Lung cancer patients with small nodes on CT – what's the next step?

J. T. Annema¹, K. F. Rabe¹

¹ Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Lung cancer patients with small nodes-background

The management of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) without signs of mediastinal involvement (nodes < 1 cm short axis) at chest-computed tomography (CT) is subject of debate. Regarding the high prevalence of lung cancer and the fact that operable lung cancer patients often present with small nodes, the issue under investigation is very relevant. To date, these patients will rarely be referred for thoracotomy directly without further staging, as small nodes contain mediastinal metastases in around 18% (range 15%-37%) of patients [1] and it is generally accepted that patients with locally advanced disease are preferable treated with multi modality treatment versus surgery alone [2,3]. For mediastinal staging, in addition to the well established surgical procedures mediastinoscopy/-tomy and thoracoscopy [4], novel methods have become clinically available such as Positron Emission Tomography (PET) and ultrasound-guided needle aspiration methods from both the esophagus (transesophageal ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration: EUS-FNA) and bronchi (endobronchial ultrasound guided trans-bronchial needle aspiration: EBUS-TBNA). Each of the staging methods has specific advantages and limitations and therefore various staging strategies are possible to accomplish accurate mediastinal staging. In this paper we will focus on patients with NSCLC and small nodes at chest CT and provide arguments for several different staging strategies for this large subset of patients.

Staging methods

EUS – FNA

Mediastinal nodes as small as 2–3 mm can be detected by EUS [5]. As EUS criteria alone have a moderate correlation for the presence or absence of metastases [6,1,7] nodes with at least one ultrasound feature that is suggestive for malignancy – size short axis > 1 cm, a round shape, sharp borders or a hypo-echoic appearance – should be biopsied. Nodes sized < 5 mm with a flat or oval shape and iso-echoic appearance (Fig. 1) seldom contain metastases. Nodes as small as 4 mm can be aspirated under real-time ultrasound control in order to obtain tissue [5] (Fig. 2). Two prospective studies evaluated EUS-FNA in patients with NSCLC without enlarged nodes at chest CT. Wallace et al. reported that EUS-FNA demonstrated N2–3 lymph node metastases in 14 out of 67 patients (21%). There were also 9 false negative find-

Fig. 1 Benign mediastinal node (LN), sized 3 × 8 mm, with a flat shape, an iso-echoic pattern and vague borders.

Fig. 2 EUS guided fine needle aspiration of an 8 × 14 mm sized mediastinal node (LN), with a round shape, an hypo-echoic echo pattern and sharp borders located adjacent to the esophagus (ES) and the pulmonary artery (PA). (N = Needle).

ings either due to sampling errors (n = 5) or the presence of metastases in pre- and para-tracheal lymph nodes that were not visible by EUS (n = 4), resulting in a sensitivity of 61%, a negative predictive value of 82% and an accuracy of 86%. In addition to mediastinal metastases, EUS detected advanced disease in 3 more patients (4%) by demonstrating tumour invasion (T4) (n = 2) or a left adrenal metastasis (n = 1). In summary, EUS demonstrated advanced disease (T4/ N2–3/M1) in 25% of patients [8]. In this study, patients with subcarinal nodes sized up till 1.2 cm were included. In another EUS study for small nodes, Leblanc and colleagues observed that EUS-FNA demonstrated N3 metastases in 5 of 67 patients (7%). At surgical-pathological staging of the remaining 62 patients, mediastinal metastases were found in 17 more patients. The sensitivity, negative predictive value and accuracy of EUS-FNA in mediastinal staging were 23%, 73% and 73% respectively [9]. EUS precluded surgery in 9 patients (13%), not only by the assessment of N3 nodes (n = 5) but also through the detection of metastatic celiac nodes (n = 2), tumour invasion (T4) (n = 1) and the detection of syn-

Correspondence: Jouke Annema, MD, PhD · Department of Pulmonology C3 P · Albinusdreef 2 · PO box 9600 · 2300 RC Leiden · Leiden University Medical Center · Leiden · The Netherlands · Phone: 0031-71-5262950 · Fax: 0031-71-5266927 · E-mail: j.t.annema@lumc.nl

