



Laboratory Testing for Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia and Vaccine-Induced Immune Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia Antibodies: A Narrative Review

Theodore E. Warkentin, MD^{1,2,3,4} Andreas Greinacher, MD⁵

¹ Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

² Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

³ Transfusion Medicine, Hamilton Regional Laboratory Medicine Program, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

⁴ Service of Benign Hematology, Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton General Hospital, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

⁵ Institute for Transfusion Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

Address for correspondence Theodore E. Warkentin, MD, Service of Benign Hematology, Hamilton Regional Laboratory Medicine Program, Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton General Site, Room 1-270B, 237 Barton St. East, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8L 2X2 (e-mail: twarken@mcmaster.ca).

Semin Thromb Hemost 2023;49:621–633.

Abstract

Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) are highly prothrombotic (thrombosis frequency $\geq 50\%$). Both are caused by platelet-activating anti-platelet factor 4 (PF4) antibodies, forming PF4/IgG-containing immune complexes that engage platelet Fcγ1a receptors, producing strong platelet activation. In HIT, heparin crosslinks several PF4 molecules, whereas in VITT, anti-PF4 antibodies alone crosslink PF4. Sufficient levels of circulating anti-PF4 antibodies are needed to create the pathogenic immune complexes on platelet surfaces; this explains why certain serum (plasma)-based assays are highly sensitive for detecting HIT/VITT antibodies. Accordingly, HIT and VITT are “clinical-pathological” disorders, that is, positive testing for such antibodies—together with a compatible clinical picture—is integral for diagnosis. Heparin (low concentrations) *enhances* HIT antibody-induced platelet activation, but platelet activation by VITT sera is usually *inhibited* by heparin. For both HIT and VITT, high sensitivity ($>99\%$ and $>95\%$, respectively) characterizes PF4-dependent enzyme immunoassays (EIAs) and PF4-enhanced platelet activation assays; in contrast, certain rapid immunoassays have high sensitivity for HIT ($>90\text{--}97\%$) but poor sensitivity ($<25\%$) for VITT. HIT and VITT antibodies are directed at distinct sites on PF4: solid-phase EIAs and platelet activation assays are indifferent to these distinct antigen targets, but rapid immunoassays are not. We discuss a conceptual model where PF4 is viewed as a “globe,” with the heparin-binding site the “equator”; in this model, HIT antibodies are primarily directed at antigen site(s) at the north and south “poles” of PF4 (formed when PF4 binds to heparin), whereas VITT antibodies recognize sites on the equator.

Keywords

- ▶ enzyme immunoassay
- ▶ heparin-induced thrombocytopenia
- ▶ platelet factor 4
- ▶ platelet-activating antibodies
- ▶ vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia

article published online
December 1, 2022

Issue Theme Laboratory Diagnostics for Thrombosis and Hemostasis Testing—Part II; Guest Editors: Robert C. Gosselin, CLS and Kristi J. Smock, MD

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1758818>.
ISSN 0094-6176.

© 2022. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10001, USA

Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) is an antibody-mediated, prothrombotic drug reaction characterized in 1973 as a distinct disorder, featuring thrombocytopenia beginning approximately 1 week after the start of heparin, frequent association with thrombosis, and detectability of heparin-dependent, platelet-activating antibodies.¹ The platelet-activating nature of HIT became established over the next decade.² Two groups subsequently showed that using “washed” platelets enhanced antibody detectability.^{3,4} In 1992, Amiral and colleagues⁵ identified the platelet-associated protein, platelet factor 4 (PF4), as the target protein of HIT: in essence, (cationic) PF4 bound to (anionic) heparin, resulting in formation of heparin-dependent antigens on PF4.

In the past half century, the concept of platelet-activating anti-PF4 disorders has expanded beyond that of classic heparin-dependent HIT.⁶ As we will discuss, anti-PF4 disorders induced by heparin sometimes feature platelet-activating antibodies that do *not* require heparin for pathogenicity. Furthermore, platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies rarely are triggered by environmental factors distinct from heparin itself, an entity called “spontaneous HIT”⁷ (some authors prefer alternate designations—such as “spontaneous HIT-like syndrome”⁸—to avoid inferring involvement of heparin).

Furthermore, in March 2021, a novel prothrombotic disorder⁹ was recognized that was precipitated by vaccination with an adenoviral vector vaccine, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, used for preventing symptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2), the cause of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). This striking disorder, subsequently named “vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT),” was promptly identified as a HIT-mimicking anti-PF4 disorder, based on the following: (1) its clinical picture (thrombocytopenia, venous/arterial thromboses, hypercoagulability) resembled severe HIT; (2) the temporal onset approximately in the second week post-vaccination suggested a “point immunization” event akin to the heparin trigger of HIT; and (3) screening patients with putative VITT showed generally strong reactivity in PF4-dependent enzyme immunoassays (EIAs). Finally, (4) tests for platelet-activating antibodies were also generally positive, although supplementation with PF4 was frequently required, and heparin often appeared to inhibit—rather than augment—platelet activation.

Anti-PF4 disorders should be viewed as “clinical-pathological” disorders; that is, diagnosis requires a compatible clinical picture (thrombocytopenia, thrombosis, or both) and detectability of platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies. Pathogenic antibodies against PF4 can be directed against different regions on PF4, some corresponding to wholly or predominantly heparin-dependent antibodies but also against other antigen sites that do not require heparin for pathogenicity. Our review will address laboratory testing for HIT and VITT antibodies, in historical order of assay development¹⁰: (1) platelet activation assays, (2) PF4-dependent EIAs, and (3) rapid PF4-dependent immunoassays. Reference laboratories should be able to perform more than one type of

EIA, and also possess capacity for PF4 supplementation for platelet activation assays.

Dimeric Antigen Structure of PF4

PF4 is a cationic homotetrameric protein to which anionic heparin binds.¹¹ The PF4 tetramer bears a circumferential “ring of positive charge,” which comprises the heparin-binding sites. A key concept is that the tetrameric protein, PF4, is composed of two identical homodimers; thus, the HIT antigen sites are duplicated, which allows for binding of two HIT (or VITT) antibodies to one PF4 molecule. PF4’s dimeric antigenic structure is the prerequisite to form large multimeric PF4-IgG immune complexes (discussed subsequently). Thus, the anti-PF4 immune response is rather unique in comparison with other drug-dependent antibodies or autoantibodies.

Heparin produces close approximation of several PF4 molecules, which results in conformational changes on PF4, exposing heparin-dependent antigens. Resulting binding of HIT IgG, if present, creates multimolecular PF4/IgG/heparin immune complexes, which bind to (low affinity) FcγIIa receptors on platelet surfaces; cross-linking of FcγIIa receptors causes strong platelet activation.^{12–14} Experimental data show that HIT antibodies also activate leukocytes,^{15,16} a feature irrelevant for diagnostic assays.

A highly schematized depiction of PF4 emphasizes its resemblance to a “globe,” with the “equator” representing the cationic ring of positive charge to which heparin binds (►Fig. 1).⁶ In the presence of heparin, antigens are formed at the north and south “poles.” In contrast, heparin-independent antigens are formed at two sites on the “equator,” on opposite sides of the globe (which for illustrative purposes can be considered as the two sites where the “prime meridian” intersects the equator, at 0 and 180 degrees of longitude). VITT antibodies are oligoclonal/monoclonal,¹⁷ and so an individual patient’s VITT antibodies will all react to the same (or closely situated) target region on PF4; however, the target antigens likely differ to a minor extent among different VITT patients.

Historical Overview of Anti-PF4 Disorders

►Fig. 1 also provides a historical overview of anti-PF4 disorders.⁶ Four anti-PF4 disorders are listed: classic HIT (cHIT), autoimmune HIT (aHIT), spontaneous HIT (SpHIT), and VITT. The first two entities are triggered by heparin, whereas the latter two entities have non-heparin triggers.

