Autoantibody Clustering in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus–Associated Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension

Ritasman Baisya^{1,✉} Phani Kumar Devarasetti¹ Murthy G S R² Liza Rajasekhar¹

¹Department of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

²Statistical Quality Control and Operational Research Division, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, West Bengal, India 62100105

Address for correspondence Ritasman Baisya, MD, Department of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad, Telangana 700118, India (e-mail: ritasman91@gmail.com).

Ind J Car Dis Wom 2021;6:100–105.

Abstract

Systemic lupus erythematosus–associated pulmonary arterial hypertension (SLE-PAH) is one of the important causes of mortality in lupus patients. Different autoantibodies are associated with SLE-PAH which can predict its future development. The objective of the study was to identify distinct autoantibody-based clusters in SLE-PAH patients and to compare demographic characters, clinical phenotypes, and therapeutic strategy across the clusters. Three distinct autoantibody clusters were identified using k-means cluster analysis in 71 SLE-PAH patients. Cluster 1 had predominant Sm-RNP, Smith, SS-A association; cluster 2 had no definite autoantibody association; and cluster 3 was associated with nucleosome, histone, dsDNA, and ribosomal P protein. Patients in cluster 3 had a highly active disease while those in cluster 1 had significant cytopenia. Mean age and mean right ventricular systolic pressure (RVSP) were both high in cluster 2, indicating later-onset PAH in this group. This was the first autoantibody-based cluster analysis study in SLE-PAH patients in India which confirmed that autoantibodies did exist as clusters and the presence of definite autoantibodies can predict future development of pulmonary hypertension in these patients.

Keywords

- SLE-PAH
- clustering analysis
- autoantibody

Abstract Image

- Cluster 3 patients had highly active disease
- Cluster 1 had significant cytopenia
- Cluster 2- mean age and mean right ventricular systolic pressure were high indicating later onset PAH

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1732510>

© 2021. Women in Cardiology and Related Sciences.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd. A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Introduction

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a potential complication of connective tissue diseases like scleroderma, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and mixed connective tissue disorder (MCTD). It is one of the causes of death in SLE patients. Due to the heterogeneity and complexity of the underlying disease, pathogenesis, prevalence, risk factors, and the natural history of PAH in SLE remain poorly understood.¹ As a result, there are no definite guidelines for screening, managing, and monitoring pulmonary hypertension in SLE patients.

Several autoantibodies are associated with PAH in SLE patients. Associations with antiribonucleoprotein (anti-RNP)² and anticardiolipin antibodies³ have been reported in Chinese SLE cohorts. At the same time, Prabu et al⁴ found an association of PAH with lupus anticoagulant in an SLE cohort from the United Kingdom. Anti-Ro and anti-La have been associated with PAH in a French SLE cohort.⁵ Till now, the literature on antibody association in Indian lupus PAH patients is limited. A recently published study from the Hopkins Lupus Cohort where lupus phenotype associated with PAH was defined using multiple autoantibodies.¹ Here clustering analysis was used for the first time to define five autoantibody clusters in lupus-PAH. In the present study, clustering analysis has been used to test the hypothesis that this technique would bring out unique phenotypic groups of PAH in Indian lupus patients. This method can help drive future investigations into risk factors and mediators of disease, enabling better targeted therapeutic approaches.

In another study by Sun et al,⁶ two distinct phenotypic clusters of SLE-PAH were identified based on disease activity. They identified two clusters—the one with high disease activity had serositis, nephritis, rash, and other disease manifestations, while the one with low disease activity had the purer form of PAH. Our study has combined autoantibodies with disease activity to generate distinct phenotypes in Indian lupus PAH patients.

Objectives

- Our study had the following objectives:
- To identify distinct autoantibody-based clusters in SLE-PAH patients using cluster analysis.
- To compare demographic characters, clinical phenotypes, and therapeutic strategies across the clusters.

