

ABVE-PC and modified BEACOPP regimen in Indian children with Hodgkin lymphoma: Feasibility and efficacy

Somasundaram Jayabose,

Kasi Viswanathan,

Vignesh Kumar,

Annapoorani Annamalai,

Arathi Srinivasan¹,

Julius Xavier Scott¹,

Krishnakumar Rathnam

Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Meenakshi Mission Hospital and Research Centre, Madurai, ¹Department of Pediatric Oncology, Kanchi Kamakoti Childs Trust Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Somasundaram Jayabose,
16. Gregory Lane, Millwood,
NY 10546, USA.
E-mail: sjayabose@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) ranks among the malignancies most curable with chemotherapy, alone or in combination with radiation, in both children and adults. The doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine (ABVD) regimen, introduced in 1976,^[1] has been one of the most widely used regimens because of its efficacy in advanced stage HL^[2] and its low-risk of gonadal toxicity and secondary cancers.^[3,4] Subsequent regimens introduced to further improve the cure rate of HL include cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone (COPP)-ABVD,^[5] COPP-ABV,^[6] bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, COPP (BEACOPP),^[7] and doxorubicin, bleomycin, vincristine, etoposide, prednisone, and cyclophosphamide (ABVE-PC).^[8] [Table 1 for drug combinations for the various regimens]. In a Children's Oncology Group (COG)

ABSTRACT

Aims: To study the toxicity of ABVE-PC (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vincristine, etoposide, prednisone and cyclophosphamide) and modified-BEACOPP (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone) in intermediate-risk and high-risk Hodgkin lymphoma patients. **Methods:** High-risk patients received 4 cycles of modified-BEACOPP (m-BEACOPP) plus 4 cycles of ABVD. Intermediate-risk patients received 4 cycles of ABVE-PC plus 2 cycles of ABVD. **Results:** From 2010 to 2014, 17 patients received 66 cycles of m-BEACOPP and 9 patients received 40 cycles of ABVE-PC. In the m-BEACOPP and ABVE-PC courses, respectively, significant thrombocytopenia ($<50,000/\text{mm}^3$) occurred in 10.6% vs 0% of courses; anemia (Hb. $<8 \text{ gm/dl}$) in 27.3% vs 15%; neutropenia (ANC $<500/\text{mm}^3$) in 46.9% vs 32.5%; and febrile neutropenia in 33.3% vs. 22.5%. Only episode of documented infection (hepatic abscess) occurred in ABVE-PC. There were no episodes of sepsis, typhlitis or pneumonia in either group. All 26 patients are in remission with a median follow-up of 35 months (range, 17-61); and there have been no relapses. Two of 26 (7.7%) patients failed to achieve rapid early response after 2 cycles and complete remission after 4 cycles of chemotherapy; both achieved remission with more intensive regimens followed by radiation. The remaining 24 patients did not receive radiation therapy. **Conclusions:** Both m-BEACOPP and ABVE-PC regimens have acceptable toxicity; and thus can be used in most centres with optimum supportive care facilities. They offer promising response rate and relapse free survival without the need for radiation therapy in most patients; and thus may be considered for children with high-risk and intermediate-risk Hodgkin lymphoma.

Key words: ABVE-PC, BEACOPP, Hodgkin lymphoma, Indian children

study of children with high-risk HL, the escalated BEACOPP (e-BEACOPP) produced a 5-year event-free survival (EFS) of 94% and an overall (OS) of 97%.^[9] In another study, the ABVE-PC regimen had an EFS of 84% in high-risk patients and 85% in intermediate-risk patients.^[8] Available studies from India indicate ABVD, COPP-ABVD, and COPP-ABV are the regimens commonly used in Indian children;^[10-14] but there is no data on the use of ABVE-PC or BEACOPP. Herein, we report our limited experience with these two regimens in Indian children with intermediate and high-risk HL respectively, and review the literature on the efficacy of these regimens in children.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Jayabose S, Viswanathan K, Kumar V, Annamalai A, Srinivasan A, Scott JX, *et al.* ABVE-PC and modified BEACOPP regimen in Indian children with Hodgkin lymphoma: Feasibility and efficacy. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol 2016;37:106-11.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.ijmpo.org