Classic HIT

Classic HIT indicates HIT featuring wholly or predominantly heparin-dependent antibodies. In general, the HIT-related platelet count fall begins in a narrow time range following the immunizing heparin exposure, generally between 5 and 10 days following the start of heparin (i.e., typical-onset HIT).^{18,19} If heparin is administered to a sensitized patient whose blood already contains HIT antibodies, the platelet count fall can begin abruptly (i.e., rapid-onset HIT).¹⁸ cHIT is




Fig. 1 Four anti-platelet factor 4 (PF4) disorders. A highly schematized depiction of the PF4 tetramer shows the “ring of positive charge” composed of four N-terminal lysine-rich regions (light blue) and a circumferential region rich in arginine and other lysine residues (dark blue). (Arginine and lysine are cationic amino acids.) The ring of positive charge represents the heparin-binding region; when heparin binds to the ring of positive charge, two (duplicate) heparin-dependent antigen sites form at opposite sites on the PF4 tetramer. In this model, PF4 can be conceptualized as the “globe,” with an “equator” indicating the ring of positive charge, and the “north and south poles” the duplicated heparin-dependent antigen sites. Each circle represents one of the four anti-PF4 disorders, listed in historical order (from left to right), indicating year of recognition, trigger(s), and nature of platelet-activating antibodies (heparin-dependent and/or heparin-independent antibodies). Note that very high (suprapharmacologic) heparin concentrations will inhibit reactivity of all anti-PF4 antibodies. Thus, the designation, heparin-dependent and heparin-independent, refers to whether—at pharmacological heparin concentrations (0.1–0.5 U/mL heparin)—heparin enhances platelet activation by anti-PF4 antibodies (heparin-dependent), or whether heparin has no enhancing platelet-activating effect (it may have platelet-inhibiting effects) and/or there is substantial antibody-induced platelet activation even in the absence of any heparin (heparin-independent). The figure also illustrates that classic HIT and autoimmune HIT (aHIT) are heparin-triggered, whereas nonheparin triggers are implicated in spontaneous HIT and VITT. HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; VITT, vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. (Reprinted with permission from Warkentin TE. Platelet-activating anti-PF4 disorders: an overview. *Semin Hematol* 2022;59(02):59-71.)

strongly associated with thrombosis (relative risk, 12–15; absolute risk of thrombosis, 50–70%).²⁰

Autoimmune HIT

Autoimmune HIT refers to a subset of HIT characterized by atypical clinical presentations,²¹ for example, onset or worsening of thrombocytopenia after stopping heparin (“delayed-onset HIT”)²²; thrombocytopenia lasting more than 1 week after stopping heparin (“persisting” or “refractory” HIT)^{23,24}; and HIT associated only with exposure to heparin flushes (heparin “flush” HIT).^{25,26} A striking laboratory feature underlying these disorders is strong serum-induced platelet activation in the absence of heparin (heparin-“independent” platelet-activating properties). aHIT antibodies either require no polyanion for pathogenicity or are able to utilize endogenous platelet-associated polyanions (chondroitin sulfate, polyphosphates)^{27,28} as a heparin substitute.

Spontaneous HIT

Spontaneous HIT (SpHIT) denotes patients who have thrombocytopenia, thrombosis, and platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies despite no proximate heparin exposure. Three subtypes are recognized: post-orthopaedic surgery (almost

always, knee replacement), post-infectious, and monoclonal paraprotein-associated.^{7,29–31} Sometimes no trigger is recognized. Most cases are self-limited, analogous with the immune transience typical for HIT.^{18,32} However, paraprotein-associated SpHIT can resemble a chronic autoimmune disorder, as the anti-PF4 activity resides within the monoclonal protein. The medical (post-infectious) subtype of SpHIT has a high risk of stroke,^{7,33} resembling the clinical picture of VITT.

Vaccine-Induced Immune Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia

VITT is a highly prothrombotic disorder that begins 5 to 30 days following vaccination with an adenoviral vector vaccine, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Oxford/AstraZeneca),^{34–37} Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen/Johnson & Johnson),³⁸ and likely also Sputnik V.³⁹ Patients with onset after 30 days typically present with deep-vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, which likely indicates progression of earlier asymptomatic thrombosis. VITT is relatively rare: the frequency ranges from approximately 1/25,000 to approximately 1/250,000 for preceding vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and Ad26.COV2.S, respectively.^{35,38} The severity of thrombocytopenia

is variable, with some patients developing severe thrombocytopenia and overt DIC.

Reported thrombosis frequency is high (>95%), and often unusual, for example, cerebral venous (sinus) thrombosis and splanchnic vein thrombosis.³⁷ The much higher rate of thrombosis in VITT (vs. cHIT) could reflect recognition bias: given absence of platelet count monitoring after vaccination, and resulting lack of data regarding frequency of VITT-associated isolated thrombocytopenia post-vaccination, patients are recognized because of symptomatic thrombosis. However, it is also possible that the frequency of VITT-associated thrombosis is truly high, by analogy with other anti-PF4 disorders with heparin-independent platelet-activating properties.^{22,25}

The term “thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome” (TTS) is used by the World Health Organization, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (the United States), and the Therapeutic Goods Administration (Australia) to classify patients who develop the duad of thrombocytopenia and thrombosis post-vaccination.⁴⁰ Not all such patients will have detectable platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies, however; so, the term “VITT” should be reserved for the subset of TTS patients in whom VITT antibodies are demonstrable.⁴¹ Nevertheless, VITT comprises the vast majority of patients otherwise recognized with TTS.⁴² Rarely, mRNA-based

COVID-19 vaccines, as well as non-COVID-19 vaccines (e.g., Gardasil 9 vaccination for human papillomavirus [HPV]), have been implicated in causing TTS.^{43,44} Although these cases are also associated with platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies, their ultralow frequency suggests they could represent a “background” rate of SpHIT.^{38,41}

Spectrum of Anti-PF4 Reactivity: cHIT versus VITT

As noted, PF4 is a highly cationic homotetrameric protein bearing a circumferential ring of positive charge¹¹ to which anionic heparin binds, forming antigens elsewhere on PF4 recognized by cHIT antibodies.^{45–48} In contrast, for VITT antibodies and aHIT antibodies (i.e., HIT sera with heparin-independent platelet-activating properties), the heparin-binding site within PF4 itself comprises the antigen target site.⁴⁹ Thus, whether the “polar” (cHIT) or “equatorial” (aHIT, VITT) antigen sites are recognized, large multimolecular complexes composed of several PF4 molecules and IgG antibodies can be formed in situ on platelet surfaces, which engage with (low-affinity) platelet FcγIIa receptors, leading to strong platelet activation response (→Fig. 2). Even though each PF4 molecule has two antigen sites, these cannot be recognized by a single HIT/VITT antibody due to spatial




Fig. 2 Heparin-dependent versus heparin-independent antibodies. *Left:* Platelet activation by heparin-dependent antibodies. Shown is a small immune complex composed of 7 PF4 molecules bound together (“clustered”) by a lengthy heparin molecule, and 4 IgG antibodies. PF4 is a highly charged (cationic) molecule; thus, PF4 clustering requires (polyanionic) heparin. Binding of the globular tetrameric protein, PF4, to heparin results in formation of antigen sites at the north and south “poles” (indicated in red). The IgG Fc “tails” bind to the platelet FcγIIa receptors; crosslinking of the FcγIIa receptors results in strong platelet activation. *Right:* Platelet activation by heparin-independent antibodies. Shown is a small immune complex composed of 7 PF4 molecules and 4 IgG antibodies. The heparin-independent antibodies bind to the heparin-binding site on PF4 (“equator”). In this case, PF4 clustering is caused by the IgG antibodies themselves. This is the general mechanism for VITT antibodies as well as those heparin-independent antibodies within aHIT sera. HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; VITT, vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia.