Bibliography: Endoscopy 2006; 38 (S1): S77–S80 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York · ISSN 0013-726X · DOI 10.1055/s-2006-946661

chronous oesophageal cancer ($n = 1$). In 9 other patients, management was influenced by EUS, due the assessment of benign adrenal lesions ($n = 8$) and a liver lesion ($n = 1$). Overall, EUS findings changed patient management in 25% of cases. It should be noted that in this study, a radial EUS was performed first in all patients and only if a biopsy was indicated, patients underwent a linear EUS investigation. The prevalence of mediastinal metastases in these two studies – 35 and 36% respectively [8,9] – is twice as high as expected [7] and, therefore, provides a bias towards the magnitude of the impact of EUS. The accuracy of EUS-FNA of these two studies [8,9] well below the reported values of > 90% obtained in patients with enlarged nodes [10–14]. These findings may be explained by the technical difficulty to sample small nodes or the limited presence of tumour cells in small metastases [8]. Both studies suggest that the site of the primary tumour – left upper lobe [8] or hilar and lower- lobe tumours [9] – is correlated with detecting metastases.

Summarizing, although data concerning EUS in patients with NSCLC and small nodes are still limited and need to be expanded, available results indicate that EUS can detect advanced disease in 12–25% of patients and results in a change of management in 25% of patients [8,9]. Besides the detection of N2–3 metastases, EUS is able to demonstrate advanced disease in patients with mediastinal tumour invasion (T4), left adrenal or celiac metastases (M1).

(EBUS)-TBNA

Transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) is a minimally invasive procedure to sample mediastinal nodes during bronchoscopy. The reported accuracy for TBNA varies widely and is highly depended on the prevalence of mediastinal metastases [15]. In a meta-analysis of studies with a median prevalence of mediastinal metastases of 34%, the median sensitivity was 36% (range 32–38) with a specificity of 98% (range 96–100) [15]. The lack of proper visibility of the needle targeting a lesion is regarded as the main reason for the limited accuracy [16]. The very recent development of real-time EBUS-TBNA overcomes the problem of this “blind” procedure. Accuracies in mediastinal staging around 96% have been reported in patients with enlarged hilar and mediastinal nodes [17,18]. To date, studies regarding EBUS-TBNA in small nodes are ongoing.

Positron emission tomography

PET has a pooled sensitivity of 84% (0.49–0.66%) and specificity of 89% (83–93%) for mediastinal staging and is more accurate than CT 57% (49–66%) and 82% (77–86%) respectively [1]. Accuracy of PET is dependent on lymph node size as shown in a recent meta analysis demonstrating that PET is more sensitive but less specific in patients with enlarged mediastinal nodes at CT [19]. In patients with both negative CT and PET findings, the post-test probability that these nodes contain metastases is 6% [19]. Another recent meta-analysis reported that in patients with a negative mediastinal PET and nodes sized 10–15 mm, the post test probability for N2 disease was 5%, whereas in nodes > 16 mm it was 21% [20]. Based on these findings, most clinicians refrain from further mediastinal staging in patients peripherally located tumours and nodes that are negative on PET and, less than 16 mm. An advantage of PET is that it is a non-invasive test and it can detect occult distant metastases in up to 5–29% of ca-

ses [21]. PET improves staging of lung cancer provided some rules are taken into account such as FDG uptake of the primary tumour, the use of dedicated PET equipment and the absence of hilar disease. In centrally located tumours, PET cannot differentiate accurately between the primary tumour and ipsilateral metastases due to its limited anatomical resolution [21]. Therefore, in patients with centrally located tumours, PET findings are usually not helpful for loco-regional staging. Obviously pathological confirmation is needed in the setting of PET positive mediastinal lesions due to its limited positive predictive value [1,21,22].

Surgical staging

Mediastinoscopy has a sensitivity of 81% and specificity of 100% in analysing mediastinal nodes [7]. Although mediastinoscopy is accurate for those lymph node regions it can reach, it cannot reach part of the subcarinal region, the lower mediastinum and the aorto-pulmonary window. Thoracoscopy can reach lymph node levels beyond reach of mediastinoscopy (5,6,8,9) and therefore the combination of mediastinoscopy and VATS can completely stage the mediastinum [1,4].

