Tracheostomy in Postoperative Pediatric Cardiac Surgical Patients—The Earlier, the Better

Chalattil Bipin¹ Manoj K. Sahu¹ Sarvesh P. Singh¹ Velayoudam Devagourou¹
 Palleti Rajashekar¹ Milind P. Hote¹ Sachin Talwar¹ Shiv K. Choudhary¹

¹Intensive Care for Cardio Thoracic Vascular Surgery, Cardio Neuro Center, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence Manoj Sahu, MD, Intensive Care for CTVS, CNC, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India (e-mail: drmanoj.sahu@gmail.com).

J Card Crit Care 2021;5:114–123.

Abstract

Objectives This study was aimed to assess the benefits of early tracheostomy (ET) compared with late tracheostomy (LT) on postoperative outcomes in pediatric cardiac surgical patients.

Design Present one is a prospective, observational study.

Setting The study was conducted at a cardiac surgical intensive care unit (ICU) of a tertiary care hospital.

Participants All pediatric patients below 10 years of age, who underwent tracheostomy after cardiac surgery from January 2019 to December 2019, were subdivided into two groups according to the timing of tracheostomy: “early” if done before 7 days or “late” if done after 7 days postcardiac surgery.

Interventions ET versus LT was measured in the study.

Results Out of all 1,084 pediatric patients who underwent cardiac surgery over the study period, 41 (3.7%) received tracheostomy. Sixteen (39%) patients underwent ET and 25 (61%) underwent LT. ET had advantages by having reduced risk associations with the following variables: preoperative hospital stay ($p = 0.0016$), sepsis ($p = 0.03$), high risk surgery ($p = 0.04$), postoperative sepsis ($p = 0.001$), C-reactive protein ($p = 0.04$), ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP; $p = 0.006$), antibiotic escalation ($p = 0.006$), and antifungal therapy ($p = 0.01$) requirement. Furthermore, ET was associated with lesser duration of mechanical ventilation ($p = 0.0027$), length of ICU stay (LOICUS; $p = 0.01$), length of hospital stay (LOHS; $p = 0.001$), lesser days of feed interruption ($p = 0.0017$), and tracheostomy tube change ($p = 0.02$). ET group of children, who had higher total ventilation-free days ($p = 0.02$), were decannulated earlier ($p = 0.03$) and discharged earlier ($p = 0.0089$).

Conclusion ET had significant benefits in reduction of postoperative morbidities with overall shorter mechanical ventilation, LOICUS, and LOHS, better nutrition supplementation, lesser infection, etc. These benefits may promote faster patient convalescence and rehabilitation with reduced hospital costs.

Keywords

- pediatric cardiac surgery
- intensive care
- tracheostomy
- prolonged mechanical ventilation

published online
March 8, 2021

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1723749>
ISSN 2457-0206.

© 2021. Official Publication of The Simulation Society (TSS), accredited by International Society of Cardiovascular Ultrasound (ISCU).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Introduction

Last two decades has seen tremendous growth and progress in cardiac surgery in infants and children because of advancements in perfusion, postoperative care, newer anesthetics, and refinements in surgical techniques, so as the increased number of complex congenital cardiac repairs. Many of such children require prolonged mechanical ventilation (MV) and its associated morbidities, as well as mortality postoperatively. For those who require prolonged MV, endotracheal tube is frequently replaced by a tracheostomy tube for smooth liberation from MV and to improve patient comfort and survival. Tracheostomy is seen to be commonly performed in children undergoing high-risk complex surgical procedures; postsurgery cardiac complications, such as severe ventricular dysfunction, low cardiac output, residual lesions, and sepsis; and those with syndromic association, airway issues, etc.¹

The various advantages of tracheostomy over endotracheal intubation include greater patient comfort with secure airway that facilitates pulmonary toileting, rapid discontinuation of sedatives which allows these children to remain awake, communicative, oral feeding, and hemodynamic stability with easier and earlier weaning from MV and fewer ventilator-associated events. However, complications related to tracheostomy, for example, bleeding, hypoxia, esophageal injury, tracheal stenosis, tracheal granulomas, and death, are still seen in few.

About 10% of patients who required MV for longer than 10 days are tracheostomized; however, there is significant variability among institutional protocols. Numerous studies undertaken in general intensive care units (ICUs) have shown that early tracheostomy (ET) can reduce patient length of ICU stay (LOICUS), length of hospital stay (LOHS), duration of MV, and sedatives use which have large cost implications.²⁻⁴ There is no consensus till yet regarding optimal timing to perform tracheostomy, thus there is no clear definition of ET. American 2001 consensus document advocates the use of ET in patients who require prolonged MV but makes no recommendations regarding timing of the procedure.⁵ The Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine (ISCCM) expert panel practice recommendations has defined ET as up to and including 7 days of endotracheal intubation and MV.⁶

Increase in number of complex pediatric cardiac surgical repairs in last two decades has changed the practice of ICU management for faster patient convalescence, rapid turnover and better survival till hospital discharge.¹ So tracheostomy as an ICU procedure comes in handy, supporting the tiny hearts recover after major surgical insults in a time bound fashion, further acute complications and subsequent hospital readmissions are also reduced.⁷ Contrary to the positive arguments favoring tracheostomy in cardiac surgical ICU (CSICU), performing ET postoperatively has been flawed with apprehensions.^{8,9} Very few studies are available on the benefits of ET compared with LT in pediatric cardiac surgical patients. We thus studied the advantages of ET in such subset of patient's postcardiac surgery in a tertiary care institute.

Methods

We conducted a prospective study on all pediatric cardiac surgical patients of ≤ 10 years of age who underwent tracheostomy postoperatively from January 2019 to December 2019 in the CSICU of a tertiary care institute. This study was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee.