considerations (namely, the diameter of a PF4 tetramer is only ~5 nm, and the two Fab arms of a single IgG cannot bind so closely to one another). Rather, single antibodies bind to two different PF4 molecules, resulting in large complexes. Fundamentally, cHIT antibody reactivity against PF4 is *enhanced* in the presence of heparin, whereas VITT antibody reactivity is *inhibited* by heparin (heparin competes with the antibodies for binding to PF4).³⁴ aHIT and VITT antibodies appear able to crosslink PF4 directly, without requirement for heparin or other polyanions.^{49,50}

A characteristic feature of HIT is the high sensitivity (>99%) for PF4-dependent EIAs in detecting the pathogenic antibodies. Indeed, availability of serial plasma samples from clinical trials of heparin therapy has shown that HIT antibodies are readily detected at the onset of the HIT-related platelet count decline, including at such early time points that a diagnosis of HIT would not be considered.⁵¹ A simple explanation is that high quantities of free antibodies in plasma are required to form dynamically the in situ immune complexes that underlie the pathogenesis of HIT.^{52,53}

► **Table 1** summarizes the generally high sensitivity of assays for HIT and VITT antibodies; however, one remarkable feature is the poor sensitivity of rapid immunoassays (RIAs) for VITT antibodies. Also, PF4 supplementation³⁴ and, sometimes, serum dilution⁵⁴ are required for optimal sensitivity of platelet activation assays for detecting VITT antibodies (discussed subsequently). Diagnostic specificity depends on the clinical context, but in general is highest for platelet activation assays and lowest for EIAs.

Iceberg Model

A key principle of HIT is the “iceberg model,” which depicts the spectrum of the anti-PF4 immune response among heparin-exposed patients, including numerous non-HIT patients forming nonpathogenic antibodies of IgM, IgA, and/or (non-platelet-activating) IgG classes. In this model, the “tip of the iceberg” represents those patients with clinically overt HIT, whose sera contain platelet-activating antibodies of IgG class.⁵³ Indeed, antibody pathogenicity and risk for HIT relate most closely to in vitro platelet-

activating properties.^{55,56} However, to what extent the iceberg model applies to VITT is uncertain: although prospective studies of heparin-exposed individuals show frequent formation of nonpathogenic anti-PF4 antibodies, it is less clear whether VITT analogously occurs in a small subset of those patients who form platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies among a larger post-vaccination group who form non-platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies.^{57,58} This uncertainty reflects the reality that COVID-19 vaccination occurs without post-vaccination platelet count monitoring (unlike in-hospital platelet count monitoring in heparin-treated patients); furthermore, there are relatively few studies evaluating frequency of anti-PF4 antibody formation post-vaccination.

Platelet Activation Assays for HIT and VITT

Historically, platelet activation assays have been central for the diagnosis of HIT.^{1,59–61} However, conventional platelet aggregometry, using citrated platelet-rich plasma (PRP), has unacceptably low sensitivity (~65–70%).² Fortunately, technical developments enhanced detectability of HIT antibodies. ► **Table 2** lists various platelet activation tests used to detect HIT and VITT antibodies.

Washed Platelet Assays: Serotonin-Release Assay, HIPA

Sheridan and colleagues (McMaster University),³ in 1986, found that “washing” platelets in the presence of apyrase (which retains platelet reactivity to the potentiator, adenosine diphosphate⁶²), and resuspending the platelets in divalent cation-containing buffer, renders the platelets highly sensitive to activation by HIT antibodies. Indeed, test sensitivity is estimated to be approximately 95% for the detection of HIT antibodies.^{63,64} Although Sheridan et al utilized release of radiolabeled serotonin as the platelet activation endpoint marker (serotonin-release assay [SRA]), German investigators (Giessen, Greifswald) showed that simple platelet aggregation—also using washed platelets—was a suitable platelet activation endpoint; this assay is called the heparin-induced platelet activation (HIPA) test.^{4,65}

Table 1 Diagnostic sensitivity of various laboratory tests for anti-PF4 disorders

	cHIT	aHIT	SpHIT	VITT
PF4/polyanion-EIA	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%
Rapid immunoassay ^a	>95%	>95%	>80% ^b	<25%
PAA (washed): heparin	>95%	>95% ^c	>80% ^b	~50% ^d
PAA (washed): PF4	>95%	>95%	>95% ^b	>95%

Abbreviations: aHIT, autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; cHIT, classic heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; EIA, enzyme immunoassay; PAA, platelet activation assay (e.g., serotonin-release assay [SRA], heparin-induced platelet activation [HIPA] test); PF4, platelet factor 4; RIA, rapid immunoassay; SpHIT, spontaneous HIT; VITT, vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia.

Note: Diagnostic specificity depends on the clinical context, but, in general, specificity is highest for the PAAs and lowest for the EIAs.

^aRapid immunoassays include chemiluminescence immunoassay, latex immunoturbidimetric assay, lateral flow immunoassay, and particle gel assay.

^bVery rough estimate (given the paucity of cases of SpHIT reported in the literature).

^cPlatelet activation can be seen even in the absence of adding heparin.

^dEstimated frequency based on any positive reaction at 0, 0.1, or 0.3 U/mL heparin (without regard to whether there is enhanced or inhibited reactivity in the presence of heparin).

Table 2 Platelet activation assays for detecting HIT and VITT antibodies

Assay	Comment
Washed platelets	
SRA, ^a PF4-SRA ^a	PF4-SRA more sensitive than SRA for detecting HIT and VITT antibodies
PF4/H-SRA ^a	PF4/H-SRA more sensitive than SRA for detecting HIT antibodies
HIPA, ^b PIPA ^b	PIPA more sensitive than HIPA for detecting VITT antibodies
PEA ^c	PEA more sensitive than SRA for detecting VITT antibodies
Whole blood	
PIFPA ^c	PIFPA has high sensitivity and specificity for VITT
Multiplate ^d	Minimal experience reported to date for diagnosis of VITT
PPA ^e	Exploits synergistic platelet activation by PAR-1 agonist and HIT/VITT antibodies
Platelet-rich plasma (citrated)	
HitAlert ^c	Minimal experience reported to date for diagnosis of VITT ³⁵

Abbreviations: HIPA, heparin-induced platelet activation (assay); HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; PEA, platelet factor 4–enhanced P-selectin expression assay; PF4/H-SRA, platelet factor 4/heparin-serotonin release assay; PF4-SRA, PF4-enhanced serotonin-release assay; PIFPA, PF4-induced flow cytometry-based platelet activation (assay); PIPA, PF4-induced platelet activation (assay); SRA, serotonin-release assay; VITT, vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia.

Source: Reprinted with permission from Warkentin TE, Greinacher A. Laboratory testing for VITT antibodies. *Semin Hematol* 2022;59(02):80–88.

^aMeasurement of ¹⁴C-radiolabeled serotonin (or other methods of serotonin measurement) released from platelets.

^bPlatelet aggregation, assessed visually.

^cFlow cytometry (detection of P-selectin as platelet activation marker).

^dImpedance aggregometry performing using Multiplate instrument.

^ePPA indicates “platelet procoagulant assay” and refers to assay reported by Lee et al.^{87,88}

A key additional observation was that high heparin concentrations reliably inhibit platelet activation by HIT sera, yielding high diagnostic specificity.³ Although the explanation was not known at the time, high heparin concentrations—by binding individual PF4 molecules—prevent the close approximation of PF4 tetramers required to form platelet-activating PF4/IgG immune complexes.⁶⁶

In both the SRA and HIPA, optimal platelet activation occurs with heparin concentrations between 0.1 and 0.5 U/mL (peak reactivity, ~0.2 U/mL). Both these assays yield measurable endpoints, either percent SRA or lag time (in minutes) to platelet aggregation (HIPA). Use of pedigree donors (i.e., platelets from donors known to react well to HIT sera⁶⁷) or testing three to four randomly selected donors (e.g., at a blood donation center),^{4,65} and employing weak-positive HIT controls (to evaluate assay performance),⁶⁷ help attain high diagnostic sensitivity (~95%) and specificity (~95%) for HIT.

