Synthesis and Structural Characterization of a Highly Effective Chiral Dipyridylphosphine Ligand and Its Application in the Ru-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrogenation of β -Ketoesters

Jing Wu, Hua Chen, Zhong-Yuan Zhou, Chi Hung Yeung, Albert S. C. Chan*

Open Laboratory of Chirotechnology and Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

Fax +852 23649932; E-mail: bcachan@polyu.edu.hk

Received 1 April 2001

Abstract: A new chiral dipyridylphosphine ligand Tol-P-Phos has been synthesized and the structure of the complex of (*R*)-Tol-P-Phos oxide with (–)-dibenzoyl-L-tartaric acid [(–)-DBT] was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The ruthenium complex of Tol-P-Phos, Ru(*R*-Tol-P-Phos)(C₆H₆)Cl₂, has been found to be a highly active and enantioselective catalyst in the asymmetric hydrogenation of β -ketoesters (up to 98.2% *e.e.*). The catalyst is also found to be air-stable even in solution.

Key words: asymmetric catalysis, hydrogenation, dipyridylphosphine ligands, ruthenium complex, β -ketoester

The search for new chiral ligands is an ongoing process in the field of asymmetric synthesis.¹ Although more than a thousand chiral nonracemic diphosphines have been synthesized and the efficiency of catalysts derived from these ligands have been established,^{1,2} the possibility of discovering catalysts with improved utility, activity, and selec-

tivity by designing new ligands remains to be an area of active research.

Over the past two decades, tremendous success has been achieved in the use of chiral arylphosphine ligands such as BINAP, BIPHEP, MeO-BIPHEP, and DuPhos, etc. in Rh- or Ru-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation reactions.¹ As an effort to expand the scope of the arylphosphine ligands and their application in homogeneous catalysis, transition-metal complexes containing pyridylphosphine ligands have been synthesized and tested in homogeneous catalysis.³ Unfortunately, the tested complexes were found to be inactive in the homogeneous hydrogenations owing to the pyridyl group which coordinated to the metal center and rendered the complex coordinately saturated.⁴ By preventing the coordination of the pyridyl groups via the use of bulky substituents, we found that the resulting rhodium(I) complex was effective for the hydrogenation of aldehydes, olefins, and imines.⁵

Scheme Synthesis of Tol-P-Phos

Figure ORTEP Drawing of the Complex *(R)*-(+)-**6**-(-)-DBT with Numbering Schemes. Selected distances(Å) and angles (deg): C(19) – C(22) = 1.502 (5), P (1) – C (15) = 1.806 (3), P (2) – C (29) = 1.796 (3), P (1) – O (1) = 1.486 (2), P – (2)-O (2) = 1.487 (2), O (1)···O (10A) = 2.530, O (2)···O (8) = 2.487

Inspired by the success of the modified pyridylphosphine ligand, we have developed a chiral dipyridylphosphine ligand 2,2',6,6'-tetramethoxy-4,4'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-3,3'-bipyridine (P-Phos),⁶ which was found to be very effective in the Ru catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-(6'-methoxy-2'-naphthyl)propenoic acid to give the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug naproxen.^{6,7} In this paper, we report the synthesis, characterization and application of a new dipyridylphosphine ligand, 2,2',6,6'-tetramethoxy-4,4'-bis[di(*p*-tolyl)phosphino]-3,3'-bipyridine (Tol-P-Phos).

The synthetic route of Tol-P-Phos is outlined in the Scheme. The slow addition of bromine to commercially available **1** in CCl₄ between –30 °C to –40 °C gave 3-bromo-2,6-dimethoxypyridine (**2**) in 76% yield.⁸ The regioselective lithiation⁹ of **2** with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) in THF at –78 °C, followed by the addition of di(4-methylphenyl)phosphine chloride (**3**) provided 3-bromo-2,6-dimethoxy-4-di(*p*-methylphenyl)phosphinopyridine (**4**, 55% yield), while **3** was prepared according to the literature method in 73% yield.¹⁰ Oxidation of **4** with hydrogen peroxide in acetone at 0 °C produced **5** in 99% yield. The racemic dipyridylphosphine oxide **6** was obtained via Ullmann coupling¹¹ of **5**.