Informed consent was taken from parents of the participants. Those who were tracheostomized before surgery, as well as those who did not wish to participate, were excluded from the study.

The decision to perform tracheostomy was made by the intensivist and cardiac surgeon together based on clinical criteria including inability to wean off MV, neurological injury, sepsis, airway abnormality, residual cardiac lesions, etc.

The timing of tracheostomy was based on the hemodynamic stability, the anticipated course in the ICU included morbidities and was done after reviewing various clinical and laboratory parameters.

All the tracheostomies in these children were performed surgically at bedside in the CSICU. Enteral feeding was interrupted for 6 hours for the procedure. Choice of cuffed or uncuffed tube was based on the age of the patient. Antibiotics were continued as per the unit protocol based on individual patient profile. Posttracheostomy weaning of MV was continued in a stepwise manner. Enteral nutrition was continued through Ryles tube till child started taking orally. Patients were discharged from ICU to ward after a mandatory period of 48 hours of unsupported ventilation, minimal secretions, and adequate gas exchange. We preferably tried to decannulate our patients in the ICU before discharge.

Data of all our study participants including pre-, intra-, and postcardiac surgery, peritracheostomy, and outcome variables were collected. Time to tracheostomy was defined as "early" if performed before 7 days or "late," if done after 7 days postcardiac surgery. Patients were classified into two groups ET and LT. Both groups were compared with respect to patient demographics, surgical characteristics, outcomes, and postoperative complications.

Definitions

1. Underweight, wasting, and stunting are defined according to the National Centre for Health Statistics (NCHS) standards classification.¹⁰
2. High-risk cardiac surgery is defined according to risk adjustment for congenital heart surgery (RACHS) 1 surgical complexity score. (Score >2)
3. Acute kidney injury (AKI) is defined according to kidney disease improving global outcomes (KDIGO) criteria.¹¹
4. Central nervous system (CNS) event: any change in the Glasgow coma score or any postoperative neurologic disorder (visual, cognitive, motor, or speech) documented and/or neurologic disability severely affecting day-to-day functioning which can be attributed to a CNS pathology or biochemical changes.
5. Sepsis is defined as per the sepsis-3 definition.¹²

6. Hospital-acquired infections (HAI) including catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI), catheter-related blood stream infection (CRABSI), ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), and surgical site infection (SSI) were defined according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions.¹³

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted with the use of SPSS version 20 (StataCorp, 4905, Lake way Drive, College Station, Texas, United States). Demographic and clinical variables are described as mean $\pm$ SD for normally distributed continuous data, median (interquartile range) for skewed data and frequencies (%) for categorical variables. Variables were compared using nonparametric Wilcoxon's rank-sum test for the continuous data and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. A p -value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

During the 1-year study period, 1,084 index pediatric cardiac operations were performed. Tracheostomy was performed in 41 (3.7%) of these patients.

Details regarding comprehensive cardiac diagnoses, surgical procedures, RACHS1 score, etc in the study population are elaborated in ►Table 1. Patient demography, anthropometric measurements, pre- and intraoperative variables are compared in ►Table 2.

The mean age of the 41 tracheostomized children was 3 ± 4.1 years (median, 1 year). Thirty (73%) of these children were male and 11 (27%) were female. Five children were syndromic, two had trisomy 21, one child had thrombocytopenia absent radius (TAR) syndrome, one had Goldenhar's syndrome; and one with vertebral defect anal atresia cardiac defect tracheoesophageal fistula renal abnormality limb abnormality (VACTERL) association. Preoperative variables,

Table 1 Pediatric cardiac surgery patients who required tracheostomy postoperatively

Sl. no.	Diagnosis	Cardiac surgery	No of patients (%)	RACHS 1 score	No of ET patients (%)
1	TOF	Total correction	9 (23.2)	2	6 (66.6)
2	TOF pulmonary atresia	Conduit repair	1 (2.4)	2	1 (100)
3	d-TGA	Arterial switch operation	10 (24)	4	2 (20)
4	VSD	VSD closure	3(7.2)	2	3 (100)
5	SC TAPVC	TAPVC repair	2 (4.8)	2	1 (50)
6	IC TAPVC	TAPVC repair	2 (4.8)	4	0 (0)
7	AVSD	AVSD repair	1 (2.4)	3	0 (0)
8	AVSD PAH	AVSD repair	4 (9.6)	4	0 (0)
9	Partial AVSD PDA severe PAH	PA band atrial septectomy PDA ligation	1 (2.4)	3	0 (0)
10	Ebstein's anomaly	Cone repair	1 (2.4)	5	0 (0)
11	Pulmonary atresia IVS	Pulmonary valvotomy RVOT patch	1 (2.4)	2	0 (0)
12	Type-3 patent truncus arteriosus	Truncus arteriosus repair	1 (2.4)	5	0 (0)
13	AP window	AP window repair	1 (2.4)	2	1 (100)
14	IPAH severe PAH	Pott's shunt	1 (2.4)	3	1 (100)
15	TOF Pulmonary atresia hypoplastic main and left PA	RMBTS followed by BD Glenn	1 (2.4)	3	1 (100)
16	VSD mesocardia small RV	BD Glenn	1 (2.4)	2	0 (0)
12	d-TGA large inlet VSD pulmonary atresia aortopulmonary collaterals post-RMBTS/BD Glenn/azygous vein ligation post coil	Completion Fontan	1 (2.4)	3	0 (0)
	Total		41 (100)		16 (39)