PF4-Enhanced Washed Platelet Activation Assays: PF4-SRA, PF4/H-SRA, PEA, PIPA

Two groups independently showed in 2015 that addition of PF4 enhances detectability of HIT antibodies in platelet activation assays. For the McMaster group (originators of the standard SRA), the additional sensitivity of the “PF4-SRA” for HIT was judged to be minor.⁶⁸ Nonetheless, on occasion HIT sera for which the conventional SRA yields a negative result test positive by PF4-SRA.^{69–71}

Also in 2015, a group in Milwaukee, WI, part of a reference laboratory for HIT antibody testing (Versiti), developed an assay called the PF4-dependent P-selectin expression assay

(PEA).⁷² The PEA also uses PF4 supplementation to enhance HIT antibody detection. In this assay, washed platelets are incubated with PF4 (3.75 µg/mL) prior to adding patient serum; after 1 hour, labeled anti-P-selectin and anti-glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antibodies are added, with P-selectin expression (per flow cytometry) used to quantitate platelet activation. The Milwaukee investigators concluded that the PEA was much more sensitive than their SRA⁷³; however, the sensitivity of their SRA was estimated to be only approximately 50% (vs. McMaster group reported SRA sensitivity of ~95%), making the issue of avoiding “SRA-negative HIT” more relevant to the U.S. laboratory.

A group in Tours, France, which also frequently uses the SRA for diagnostic purposes, confirmed the improved sensitivity of the PF4-SRA (vs. the SRA) for HIT diagnosis.⁷⁴ These workers suggested another modification of the SRA, namely, to add a fixed amount of heparin (0.5 IU/mL) and PF4 (10 µg/mL),⁷⁴ which we refer to here as the “PF4/H-SRA.”

As discussed in the next section, another PF4-enhanced platelet activation assay—the “PIPA”—was developed specifically to enhance detection of VITT antibodies.

PF4-Enhanced Assays for Detection of VITT Antibodies

In parallel with the development of various PF4-enhanced platelet activation assays (PF4-SRA, PF4/H-SRA, PEA), with the goal of optimizing test sensitivity for HIT antibodies, there occurred in March 2021 the initial recognition of the HIT-mimicking disorder, VITT. When the initial putative VITT sera were studied by the Greifswald (Germany) laboratory, the sera yielded negative results in the classic HIPA test, prompting development of PF4-enhanced HIPA, called the

“PIPA.”³⁴ By including PF4 (10 µg/mL, final) in the reaction mixture, VITT sera typically produce greater platelet activation (i.e., shorter lag time to platelet aggregation) in the presence of PF4 versus buffer control (low-dose heparin or low-molecular-weight heparin generally inhibits VITT antibody-induced platelet activation), with relatively few (~5%) of VITT sera showing enhancement of platelet activation with heparin.⁷⁵ VITT antibodies—as is characteristic of HIT antibodies³—also show inhibition of platelet activation at high (suprapharmacologic) concentrations of heparin (100 U/mL). This phenomenon is caused by the disruption of PF4 complexes as well as detachment of PF4 from the platelet surface by high heparin concentrations.⁷⁶ Historical information regarding the development of the PIPA test—including the first iteration of this assay on March 17, 2022—has been reported elsewhere.⁷⁷ Details regarding assay performance is provided by Handtke et al (supplementary material⁷⁸; see also video tutorial available online at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hFs-_85YJX4).

The recognition that PF4-enhanced platelet activation assays provided a sensitive approach to the detection of VITT antibodies was confirmed a few weeks later, when laboratories in North America and elsewhere in Europe began to receive referred VITT sera (the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine was introduced a few weeks earlier in Europe than in North America). Both the McMaster⁷⁹ and French (Tours)⁸⁰ laboratories observed greater diagnostic sensitivity for VITT antibodies with the PF4-SRA versus the (conventional) SRA. Both groups also found that increasing (pharmacologic) concentrations of heparin usually inhibited VITT serum-induced platelet activation in the PF4-SRA. The French group also showed that the PF4/H-SRA—while providing a more sensitive test for HIT antibodies⁷⁴—was not as sensitive as the PF4-SRA (without addition of heparin) for detecting VITT antibodies.⁸⁰ A caveat that emerged in the studies of VITT was that a false-negative platelet activation assay could result if the patient was treated with high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG),⁸¹ due to inhibition of FcγIIa receptor-mediated VITT antibody-induced platelet activation.^{79,82}

Application of the various PF4-enhanced platelet activation assays altered the cHIT paradigm. Although previously the concept that EIA +/SRA+ (or EIA +/HIPA+) status was reasonably correlated with the clinically significant anti-PF4 disorder, HIT, the new paradigm was that EIA +/PF4-SRA+ (or EIA +/PIPA+) status better reflected a greater spectrum of anti-PF4 reactivities, including patients with VITT. One implication is that certain atypical patients—e.g., ones with thrombocytopenia and headaches (but no cerebral thrombosis by imaging) were classified as having likely VITT (with subthreshold cerebral thrombosis) when their sera had the striking serological picture of EIA +/PIPA+ status.⁸³

Although to date few data are reported using the PEA for VITT diagnosis, it appears likely that the PEA has greater sensitivity for the diagnosis of VITT versus the SRA.⁸⁴ Thus, the four PF4-enhanced washed platelet assays—PF4-SRA, PF4/H-SRA, PIPA, and PEA—appear to have high sensitivity for detecting VITT antibodies. Thus, reference laboratories

should be able to perform conventional platelet activation assays for HIT, as well as PF4-enhanced assays.

Serum Dilution and Detection of VITT Antibodies

Recently, Schönborn and colleagues observed that false-negative platelet activation testing results (by PIPA) could be reduced by diluting the serum by 1/5 to 1/10.⁵⁴ Such a phenomenon has never been observed in HIT. ▶ **Fig. 3** shows that the explanation for this unique phenomenon could be high levels of VITT antibodies; by diluting patient serum, the stoichiometrically “correct” quantities of VITT antibodies and PF4 molecules are more likely to be attained.

Whole Blood Assays: Multiplate, PIFPA, Procoagulant Platelet Assay

A drawback of washed platelet activation assays is the handling of platelets, including wash steps. Accordingly, there is interest in using whole blood assays, in which patient serum is incubated with donor whole blood. The “Multiplate” (Dynabyte Medical), also known as “heparin-induced multiple-electrode aggregometry” (HIMEA), has been used for the diagnosis of HIT.^{85,86} In one small study, 5/5 VITT sera triggered platelet aggregation with saline buffer, with a low concentration of heparin (~1 U/mL) inhibiting ($n = 3$), enhancing ($n = 1$), or having minimal effect ($n = 1$) on platelet aggregation.

A novel whole blood assay, “PF4-induced flow cytometry-based platelet activation” assay, or PIFPA test, was developed using heat-inactivated patient serum incubated with hirudin-anticoagulated (or PPACK-anticoagulated) whole blood obtained from normal healthy donors.⁷⁸ This assay is performed with different concentrations of PF4 (0, 5, or 20 µg/mL PF4), as well as different heparin concentrations (1 and 100 U/mL of heparin). Like the PEA, flow cytometry is used to assess platelet activation, using fluorescent markers against platelet GPIIb/IIIa (CD61-PE) and P-selectin (CD62P-PE-Cy5). All 16 VITT sera tested positive when PF4 (5 µg/mL) was added (in contrast, 13/16 sera were positive also at buffer). The assay appeared to have comparable specificity for VITT as the PIPA.

Recently, Lee and colleagues⁸⁷ reported a novel flow cytometry-based procoagulant platelet assay, which uses two platelet activation markers (cell death marker, GSAO; P-selectin), in which putative VITT plasma is incubated with a protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR-1) agonist. This assay exploits the synergistic procoagulant effects⁸⁸ of a G protein-coupled receptor (e.g., PAR-1) and an FcγIIa receptor-mediated agonist (e.g., VITT antibodies) in producing a platelet procoagulant response.