DOI:
10.4103/0971-5851.180142

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Beginning March 2010, at a newly established Pediatric Cancer Center at Meenakshi Mission Hospital and Research Centre (MMHRC), Madurai, India, HL patients were treated as follows: High-risk patients received 4 cycles of modified-BEACOPP (m-BEACOPP) plus 4 cycles of ABVD. Among the intermediate-risk patients, first two patients received 6 cycles of ABVE-PC; all other patients received 4 cycles of ABVE-PC plus 2 cycles of ABVD. The risk classification is outlined in Table 2. Our m-BEACOPP regimen differs from the escalated BEACOPP in two aspects: The etoposide dose is reduced to 100 mg/m² daily × 3 days and the duration of prednisone therapy from 14 to 10 days, except for the first course [Table 1]. In mid-2012, a second center, Kanchi Kamakoti Childs Trust Hospital (KKCTC), Chennai, India, joined us in the use of m-BEACOPP for high-risk HL patients and has treated 7 consecutive patients. All HL patients consecutively seen and treated at MMHRC were included in the study. Two patients (both from MMHRC) died before starting treatment — one from respiratory arrest due to mediastinal mass during biopsy; another from congestive cardiac failure due to long-standing severe anemia. These two patients are not included in the analysis since the purpose of the study is to evaluate the toxicity and efficacy of the two regimens.

During the past 4½ years, we have treated a total of 17 high-risk patients and 9 intermediate-risk patients, for a total of 26 patients. The demographics of the patients: Median age of 9 years (range, 4-18); male: female, 21:5. For the administration of first 3 days of chemotherapy in both regimens, the patients were hospitalized for three

days; Patients on both regimens received the first 3 days of their chemotherapy as inpatients, and their day 7 therapy in the outpatient Infusion Center (Day Care).

Response to therapy was assessed by follow-up computed tomography (CT) scan after the completion of Cycle 2; and if necessary, after Cycle 4. The approximate volume of the mass was calculated by the following formula: Tumor volume in cm³ = a × b × c × 0.52 (a, b, and c are the anteroposterior transverse and vertical dimensions of the tumor).^[15] A rapid early response (RER) was defined as ≥70% reduction in the tumor volume at the completion of two cycles of therapy; and a complete remission (CR) was defined as complete disappearance of the mass or ≥90% reduction on CT imaging (in the absence of fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography [FDG-PET] scan) or PET negativity, when available. FDG-PET scan was done only on the 7 patients seen at the second center, KKCTC.

For monitoring pulmonary toxicity from bleomycin, patients were routinely questioned for shortness of breath on exertion and about their exercise tolerance; and all patients either underwent a high-resolution CT scan of the chest (for evidence of pulmonary fibrosis) or pulmonary function tests (PFT) after 4 cycles of treatment, depending upon the availability. Upon completion of treatment, all patients were followed every 3 months until 3 years from diagnosis; and less frequently thereafter. For monitoring of cardiac toxicity, the echocardiogram was repeated at the end of the treatment and 3 years later.

The cost of treatment was estimated by calculating the cost for a hypothetical patient weighing approximately 30 kg (with a surface area of 1 m²) — by adding the cost of the following three components:

1. The cost of drugs used for each course × the number of courses;
2. The cost of 3 days of hospitalization for chemotherapy; and
3. The cost of 1 day of hospitalization for the management of febrile neutropenia × the expected average number of days hospitalizations for the regimen.

Table 1: Drugs and dosages for various regimens

Drug	Escalated BEACOPP	Modified BEACOPP	ABVE-PC
Cyclophosphamide i.v	1200 mg/m ² on day 0	1200 mg/m ² i.v. on days 0	800 mg/m ² on day 0
Doxorubicin i.v	35 mg/m ² on days 0	35 mg/m ² on day 0	25 mg/m ² on days 0,1
Etoposide i.v	200 mg/m ² on days 0,1,2	100 mg/m ² on days 0,1,2	125 mg/m ² on days 0,1,2
Vincristine i.v	1.5 mg/m ² on days 0,7	1.5 mg/m ² on day 7	1.5 mg/m ² on days 0,7
Bleomycin i.v	10 units/m ² on day 7	10 units/m ² on day 7	5 units/m ² on day 0; 10 units/m ² on day 7
Procarbazine p.o.	100 mg/m ² on days 0-6	100 mg/m ² on days 0-6	None
Prednisone p.o	40 mg/m ² on days 0-13	40 mg/m ² on days 0-13 in cycle 1; and 0-9 in cycle 2-4	40 mg/m ² on days 0-13 in cycle 1; and on days 0-4 on cycles 2-4