Abbreviations: AP, aortopulmonary; AVSD, atrioventricular septal defect; BD, bidirectional; ET, early tracheostomy; IC, infracardiac; IPAH, idiopathic pulmonary artery hypertension; IVS, intact ventricular septum; PA, pulmonary artery; PAH, pulmonary artery hypertension; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; RACHS, risk adjustment for congenital heart surgery; RMBTS, right modified Blalock-Taussig shunt; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; SC, supracardiac; TAPVC, total anomalous pulmonary venous connection; TGA, transposition of great arteries; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

Table 2 Comparison between the demography preoperative nutrition diagnosis preoperative and intraoperative characteristics between early tracheostomy and late tracheostomy

Sl. no.	Clinical variables n(%)	Early tracheostomy (n = 16)	Late tracheostomy (n = 25)	p-Value
1	Age in years (mean $\pm$ SD)	3.19 $\pm$ 3.84	2.89 $\pm$ 4.28	0.23
2	Age categories (y)			0.19
	≤ 1	5 (31)	14 (56)	
	>1–5	8 (50.3)	6 (24)	
	>5–10	3 (18.7)	5 (20)	
4	Male	10 (62.5)	20 (80)	0.19
5	Weight in kg (mean $\pm$ SD)	9.44 $\pm$ 6.13	9.02 $\pm$ 7.87	0.47
6	Underweight	12 (75)	18 (72)	0.67
7	Height in cm (mean $\pm$ SD)	83.37 $\pm$ 23.74	80.12 $\pm$ 30.76	0.39
8	Stunting	9 (56.1)	6 (24)	0.06
9	Wasting	11 (69)	15 (60)	0.41
10	Pre-op hospitalization in days (mean $\pm$ SD)	2.18 $\pm$ 2.68	11.44 $\pm$ 13.27	0.001
11	Chromosomal anomaly	2 (12.6)	3 (12)	0.65
12	Neuromuscular disorder	0 (0)	1 (4)	0.61
13	Chronic lung disease	0 (0)	1 (4)	0.61
14	Pre-op mechanical ventilation (MV)	1 (6.3)	4 (16)	0.34
15	Previous surgery	3 (18.7)	6 (24)	0.50
16	Pre-op sepsis	1 (6.3)	9 (36)	0.03
17	Cyanotic	13 (81.2)	20 (80)	0.62
18	Increased pulmonary flow	6 (37.4)	15 (60)	0.09
19	Univentricular	1 (6.3)	2 (8)	0.48
20	Redo surgery	3 (18.7)	6 (24)	0.49
21	High-risk surgery	5 (31)	18 (72)	0.02
22	Cardiopulmonary bypass time in minutes (mean $\pm$ SD)	153.71 $\pm$ 101.88	167.75 $\pm$ 93.25	0.51
23	Aorta cross clamp time in minutes (mean $\pm$ SD)	103.38 $\pm$ 65.95	105.82 $\pm$ 66.46	0.97

Abbreviations: Pre-op, preoperative; SD, standard deviation.

such as sepsis, MV, previous surgery, chronic lung disease, neuromuscular disease, etc., were assessed in this study population. Sixteen (39%) patients underwent ET and 25 (61%) LT. Ten (24.3%) children with transposition of great arteries (TGA) underwent arterial switch operation (ASO) and they constituted majority of the tracheostomized patients.

Postoperative complications, such as bleeding, reexploration, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) requirement, pressure sores, unanticipated cardiac arrest, accidental tracheostomy tube dislodgement, CNS injury, AKI, etc., are compared in **Table 3**. Among the various postoperative complications, delayed sternal closure was the major morbidity (63%), while ECMO requirement (4.8%) was the least common complication.

About 31.7% of the children developed sepsis. VAP formed the major subset of HAI while CAUTI was the least in this cohort. Variables pertaining to HAI are compared in **Table 4**. We evaluated the nutritional variables, such as days of nil per oral (NPO), days on total parenteral nutrition (TPN), days of enteral feed and feed interruption (FI), which are compared in **Table 5**.

Postoperative outcome variables are delineated in **Table 6**. Various other following outcomes were compared between the two groups: day of tracheostomy initiation, days of tracheostomy MV, total days of MV, number of extubation trials, and tracheal complications following tracheostomy. In our cohort, the survival was 71%, the major indication for tracheostomy was prolonged MV due to cardiac causes such as low cardiac output (LCO). The other indications were chylothorax, CNS events, diaphragmatic palsy, etc. Peritracheostomy clinical variables including hemodynamic, arterial blood gas (ABG), and laboratory parameters, positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) requirement, and bleeding from the tracheostomy site are compared in **Table 7**.

The current study identified many risk associations with the timing of tracheostomy in pediatric cardiac surgical patients. ET compared with LT had less risk associations with the following variables: incidences of preoperative hospital stay ($p = 0.0016$), preoperative sepsis ($p = 0.03$), high-risk surgery ($p = 0.04$), postoperative sepsis ($p = 0.001$), serum C-reactive protein (CRP; $p = 0.04$), total VAP ($p = 0.006$), VAP before tracheostomy ($p = 0.01$), antibiotic escalation

Table 3 Postcardiac surgical complications- comparison between early tracheostomy and late tracheostomy

Sl. no.	Clinical variables n(%)	Early tracheostomy (n = 16)	Late tracheostomy (n = 25)	p-Value
1	Major bleeding needing intervention	5 (31)	7 (28)	0.54
2	Re exploration	4 (25)	13 (52)	0.08
3	Cause of re exploration			0.27
	Bleeding	4 (25)	7 (28)	
	Residual cardiac defect	0 (0)	6 (24)	
4	DSC	4 (25)	11 (44)	0.18
5	ECMO required	0 (0)	2 (8)	0.36
6	CNS event	5 (31)	7 (28)	0.54
7	CNS event			0.68
	Seizure	4 (25)	6 (24)	
	Low GCS	1 (6)	1 (4)	
8	AKI	5 (31)	11 (44)	0.31
9	Unanticipated arrest	4 (25)	11 (44)	0.18
10	Accidental tube dislodgement	1 (6)	5(20)	0.22
11	Pressure sore	1 (6)	11 (44)	0.01

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; CNS, central nervous system; DSC, delayed sternal closure; ECMO, extra corporeal membrane oxygenation; GCS, Glasgow coma scale.