PF4-Dependent Enzyme Immunoassays

The key discovery by Jean Amiral and colleagues,⁵ in 1992, that PF4 (bound to heparin) represented the target protein of HIT, heralded the ensuing 30-year era of HIT diagnostic testing using the EIA (or ELISA, i.e., enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay). In this solid-phase assay, PF4—together with stoichiometric concentrations of heparin or polyvinyl




Fig. 3 HIT versus VITT: dependency of immune complex formation on stoichiometric ratios. (A) HIT: optimal ratio of PF4 (cationic) and heparin (anionic) results in formation of multimolecular complexes. Anti-PF4/heparin IgG binds to these complexes. The resulting immune complexes activate platelets in functional assays. Even if anti-PF4/heparin antibodies are present in excess, interaction of PF4 and heparin is so strong that the state of lowest energy is always formation of multimolecular PF4/heparin complexes, to which subsequently HIT antibodies bind. (B) HIT: in case of heparin excess, the long heparin molecules wrap around the PF4 tetramer (or several shorter heparin chains bind along the rim of positive charge) and no complexes are formed. Accordingly, anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies do not bind to PF4 and no platelet activation is observed in the functional assay. (C) VITT: anti-PF4 antibodies bind to PF4 alone and form multimolecular complexes without addition of a polyanion. (D) In case of very high concentrations of anti-PF4 VITT antibodies, there are not enough PF4 tetramers for all IgG Fab. Overall, the state of lowest energy is reached if each antibody can bind to one PF4. A situation in which few antibodies form complexes with PF4 while others have no binding partner would be thermodynamically unfavorable. Dilution of these sera lowers anti-PF4 IgG concentration and subsequent formation of immune complexes causing platelet activation in the PIPA. HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; VITT, vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. (Reprinted with permission from Schönborn L, et al. Quantitative interpretation of PF4/heparin-EIA optical densities in predicting for platelet-activating VITT antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost* 2022;20(11):2579–2586.)

sulfonate (PVS)—is coated onto microtiter plates. Patient (or control) serum (or plasma), usually diluted 1:50, is added to the microtiter plate wells. Anti-PF4/heparin antibodies, if present, bind to the complexed surface-bound PF4, and after washing, the residual bound antibodies are detected by enzyme-conjugated antihuman immunoglobulin.¹⁰ Although both IgG-specific and poly-specific EIAs (detecting IgG, IgA, and/or IgM class antibodies) are available, IgG-specific assays have higher diagnostic specificity.^{55,56,89,90} The sensitivity of PF4-dependent EIAs for HIT antibodies is regarded as being high (>99%).

All four anti-PF4 disorders—cHIT, aHIT, SpHIT, as well as the novel disorder VITT—are likely to test positive in a PF4-dependent EIA. Indeed, the first studies^{34–36} reporting on VITT noted generally strong-positive EIA reactivity (median optical density [OD] values, ~3.0), together with a positive platelet activation test.

Different commercial EIAs utilize different antigen targets. EIAs utilizing PF4/heparin complexes are available both commercially (Diagnostica Stago) and as “in-house” assays developed by HIT research laboratories,^{91,92} although there

are interassay technical differences. Heparin is not the only polyanion that can create antigens on PF4,⁹³ a concept exploited by Visentin and coworkers⁹⁴ when they developed a PF4/PVS EIA recognized by HIT antibodies; the resulting commercial IgG-specific and poly-specific EIAs (Immucor GTI Diagnostics) are widely used. A third commercially available EIA (Zymutest), from Hyphen Biomed, permits PF4 (and other heparin-binding proteins within platelet/leukocyte lysate) to form antigen complexes with heparin that has been covalently linked to the microtiter plate.⁹⁵

PF4-dependent EIAs, both IgG-specific and polyspecific, appear to have high sensitivity (~99%) for detecting VITT antibodies: this high estimate assumes that an occasional negative assay in one type of EIA will yield a positive result with another EIA.^{96,97} Accordingly, if VITT is strongly suspected on clinical grounds, a sample yielding an unexpected negative EIA should be tested in another EIA (or a functional assay). Interestingly, a VITT study⁹⁸ evaluating serial sample EIA reactivity showed that from initial EIA-positive reactivity (at the time of initial diagnosis), different EIAs differed with respect to seroreversion, namely, the IgG-specific Zymutest

became negative in all patients prior to another IgG-specific PF4/PVS-EIA becoming negative. This observation suggests there may well be inherent differences in diagnostic sensitivity between these two EIAs. An important advantage of the EIA (vs. platelet activation assay) is that high-dose IVIG treatment (a recommended treatment for acute VITT⁹⁹) does not result in false-negative EIA testing.⁷⁹

In parallel with HIT, diagnostic specificity of any positive EIA in a case of putative VITT is lower than for the same patient who has a positive functional (platelet activation) test result. Also as seen in HIT, greater magnitude of a positive OD will predict for a greater likelihood for a true diagnosis of VITT.⁵⁴ Since vaccinated patients who do not develop any clinical evidence of VITT have an approximately 3 to 5% chance of testing EIA positive,^{57,58} it is advisable to confirm a putative diagnosis of VITT with a platelet activation test, whenever possible.

Immunoassays to Distinguish between HIT and VITT Antibodies

The key report by Huynh and colleagues⁴⁹ indicating that there are distinct epitopes on PF4 recognized by cHIT versus VITT antibodies implies that it ought to be possible to develop immunoassays that distinguish reliably between VITT and HIT antibodies. VITT sera can yield positive results when tested in EIAs in which uncomplexed PF4 alone (i.e., without added heparin or other polyanions) is the target.^{34,84,100} However, since all sera that test positive with uncomplexed PF4 also test positive with complexed PF4, this approach does not adequately differentiate between those sera that contain heparin-dependent antibodies versus those that are purely reactive against PF4 alone. Given our view that there are four anti-PF4 disorders—cHIT HIT, aHIT, SpHIT, and VITT—simple laboratory approaches to distinguish between different categories of pathogenic anti-PF4 antibodies—such as heparin-enhanced versus heparin-inhibited—could be invaluable, such as for clinical decision-making regarding safety of heparin treatment.

Rapid Immunoassays

Unlike EIAs, RIAs for HIT are designed to provide a result within an hour of sample acquisition and processing (preparation of serum or plasma). Unlike standard EIAs, where binding of PF4/heparin (or PF4/PVS) complexes to the solid-phase results in antigens on PF4 recognized by both heparin-dependent and heparin-independent (or heparin-inhibited) antigens, the RIAs do not usually yield positive testing with VITT sera/plasmas. This simple observation underscores key differences between antibodies implicated in HIT versus the other anti-PF4 disorders, as underscored by Huynh and colleagues,⁴⁹ who found that the target antigens on PF4 recognized by VITT antibodies (heparin-binding site) differ from sites targeted by HIT antibodies (heparin-dependent antigens distinct from the heparin-binding site).

Four RIAs are in clinical use for the diagnosis of HIT, with sensitivities >95% (estimated sensitivity shown in parenthe-

ses): (1) particle gel immunoassay (PaGIA; ~98%¹⁰¹); (2) lateral-flow assay (LFA; ~97%¹⁰²); (3) latex-enhanced immunoturbidimetric assay (LIA; ~97%¹⁰³); and (4) chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA; ~96–98%^{104,105}). In contrast, sensitivity of these rapid assays for the detection of VITT antibodies is poor, with the highest sensitivity (only ~45%) observed with the PaGIA (sensitivity <10% for the LIA, CLIA, and LFA).⁹⁷ Sachs and coworkers⁹⁶ also noted PaGIA sensitivity (25%) to be higher than the LFA (8%) and CLIA (0%). Indeed, these authors propose that the serological profile, EIA +/CLIA –, could indicate a presumptive diagnosis of VITT in the appropriate clinical context.