The resolution of racemate (±)-**6** was achieved by the use of enantiomers of DBT.¹² When a solution of (2*R*,3*R*)-(-)-DBT in ethyl acetate was added to a boiling solution of racemic compound **6** in chloroform, a 1:1 complex of (+)-**6** and (-)-DBT [(+)-**6**-(-)-DBT] precipitated as a crystalline solid. X-ray structure analysis (Figure) re-

vealed that the crystals are built up of infinite close-packed chains in which equimolar (+)-**6** and (-)-DBT are connected in a regularly alternating way through two inter-molecular hydrogen bonds between oxygen atoms [O(1) and O(2)] of the P=O groups in compound **6** and hydrogen atoms of the COOH groups of DBT.

The low solubility of complex (+)-**6**-(-)-DBT is attributable to such a linear polymeric structure. From the internal comparison with (2*R*, 3*R*)-(-)-DBT, the absolute configuration of (+)-**6** was determined to be *R*. Subsequent decomposition of complex (+)-**6**-(-)-DBT with aq. NaOH provided enantiomerically pure *(R)*-(+)-**6** in 91% yield based on the (±)-**6** used. (*S*)-(-)-**6** was recovered from the mother liquor of the recrystallization by treatment with aq. NaOH. This crude product could be further purified via the formation of the complex with (+)-DBT. Thus, both *(R)*-(+)-**6** and (*S*)-(-)-**6** enantiomers were effectively obtained by choosing the handedness of the resolving agents. Reduction of enantiomerically pure **6** with trichlorosilane in the presence of triethylamine led to the targeted enantiomers of atropisomeric ligands **7** (92% yield), the structure of which was confirmed by ¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR, and elemental analysis.

Using Mashima *et al*'s method,¹³ Ru(*R*-Tol-P-Phos)(C₆H₆)Cl₂ (**8**) was prepared by mixing [RuCl₂(C₆H₆)₂] with *R*-Tol-P-Phos in an 8:1 mixture of ethanol-benzene and the complex was isolated as a reddish brown solid. The structure of the complex was characterized by ¹H, and ³¹P NMR.¹⁴

Table 1 Asymmetric Hydrogenation of β -Ketoesters Catalyzed by **8**^a

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{O} \quad \text{O} \\ \parallel \quad \parallel \\ \text{R}^1-\text{C}-\text{CH}_2-\text{C}-\text{OR}^2 \end{array} & \xrightarrow[\text{H}_2]{\text{Ru}(\textit{R-Tol-P-Phos})(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)\text{Cl}_2} & \begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \quad \text{O} \\ | \quad \parallel \\ \text{R}^1-\text{C}-\text{CH}_2-\text{C}-\text{R}^2 \end{array} \\
 \text{R}^1=\text{CH}_3, \quad \text{R}^2=\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5, & \mathbf{9a} & \mathbf{10a} \\
 \text{R}^1=\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}, \quad \text{R}^2=\text{C}_2\text{H}_5, & \mathbf{9b} & \mathbf{10b} \\
 \text{R}^1=\text{C}_6\text{H}_5, \quad \text{R}^2=\text{C}_2\text{H}_5, & \mathbf{9c} & \mathbf{10c}
 \end{array}$$

entry	substrate	S/C(M/M)	P_{H_2} (psi)	$T(^{\circ}\text{C})$	Time(h)	Conv.(%)	ee(%)
1 ^b	9a	400	300	80	2	100	98.2
2 ^b	9b	400	300	80	2	100	97.9
3 ^c	9c	800	300	90	2	100	96.2

^aReaction conditions: 200 mg substrate; substrate concentration = 1.78–2.38 M in EtOH/CH₂Cl₂. The substrate and catalyst were added to the stainless steel autoclave under N₂ and the solvents were degassed and dried prior to use.

^bThe conversion yield and the ee were determined by chiral GC with a Chrompack Chirasil-DEX CB columns after converting the products to the corresponding acetyl derivatives.

^cThe conversion yield and the ee were determined by 500 MHz ¹H NMR and HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD column).

8 was found to be a highly effective catalyst for the asymmetric hydrogenation of β -ketoesters (Table 1). The reactions proceeded smoothly at 80–90 °C and under 300 psi of H₂ in two hours and the ee value is up to 98.2% (entry 1).

