

Effect of Intradialytic Change in Plasma Volume on Blood Pressure in Patients Undergoing Maintenance Hemodialysis

Ali-Reza Khalaj¹, Suzan Sanavi, Reza Afshar¹, Muhammad-Reza Rajabi¹

University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Akhavan Center, ¹Shahed University, Mustafa Khomeini Hospital, Tehran, Iran

Address for correspondence: Dr. Suzan Sanavi, E-mail: s2sanavi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Hypervolemia is a common complication in patients on hemodialysis (HD). To determine the effect of volume change on blood pressure in HD population, this cohort was conducted.

Materials and Methods: The study population was composed of 60 non-diabetic patients on maintenance HD, with mean age of 59.95±15.28 years. They were divided into hypertensive group A ($n=26$) and normotensive group B ($n=34$). Data were collected by a questionnaire. Pre and post-dialysis blood levels of urea, sodium, total protein, and hemoglobin were measured and intradialytic change of plasma volume were calculated. Data analyses were performed by the SPSS v.16.

Results: Out of 60 patients, 58.3% were male and 41.7% female. Post-dialysis systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were significantly lower than pre-dialysis values in both groups ($P=0.001$, each). No correlation was found between intradialytic change in plasma volume or body weight and alterations of SBP or DBP during HD in the study groups ($P>0.05$, each). Intradialytic changes of body weight did not correlate to intradialytic changes of plasma volume ($P=0.15$).

Conclusion: HD effectively reduces blood pressure and volume expansion, however, intradialytic changes of plasma volume and body weight do not influence on SBP and DBP.

Keywords: Blood pressure, body weight, hemodialysis, plasma volume

DOI: 10.4103/0974-2727.72151

www.jlponline.org

INTRODUCTION

Chronic renal failure (CRF) is a clinical syndrome due to various renal damages, which result in progressive and irreversible nephron loss. The most common causes of CRF include: diabetes mellitus, hypertension, glomerulonephritis, cystic disease, and interstitial nephritis.^[1,2] Hemodialysis (HD) as a kidney-replacement therapy is the most common therapeutic modality in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD).^[3] Apart from hemodialysis prescription, hypertension is a common complication in ESRD patients, so that nearly 85% of this population suffers from hypertension.^[4] The causes of high blood pressure in hemodialysis patients are various including: sodium and fluid retention, rennin-angiotensin system over-activity, increased sympathetic activity and volume overloading.^[5,6] Hypervolemia plays a major role in hypertensive patients on hemodialysis. Regardless of hypertension etiology, it is expected that removal of additional salt and water and restoration of

dry body weight result in blood pressure normalization in about 60% of HD patients.^[7,8] It is important to consider that the increase of extracellular volume may be insufficient to create edema and absence of edema does not rule out the presence of hypervolemia.^[9] Control of volume status can normalize the blood pressure or facilitate blood pressure control in the majority of HD patients.^[10] This study was conducted to determine the effect of plasma volume status on blood pressure in HD patients on maintenance HD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cohort study was performed on 60 non-diabetic patients, at the Mustafa Khomeini Hospital; Tehran; Iran, who were undergoing conventional (3 h for three times/weekly) maintenance HD. Indeed, patients with diabetes mellitus, symptomatic cardiac disease, severe illness, and history of hospitalization during prior 2 months were excluded. All participants

were informed of study purposes and designed the consent forms. According to pre-dialysis blood pressure records during 2 months before the study, patients were assigned into group A ($n=26$), who were hypertensive (pre-dialysis blood pressure $>140/90$ mmHg) and received antihypertensive drugs and group B ($n=34$), who were normotensive without antihypertensive therapy. Data including demographic characteristics, underlying diseases, drugs, body weight and blood pressure records (pre- and post-hemodialysis), and biochemistry analyses were collected by a questionnaire. Pre- and post-dialysis (immediately at the end of dialysis) blood samples were drawn to obtain respective serum urea, sodium, total protein, and hemoglobin concentrations. Intradialytic change of plasma volume (ΔPV) was calculated by the *Leyboldt equation*^[11] as follows:

$$\Delta PV = [PV_{pre} - PV_{post}] \div PV_{pre} = [TP_{post} - TP_{pre}] \div TP_{post}$$