That the LIA usually yields negative results for VITT antibodies is not surprising, given that this assay is designed to be positive only if the patient's anti-PF4 antibodies inhibit binding of a HIT-like monoclonal antibody (KKO) to PF4/PVS complexes,¹⁰³ a target site distinct from the epitopes recognized by VITT. Another monoclonal antibody, 1E12, recognizes the same/similar binding site on PF4 recognized by VITT antibodies.^{31,106} Given that the CLIA almost invariably yields negative results for VITT antibodies, this indicates that the binding of PF4/heparin complexes to the beads does not allow for expression of the VITT epitopes.

Conclusions and Future Directions

Solid-phase EIAs are excellent for detecting anti-PF4 antibodies from all four anti-PF4 disorders, irrespective of the specific target epitopes. This is in striking distinction from the RIAs, which are designed to detect antibodies directed against heparin-dependent antigens, where HIT antibodies—but not VITT antibodies—are detectable. In our view, it seems likely that the (solid-phase) microtiter plates bind not only PF4/heparin complexes but also uncomplexed PF4. This allows expression of PF4 with multiple conformational changes on the plastic surface, such that antigens recognized by VITT antibodies are exposed (uncomplexed PF4) as well as those epitopes (on PF4/polyanion complexes) recognized by (heparin-dependent) HIT antibodies. The concept that proteins undergo significant conformational changes when binding to solid (plastic) surfaces is well-known, such as for antiphospholipid antibody assays (e.g., detection of anti- β_2 glycoprotein 1 antibodies).¹⁰⁷ These concepts suggest that fluid-phase EIAs (which avoid conformational alterations that result when PF4 binds to plastic surfaces) might be helpful in distinguishing between HIT and VITT antibodies, which is supported by preliminary findings from the McMaster laboratory.

Conflict of Interest

T.E.W. has received lecture honoraria from Alexion and Werfen (Instrumentation Laboratory), and royalties from Informa (Taylor & Francis); has provided consulting services to Aspen Canada, Aspen Global, CSL Behring, Ergomed, Paradigm Pharmaceuticals, Octapharma, and Veralox Therapeutics; has received research funding from Werfen (Instrumentation Laboratory); and has provided expert witness testimony relating to heparin-

induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and non-HIT thrombocytopenic and coagulopathic disorders. A.D. reports personal fees from Aspen, grants from Ergomed, grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Bayer Vital, grants from Rovi, grants from Sagent, personal fees from Chromatec, personal fees from Instrumentation Laboratory, grants and personal fees from Macopharma, grants from Portola, grants from Biokit, personal fees from Sanofi-Aventis, grants from Blau Farmaceutics, grants from Prosensa/Biomarin, grants and other from DRK-BSD NSTOB, grants from DRK-BSD Baden-Württemberg/Hessen, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from GTH e.V., grants from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, grants from Robert-Koch-Institut, nonfinancial support from Veralox, grants from Dilaflo, nonfinancial support from Vakzine Projekt Management GmbH, grants from GIZ Else-Körner-Stiftung, grants from GIZ Else-Körner-Stiftung, nonfinancial support from AstraZeneca, nonfinancial support from Janssen Vaccines & Prevention B.V., personal fees from Takeda Pharma, personal fees from Falk Foundation e.V., grants from European Medicines Agency, personal fees from Mylan Germany, outside the submitted work. In addition, A.G. has a patent Screening Methods for transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) with royalties paid to EP2321644, 18.05.2011.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jo-Ann I. Sheppard for assistance in the preparation of the figures.

References

- Rhodes GR, Dixon RH, Silver D. Heparin induced thrombocytopenia with thrombotic and hemorrhagic manifestations. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1973;136(03):409–416
- Chong BH, Pitney WR, Castaldi PA. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: association of thrombotic complications with heparin-dependent IgG antibody that induces thromboxane synthesis in platelet aggregation. *Lancet* 1982;2(8310):1246–1249
- Sheridan D, Carter C, Kelton JG. A diagnostic test for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 1986;67(01):27–30
- Greinacher A, Michels I, Kiefel V, Mueller-Eckhardt C. A rapid and sensitive test for diagnosing heparin-associated thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Haemost* 1991;66(06):734–736
- Amiral J, Bridey F, Dreyfus M, et al. Platelet factor 4 complexed to heparin is the target for antibodies generated in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Haemost* 1992;68(01):95–96
- Warkentin TE. Platelet-activating anti-PF4 disorders: an overview. *Semin Hematol* 2022;59(02):59–71
- Warkentin TE, Greinacher A. Spontaneous HIT syndrome: knee replacement, infection, and parallels with vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res* 2021;204:40–51
- Favaloro EJ, Pasalic L, Lippi G. Antibodies against platelet factor 4 and their associated pathologies: from HIT/HITT to spontaneous HIT-like syndrome, to COVID-19, to VITT/TTS. *Antibodies (Basel)* 2022;11(01):7
- Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle P, Eichinger S. A prothrombotic thrombocytopenic disorder resembling heparin-induced thrombocytopenia following coronavirus-19 vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(22):2092–2101. Preprint available at: <https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-362354/v2/c3d5df68-3cc0-40e4-a779-e19498b50d6f.pdf?c=1631880543>
- Warkentin TE, Greinacher A. Laboratory testing for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. In: Warkentin TE, Greinacher A, eds. *Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia*. 5th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2013:272–314
- Mayo KH, Ilyina E, Roongta V, et al. Heparin binding to platelet factor-4. An NMR and site-directed mutagenesis study: arginine residues are crucial for binding. *Biochem J* 1995;312(Pt 2):357–365
- Greinacher A, Pötzsch B, Amiral J, Dummel V, Eichner A, Mueller-Eckhardt C. Heparin-associated thrombocytopenia: isolation of the antibody and characterization of a multimolecular PF4-heparin complex as the major antigen. *Thromb Haemost* 1994;71(02):247–251
- Kelton JG, Smith JW, Warkentin TE, Hayward CPM, Denomme GA, Horsewood P. Immunoglobulin G from patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia binds to a complex of heparin and platelet factor 4. *Blood* 1994;83(11):3232–3239
- Visentin GP, Ford SE, Scott JP, Aster RH. Antibodies from patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia/thrombosis are specific for platelet factor 4 complexed with heparin or bound to endothelial cells. *J Clin Invest* 1994;93(01):81–88
- Gollomp K, Kim M, Johnston I, et al. Neutrophil accumulation and NET release contribute to thrombosis in HIT. *JCI Insight* 2018;3(18):e99445
- Perdomo J, Leung HHL, Ahmadi Z, et al. Neutrophil activation and NETosis are the major drivers of thrombosis in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Nat Commun* 2019;10(01):1322
- Kanack AJ, Bayas A, George G, et al. Monoclonal and oligoclonal anti-platelet factor 4 antibodies mediate VITT. *Blood* 2022;140(01):73–77
- Warkentin TE, Kelton JG. Temporal aspects of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344(17):1286–1292
- Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Whitlock RP. Temporal presentations of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia following cardiac surgery: a single-center, retrospective cohort study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2022;20(11):2601–2616
- Warkentin TE. HITlights: a career perspective on heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Am J Hematol* 2012;87(Suppl 1):S92–S99
- Greinacher A, Selleng K, Warkentin TE. Autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Thromb Haemost* 2017;15(11):2099–2114
- Warkentin TE, Kelton JG. Delayed-onset heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and thrombosis. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;135(07):502–506
- Warkentin TE. Clinical picture of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and its differentiation from non-HIT thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Haemost* 2016;116(05):813–822
- Padmanabhan A, Jones CG, Pechauer SM, et al. IVIg for treatment of severe refractory heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Chest* 2017;152(03):478–485
- Mian H, Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, et al. Autoimmune HIT due to apheresis catheter heparin flushes for stem cell harvesting before autotransplantation for myeloma. *Blood* 2017;130(14):1679–1682
- Refaai MA, Warkentin TE, Axelson M, Matevosyan K, Sarode R. Delayed-onset heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, venous thromboembolism, and cerebral venous thrombosis: a consequence of heparin “flushes”. *Thromb Haemost* 2007;98(05):1139–1140
- Padmanabhan A, Jones CG, Bougie DW, et al. Heparin-independent, PF4-dependent binding of HIT antibodies to platelets: implications for HIT pathogenesis. *Blood* 2015;125(01):155–161
- Cines DB, Yarovoi SV, Zaitsev SV, et al. Polyphosphate/platelet factor 4 complexes can mediate heparin-independent platelet