Table 2: Risk stratification of patients

Risk Group	Clinical stage
Low	IA, IB, or IIA without any adverse risk factors*
Intermediate	I or II with one or more adverse risk factors*, IIB, IIIA
High	IIIB, IV

*Adverse risk factors: hilar lymphadenopathy, mediastinal mass > 33% transthoracic diameter; nodal aggregate measuring >6 cm. B- presence of B symptoms, defined by the presence of fever (>38° C) for 3 consecutive days, drenching night sweats, or unexplained loss of ≥ 10% body weight in the previous 6 months

RESULTS

Toxicity

Overall, m-BEACOPP therapy resulted in more episodes of significant neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count [ANC], <500), anemia (hemoglobin <8 g/dl), thrombocytopenia (platelet count, <50,000/uL), and more hospitalizations for febrile neutropenia, compared to the ABVE-PC therapy; but the only episode of documented bacterial infection (hepatic abscess with complete recovery) occurred following ABVE-PC. There were no episodes of bacteremia, sepsis, typhlitis, or pneumonia in either group. More details about the incidence of cytopenias are provided in Table 3.

No patient had any clinical symptoms or signs of cardiac toxicity. None of the 19 patients who had either PFT or chest CT had evidence of pulmonary toxicity; and all 24 patients who had follow-up echocardiogram had normal studies with an ejection fraction of $\geq 60\%$. There were no cases of second malignancies thus far with a median follow-up of 35 months (range, 17-61).

Table 3: Toxicity of m-BEACOPP and ABVE-PC

	m-BEACOPP	ABVE-PC
Total number of patients	17	9
Total number of courses given	66	40
No of treatment courses (%) resulting in ANC <500/mm ³	31 (46.9%)	13 (32.5)
Number of admissions (%) for febrile neutropenia or infections	22 (33.3%)	9 (22.5)
Median duration of hospitalizations	3 days (range, 1-13)	3 days (1-14)
No of episodes of localized bacterial infections	0	1
No of episodes of bacteremia or sepsis	0	0
No (%) of episodes with Hb. <8 gms/dl	18 (27.3%)	6 (15)
No (%) of episodes of Plt counts <50,000/cmm	7 (10.6%)	0

Cost of treatment

The cost of treating a hypothetical child (weighing about 30 kg, and with a surface area of 1 m²) for Hodgkin's disease was estimated to be: INR. 55138 (USD. 889) for high-risk patients receiving 4 cycles of m-BEACOPP and 4 cycles of ABVD; and INR. 44,424 (USD. 717) for intermediate-risk patients receiving 4 cycles of ABVE-PC and 2 cycles of ABVD. For comparison, the cost for 6 cycles (12 doses) of ABVD as outpatient was estimated to be INR. 28,260 (USD. 456). The ABVD courses did not incur any expenses for the administration of chemotherapy, and rarely required hospitalizations for febrile neutropenia. The breakdown figures for each of the three items contributing to the cost (drugs, hospitalization for chemotherapy, and hospitalization for febrile neutropenia) are given in Table 4.

Outcome

Twenty-four of 26 patients (92.3%) showed RER after 2 cycles of treatment and achieved CR after 4 cycles. The 2 patients who did not achieve an RER at the end of 2 cycles failed to achieve CR at the end of 4 cycles. However, both achieved CR with further courses of more intensive chemotherapy, followed by involved-field radiation therapy (IFRT). These salvage regimens included ICE (ifosfamide, carboplatin, etoposide) and/or DECAL (dexamethasone, etoposide, cisplatin, high-dose cytarabine and L-asparaginase). All 26 patients are alive and doing well with a relapse-free survival (RFS) and EFS of 100%; all are being followed as outpatients with a median duration of follow-up of 35 months (17-61). No patient has had clinically significant cardiac or pulmonary dysfunction.