Table 4 Comparison of postoperative clinical variables pertaining to infection between early tracheostomy and late tracheostomy

Sl. no.	Clinical variables n(%)	Early tracheostomy (n = 16)	Late tracheostomy (n = 25)	p-Value
1	Sepsis	1 (6)	12 (48)	0.006
2	Pathogens isolated			0.71
	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	1(6)	1 (4)	
	<i>Pseudomonas + Acinetobacter</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>Klebsiella + Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>Klebsiella</i>	0 (0)	3 (12)	
	<i>Escherichia coli + Acinetobacter</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>Proteus</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>S. maltophilia</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>Acinetobacter</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus + Candida</i>	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	<i>Klebsiella + Pseudomonas</i>	0 (0)	1(4)	
4	CRP in mg/L (mean $\pm$ SD)	54.37 $\pm$ 62.68	84.33 $\pm$ 50.36	0.047
5	PCT in ng/mL (mean $\pm$ SD)	44.34 $\pm$ 63.96	24.01 $\pm$ 28.54	0.48
6	Galactomannan in ODI (mean $\pm$ SD)	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	0.98 $\pm$ 0.60	0.49
7	VAP total	1 (6)	12 (48)	0.006
8	Pretracheostomy VAP	0 (0)	8 (32)	0.01
9	Posttracheostomy VAP	1 (6)	4 (16)	0.63
10	CRBSI	0 (0)	3 (12)	0.21
11	CAUTI	0 (0)	1 (4)	0.63
12	Superficial tracheal site infection	2 (12)	6 (24)	0.31
13	SSI	1 (6)	3 (12)	0.48
14	Antibiotic escalation	5 (30)	19 (76)	0.006
15	Antifungal therapy	2 (12)	13 (52)	0.018

Abbreviations: CAUTI, catheter-associated Urinary tract infection; CRBSI, catheter-related blood stream infection; CRP, C-reactive protein; ODI, optical density index; PCT, procalcitonin; SD, standard deviation; SSI, surgical site infection; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

Table 5 Clinical variables pertaining to postoperative nutrition compared between early tracheostomy and late tracheostomy

Sl. no.	Clinical variables n(%)	Early tracheostomy (n = 16)	Late tracheostomy (n = 25)	p-Value
1	Days on NPO (mean $\pm$ SD)	2.06 $\pm$ 1.76	3.32 $\pm$ 3.61	0.30
2	Days on TPN (mean $\pm$ SD)	3.16 $\pm$ 6.01	6.62 $\pm$ 8.18	0.27
3	Days of FI (mean $\pm$ SD)	2.69 $\pm$ 4.17	8.45 $\pm$ 7.21	0.0017
4	Causes of FI			0.30
	Seizure	1 (6)	0 (0)	
	Surgical procedure	1 (6)	5 (20)	
	Feed intolerance	5 (30)	5 (20)	
	Surgical procedure + feed intolerance	3 (18)	11 (44)	
	Seizure + feed intolerance	1 (6)	3 (12)	

Abbreviations: FI, feed interruption; n, number of; NPO, nil per oral; SD, standard deviation; TPN, total parenteral nutrition.

Table 6 Comparison of postoperative outcome clinical variables between early and late tracheostomy

Sl. no.	Clinical variable	Early tracheostomy (n = 16)	Late tracheostomy (n = 25)	p-Value
1	Day of tracheostomy from MV initiation (mean $\pm$ SD)	5.93 $\pm$ 1.65	17.48 $\pm$ 11.66	0.001
2	Days of endotracheal tube ventilation (mean $\pm$ SD)	6.26 $\pm$ 1.03	17.16 $\pm$ 11.66	0.001
3	Days of tracheostomy tube ventilation (mean $\pm$ SD)	16.18 $\pm$ 15.94	24.72 $\pm$ 20.68	0.36
4	Day of total MV (mean $\pm$ SD)	22.12 $\pm$ 15.74	41.4 $\pm$ 24.78	0.0027
5	No of trials of extubation (mean $\pm$ SD)	1 $\pm$ 0.89	1.16 $\pm$ 0.98	0.60
6	No of times tracheostomy tube changed (mean $\pm$ SD)	1.06 $\pm$ 2.14	2.24 $\pm$ 2.61	0.0210
7	Causes for tracheostomy tube change			0.91
	Block	1 (6)	3 (12)	
	Routine downsizing	1 (6)	4 (16)	
	Accidental dislodgement	1 (6)	1 (4)	
	Multiple	3 (18)	11 (44)	
8	LOICUS (mean $\pm$ SD) in days	28.68 $\pm$ 21.68	46.76 $\pm$ 25.74	0.0143
9	LOHS (mean $\pm$ SD) in days	37.25 $\pm$ 26.43	66.28 $\pm$ 38.02	0.0014
10	Total ventilation-free days (mean $\pm$ SD)	16.31 $\pm$ 12.97	32.76 $\pm$ 29.83	0.0272
11	Decannulated in ICU	14 (88)	19 (76)	0.20
12	Duration of tracheal cannulation in days (mean $\pm$ SD)	19.45 $\pm$ 6.91	31.46 $\pm$ 20.92	0.0354
13	Tracheostomy complication	3 (18)	10 (40)	0.13
	Tracheal stenosis	1 (6)	5 (20)	
	TBM	1 (6)	0 (0)	
	Granulation	1 (6)	4 (16)	
	Tracheal rent	0 (0)	1 (4)	
14	Discharged	13 (81)	16 (64)	0.20
15	Day of death (mean $\pm$ SD)	21 $\pm$ 8.54	60.77 $\pm$ 35.27	0.052
16	Discharged with tracheostomy in situ	2 (12)	5 (20)	0.29
17	Day of discharge (mean $\pm$ SD)	39.69 $\pm$ 28.37	66.37 $\pm$ 41.02	0.0089
18	Indication for tracheostomy			<0.001
	Prolonged ventilation	7 (42)	25 (100)	
	Easy wean from ventilator	9 (54)	0 (0)	

