effects of nasal cold receptors on pattern of breathing. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1988;64(1):371–376
- 19 Peiffer C. Morphine-induced relief of dyspnea: what are the mechanisms? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184(8):867–869
- 20 Booth S, Galbraith S, Ryan R, Parker RA, Johnson M. The importance of the feasibility study: lessons from a study of the hand-held fan used to relieve dyspnea in people who are breathless at rest. *Palliat Med* 2016;30(5):504–509
- 21 Wong SL, Leong SM, Chan CM, Kan SP, Cheng HW. the effect of using an electric fan on dyspnea in Chinese patients with terminal cancer. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2017;34(1):42–46