DISCUSSION

Our study shows that the m-BEACOPP and ABVE-PC regimens are well tolerated by Indian children, with acceptable toxicity; and they offer a high rate of CR and a low-risk of relapse. Although grade 4 neutropenia (ANC <500/mm³) was seen in 47% and 31.7%, respectively, of the m-BEACOPP and ABVE-PC courses, no patient

Table 4: Cost of therapy for various treatment groups

	Drugs INR	Hospitalization for chemo INR	Hospitalization for febrile Neutropenia-INR	Total cost in INR (USD)
I. High risk HL				
m-BEACOPP x 4 cycles	14,096	11,000	11,202	36,298
ABVD x 4 cycles (8 doses)	18,840	0	0	18,840
Total cost for high-risk patients				55,138 (USD. 889)
II. Intermediate-risk HL				
ABVE-PC x 4 cycles	17,536	11,000	7468	36,004
ABVD x 2 cycles (4 doses)	9,420			9,420
Total cost for Intermediate-risk patients				45,424 (USD. 717)
III. ABVD x 6 cycles (12 doses)	28,260	0	0	28,260 (USD. 456)

Table 5: Published regimens and outcome in Indian children with Hodgkin lymphoma (Unless otherwise indicated, the outcome figures apply to advanced stage patients only)

Reference; Institution, study period and reference no.	No. of patients	Age in years	Patient characteristics	Chemotherapy Regimen (s)	Radiation	EFS for advanced stage patients	OS for advanced stage patients	Comments
Laskar <i>et al.</i> TMH 1993-96 ¹⁰	83	< 15	all stages are included	ABVD	ABVD + IFRT vs ABVD only randomized	8-years 97% vs 8-years 53%	8-years, 100% vs 8 years 97%	Study included only patients who achieved CR (71% of original cohort)
Arya <i>et al.</i> 2006 ¹¹ AIIMS	67	<15	63.5% had advanced stage	COPP-ABVD	Only 4 patients received RT	5-years 87.9%	5-years 91.5%	37/148 (25%) lost to follow-up
Sagar 2010- Adyar ¹²	134	<14	61% had advanced disease	COPP-ABV	Only for patients not achieving CR	5-years 86.7%	5-years, 92.5%	
Trehan, PGIMER 1990-2006 ¹³	206	3-16	52% had advanced disease	MOPP, COPP, VAEP, ABVD, COPP-ABVD	Only 3 patients received RT because of poor response	5-years 73.2%	5-years: 88.2%	
Kapoor <i>et al.</i> TMH 1985-90 ¹⁴	147	<15	Only 34% had stage III or IV	COPP (108); COPP-ABVD, 33 ABVD, 6	IF radiation to all patients	7-years 64%	7-years 74%	
Current study MMHRC 2010-2014	26	<19	Intermediate and high-risk	ABVE- PC or m-BEACOPP	Only 2 patients received RT	3- years, 100%	3-years, 100%	Small number and short median follow-up

IF – involved field; RT – radiation therapy; EFS – event free survival; OS – overall survival

developed bacteremia, sepsis, typhlitis, or pneumonia; and none required platelet transfusions. The ABVE-PC regimen was overall less myelotoxic, although the only case of documented bacterial infection (hepatic abscess) occurred in this group. Twenty-one of 24 (92.3%) patients achieved RER after 2 cycles and CR after 4 cycles of chemotherapy. No patient has relapsed so far with a median follow-up of 35 months (range, 17-61); and none developed any clinically significant pulmonary or cardiac toxicity.

The e-BEACOPP regimen was developed in 1990s to improve upon COPP-ABVD by substituting etoposide for dacarbazine and vinblastine.^[5] In a COG study of children with high-risk HL, e-BEACOPP resulted in a high 5-year EFS of 94% and OS of 97%.^[9] However, it was associated with significant toxicity including infections in 35% and typhlitis in 4%. In addition, e-BEACOPP is associated with a 6.5% cumulative incidence of second malignancy in adults.^[16] In contrast, the m-BEACOPP we used was well-tolerated by our patients, without any incidence of sepsis or typhlitis — probably because of the reduction in the dose of etoposide by 50% and the reduction in the duration of prednisone therapy from 14 to 10 days.