Discussion

As accurate nodal staging is a prerequisite for optimal treatment planning, patients with non-small cell lung cancer and small nodes on chest CT require further evaluation. To date, there is no single staging method available that on its own provides accurate staging for all patients as each diagnostic test has its specific advantages and limitations. Obviously each additional test will increase the accuracy of nodal staging. In clinical practice, both the burden of the proposed staging strategy, costs, and patient preference should be taken into account, and the whole staging process should be performed within a limited time frame. In order to select a staging strategy, the post-test probability for unforeseen N2/N3 disease that is regarded to be acceptable, will ultimately govern this decision. Several arguments might play a role for the selection of a specific test(s). First of all, access to and experience with a method is required. To date, few hospitals have access to PET and EUS and EBUS, although further dissemination of PET-CT scanners and implementation of EUS/ EBUS technology is expected in the coming years. At an individual patient level, the location of the primary tumour might be a decisive factor for further patient management as the location of the primary tumour is associated with the site of regional metastases [23]. Left upper lobe tumours metastasize predominately to the stations 5, and left lower lobe tumours to station 7 and 9, – regions accessible by EUS whereas right upper lobe tumours preferably drain to 4R [23], a region within the diagnostic range of mediastinoscopy and outside that of EUS. Also, it should be taken into account that node staging should not be regarded on its own but integrated in the whole concept on the assessment of both regional and distant metastases. In this discussion we will address various staging strategies for patients with NSCLC and small nodes on chest CT.

EUS-FNA might qualify as the method of choice in patients with small nodes as it has been demonstrated to assess advanced disease in up to 12–25% of patients [8,9] and influence management in 25% of patients [8,9]. Patients with concomitant enlarg-

ed left adrenal glands or suspected mediastinal tumour invasion (T4) might especially be appropriate candidates for EUS-FNA as it has been reported that EUS can assess or rule out left adrenal metastases [9,24] or mediastinal [25] and aortic [26] tumour invasion (T4). However, provided EUS does not assess regional or distant metastases, further staging is required as the negative predictive value of EUS in patients with small nodes was only 73–82% [8,9]. EUS could be followed by mediastinoscopy as both methods are complementary in their diagnostic range [27–29] or PET. As EUS and EBUS are complementary in their diagnostic reach combined staging by both endoscopic methods has also been suggested [30,31].

Positron emission tomography seems a logical choice for staging of patients with peripherally located tumours, as it is non-invasive and the likelihood of unforeseen mediastinal metastases in patients with both a negative CT and PET scan is around 5% [19,20]. It is generally accepted that these patients can be referred for thoracotomy and tumour resection without undergoing further staging tests [21]. An additional advantage of PET is the detection of unforeseen occult metastases [21]. It should be noted however that for accurate nodal staging for all patients with either mediastinal involvement at FDG-PET or a centrally located tumour, tissue has to be obtained [21]. Analysing PET positive lesions by EUS has been shown to be a minimally, accurate and cost effective staging strategy [32–34]. In the only comparison study between CT, PET and EUS-FNA, with detection of inoperable patients as the primary outcome, a slightly improved sensitivity and comparable specificity for EUS-FNA vs combined CT-PET findings was reported (94 vs 81%) and (100 vs 94%) [35].

The position of mediastinoscopy as the initial staging method for NSCLC is subject of debate with the increasing availability of non- and minimally invasive methods such as PET, EUS- and EBUS-TBNA [36]. EUS-FNA and mediastinoscopy are complementary in their diagnostic range [27–29] and combined staging improves the selection of patients for surgery [27] and prevents futile thoracotomies [29]. In a hospital setting without access to PET it is logical to perform both EUS and mediastinoscopy, starting with the least invasive test (EUS). As the diagnostic range of EBUS-TBNA overlaps with that of mediastinoscopy, it is expected that the number of mediastinoscopies will further decline in favour of EBUS that is minimally invasive and does not require general anaesthesia non admission to the hospital. However, as long as EBUS has not been proven to have a similar or increased accuracy for mediastinal staging in small nodes compared to mediastinoscopy, mediastinoscopy is expected to be an important staging method for the coming years.