(Continued)

Table 6 (Continued)

Sl. no.	Clinical variable	Early tracheostomy (n = 16)	Late tracheostomy (n = 25)	p-Value
19	Reason for prolonged ventilation			0.54
	Cardiac	1 (6)	8 (32)	
	TBM	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	Diaphragmatic palsy	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	CNS event	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	Chylothorax	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	SGS/granulation	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	Cardiac + TBM	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	Cardiac + diaphragmatic palsy	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	Cardiac + CNS event + chylothorax	4 (24)	3 (12)	
	Cardiac + CNS event	1 (6)	2 (8)	
	Cardiac + chylothorax	1 (6)	9 (36)	
	Cardiac + SGS	0 (0)	1 (4)	
	Cardiac + SGS + CNS event	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	Multiple	0 (0)	0 (0)	

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; ICU, intensive care unit; LOHS, length of hospital stay; LOICUS, length of ICU stay; SD, standard deviation; SGS, subglottic stenosis; TBM, tracheobronchomalacia.

Table 7 Comparison of peritracheostomy clinical variables between Early and Late Tracheostomy

Sl. no.	Variable (mean $\pm$ SD)	Before TT			Tracheostomy day 1			Tracheostomy day 2			Tracheostomy day 3		
		ET	LT	p	ET	LT	p	ET	LT	p	ET	LT	p
1	PH	7.30 $\pm$ 0.16	7.39 $\pm$ 0.08	0.03	7.40 $\pm$ 0.08	7.41 $\pm$ 0.06	0.83	7.40 $\pm$ 0.066	7.40 $\pm$ 0.060	0.78	7.41 $\pm$ 0.02	7.38 $\pm$ 0.05	0.15
2	PCO ₂	57.1 $\pm$ 38.8	42.5 $\pm$ 14.2	0.68	38.5 $\pm$ 14.5	39.2 $\pm$ 9.3	0.27	35.2 $\pm$ 6.8	39.3 $\pm$ 9.7	0.23	36.7 $\pm$ 6.1	41.1 $\pm$ 11.1	0.33
3	PO ₂	90.6 $\pm$ 62.4	119.3 $\pm$ 91.1	0.23	115.5 $\pm$ 52.6	111.4 $\pm$ 59.8	0.7	127.6 $\pm$ 61.6	104 $\pm$ 59.5	0.23	135 $\pm$ 75.2	103 $\pm$ 56.7	0.19
4	BE	0.51 $\pm$ 5.051	0.03 $\pm$ 3.63	0.60	0.16 $\pm$ 3.5	0.22 $\pm$ 3.75	0.9	0.69 $\pm$ 4.4	0.004 $\pm$ 4.3	0.57	0.14 $\pm$ 4.04	0.14 $\pm$ 3.9	0.95
5	HCO ₃	24.8 $\pm$ 6.4	24.6 $\pm$ 3.6	0.87	24.3 $\pm$ 4.3	24.1 $\pm$ 3.4	0.89	23.1 $\pm$ 4.2	24.2 $\pm$ 3.8	0.39	24.0 $\pm$ 4.4	23.9 $\pm$ 3.4	0.96
6	HB	12.4 $\pm$ 1.6	11.5 $\pm$ 1.2	0.04	12.2 $\pm$ 1.5	11.6 $\pm$ 1.6	0.26	12.5 $\pm$ 1.6	11.6 $\pm$ 1.3	0.04	12.2 $\pm$ 1.2	11.1 $\pm$ 0.8	0.001
7	PCV	38.1 $\pm$ 4.4	34.8 $\pm$ 3.4	0.01	36.8 $\pm$ 4.1	33.2 $\pm$ 8.0	0.03	39.2 $\pm$ 3.5	34.0 $\pm$ 5.8	0.001	38.7 $\pm$ 2.6	33.4 $\pm$ 5.6	0.001
8	SAO ₂	83.1 $\pm$ 23.1	93.3 $\pm$ 9.7	0.06	93.4 $\pm$ 10.8	94.2 $\pm$ 7.5	0.72	94.5 $\pm$ 10.5	92.6 $\pm$ 9.2	0.55	97.2 $\pm$ 3.6	93.8 $\pm$ 7.1	0.09
9	HR	124.4 $\pm$ 17.2	127.8 $\pm$ 20.0	0.58	128.2 $\pm$ 18.3	128.7 $\pm$ 15.9	0.9	128.7 $\pm$ 13.9	125 $\pm$ 13.7	0.48	127.0 $\pm$ 13	120 $\pm$ 17.9	0.18
10	SBP	93.3 $\pm$ 13.0	81.3 $\pm$ 16.2	0.01	87.6 $\pm$ 15.2	88.3 $\pm$ 18.2	0.9	90.3 $\pm$ 16.9	87.6 $\pm$ 16.5	0.62	89.1 $\pm$ 18.0	87.1 $\pm$ 18.0	0.72
11	DBP	57.9 $\pm$ 6.6	49.1 $\pm$ 12.1	0.01	56.6 $\pm$ 11.1	55.8 $\pm$ 15.9	0.72	60.6 $\pm$ 12.5	54.4 $\pm$ 13.6	0.85	57.8 $\pm$ 9.6	53 $\pm$ 10.3	0.14
12	RA	13.0 $\pm$ 4.9	10.5 $\pm$ 5.2	0.13	12.5 $\pm$ 5.5	12.2 $\pm$ 5.9	0.8	12.8 $\pm$ 5.8	11.3 $\pm$ 6.2	0.51	11.3 $\pm$ 6.2	11.3 $\pm$ 5.5	0.9
13	LAC	1.9 $\pm$ 2.6	1.4 $\pm$ 1.2	0.54	1.5 $\pm$ 3.2	1.3 $\pm$ 0.7	0.32	2.1 $\pm$ 3.4	1.3 $\pm$ 1.1	0.64	1.6 $\pm$ 1.8	1.3 $\pm$ 1.1	0.8