The ABVE-PC regimen was developed as an improvement over ABVD by substituting vincristine for vinblastine to reduce myelotoxicity, and etoposide for dacarbazine to avoid delay in therapy, and by adding prednisone and cyclophosphamide to enhance efficacy.^[8] This regimen

has lower doses of etoposide than in e-BEACOPP and does not have the alkylating agent procarbazine. Although COG results reported an 8.4% incidence for both sepsis and typhlitis, our patients tolerated this regimen quite well, with only one of 41 courses complicated by a bacterial infection (hepatic abscess), which was treated successfully.

In adults with advanced-stage HL, the chemotherapy regimens that have shown the best outcome include ABVD and e-BEACOPP, often in combination with radiation therapy to bulky disease.^[17-21] ABVD has produced a failure-free survival (FFS) of 61-74% at a median follow-up of 5-7 years.^[16-20] In a comparative study of ABVD versus e-BEACOPP from Italy, the e-BEACOPP offered a better rate of freedom from first progression (FFS) at 7 years than ABVD (85% vs. 73%, $P = 0.004$); however, the 7-year OS, after salvage therapy, was not significantly better with e-BEACOPP (89% vs. 84%, $P = 0.39$).^[17] The e-BEACOPP regimen has also been shown to be superior to COPP-ABVD in a randomized trial of adults with intermediate and high-risk HL — with 5-year FFS and OS of 87% and 91%, respectively, for e-BEACOPP, compared to 69% and 83% for COPP-ABVD.^[20]

ABVD, COPP-ABVD, and COPP-ABV are the most commonly used regimens in Indian children; and the studies using these regimens are listed in Table 5.^[10-14] The EFS reported in these studies range from 50% to 74%;

and the OS from 78% to 100%. The best results of 78% EFS at 8 years (and OS of 100%) were reported in the Tata memorial study with the use of ABVD plus IFRT. However, this study included only the 71% of the original cohort of patients who had already achieved remission with ABVD treatment; and the outcome of the 29% who failed to achieve CR with ABVD was not reported.

There are no reports of the use of ABVE-PC or BEACOPP regimens in Indian children. Although these two regimens in our study have produced excellent CR rates with no relapses so far, we cannot claim superiority of these regimens over the other regimens because of the small number of patients and the relatively short follow-up in our study; and thus a larger study would be needed to confirm the findings of our study.

Although the cost of treatment with either ABVE-PC or m-BEACOPP is considerably more than the cost of ABVD treatment, the actual cost appears modest — INR. 55,138 for high-risk patients and INR. 45,424 for intermediate risk HL; and thus acceptable and probably affordable by most patients, especially for an increased probability of cure. It is also possible to reduce the treatment cost by about INR 11,000 by administering all chemotherapy in the outpatient department in an Infusion Center (Day Care).