The hydrogenation of **9c** leads to a useful pharmaceutical intermediate, (S)-3-hydroxy-3-phenyl propionate (**10c**).¹⁵ Table 2 indicates that the rate and enantioselectivity of this reaction were influenced by the choice of solvent, H₂ pressure and the molar ratio of substrate to catalyst (S/C). The mixed solvent of EtOH – CH₂Cl₂ (1:1) and lower H₂ pressure are favorable for higher enantioselectivity. Entry 11–13 were carried out in a larger scale (30 g substrate) and the results indicated that no substantial decrease of ee (95.9% ee, entry 11) with high S/C ratio. Although enantioselectivity is a major concern in modern asymmetric synthesis, the reactivity and productivity are also important factors of consideration in determining the commercial feasibility of a reaction.^{2e} Thus the demonstration of carrying out the reaction at high S/C ratio without losing enantioselectivity was encouraging. Other desirable features of a good catalyst system include the ease of catalyst preparation and handling as well as the operational simplicity of the experimental procedures. A usual problem associated with the use of noble metal phosphine catalysts is the air-sensitivity of the catalysts. The oxidation of the active catalysts by trace amounts of air in the reaction system often makes irreproducible results in many reactions. This problem is even more severe in industrial applications in which the rigorous de-gassing is usually more difficult than the laboratory-scale operations. Therefore, it is highly desirable to develop effective catalysts with good air-stability. In this study we were delighted to find that the Ru(*R*-Tol-P-Phos)(C₆H₆)Cl₂ catalyst system to be highly air-stable. In a simple stability test we found that

when the substrate (**9c**) and the Ru(*R*-Tol-P-Phos) catalyst were added to the stainless steel autoclave in air and when the solvents were not degassed and dried prior to use, the activity and enantioselectivity of the catalyst (**8**) remained to be unchanged (96.4% ee, entry 14) from the air-proved system (96.2% ee, entry 8). Further investigation showed that the activity and enantioselectivity of **8** remained to be the same even its solution in EtOH and CH₂Cl₂ were stirred for 10 hours under air prior to its application (95.7% ee, entry 15). These results compared favorably with those obtained from the use of Ru(*R*-Bi-nap)(C₆H₆)Cl₂ as catalyst precursor in a side by side comparison study.

The air-stability of **8** was also supported by a ³¹P NMR study (Figure 2). After the catalyst solution was exposed to air for 10 h, its ³¹P NMR spectrum showed no variation in comparison with that determined under N₂ [CDCl₃, 500 MHz, δ 31.15 (d, J = 62.7 1 Hz), 38.28 (d, J = 62.71 Hz)].¹⁴ These results showed excellent potential for the practical applications of the P-Phos type ligands in asymmetric catalytic reactions.

In conclusion, we have developed a new dipyridylphosphine ligand and its Ru complex **8** has been found to be a highly effective catalyst in the asymmetric hydrogenation of β -ketoesters. It is of high interest to note that **8** is stable to air, which makes the experiments convenient to operate and also shows a good potential for its industrial application.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (Project number PolyU 5177/99P) and The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (ASD) for financial support of this study.

Table 2 The Effect of Reaction Conditions on the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of **9c** Catalyzed by **8**

Entry	Solvent(v/v)	S/C(M/M)	P_{H_2} (psi)	Time(h)	Conv.(%)	ee(%) (config.) ^f
1 ^{a,c}	EtOH	800	500	12	100	95.5(S)
2 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	800	500	12	100	96.0(S)
3 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 2	800	500	12	100	95.8(S)
4 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 3	800	500	12	100	90.9(S)
5 ^{a,c}	EtOH/THF 1 : 1	800	500	12	100	94.7(S)
6 ^{a,c}	EtOH/Toluene 1 : 1	800	500	12	90.8	85.2(S)
7 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	800	300	1.5	95.4	95.6(S)
8 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	800	300	2	100	96.2(S)(92.0) ^g
9 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	800	1000	2	100	94.7(S)
10 ^{a,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	2400	350	15	100	96.1(S)
11 ^{b,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	5000	350	15	100	95.9(S)
12 ^{b,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	7500	350	15	90	91.3(S)
13 ^{b,c}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	7500	350	24	95	94.7(S)
14 ^{a,d}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	800	300	3	100	96.4(S)(90.0) ^g
15 ^{a,e}	EtOH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 : 1	800	300	3	100(95.5)	95.7(S)(66.6) ^g