(Continued)

Table 7 (Continued)

Sl. no.	Variable (mean $\pm$ SD)	Before TT			Tracheostomy day 1			Tracheostomy day 2			Tracheostomy day 3		
		ET	LT	<i>p</i>	ET	LT	<i>p</i>	ET	LT	<i>p</i>	ET	LT	<i>p</i>
14	RBS	110.0 $\pm$ 27.1	112.6 $\pm$ 38.2	0.86	150.1 $\pm$ 120.2	113.3 $\pm$ 27.2	0.14	106.8 $\pm$ 19.6	112 $\pm$ 31.2	0.57	112 $\pm$ 53.4	115 $\pm$ 30.4	0.54
15	VIS	57.8 $\pm$ 170.8	20.5 $\pm$ 16.1	0.66	141.5 $\pm$ 504.5	18.5 $\pm$ 14.2	0.63	10.8 $\pm$ 9.3	14.6 $\pm$ 12.9	0.51	10.8 $\pm$ 9.3	14.6 $\pm$ 12.9	0.51
16	CFB	22.4 $\pm$ 122.7	154.5 $\pm$ 280.5	0.18	44.2 $\pm$ 129.9	191 $\pm$ 296.2	0.06	76.8 $\pm$ 133.2	213 $\pm$ 330	0.53	95.7 $\pm$ 150	239 $\pm$ 354	0.94
17	PT	15.25 $\pm$ 1.48	16.17 $\pm$ 1.01	0.09									
18	APTT	33.64 $\pm$ 3.05	40.15 $\pm$ 10.30	0.71									
19	INR	1.29 $\pm$ 0.14	1.80 $\pm$ 1.17	0.04									
20	PLT	111.45 $\pm$ 109.52	100.89 $\pm$ 80.20	0.52									
21	PEEP	4.5 $\pm$ 0.51	4.96 $\pm$ 0.73	0.03									
22	BLD <i>n</i> %	0 (0)	3 (12)	0.21									

Abbreviations: APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time in seconds; BE, base excess in meq/L; BLD, bleeding from tracheostomy site: no bleeding; CFB, cumulative fluid balance in mL/kg.; ET, early tracheostomy; HB, hemoglobin in g/dL; HCO₃, bicarbonate in meq/L; Hg DBP, diastolic blood pressure in mm Hg; HR, heart rate in per minute; INR, international normalized ratio; LAC, lactate in mmol/L; LT, Late tracheostomy; PCO₂, partial pressure of CO₂ in mm Hg; PCV, hematocrit in %; PLT, platelet count in $\times 1,000/\text{mL}$; PO₂, partial pressure of O₂ in mm Hg; PT, prothrombin time in seconds; RA, right atrial pressure in mm Hg; RBS, random blood sugar mg/dl; SAO₂, Saturation of O₂ in %; SBP, systolic blood pressure in mm; SD, standard deviation; VIS, vasotrope inotropic score.

($p = 0.006$) and antifungal therapy ($p = 0.01$) requirement, pressure sores ($p = 0.01$), and international normalized ratio (INR) before tracheostomy ($p = 0.04$).

Furthermore, ET was associated with lesser days of FI ($p = 0.0017$), MV ($p = 0.0027$), LOICUS ($p = 0.01$), and LOHS ($p = 0.001$), lesser PEEP requirement before tracheostomy ($p = 0.03$), less tracheostomy tube change ($p = 0.02$). These groups of children were decannulated faster ($p = 0.03$) and discharged earlier ($p = 0.0089$).

Discussion

This prospective study on the timing of tracheostomy described the differences in terms of benefits and drawbacks between ET and LT. However, we didn't come across any such prospective study on this subject in children undergoing congenital cardiac repair.

Mortality

We, in our study, did not find statistically significant difference in mortality between ET and LT groups. Similar observations were made in their studies by Griffiths et al,¹⁴ Huang et al (a meta-analysis involving nine randomized controlled trials [RCTs] which included 2,072 patients)¹⁵ and Young et al (TracMan study).¹⁶ Trouillet and colleague in their RCT involving CSICU patients didn't find significant difference in mortality between ET and LT.¹⁷ On the contrary, Rumbak et al

showed a significant reduction in mortality (31.7 vs. 61.7%) in their RCT of ET (48 hours) versus LT (14–16 days) in medical ICU patients.¹⁸ The authors in a large cohort of 11,000 patients requiring tracheostomy over a period of 12 years found that each additional day of delaying tracheostomy was associated with increased long-term mortality.¹⁹ Shaw and Santry in a retrospective cohort of 49,191 patients, compared ET (<7 days) versus LT (>10 days) and mortality was lower in ET group (14% ET vs. 21% LT; $p < 0.0001$).²⁰ Survival advantage with ET was demonstrated by other authors like Yavas et al (in 205 CSICU patients)²¹ and Devarajan et al (who demonstrated lower mortality [21.1% ET vs. 40.4% LT] and cardiac morbidity [14% ET vs. 33% LT] in ET group).²² Hosseini et al, in their study in adult patients with respiratory failure, found that ET reduces mortality in comparison to LT.²³

Pre and Intraoperative Variables

ET group was associated with shorter prehospital stay ($p = 0.0016$) and lesser preoperative sepsis ($p = 0.03$). In our center, we treat those on sepsis before surgery except few with some emergencies like infective endocarditis and intra-cardiac shunt with heart failure. The indication for tracheostomy in our cohort was mostly predicted prolong MV.