CONCLUSION

Both m-BEACOPP and ABVE-PC regimens have acceptable toxicity profile and are well-tolerated by Indian children. Thus, they can be used in most centers with adequate facilities for optimum supportive care. These regimens offer excellent response rate and RFS without the need for radiation therapy in most patients; and can be considered for front-line treatment of intermediate- and high-risk HL in Indian children.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Bonadonna G, Zucali R, Monfardini S, De Lena M, Uslenghi C. Combination chemotherapy of Hodgkin's disease with adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and imidazole carboxamide versus MOPP. *Cancer* 1975;36:252-9.
2. Connors JM, Noordijk EM, Horning SJ. Hodgkin's lymphoma: Basing the treatment on the evidence. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2001;178-93. Review.
3. Behringer K, Mueller H, Goergen H, Thielen I, Eibl AD, Stumpf V, *et al.* Gonadal function and fertility in survivors after Hodgkin lymphoma treatment within the German Hodgkin Study Group HD13 to HD15 trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:231-9.
4. Duggan DB, Petroni GR, Johnson JL, Glick JH, Fisher RI, Connors JM, *et al.* Randomized comparison of ABVD and MOPP/ABV hybrid for the treatment of advanced Hodgkin's disease: Report of an intergroup trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:607-14.
5. Diehl V, Pfreundschuh M, Löffler M, Rühl U, Hiller E, Gerhartz H, *et al.* Chemotherapy of Hodgkin's lymphoma with alternating cycles of COPP (cyclophosphamide, vincristin, procarbazine, prednisone) and ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine). Results of the HD1 and HD3 trials of the German Hodgkin Study Group. *Med Oncol Tumor Pharmacother* 1989;6:155-62.
6. Hamilton VM, Norris C, Bunin N, Goldwein JW, Bunin GR, Lange B, *et al.* Cyclophosphamide-based, seven-drug hybrid and low-dose involved field radiation for the treatment of childhood and adolescent Hodgkin disease. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2001;23:84-8.
7. Diehl V, Loeffler M, Pfreundschuh M, Ruehl U, Hasenclever D, Nisters-Backes H, *et al.* Further chemotherapy versus low-dose involved-field radiotherapy as consolidation of complete remission after six cycles of alternating chemotherapy in patients with advance Hodgkin's disease. German Hodgkins' Study Group (GHSG). *Ann Oncol* 1995;6:901-10.
8. Schwartz CL, Constine LS, Villaluna D, London WB, Hutchison RE, Sposto R, *et al.* A risk-adapted, response-based approach using ABVE-PC for children and adolescents with intermediate- and high-risk Hodgkin lymphoma: The results of P9425. *Blood* 2009;114:2051-9.
9. Kelly KM, Sposto R, Hutchinson R, Massey V, McCarten K, Perkins S, *et al.* BEACOPP chemotherapy is a highly effective regimen in children and adolescents with high-risk Hodgkin lymphoma: A report from the children's oncology group. *Blood* 2011;117:2596-603.
10. Laskar S, Gupta T, Vimal S, Muckaden MA, Saikia TK, Pai SK, *et al.* Consolidation radiation after complete remission in Hodgkin's disease following six cycles of doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine chemotherapy: Is there a need? *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:62-8.
11. Arya LS, Dinand V, Thavaraj V, Bakhshi S, Dawar R, Rath GK, *et al.* Hodgkin's disease in Indian children: Outcome with chemotherapy alone. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2006;46:26-34.
12. Sagar TG, Chandra A, Raman SG. Childhood Hodgkin disease treated with COPP/ABV hybrid chemotherapy: A progress report. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 2003;40:66-9.
13. Trehan A, Singla S, Marwaha RK, Bansal D, Srinivasan R. Hodgkin lymphoma in children: Experience in a tertiary care centre in India. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2013;35:174-9.
14. Kapoor G, Advani SH, Dinshaw KA, Muckaden MA, Soman CS, Saikia TK, *et al.* Treatment results of Hodgkin's disease in Indian children. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 1995;12:559-69.
15. Göbel V, Jürgens H, Etschpüler G, Kemperdick H, Jungblut RM, Stienen U, *et al.* Prognostic significance of tumor volume in localized Ewing's sarcoma of bone in children and adolescents. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 1987;113:187-91.
16. Engert A, Diehl V, Franklin J, Lohri A, Dörken B, Ludwig WD, *et al.* Escalated-dose BEACOPP in the treatment of patients with advanced-stage Hodgkin's lymphoma: 10 years of follow-up of the GHSG HD9 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:4548-54.
17. Canellos GP, Anderson JR, Propert KJ, Nissen N, Cooper MR, Henderson ES, *et al.* Chemotherapy of advanced Hodgkin's disease with MOPP, ABVD, or MOPP alternating with ABVD. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:1478-84.
18. Viviani S, Zinzani PL, Rambaldi A, Brusamolino E, Levis A, Bonfante V, *et al.* ABVD versus BEACOPP for Hodgkin's lymphoma when high-dose salvage is planned. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:203-12.
19. Gordon LI, Hong F, Fisher RI, Bartlett NL, Connors JM, Gascoyne RD, *et al.* Randomized phase III trial of ABVD versus Stanford V with or without radiation therapy in locally extensive and advanced-stage Hodgkin lymphoma:

- An intergroup study coordinated by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E2496). *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:684-91.
20. Ng AK, Bernardo MV, Silver B, Van Den Abbeele A, Stevenson MA, Fisher DC, *et al.* Mid- and post-ABVD gallium scanning predicts for recurrence in early-stage Hodgkin's disease. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;61:175-84.
21. Diehl V, Franklin J, Pfreundschuh M, Lathan B, Paulus U, Hasenclever D, *et al.* Standard and increased-dose BEACOPP chemotherapy compared with COPP-ABVD for advanced Hodgkin's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2386-95.