TP: total protein, pre: pre-dialysis, post: post-dialysis

In addition, urea clearance was calculated by logarithmic single pool Kt/V ($spKt/V$) equation according to the Daugirdas formula:^[12]

$$spKt/V = (-\ln[R - 0.008 \times t] + 4 - 3.5 \times R) \times UF/W$$

Where R is the post-pre serum urea nitrogen (SUN) ratio, t is session length (in hours), UF is the volume of fluid removed during dialysis (in liters), and W is post dialysis body weight (in kilograms). In order to calculate $spKt/V$, the post-dialysis blood sample was drawn from arterial bloodline 20 s after dialysis session termination while the pump speed was reduced to 80 ml/min.

Data analyses were carried out, using the statistical software package SPSS, version 16. P -value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The student's t -test and Spearman's test were used to detect significant differences between groups and correlations between variables.

RESULTS

The study population consisted of 35 males (58.3%) and 25 females (41.7%), with mean age of 59.95 ± 15.28 years, who were undergoing maintenance HD (hemodialysis vintage = 6–34 months). Post-dialysis systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were significantly lower than pre-dialysis values in both groups ($P=0.001$, each). Table 1 shows details of blood pressure, body weight and plasma volume changes after HD and compares these values between two groups. However, there

was no correlation between the intradialytic changes in plasma volume or body weight and pre- and post-dialysis SBP or DBP in both groups ($P>0.05$, each). In addition, no correlation was found between intradialytic change of body weight with intradialytic change in plasma volume ($P=0.15$). The Spearman test revealed only a positive correlation between the age and blood pressure (SBP and DBP) decrement ($P=0.01$ and $P=0.026$).

DISCUSSION

In most patients with stable chronic renal disease (CRD), the total body contents of sodium (Na) and water are increased modestly which contributes to hypertension. When the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) falls to 5–10 ml/min, extracellular fluid volume (ECFV) expansion under these circumstances usually means that dialysis is indicated.^[13] Patients with CRD also have impaired renal mechanisms for conserving Na and water. When an extrarenal cause for fluid loss is present, these patients are prone to volume depletion.^[14] Hypertension is the most common complication of ESRD. Left ventricular hypertrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy due to prolonged hypertension and ECFV overload are among the most ominous risk factors for excess cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with ESRD.^[15] Absence of hypertension may signify the presence of a salt-wasting form of renal disease (medullary cystic disease, chronic tubulointerstitial disease, or papillary necrosis); ongoing antihypertensive therapy; volume depletion or reduced cardiac index. Since volume overload is the major cause of hypertension in uremia, the normotensive state can often be restored by appropriate use of salt restriction and ultrafiltration in the dialysis setting. Nevertheless, because of hyper-reninemia and other disturbances in renal vasoconstrictors and vasodilators, some patients remain hypertensive despite rigorous salt and water restriction and ultrafiltration.^[16]

Table 1: Patients characteristics

Parameters	Group A (n=26)	Group B (n=34)	P value
Pre dialysis SBP (mmHg)	159.5±14.1	119.1±8.3	<0.001
Post dialysis SBP (mmHg)	139.6±13.8	110.5±8.5	<0.001
ΔSBP (mmHg)	19±10.1	9±8.2	<0.001
Pre-dialysis DBP (mmHg)	91.3±7.5	80.2±7.2	<0.001
Post-dialysis DBP (mmHg)	82.1±7.4	70.2±7.3	<0.001
ΔDBP (mmHg)	10±7.5	8±7.6	0.2
Pre-dialysis weight (kg)	68.6±10	63.7±10	0.03
Post-dialysis weight (kg)	65.5±10	61±10	0.03
ΔBW (kg)	2.89±1.4	2.7±1.1	0.15
ΔPV (%)	12.9±7.3	12.5±6.6	0.51
$spKt/V$	1.09±0.3	1.09±0.3	