In summary, for accurate mediastinal evaluation of NSCLC patients with small nodes at chest CT further staging is needed. The choice of the additional staging test(s) will depend on the access to novel methods, experience with specific techniques and patient related factors such as the localisation of the primary tumour. Either PET and/ or EUS-FNA qualifies best as the initial diagnostic procedure, whereas EBUS-TBNA is a third promising alternative. The role of mediastinoscopy remains important as a second procedure after negative E(B)US findings and in those hospitals without access to either PET or E(B)US. Further studies are urgently needed in order to define an optimal staging strate-

gy for this large subset of patients with non-small cell lung cancer and small nodes.

References

- Tolozan EM, Harpole L, McCrory DC. Noninvasive staging of non-small cell lung cancer: a review of the current evidence. *Chest* 2003 Jan; 123 (1 Suppl):137S–146S 2003; 123: 137S–146S
- Spira A, Ettinger DS. Multidisciplinary management of lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350 (4): 79–392
- Spiro SG, Porter JC. Lung cancer – where are we today? Current advances in staging and nonsurgical treatment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 166 (9): 1166–1196
- Passlick B. Initial surgical staging of lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2003; 42 Suppl 1: 21S–25S
- Vilmann P. Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy of lymph nodes. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996; 43: 24S–29S
- Schmulewitz N, Wildi SM, Varadarajulu S, Roberts S, Hawes RH, Hoffman BJ et al. Accuracy of EUS criteria and primary tumor site for identification of mediastinal lymph node metastasis from non-small-cell lung cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004 Feb; 59 (2):205–12 2004; 59: 205–212
- Tolozan EM, Harpole L, Detterbeck F, McCrory DC. Invasive staging of non-small cell lung cancer: a review of the current evidence. *Chest* 2003 Jan; 123 (1 Suppl):157S–166S 2003; 123: 157S–166S
- Wallace MB, Ravenel J, Block MI, Fraig M, Silvestri G, Wildi S et al. Endoscopic ultrasound in lung cancer patients with a normal mediastinum on computed tomography. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; 77 (5): 1763–1768
- Leblanc JK, Devereaux BM, Imperiale TF, Kesler K, Dewitt JM, Cummings O et al. Endoscopic Ultrasound in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Negative Mediastinum on Computed Tomography. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 2004
- Annema JT, Versteegh MI, Veselic M, Voigt P, Rabe KF. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration in the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer and its impact on surgical staging. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23 (33): 8357–8361
- Fritscher-Ravens A, Sriram PV, Bobrowski C, Pforte A, Topalidis T, Krause C et al. Mediastinal lymphadenopathy in patients with or without previous malignancy: EUS-FNA-based differential cytodiagnosis in 153 patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000; 95 (9): 2278–84 2000; 95: 2278–2284
- Larsen SS, Krasnik M, Vilmann P, Jacobsen GK, Pedersen JH, Faurschou P et al. Endoscopic ultrasound guided biopsy of mediastinal lesions has a major impact on patient management. *Thorax* 2002; 57 (2): 98–103 2002; 57: 98–103
- Wallace MB, Silvestri GA, Sahai AV, Hawes RH, Hoffman BJ, Durkalski V et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration for staging patients with carcinoma of the lung. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2001; 72 (6): 1861–1867
- Williams DB, Sahai AV, Aabakken L, Penman ID, Van Velse A, Webb J et al. Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration biopsy: a large single centre experience. *Gut* 1999; 44: 720–726
- Holty JE, Kuschner WG, Gould MK. Accuracy of transbronchial needle aspiration for mediastinal staging of non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2005; 60 (11): 949–955
- Wang KP. Continued efforts to improve the sensitivity of transbronchial needle aspiration. *Chest* 1998; 114 (1): 4–5
- Yasufuku K, Chiyo M, Sekine Y, Chhajed PN, Shibuya K, Iizasa T et al. Real-time endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration of mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes. *Chest* 2004; 126 (1): 122–128
- Yasufuku K, Chiyo M, Koh E, Moriya Y, Iyoda A, Sekine Y et al. Endobronchial ultrasound guided transbronchial needle aspiration for staging of lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2005; 50 (3): 347–354
- Gould MK, Kuschner WG, Rydzak CE, Maclean CC, Demas AN, Shigemitsu H et al. Test performance of positron emission tomography and computed tomography for mediastinal staging in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; 2;139 (11): 879–892