LT group was having statistically significant risk association of high-risk surgical procedures ($p = 0.042$). We feel that the complex cardiac pathologies requiring a high-risk procedures were having significant comorbidities in the

preoperative period, such as sepsis and hospitalization, MV, and this may be the reason why they had to undergo tracheostomy. Conforti et al in their study found that infants with congenital airway anomalies more frequently required ET (12/52, 23% vs. 0/124, 0%; $p = 0.0001$).²⁴ We did not make any such observation in our study cohort.

Postoperative Complications

In our study, ET was associated with statistically significant lower pressure sores in the postoperative period ($p = 0.01$). Supporting our finding, studies in traumatic brain injury patients have found that tracheostomy in proper timing reduced pressure ulcer incidence.^{25,26}

Postoperative Infection

In our study, we demonstrated a lesser association of postoperative sepsis ($p = 0.001$), lower CRP levels ($p = 0.04$), less antibiotic escalation ($p = 0.006$), and antifungal therapy ($p = 0.01$) requirement in the ET group. There was statistically significant association of lower total VAP ($p = 0.006$) and pretracheostomy VAP ($p = 0.01$) rates in the ET group. Similar to our observation, Rumbak et al noticed lower incidence of pneumonia in their ET versus LT study (5 vs. 25%).¹⁸ Shaw and Santry also conformed a significant difference in VAP (12% ET vs. 15% LT; $p < 0.0001$).²⁰ However, Huang et al did not find significant difference of VAP in ET patients.¹⁵ According to Trouillet et al, VAP, as well as incidence of other infections were similar between the ET and LT groups.¹⁷ Yavas et al quoted that ET was associated with lower incidence of infections.²¹ Ben Avi et al reported lower incidence of SSI with ET (1.11% ET vs. 8.26% LT).²⁷

Postoperative Nutrition

Yavas et al opined that ET was associated with earlier enteral feeding.²¹ Our study was in line with their finding and we found statistically significant less FI with ET ($p = 0.001$).

Postoperative Outcomes

Our study concluded that there was significant association of lesser LOICUS ($p = 0.01$) and LOHS ($p = 0.001$), earlier discharge ($p = 0.008$) with ET. Our findings corroborated with other studies by Griffiths et al,¹⁴ and Yavas et al,²¹ and Shaw and Santry (LOICUS: 16 days ET vs. 27 days LT, $p < 0.0001$; and LOHS: 25 days ET vs. 38 days LT, $p < 0.0001$).²⁰

In our study, we noticed a statistically significant difference between the two groups with respect to the day of tracheostomy initiation ($p = 0.001$), days of endotracheal tube ventilation ($p = 0.001$), total days of MV ($p = 0.002$), number of times tracheostomy tube needed a change ($p = 0.02$), day of decannulation ($p = 0.03$), and total ventilation-free days ($p = 0.02$). However, the other authors like Huang et al,¹⁵ Ben Avi et al,²⁷ and Trouillet et al¹⁷ did not find significantly difference between ET and LT in terms of duration of MV and other ventilation parameters as opposed to our finding. But according to Trouillet et al, patients within the ET group required less sedation and experienced greater comfort of ICU stay and earlier resumption of patient autonomy.¹⁷

Peritracheostomy Variables

The coagulation parameters, like activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) and platelet count, were appropriately maintained during the peritracheostomy period in both the groups but INR was significantly lower for ET group ($p = 0.04$). This is because the earlier the procedure done, the lesser were the inflammation-, infection-, and transfusion-related coagulation derangement in comparison with LT, giving a safer edge for conducting the procedure without complications. ET was associated with a significantly higher hematocrits at various time points. This may be explained by the ongoing blood losses during the postoperative period, iatrogenic repeated blood sampling, and perioperative anemia in LT group. Similarly systolic and diastolic blood pressures were favorably maintained in ET compared with LT group. ET was also found to be associated with a lower PEEP requirement ($p = 0.03$).

Study Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Its observational nature precludes us from drawing any causality between the survival and perioperative risk factors and other morbidities. Though we did not perform a formal cost analysis but reductions in ICU and hospital stay is always associated with considerable cost savings and resource optimization. The number of patients who underwent tracheostomy is quite low when compared with other studies. Another limitation is the inability to assess intermediate and long-term outcomes.

Conclusion

Our study on postoperative pediatric cardiac patients demonstrated that ET can be safely performed with no increased risk of sternal wound infection or sepsis. There are significant advantages with ET in terms of reduction in postoperative morbidities with overall shorter duration of MV, ICU, and hospital stay which led to better enteral nutrition, faster convalescence, earlier tracheal decannulation, and patient discharge. These benefits ultimately promote faster patient rehabilitation with reduced healthcare costs.