^a90 °C; 200 mg substrate; substrate concentration = 1.73 M.^b90 °C; 30 g substrate; substrate concentration = 4.11 M.^cThe substrate and catalyst were added to the stainless steel autoclave under N₂ and the solvents were degassed and dried prior to use.^dThe substrate and catalyst were added to the stainless steel autoclave in air and the solvents were not degassed and dried prior to use.^eThe catalysts solution in EtOH and CH₂Cl₂ were stirred for 10 hours under air before the addition of substrate and H₂.^fThe absolute configurations were assigned according to ref. 16. ^gThe numbers in bracket were obtained by using Ru(*R*-Binap)(C₆H₆)Cl₂ as catalyst under the same reaction conditions.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Noyori, R. In *Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*; Wiley: New York, 1993. (b) Ojima, I. In *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*; 2nd Ed.; Wiley: New York, 2000. (c) Brunner, H. *Synthesis* **1988**, 645. (d) Bhaduri, S.; Mukesh, D. In *Homogeneous Catalysis Mechanisms and Industrial Applications*; Wiley: New York, 2000. (e) Lin, G.-Q.; Li, Y.-M.; Chan, A. S. C. In *Principles and Applications of Asymmetric Synthesis*; Wiley: New York, 2001.
- (2) (a) Knowles, W. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1983**, *16*, 106. (b) Noyori, R.; Takaya, H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1990**, *23*, 345. (c) Noyori, R. *Science* **1990**, *248*, 1194. (d) Chan, A. S. C.; Hu, W.; Pai, C.-C.; Lau, C.-P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 9570. (e) Noyori, R.; Ohkuma, T.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 40.
- (3) (a) Newkome, G. R. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2067. (b) Brunner, H.; Bublak, P. *Synthesis* **1995**, 36. (c) Yang, H.; Alvarez, M.; Lugan, N.; Mathieu, R. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 1721. (d) Fell, B.; Papadogianakis, G. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1991**, *66*, 143.
- (4) Kurtev, K.; Bibola, D.; Jones, R. A.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Wilkinson, G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans.* **1990**, 55.
- (5) (a) Chan, A. S. C.; Chen, C. C.; Cao, R. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3469. (b) Hu, W.; Pai, C. C.; Chen, C. C.; Xue, G.; Chan, A. S. C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 3214. (c) Hu, W.; Chen, C. C.; Xue, G. Chan, A. S. C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 4183.
- (6) Pai, C.-C.; Lin, C.-W.; Lin, C.-C.; Chen, C.-C.; Chan, A. S. C.; Wong, W. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11513.
- (7) Harrington, P. J.; Lodewijk, E. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **1997**, *1*, 72.
- (8) Subhash, K. P.; Edward, B. R. *Heterocycles* **1998**, *27*, 2643.
- (9) (a) Gu, Y. G.; Erol, B. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2565. (b) Gribble, G. W.; Saulnier, M. G. *Heterocycles* **1993**, *35*, 151.
- (10) Casalnuovo, A. L.; RajanBabu, T. V.; Ayers, T. A.; Warren, T. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 9869.
- (11) Fanta, P. E. *Synthesis* **1974**, 9.
- (12) (a) Takaya, H.; Akutagawa, S.; Noyori, R. *Org. Synth.* **1988**, *67*, 20. (b) Zhang, X.; Mashima, K.; Koyano, K.; Sayo, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S.; Takaya, H. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1994**, 2309.

- (13) Mashima, K.; Kusano, K.; Sato, N.; Matsumura, Y.; Nozaki, K.; Kumobayashi, H.; Sayo, N.; Hori, Y.; Ishizaki, T.; Akutagawa, S.; Takaya, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 3064.
- (14) Spectral data for **8**: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500MHz): δ 2.31 (s, 6H, PhCH_3), 2.35 (s, 6H, PhCH_3), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 5.75 (s, 6H, C_6H_6), 5.94 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H, PyH), 6.38 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 1H, PyH), 7.18–7.20 (m, 6H, PhH), 7.29–7.45 (m, 10H, PhH). ^{31}P NMR (CDCl_3 , 500MHz): δ 31.15 (d, $J = 62.71$ Hz), 38.26 (d, $J = 62.71$ Hz).
- (15) Kumar, A.; Ner, D. H.; Dike, S. Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1901.
- (16) Noyori, R.; Ohkuma, T.; Kitamura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5856.

Article Identifier:
1437-2096,E;2001,0,SI,1050,1054,ftx,en;Y08201ST.pdf