- ²⁰ de Langen AJ, Raijmakers P, Riphagen I, Paul MA, Hoekstra OS. The size of mediastinal lymph nodes and its relation with metastatic involvement: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2006; 29 (1): 26–29
- ²¹ Vansteenkiste JF. PET scan in the staging of non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2003; 42 Suppl 1: 27S–37S
- ²² Silvestri GA, Tanoue LT, Margolis ML, Barker J, Detterbeck F. The non-invasive staging of non-small cell lung cancer: the guidelines. *Chest* 2003; 123 (1 Suppl): 147S–156S
- ²³ Kotoulas CS, Foroulis CN, Kostikas K, Konstantinou M, Kalkandi P, Dimadi M et al. Involvement of lymphatic metastatic spread in non-small cell lung cancer accordingly to the primary cancer location. *Lung Cancer* 2004; 44 (2): 183–191
- ²⁴ Eloubeidi MA, Seewald S, Tamhane A, Brand B, Chen VK, Yasuda I et al. EUS-guided FNA of the left adrenal gland in patients with thoracic or GI malignancies. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; 59 (6): 627–633
- ²⁵ Varadarajulu S, Schmulewitz N, Wildi SF, Roberts S, Ravenel J, Reed CE et al. Accuracy of EUS in staging of T4 lung cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; 59 (3): 345–348
- ²⁶ Schroder C, Schonhofer B, Vogel B. Transesophageal echographic determination of aortic invasion by lung cancer. *Chest* 2005; 127 (2): 438–442
- ²⁷ Annema JT, Versteegh MI, Veselic M, Welker L, Mauad T, Sont JK et al. Endoscopic ultrasound added to mediastinoscopy for preoperative staging of patients with lung cancer. *JAMA* 2005; 294 (8): 931–936
- ²⁸ Eloubeidi MA, Tamhane A, Chen VK, Cerfolio RJ. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration in patients with non-small cell lung cancer and prior negative mediastinoscopy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005; 80 (4): 1231–1239
- ²⁹ Larsen SS, Vilmann P, Krasnik M, Dirksen A, Clementsen P, Maltbaek N et al. Endoscopic ultrasound guided biopsy performed routinely in lung cancer staging spares futile thoracotomies: preliminary results from a randomised clinical trial. *Lung Cancer* 2005; 49 (3): 377–385
- ³⁰ Rintoul RC, Skwarski KM, Murchison JT, Hill A, Walker WS, Penman ID. Endoscopic and endobronchial ultrasound real-time fine-needle aspiration for staging of the mediastinum in lung cancer. *Chest* 2004; 126 (6): 2020–2022
- ³¹ Vilmann P, Krasnik M, Larsen SS, Jacobsen GK, Clementsen P. Transesophageal endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) and endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) biopsy: a combined approach in the evaluation of mediastinal lesions. *Endoscopy* 2005; 37 (9): 833–839
- ³² Annema JT, Hoekstra OS, Smit EF, Veselic M, Versteegh MI, Rabe KF. Towards a minimally invasive staging strategy in NSCLC: analysis of PET positive mediastinal lesions by EUS-FNA. *Lung Cancer* 2004; 44 (1): 53–60
- ³³ Eloubeidi MA, Cerfolio RJ, Chen VK, Desmond R, Syed S, Ojha B. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration of mediastinal lymph node in patients with suspected lung cancer after positron emission tomography and computed tomography scans. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005; 79 (1): 263–268
- ³⁴ Kramer H, van Putten JW, Post WJ, van Dullemen HM, Bongaerts AH, Pruim J et al. Oesophageal endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle aspiration improves and simplifies the staging of lung cancer. *Thorax* 2004; 59 (7): 596–601
- ³⁵ Fritscher-Ravens A, Bohuslavizki KH, Brandt L, Bobrowski C, Lund C, Knofel WT et al. Mediastinal lymph node involvement in potentially resectable lung cancer: comparison of CT, positron emission tomography, and endoscopic ultrasonography with and without fine-needle aspiration. *Chest* 2003; 123 (2): 442–451
- ³⁶ Rusch VW. Mediastinoscopy: an endangered species? *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 8283–8285