Methodology

Patients

It was an institution-based observational study carried out in the Department of Rheumatology at Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences (NIMS), a tertiary care center in South India. Seventy-one SLE patients with the diagnosis of PAH as per definition criteria were taken as the case. Thirty-five of these patients were part of a large inception cohort named INSPIRE, conducted throughout India. The rest of the population was from the patients admitted or presented in the outpatient

department (OPD) and ward in rheumatology. Data were collected for 3 months from August 2020 to October 2020. The institutional ethics committee approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients, including separate consent forms for minor patients before participation.

Inclusion Criteria

Following were the inclusion criteria for the study:

- SLE patients, both juvenile and adult, fulfilling the "SLICC 2012" (SLE International Collaboration Clinics [SLICC] classification criteria) or ACR–EULAR 2019 (American College of Rheumatology - European League Against Rheumatism) classification criteria evaluated as outpatient or admitted as inpatient with PAH as a cardiopulmonary complication were included as study participants.
- PAH was defined as a right ventricular systolic pressure (RVSP) of greater than or equal to 40 mm Hg on transthoracic echocardiogram and clinical symptoms of dyspnea—loud P2 on auscultation—and other clinical signs suggestive of pulmonary hypertension.

Exclusion Criteria

- Following were the exclusion criteria for the study: Previously diagnosed cardiac disease, like rheumatic heart disease (RHD) and congenital heart disease, before lupus onset.
- Pregnant and lactating patients.

Study Parameters

The study parameters were as follows:

- **Demographic** variables: Variables including age, sex, duration of disease, and duration of PAH were recorded.
- **Clinical history:** Detailed history of cutaneous findings (skin thickening, Raynaud phenomenon, vasculitic rash, and sicca symptoms), neuropsychiatric (cerebro-vascular accident [CVA], mononeuritis multiplex [MNM], SLE disease Activity Index [SLEDAI]), musculoskeletal (myositis), renal, hematologic, pregnancy morbidity, interstitial lung disease, serositis were recorded.
- **Treatment history:** Detailed history of steroids, immunosuppressive medication (cyclophosphamide), PAH medication (PDE 5 inhibitor—sildenafil/tadalafil—and endothelin receptor antagonist—ambrisentan) were taken.
- **Disease activity:** SLEDAI was calculated for all the patients. Those with SLEDAI ≥ 6 were considered high disease activity, and those with SLEDAI < 6 with no evidence of hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, or major organ involvement were considered low disease activity groups.

Laboratory Tests

The following laboratory tests were done:

- **Two-dimensional (2D) echocardiographic analysis:** Mean RVSP (in mm Hg) was calculated for all of the study population, and severity of PAH was based on the mean value of RVSP. More than 40 to 49 mm Hg—mild PAH; 50 to 60 mm Hg—moderate PAH; and more than 60 mm

Hg-severe PAH. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), right ventricular dilatation, valvular abnormality, and pericardial effusion were documented.

- **Antibody assay:** Antinuclear antibody (ANA) for all patients was assessed using the immunofluorescence method—pattern (speckled, homogenous, cytoplasmic) and titer were measured. Anticardiolipin (ACL) antibody test (both immunoglobulin G [IgG] and immunoglobulin M

[IgM]) was done for all patients using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique. ACL IgG > 11 GPL and IgM > 15 MPL were considered as positive. ANA immunoblot (EUROLINE test kit) provided in vitro determination of IgG class's human autoantibodies in serum against 12 different antigens—Sm-RNP, Smith, SS-A, SS-B, dsDNA, nucleosome, histone, a ribosomal P protein, PCNA, PM-Scl, Jo-cyt1, and AMA-M2. Euroimmune recommends interpreting results based on signal intensity. Signal intensity of more than 25 (>25) in the EURO-Line Scan Flatbed scanner generated a medium to the strong band and was considered a positive result for the particular antibody. Serum complement level was assessed using the immunoturbidimetry method, and C3 < 90 mg/dL and C4 < 10 mg/dL were considered hypocomplementemia.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study patients (n = 71)