Values are Mean ± S.D.; Δ = intradialytic change, BW = body weight, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure, PV = plasma volume

This study revealed that despite lower values of post-dialysis blood pressure, plasma volume, and body weight, compared to pre-dialysis values, there was no significant correlation between intradialytic volume status or body weight change and pre- or post-dialysis SBP and DBP. Such a result regarding the discrepancy between blood pressure and volume status has been reported previously.^[17-20]

However, some researchers have shown the correlation between these variables. For instance, Lins and co-workers reported a positive correlation between SBP alteration and plasma volume change.^[21] Leyboldt *et al.*, and Ventura *et al.*, have also got similar findings in this issue separately.^[11,22] Furthermore, the HEMO study revealed that interdialytic weight gain correlated to high pre-dialysis blood pressure.^[23] This finding had also been previously reported by Rahman *et al.*^[24]

In our study, although eight patients in group B (normotensive) whose post-dialysis body weights were below 60 kg showed SBP reduction about 10 mmHg, however, we could not find significant correlation between intradialytic change in body weight and SBP. As mentioned previously, comparing to HEMO study, which had included patients with diabetes and cardiac disease, we excluded the categories that might have influences on refilling rate^[25] and hemodynamic status. Our findings may be attributed to strict exclusion criteria of our study which finally led to selection of patients with high refilling rate of plasma volume (non-diabetic and appropriate cardiac function), so that the relationship between volume status, body weight and blood pressure was blunted. As a result, despite the differences in body weight ($P=0.03$) and blood pressure ($P<0.001$) between two study groups, the intradialytic plasma volume change was relatively similar in both groups [Table 1]. Indeed, the relationship between blood pressure and volume status in HD patients is not linear and various factors may affect this relation including dialysis dose and route (ultrafiltration), individual characteristics, refilling rate, cardiac function,^[26] and vascular resistance.

Rocco and colleagues^[23] reported that diabetes, old age, increased consumption of antihypertensive drugs and lower hematocrit values were associated with high SBP, whereas increased consumption of antihypertensive drugs and lower age were considered as DBP risk factors. In our study, among various variables including underlying disease, gender, age, hemoglobin, serum sodium, and serum urea, only age correlated with blood pressure levels.

As a whole, our findings are in agreement with previous researches and indicate that body weight change and plasma

volume monitoring are not proper methods for evaluation of hydration status in HD patients. With regard to relation of SBP with intradialytic change of plasma volume in normotensive HD patients (which reflects absence of excessive extracellular fluid volume and high refilling rate) in some studies, it seems better to monitor combination changes of plasma volume and extracellular fluid volume, in HD population, to detect the influence of volume status on blood pressure.

CONCLUSION

Despite, effective reduction of SBP, DBP, and plasma volume during hemodialysis, intradialytic changes of plasma volume and body weight do not influence on SBP and DBP. Many studies are proposed with consideration of other factors including residual renal function and extracellular fluid volume monitoring for evaluation of hydration status in hemodialysis patients.