- activation in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood Adv* 2016;1(01):62–74
- 29 Warkentin TE, Makris M, Jay RM, Kelton JG. A spontaneous prothrombotic disorder resembling heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Am J Med* 2008;121(07):632–636
 - 30 Jay RM, Warkentin TE. Fatal heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) during warfarin thromboprophylaxis following orthopedic surgery: another example of 'spontaneous' HIT? *J Thromb Haemost* 2008;6(09):1598–1600
 - 31 Greinacher A, Langer F, Schönborn L, et al. Platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies mimic VITT antibodies in an unvaccinated patient with monoclonal gammopathy. *Haematologica* 2022;107(05):1219–1221
 - 32 Shih AW, Sheppard JI, Warkentin TE. Platelet count recovery and seroreversion in immune HIT despite continuation of heparin: further observations and literature review. *Thromb Haemost* 2017;117(10):1868–1874
 - 33 Moores G, Warkentin TE, Farooqi MAM, Jevtic SD, Zeller MP, Perera KS. Spontaneous heparin-induced thrombocytopenia presenting as cerebral venous sinus thrombosis. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2021;11(06):e929–e931
 - 34 Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(22):2092–2101
 - 35 Schultz NH, Sørvoll IH, Michelsen AE, et al. Thrombosis and thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(22):2124–2130
 - 36 Scully M, Singh D, Lown R, et al. Pathologic antibodies to platelet factor 4 after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(23):2202–2211
 - 37 Pavord S, Scully M, Hunt BJ, et al. Clinical features of vaccine-induced immune thrombocytopenia and thrombosis. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385(18):1680–1689
 - 38 See I, Lale A, Marquez P, et al. Case series of thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome after COVID-19 vaccination-United States, December 2020 to August 2021. *Ann Intern Med* 2022;175(04):513–522
 - 39 Herrera-Comoglio R, Lane S. Vaccine-induced immune thrombocytopenia and thrombosis after the Sputnik V vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2022;387(15):1431–1432
 - 40 Guidance for clinical case management of thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome (TTS) following vaccination to prevent coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Accessed October 15, 2022 at: <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-TTS-2021.1>
 - 41 Warkentin TE, Pai M. The epidemiology of thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome: analogies with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Ann Intern Med* 2022;175(04):604–605
 - 42 Makris M, Pavord S. Most cases of thrombosis and thrombocytopenia syndrome (TTS) post ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 are vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT). *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2021;12:100274
 - 43 Huynh A, Arnold DM, Michael JV, et al. Characteristics of VITT antibodies in patients vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S. *Blood Adv* 2023;7(02):246–250
 - 44 Johansen S, Laegreid IJ, Ernstsén SL, et al. Thrombosis and thrombocytopenia after HPV vaccination. *J Thromb Haemost* 2022;20(03):700–704
 - 45 Suh JS, Aster RH, Visentin GP. Antibodies from patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia/thrombosis recognize different epitopes on heparin: platelet factor 4. *Blood* 1998;91(03):916–922
 - 46 Ziporen L, Li ZQ, Park KS, et al. Defining an antigenic epitope on platelet factor 4 associated with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 1998;92(09):3250–3259
 - 47 Li ZQ, Liu W, Park KS, et al. Defining a second epitope for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia/thrombosis antibodies using KKO, a murine HIT-like monoclonal antibody. *Blood* 2002;99(04):1230–1236
 - 48 Cai Z, Yarovoi SV, Zhu Z, et al. Atomic description of the immune complex involved in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Nat Commun* 2015;6:8277
 - 49 Huynh A, Kelton JG, Arnold DM, Daka M, Nazy I. Antibody epitopes in vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *Nature* 2021;596(7873):565–569
 - 50 Nguyen TH, Medvedev N, Delcea M, Greinacher A. Anti-platelet factor 4/polyanion antibodies mediate a new mechanism of autoimmunity. *Nat Commun* 2017;8:14945
 - 51 Warkentin TE, Sheppard JA, Moore JC, Cook RJ, Kelton JG. Studies of the immune response in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 2009;113(20):4963–4969
 - 52 Newman PM, Chong BH. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: new evidence for the dynamic binding of purified anti-PF4-heparin antibodies to platelets and the resultant platelet activation. *Blood* 2000;96(01):182–187
 - 53 Warkentin TE. Laboratory diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2019;41(Suppl 1):15–25
 - 54 Schönborn L, Thiele T, Esefeld M, et al. Quantitative interpretation of PF4/heparin-EIA optical densities in predicting platelet-activating VITT antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost* 2022;20(11):2579–2586
 - 55 Greinacher A, Juhl D, Strobel U, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a prospective study on the incidence, platelet-activating capacity and clinical significance of antiplatelet factor 4/heparin antibodies of the IgG, IgM, and IgA classes. *J Thromb Haemost* 2007;5(08):1666–1673
 - 56 Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Moore JC, Sigouin CS, Kelton JG. Quantitative interpretation of optical density measurements using PF4-dependent enzyme-immunoassays. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008;6(08):1304–1312
 - 57 Thiele T, Ulm L, Holtfreter S, et al. Frequency of positive anti-PF4/polyanion antibody tests after COVID-19 vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and BNT162b2. *Blood* 2021;138(04):299–303
 - 58 Barefah AS, Radhwi OO, Alamri SS, et al. Low clinical utility of testing for anti-platelet factor 4 in asymptomatic individuals after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2022;44(02):424–429
 - 59 Babcock RB, Dumper CW, Scharfman WB. Heparin-induced immune thrombocytopenia. *N Engl J Med* 1976;295(05):237–241
 - 60 Green D, Harris K, Reynolds N, Roberts M, Patterson R. Heparin immune thrombocytopenia: evidence for a heparin-platelet complex as the antigenic determinant. *J Lab Clin Med* 1978;91(01):167–175
 - 61 Nelson JC, Lerner RG, Goldstein R, Cagin NA. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Arch Intern Med* 1978;138(04):548–552
 - 62 Polgár J, Eichler P, Greinacher A, Clemetson KJ. Adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and ADP receptor play a major role in platelet activation/aggregation induced by sera from heparin-induced thrombocytopenia patients. *Blood* 1998;91(02):549–554
 - 63 Warkentin TE. How I diagnose and manage HIT. *Hematology (Am Soc Hematol Educ Program)* 2011;2011:143–149
 - 64 Warkentin TE, Arnold DM, Nazi I, Kelton JG. The platelet serotonin-release assay. *Am J Hematol* 2015;90(06):564–572
 - 65 Eichler P, Budde U, Haas S, et al. First workshop for detection of heparin-induced antibodies: validation of the heparin-induced platelet-activation test (HIPA) in comparison with a PF4/heparin ELISA. *Thromb Haemost* 1999;81(04):625–629
 - 66 Greinacher A, Gopinadhan M, Günther JU, et al. Close approximation of two platelet factor 4 tetramers by charge neutralization forms the antigens recognized by HIT antibodies. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006;26(10):2386–2393
 - 67 Warkentin TE, Hayward CPM, Smith CA, Kelly PM, Kelton JG. Determinants of donor platelet variability when testing for

- heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Lab Clin Med* 1992;120(03):371–379
- 68 Nazi I, Arnold DM, Warkentin TE, Smith JW, Staibano P, Kelton JG. Distinguishing between anti-platelet factor 4/heparin antibodies that can and cannot cause heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Thromb Haemost* 2015;13(10):1900–1907
 - 69 Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Smith JW, Arnold DM, Nazy I. Time-line of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia seroconversion in serial plasma samples tested using an automated latex immunoturbidimetric assay. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2019;41(04):493–502
 - 70 Warkentin TE, Nazy I, Sheppard JI, Smith JW, Kelton JG, Arnold DM. Serotonin-release assay-negative heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Am J Hematol* 2020;95(01):38–47
 - 71 Koster A, Nazy I, Birschmann IE, Smith JW, Sheppard JI, Warkentin TE. High-dose IVIG plus cangrelor platelet “anesthesia” during urgent heparin-CPB in a patient with recent SRA-negative HIT-thrombosis with persisting platelet-activating antibodies. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost* 2020;4(06):1060–1064
 - 72 Padmanabhan A, Jones CG, Bougie DW, et al. A modified PF4-dependent, CD62p expression assay selectively detects serotonin-releasing antibodies in patients suspected of HIT. *Thromb Haemost* 2015;114(06):1322–1323
 - 73 Padmanabhan A, Jones CG, Curtis BR, et al. A novel PF4-dependent platelet activation assay identifies patients likely to have heparin-induced thrombocytopenia/thrombosis. *Chest* 2016;150(03):506–515
 - 74 Wayne C, Guery EA, Kizlik-Masson C, et al. Beneficial effect of exogenous platelet factor 4 for detecting pathogenic heparin-induced thrombocytopenia antibodies. *Br J Haematol* 2017;179(05):811–819
 - 75 Greinacher A, Langer F, Makris M, et al. Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT): update on diagnosis and management considering different resources. *J Thromb Haemost* 2022;20(01):149–156
 - 76 Krauel K, Füllr B, Warkentin TE, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia—therapeutic concentrations of danaparoid, unlike fondaparinux and direct thrombin inhibitors, inhibit formation of platelet factor 4-heparin complexes. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008;6(12):2160–2167
 - 77 Warkentin TE, Greinacher A. Laboratory testing for VITT antibodies. *Semin Hematol* 2022;59(02):80–88
 - 78 Handtke S, Wolff M, Zaninetti C, et al. A flow cytometric assay to detect platelet-activating antibodies in VITT after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 vaccination. *Blood* 2021;137(26):3656–3659
 - 79 Bourguignon A, Arnold DM, Warkentin TE, et al. Adjunct immune globulin for vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385(08):720–728
 - 80 Wayne C, Rollin J, Gruel Y, et al. PF4 immunoassays in vaccine-induced thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385(04):376–378
 - 81 Thiele T, Weisser K, Schönborn L, et al. Laboratory confirmed vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia: retrospective analysis of reported cases after vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCov-19 in Germany. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2022;12:100270
 - 82 Uzun G, Althaus K, Singh A, et al. The use of IV immunoglobulin in the treatment of vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 2021;138(11):992–996
 - 83 Salih F, Schönborn L, Kohler S, et al. Vaccine-induced thrombocytopenia with severe headache. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385(22):2103–2105
 - 84 Kanack AJ, Singh B, George G, et al. Persistence of Ad26.COV2.S-associated vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) and specific detection of VITT antibodies. *Am J Hematol* 2022;97(05):519–526
 - 85 Galea V, Khaterchi A, Robert F, Gerotziakas G, Hatmi M, Elalamy I. Heparin-induced multiple electrode aggregometry is a promising and useful functional tool for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia diagnosis: confirmation in a prospective study. *Platelets* 2013;24(06):441–447
 - 86 Morel-Kopp MC, Mullier F, Gkalea V, et al; Subcommittee on Platelet Immunology. Heparin-induced multi-electrode aggregometry method for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia testing: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost* 2016;14(12):2548–2552
 - 87 Lee CSM, Liang HPH, Connor DE, et al. A novel flow cytometry procoagulant assay for diagnosis of vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *Blood Adv* 2022;6(11):3494–3506
 - 88 Lee CSM, Selvadurai MV, Pasalic L, et al. Measurement of procoagulant platelets provides mechanistic insight and diagnostic potential in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Thromb Haemost* 2022;20(04):975–988
 - 89 Lindhoff-Last E, Gerdens F, Ackermann H, Bauersachs R. Determination of heparin-platelet factor 4-IgG antibodies improves diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol* 2001;113(04):886–890
 - 90 Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Moore JC, Kelton JG. The use of well-characterized sera for the assessment of new diagnostic enzyme-immunoassays for the diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;8(01):216–218
 - 91 Greinacher A, Amiral J, Dummel V, Vissac A, Kiefel V, Mueller-Eckhardt C. Laboratory diagnosis of heparin-associated thrombocytopenia and comparison of platelet aggregation test, heparin-induced platelet activation test, and platelet factor 4/heparin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Transfusion* 1994;34(05):381–385
 - 92 Horsewood P, Warkentin TE, Hayward CPM, Kelton JG. The epitope specificity of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol* 1996;95(01):161–167
 - 93 Greinacher A, Michels I, Mueller-Eckhardt C. Heparin-associated thrombocytopenia: the antibody is not heparin specific. *Thromb Haemost* 1992;67(05):545–549
 - 94 Visentin GP, Moghaddam M, Beery SE, McFarland JG, Aster RH. Heparin is not required for detection of antibodies associated with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia/thrombosis. *J Lab Clin Med* 2001;138(01):22–31
 - 95 Poupard C, Leroux D, Regina S, Rollin J, Gruel Y. Effectiveness of a new immunoassay for the diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and improved specificity when detecting IgG antibodies. *Thromb Haemost* 2010;103(01):145–150
 - 96 Sachs UJ, Cooper N, Czwalińska A, et al. PF4-dependent immunoassays in patients with vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia: results of an interlaboratory comparison. *Thromb Haemost* 2021;121(12):1622–1627
 - 97 Platton S, Bartlett A, MacCallum P, et al. Evaluation of laboratory assays for anti-platelet factor 4 antibodies after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 vaccination. *J Thromb Haemost* 2021;19(08):2007–2013
 - 98 Platton S, Schönborn L, Charrot S, et al. Vaccine-induced immune thrombocytopenia and thrombosis: the decline in anti-platelet factor 4 antibodies is assay-dependent. *Br J Haematol* 2022;197(04):428–430
 - 99 Gabarin N, Arnold DM, Nazy I, Warkentin TE. Treatment of vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT). *Semin Hematol* 2022;59(02):89–96
 - 100 Gabarin N, Patterson S, Pai M, et al. Venous thromboembolism and mild thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 vaccination. *Thromb Haemost* 2021;121(12):1677–1680
 - 101 Nellen V, Sulzer I, Barizzi G, Lämmle B, Alberio L. Rapid exclusion or confirmation of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a single-center experience with 1,291 patients. *Haematologica* 2012;97(01):89–97
 - 102 Leroux D, Hezard N, Lebreton A, et al. Prospective evaluation of a rapid nanoparticle-based lateral flow immunoassay (STic Expert (®) HIT) for the diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol* 2014;166(05):774–782

- 103 Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Linkins LA, Arnold DM, Nazy I. Performance characteristics of an automated latex immunoturbidimetric assay [HemosL[®] HIT-Ab_(PF4-H)] for the diagnosis of immune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res* 2017;153:108–117
- 104 Althaus K, Hron G, Strobel U, et al. Evaluation of automated immunoassays in the diagnosis of heparin induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res* 2013;131(03):e85–e90
- 105 Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Linkins LA, Arnold DM, Nazy I. High sensitivity and specificity of an automated IgG-specific chemiluminescence immunoassay for diagnosis of HIT. *Blood* 2018; 132(12):1345–1349
- 106 Vayne C, Nguyen TH, Rollin J, et al. Characterization of new monoclonal PF4-specific antibodies as useful tools for studies on typical and autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Haemost* 2021;121(03):322–331
- 107 Galli M, Barbui T. Antiprothrombin antibodies: detection and clinical significance in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Blood* 1999;93(07):2149–2157