Variables	Frequency (n = 71)	Percentage (%)
Age (mean, SD)	27.86 (9.89)	
Sex (F/M)	66/5	
Mean RVSP (SD)	58.07 mm Hg (18.16)	
Mean duration of SLE (months)	35.3	
Mean duration between SLE and diagnosis of PAH (months)	26.4	
Low C3/C4	53	74.6
Sm-RNP positive	37	52.1
Sm positive	21	29.6
SSA positive	26	36.6
Ro-52 positive	25	35.2
SSB positive	6	8.4
PCNA positive	2	2.8
Nucleosome positive	19	26.8
Ribosomal P protein positive	22	30.9
Histone positive	17	23.9
dsDNA positive	15	21.1
aCL positive	18	25.3
Serositis	38	53.5
Vasculitis	15	21.1
Skin thickening	9	12.7
Nephritis	44	61.9
Raynaud phenomenon	11	15.5

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure; SD, standard deviation; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; Sm-RNP, Smith-Ribonucleoprotein.

Statistical Analysis

K-means cluster analysis (nonhierarchical clustering or quick cluster; SPSS version 10 software [BM SPSS Statistics 21 License Authorization Wizard]) was used to identify clustering in SLE-PAH patients based on autoantibody. Briefly, this first involved defining a disease metric to quantify the degree of similarity between autoantibody patterns in two patients. Euclidian distance (the square root of the sums of squared differences between patients for each autoantibody) was used. The clusters' initial centers were chosen in the first pass of data, and patients were assigned to the closest center. Next, the cluster centers were recalculated on the patients in the cluster. The patient process continued till the clusters' means did not shift more than a given cutoff value or until the iteration limit was reached. Because it was not known in advance how many autoantibody clusters would be observed, 3, 4, and then 5 clusters were specified, respectively, in the K-means analysis. The outputs from the analyses with 3, 4, and 5 clusters of patients were then compared with each other regarding the prevalence of the individual autoantibody not shifting more than a given cutoff value or until the iteration limit is reached. Finally, by clustering antibodies into 3 clusters, three distinct groups of patients with very different autoantibody profiles were obtained. After clustering, associations between final clusters and patient characteristics were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc analysis for continuous variables. Fisher's exact Hamilton test for categorical variables was done; *p*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 10.0.

Table 2 Description of the clusters

Variable	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3
Antibodies	Sm-RNP, Smith, SSA	No definite antibody association	dsDNA, nucleosome, histone, ribosomal P protein
Low complements	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anticardiolipin, anti-La	No cluster	No cluster	No cluster
Total cases	26	36	9

Results

A. Baseline Characteristics

A total of 71 patients with pulmonary hypertension were identified and included in the analysis. The demographic characteristics, the frequency of specific autoantibodies, and various clinical phenotypes are shown in ►Table 1; 12.7% of the patients had associated skin thickening and 15.5% of patients were found to have the Raynaud phenomenon.

B. Clustering of Patients

Three distinctive antibody clusters were identified—cluster 1 (anti-Sm-RNP, anti-Sm, anti-SSA), cluster 2 (no definitive autoantibody association), and cluster 3 (anti-dsDNA, nucleosome, histone, ribosomal P protein). Twenty-six patients were assigned to cluster 1, 36 patients were assigned to cluster 2, and 9 patients were assigned to cluster 3. Low complements were found in all three clusters, while anticardiolipin and anti-SSB did not show specific association with any cluster. In cluster 2, the anticardiolipin antibody was present in 10 patients, which was not statistically significant. ►Table 2 depicts the three clusters with their antibody association. The frequency of the specific autoantibodies in each cluster is shown in ►Table 3.

C. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics across Clusters

The demographic characteristics and clinical manifestations of SLE patients in the three clusters is shown in ►Table 4. A significant association with cytopenia was seen in cluster 2 (77.8%) as compared to clusters 1 (42.3%) and 3 (55.6%) (p 0.01, Fisher value 8.25). Cluster 3 patients had significantly higher disease activity (p 0.049, Fisher value 5.93) and dsDNA association (p 0.001, Fisher 14.33) (►Table 4).

D. Mean RVSP in Different Clusters

Mean ($\pm$ standard deviation [SD]) RVSP in clusters 1, 2, and 3 were 52.9 ± 15.9 , 64.7 ± 18.6 , and 46 ± 11.4 mm Hg, respectively (►Table 5) with significant high value in cluster 2 (p 0.003) (by ANOVA testing). Post hoc analysis showed that

cluster 2 had significantly higher mean RVSP than clusters 1 and 3 (p 0.023 and 0.011) (►Table 6).

Discussion

In this study, using clustering techniques for autoantibodies, three clusters were identified. The largest cluster of patients had no definite antibody association. The next large cluster was associated with anti-Sm-RNP, anti-Smith, and anti-Ro antibodies, while the smallest cluster included anti-dsDNA, antinucleosome, antihistone, and antiribosomal P protein antibodies. In patients with no definite autoantibodies, significantly more frequent cytopenia, skin thickening, and higher RVSP were noted.

There are two published studies on clustering analysis in lupus patients with associated PAH. Sun et al⁶ used derivation and validation cohorts, each having approximately 100 patients of SLE-PAH. They reported that patients clustered into high and low disease activity groups, with the former having less time to diagnose. They adjusted for immunosuppressive and vasodilator treatments and noted that survival differences existed between the two groups. This study did not use antibodies as independent variables.

Mizus¹ studied 10 serological variables in 154 patients with SLE-PAH. One of their five clusters was defined by anti-phospholipid antibodies and another by anti-Ro and anti-La. The third, as in our study, had a low frequency of all antibodies. Of note, this work was derived from the Hopkins Lupus Cohort. Though the numbers in our study are slightly less than this study, the composition of antibodies in our cluster is different from that in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort. Anticardiolipin antibodies did not figure in any cluster in our study. The cluster with significant numbers and definite antibody representation included anti-Smith and anti-Sm-RNP. This difference may highlight the genetic differences which shape autoantibody specificity among Indian and Hopkins Lupus Cohort of SLE-PAH.

There have been many cluster analysis publications in 2005, 2012, 2015, and 2019 on SLE patients. The first publication⁷ of cluster analysis done on 1,257 patients tested on seven autoantibodies identified three clusters with an almost

Table 3 Frequency of specific autoantibodies in various clusters

Antibody	Cluster1 (%)	Cluster 2 (%)	Cluster 3 (%)
Anti-Sm-RNP	25 (96.2)	9(25)	3(33.3)
Anti-Sm	18 (69.2)	0 (0)	3(33.3)
Anti-SSA	13 (50)	11 (30.6)	2 (22.2)
Anti-dsDNA	5 (19.2)	3 (8.3)	7(77.8)
Antiribosomal P	9 (34.6)	8 (22.2)	5(55.6)
Antinucleosome	10 (38.5)	0 (0)	9 (100)
Antihistone	6 (23.1)	2(5.6)	9 (100)
Complement	25 (96.2)	21(58.3)	7(77.8)
Anticardiolipin	5 (19.2)	10(27.8)	3(33.3)

Table 4 Comparison of demographic and clinical features according to antibody cluster