Funding

None.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1 Mastropietro CW, Benneyworth BD, Turrentine M, et al. Tracheostomy after operations for congenital heart disease: an analysis of the society of thoracic surgeons congenital heart surgery database. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2016;101(6):2285–2292
- 2 Armstrong PA, McCarthy MC, Peoples JB. Reduced use of resources by early tracheostomy in ventilator-dependent patients with blunt trauma. *Surgery* 1998;124(4):763–766, discussion 766–767
- 3 Combes A, Luyt CE, Nieszkowska A, Trouillet JL, Gibert C, Chastre J. Is tracheostomy associated with better outcomes

- for patients requiring long-term mechanical ventilation? *Crit Care Med* 2007;35(3):802–807
- 4 Rodriguez JL, Steinberg SM, Luchetti FA, Gibbons KJ, Taheri PA, Flint LM. Early tracheostomy for primary airway management in the surgical critical care setting. *Surgery* 1990;108(4):655–659
 - 5 MacIntyre NR, Cook DJ, Ely EW Jr, et al; American College of Chest Physicians/American Association for Respiratory Care/American College of Critical Care Medicine. Evidence-based guidelines for weaning and discontinuing ventilatory support: a collective task force facilitated by the American College of Chest Physicians; the American Association for Respiratory Care; and the American College of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest* 2001;120(6,suppl):375S–395S
 - 6 Gupta S, Dixit S, Choudhry D, et al. Tracheostomy in adult intensive care unit: an ISCCM expert panel practice recommendation. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2020;24(suppl 1):S31–S42
 - 7 MacIntyre NR, Epstein SK, Carson S, Scheinhorn D, Christopher K, Muldoon S; National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care. Management of patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation: report of a NAMDRCC consensus conference. *Chest* 2005;128(6):3937–3954
 - 8 Rahmanian PB, Adams DH, Castillo JG, Chikwe J, Filsoufi F. Tracheostomy is not a risk factor for deep sternal wound infection after cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;84(6):1984–1991
 - 9 Wouters R, Wellens F, Vanermen H, De Geest R, Degrieck I, De Meerleer F. Sternititis and mediastinitis after coronary artery bypass grafting. Analysis of risk factors. *Tex Heart Inst J* 1994;21(3):183–188
 - 10 Peinado DMC, Bedrinana JIC. Comparison of NCHS-1977, CDC-2000 and WHO-2006 Nutritional Classification in 32 to 60 month-old Children in the Central Highlands of Peru (1992–2007). *Univers J Public Health* 2013;1(3):143–149
 - 11 Kasiske B, Eckardt K. Kidney disease: improving global outcomes (KDIGO) acute kidney injury work group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. *Kidney inter. Suppl.* 2012;2:1–138
 - 12 Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016;315(8):801–810
 - 13 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Healthcare Safety Network. CDC/NHSN surveillance definitions for specific types of infections. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/2020pscnosinfdef_current.pdf. Accessed January 15, 2021
 - 14 Griffiths J, Barber VS, Morgan L, Young JD. Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies of the timing of tracheostomy in adult patients undergoing artificial ventilation. *BMJ* 2005;330(7502):1243
 - 15 Huang H, Li Y, Ariani F, Chen X, Lin J. Timing of tracheostomy in critically ill patients: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2014;9(3):e92981
 - 16 Young D, Harrison DA, Cuthbertson BH, Rowan K; TracMan Collaborators. Effect of early vs late tracheostomy placement on survival in patients receiving mechanical ventilation: the TracMan randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013;309(20):2121–2129
 - 17 Trouillet JL, Luyt CE, Guiguet M, et al. Early percutaneous tracheotomy versus prolonged intubation of mechanically ventilated patients after cardiac surgery: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;154(6):373–383
 - 18 Rumbak MJ, Newton M, Truncale T, Schwartz SW, Adams JW, Hazard PB. A prospective, randomized, study comparing early percutaneous dilational tracheotomy to prolonged translaryngeal intubation (delayed tracheotomy) in critically ill medical patients. *Crit Care Med* 2004;32(8):1689–1694
 - 19 Scales DC, Thiruchelvam D, Kiss A, Redelmeier DA. The effect of tracheostomy timing during critical illness on long-term survival. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36(9):2547–2557
 - 20 Shaw JJ, Santry HP. Who gets early tracheostomy?: evidence of unequal treatment at 185 academic medical centers. *Chest* 2015;148(5):1242–1250
 - 21 Yavas S, Yagar S, Mavioglu L, et al. Tracheostomy: how and when should it be done in cardiovascular surgery ICU? *J Card Surg* 2009;24(1):11–18
 - 22 Devarajan J, Vydyanathan A, Xu M, et al. Early tracheostomy is associated with improved outcomes in patients who require prolonged mechanical ventilation after cardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Surg* 2012;214(6):1008–16.e4
 - 23 Hosseinian L, Chiang Y, Itagaki S, Polanco A, Rhee A, Chikwe J. Earlier versus later tracheostomy in patients with respiratory failure after cardiac surgery in the United States. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2014;28(3):488–492
 - 24 Conforti A, Valfrè L, Scuglia M, et al. Laryngotracheal abnormalities in esophageal atresia patients: a hidden entity. *Front Pediatr* 2018;6:401
 - 25 Alali AS, Scales DC, Fowler RA, et al. Tracheostomy timing in traumatic brain injury: a propensity-matched cohort study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2014;76(1):70–76, discussion 76–78
 - 26 Babu A, Madhavan K, Singhal M, Sagar S, Ranjan P. Pressure ulcer surveillance in neurotrauma patients at a level one trauma centre in India. *Oman Med J* 2015;30(6):441–446
 - 27 Ben-Avi R, Ben-Nun A, Levin S, et al. Tracheostomy after cardiac surgery: timing of tracheostomy as a risk factor for mortality. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2014;28(3):493–496