REFERENCES

1. Afshar R, Sanavi S, Salimi J. Epidemiology of chronic renal failure in Iran: A four year single-center experience. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2007;18:191-4.
2. McClellan WM. Epidemiology and risk factors for chronic disease. *Med Clin North Am* 2005;89:419-45.
3. Ross EA, Pittman TB, Koo LC. Strategy for the treatment of noncompliant hypertensive hemodialysis patients. *Int J Artif Organs* 2002;25:1061-5.
4. Kocks MJ, de Zeeuw D, Navis GJ. Optimal blood pressure control and antihypertensive regimens in hypertensive renal disease: The potential of exploring the mechanisms of response variability. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2002;11:135-40.
5. Zandu-Nejad K. Adult hypertension and kidney disease. *Hypertension* 2006;47:502-8.
6. Zucchelli P, Santoro A, Zuccala A. Genesis and control of hypertension in hemodialysis patients. *Semin Nephrol* 1988;8:163-8.
7. Converse RL Jr, Jacobsen TN, Toto RD, Jost CM, Cosentino F, Fouad-Tarazi F, *et al.* Sympathetic overactivity in patients with chronic renal failure. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:1912-8.
8. Dhondt AW, Vanholder RC, Ringoir SM. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and higher erythropoietin requirement in chronic hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1995;10:2107-9.
9. Rodriguez HJ, Domenici R, Diroll A, Goykhman I. Assessment of dry weight by monitoring changes in blood volume during hemodialysis using Crit-Line. *Kidney Int* 2005;68:854-61.
10. Abraham PA, Opsahl JA, Keshaviah PR, Collins AJ, Whalen JJ, Asinger RW. Body fluid spaces and blood pressure in hemodialysis patients during amelioration of anemia with erythropoietin. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1990;16: 438-46.
11. Leyboldt JK, Cheung AK, Delmez JA, Gassman JJ, Levin NW, Lewis JA, *et al.* Relationship between volume status and blood pressure during chronic hemodialysis. *Kidney Int* 2002;61:266-75.
12. NKF-DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Hemodialysis Adequacy v. Hemodialysis dose troubleshooting. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2001;37:542.
13. Brown MA, Whitworth JA. Hypertension in human renal disease. *J Hypertens* 1992;10:701-12.
14. Brenner BM, Ballermann BJ, Gunning ME, Zeidel ML. Diverse biological actions of atrial natriuretic peptides. *Physiol Rev* 1990;70:665-99.

15. Port FK, Hulbert-Shearon TE, Wolfe RA, Bloembergen WE, Golper TA, Agodoa LY, *et al.* Predialysis blood pressure and mortality risk in a national sample of maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999;33:507-17.
16. Michel JB. Renal artery obstruction: From experimental models to logical approach to diagnosis and treatment. *Rev Prat* 1996;46:1077-83.
17. Luik AJ, Gladziwa U, Kooman JP, van Hooff JP, de Leeuw PW, van Bortel LM, *et al.* Influence of interdialytic weight gain on blood pressure in hemodialysis patients. *Blood Purif* 1994;12:259-66.
18. Savage T, Fabbian F, Giles M, Tomson CR, Raine AE. Interdialytic weight gain and 48-h blood pressure in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997;12:2308-11.
19. Luik AJ, Charra B, Katzarski K, Habets J, Cheriex EC, Menheere PP, *et al.* Blood pressure control and hemodynamic changes in patients on long time hemodialysis treatment. *Blood Purif* 1998;16:197-209.
20. Ibrahim S, Tawel A. Influence of plasma volume status on blood pressure in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Dial Transplant* 2007;36:13-24.
21. Lins LE, Hedenborg G, Jacobson SH, Samuelson K, Tedner B, Zetterholm UB, *et al.* Blood pressure reduction during hemodialysis correlates to interdialytic changes in plasma volume. *Clin Nephrol* 1992;37:308-13.
22. Ventura J, Sposito M. Volume sensitivity of blood pressure in end stage renal disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997;12:485-91.
23. Rocco MV, Yan G, Heyka RJ, Benz R, Cheung AK, HEMO Study Group. Risk factors for hypertension in chronic hemodialysis patients: Baseline data from the HEMO study. *Am J Nephrol* 2001;21:280-8.
24. Rahman M, Dixit A, Donley V, Gupta S, Hanslik T, Lacson E, *et al.* Factors associated with inadequate blood pressure control in hypertensive hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999;33:498-506.
25. Tabei K, Nagashima H, Imura O, Sakurai T, Asano Y. An index of plasma refilling in hemodialysis patients. *Nephron* 1996;74:266-74.
26. Poldermans D, Man in 't Veld AJ, Rambaldi R, Van Den Meiracker AH, van Den Dorpel MA, Rocchi G, *et al.* Cardiac evaluation in hypotension-prone and hypotension-resistant hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int* 1999;56:1905-11.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.