Variables	Cluster 1 (percentage)	Cluster 2 (percentage)	Cluster 3 (percentage)	Fisher–Hamilton exact value	p-Value
Number of patients	26	36	09		
Age (mean $\pm$ SD)	25.96 ($\pm$ 8.8)	30.06 ($\pm$ 10.74)	24.56($\pm$ 8.02)		
Sex (F/M)	24/2	33/3	All female	0.437	1.0
Skin thickening	1(3.8)	8(22.2)	1(11.1)	4.13	0.099
RP	2 (7.7)	7 (19.4)	2(22.2)	2.15	0.38
Vasculitis	8 (30.7)	5(13.9)	2 (22.2)	2.66	0.31
Myositis	5 (19.2)	4 (11.1)	1(11.1)	0.94	0.72
MNM	0	2 (5.6)	0	1.49	0.62
CVA	1 (3.8)	6(16.7)	0	2.93	0.18
Nephritis	17 (65.4)	20 (55.6)	7(77.8)	1.59	0.46
Serositis	15 (57.7)	18(50)	5 (55.6)	0.44	0.85
ILD	2 (7.7)	5 (13.9)	1 (11.1)	0.69	0.87
Pregnancy morbidity	5(19.2)	7(19.4)	0	1.77	0.49
Cytopenia	11 (42.3)	28(77.8)	5 (55.6)	8.25	0.01
Disease activity (SLEDAI based)	20-HDS, 6 -LDS	19 -HDS, 17-LDS	8-HDS, 1-LDS	5.93	0.049
dsDNA positivity	17 (65.4)	13 (36.1)	9 (100)	14.33	0.001
aCL positivity	5 (19.2)	10 (27.8)	3(33.3)	1.071	0.599
CYC	10 (38.5)	16(44.4)	5 (55.6)	0.86	0.67

Abbreviations: CVA, cerebro-vascular accident; CYC, cyclophosphamide; dsDNA, double stranded DNA; F, female; ILD, interstitial lung disease; M, male; MNM, mononeuritis multiplex; RP, Raynaud phenomenon; SD, standard deviation; SLEDAI, SLE disease activity index.

Table 5 Mean RVSP in clusters

Cluster	N	Mean RVSP	SD	95% Confidence interval for mean	
				Lower bound	Upper bound
1	26	52.96	15.94	46.52	59.40
2	36	64.78	18.59	58.49	71.07
3	9	46.0	11.37	37.26	54.74
Total	71	58.07	18.16	53.77	62.37

Abbreviation: RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure.

Table 6 ANOVA with post hoc analysis for RVSP in between clusters

(I) cluster	(J) cluster	Mean difference (I–J)	Standard error	p-value	95% Confidence interval	
					Lower bound	Upper bound
1	2	–11.82	4.36	.023	–22.26	–1.38
	3	6.96	6.55	.540	–8.72	22.65
2	1	11.82	4.36	.023	1.38	22.26
	3	18.78	6.31	.011	3.66	33.89
3	1	–6.96	6.55	.540	–22.65	8.72
	2	–18.78	6.31	.011	–33.89	–3.66

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure.

similar number of patients in the groups. The cluster with dsDNA, aCL, and LAC had the highest incidence of thrombosis and stroke damage. The cluster, which included anti-Ro, anti-La antibodies, had the highest presence of Sjogren syndrome. The cluster with the Sm-RNP antibody had the

lowest frequency of cytopenia. Li⁸ from Hongkong reported on 1,928 patients, identified the dsDNA cluster with nephritis; the Sm-RNP, Sm, aCL cluster with arthritis and serositis; and the anti-Ro/anti-La cluster with photosensitivity. In a Chinese cohort⁹ of 917 patients, three clusters including no

ENA were identified, and differences in age of onset of lupus and other clinical features were reported. Another cluster analysis published from Johns Hopkins cohort analyzing only seven autoantigens, including Ro and RNP with different molecular weights, found two clusters. The Sm cluster was associated with serositis. From Turkey,¹⁰ 852 lupus patients clustered into five clusters, one that did not have a high frequency of any antibodies but a high organ involvement. Their Sm-RNP cluster is associated with Raynaud's phenomenon and PAH.

The concept of two different pathophysiological mechanisms of SLE-PAH in groups with different disease activities, as proposed by Sun et al, can be extrapolated to suggest the possibility of different pathological mechanisms at play in the cluster which lacked a definite antibody association in our study.

The use of immunosuppressive therapy has been reported to predict short-term survival in CTD-PAH.¹¹ In an open-labeled observational study, we have also previously reported that immunosuppressant therapy may have a modest benefit in improving PAH in SLE patients.¹² Hence at the bedside, the choice of whether to use potentially toxic immunosuppressive therapy in SLE-PAH is guided by active lupus elsewhere and serological activity in a patient. Any further study would need to account for these confounders while analyzing autoantibodies as independent predictors of survival in SLE-PAH patients.

Our study's limitations were that pulmonary artery pressure was not documented by right heart catheterization, and other antiphospholipid antibodies (LAC, anti-B2 glycoprotein 1) were not measured.

We hope to repeat this exercise on a larger SLE-PAH and SLE group to reproduce the ethnic variation in autoantibodies in lupus and its subgroups. We are surprised to report that patients with Sm-RNP did not have a higher incidence of skin thickening or Raynaud's phenomenon, suggesting underlying scleroderma as a PAH cause. This reiterates that the patients included in our study have no underlying scleroderma and constitute an SLE-PAH population.

Conclusion

This study confirms that in SLE-PAH, autoantibodies do exist as clusters, and this association has a bearing on phenotypic manifestations. The largest cluster had no definite antibody association but the most severe PAH. The underlying differences in different pathophysiologic autoantibody clusters will need further studies.

Audio

Audio file for this article is available at <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1732510>.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1 Mizus M, Li J, Goldman D, Petri MA. Autoantibody clustering of lupus-associated pulmonary hypertension. *Lupus Sci Med* 2019;6(1):e000356
- 2 Li M, Wang Q, Zhao J, et al. CSTAR co-authors. Chinese SLE Treatment and Research group (CSTAR) registry: II. Prevalence and risk factors of pulmonary arterial hypertension in Chinese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2014;23(10):1085–1091
- 3 Lian F, Chen D, Wang Y, et al. Clinical features and independent predictors of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatol Int* 2012;32(6):1727–1731
- 4 Prabu A, Patel K, Yee C-S, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients with lupus. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2009;48(12):1506–1511
- 5 Hachulla E, Jais X, Cinquetti G, et al. French Collaborators Recruiting Members(*). Pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with systemic lupus erythematosus: results from the French pulmonary hypertension registry. *Chest* 2018;153(1):143–151
- 6 Sun F, Lei Y, Wu W, et al. Two distinct clinical phenotypes of pulmonary arterial hypertension secondary to systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78(1):148–150
- 7 To CH, Petri M. Is antibody clustering predictive of clinical subsets and damage in systemic lupus erythematosus. ? *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(12):4003–4010
- 8 Li PH, Wong WHS, Lee TL, et al. Relationship between autoantibody clustering and clinical subsets in SLE: cluster and association analyses in Hong Kong Chinese. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2013;52(2):337–345
- 9 Tang X, Huang Y, Deng W, Tang L, Weng W, Zhang X. Clinical and serologic correlations and autoantibody clusters in systemic lupus erythematosus: a retrospective review of 917 patients in South China. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2010;89(1):62–67
- 10 Artim-Esen B, Çene E, Şahinkaya Y, et al. Cluster analysis of autoantibodies in 852 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus from a single center. *J Rheumatol* 2014;41(7):1304–1310
- 11 Yasuoka H, Shirai Y, Tamura Y, Takeuchi T, Kuwana M. Predictors of favorable responses to immunosuppressive treatment in pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with connective tissue disease. *Circ J* 2018;82(2):546–554
- 12 Kommireddy S, Bhyravajhala S, Kurimeti K, et al. Pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic lupus erythematosus may benefit by addition of immunosuppression to vasodilator therapy: an observational study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2015;54(9):1673–1679