

Letter to Editor

Fluoride carcinogenesis: The jury is still out!

Dear Editor,

In the October 2012 issue of South Asian Journal of Cancer, Kharab *et al.* have tried to correlate serum and drinking water fluoride levels with osteosarcoma.^[1]

Osteosarcoma derives from primitive bone-forming mesenchymal cells and is the most common primary bone malignancy.^[2] Fluorides are compounds that combine the element fluorine with another substance, usually a metal.^[3]

There are conflicting reports about the carcinogenic potential of fluoride in the literature with osteosarcoma being the cancer about which the most concern has been raised.^[4-11] It was hypothesized that fluoride tends to collect in parts of bones where they are growing; these areas, known as growth plates, are where osteosarcomas typically develop. The theory is that fluoride might cause the cells in the growth plate to grow faster, which might make them more likely to eventually become cancerous.^[9,11]

More than 50 population-based studies evaluating the potential link between water fluoride levels and cancer have been reported in the medical literature. Most of these have not found a strong link to cancer; however, being retrospective in nature, conclusions reached by any single study have to be viewed with caution.^[4-11]

The National Research Council issued an update of its 1993 review in early 2006, which concluded that the evidence on the potential of fluoride to initiate or promote cancers, particularly of the bone, is tentative and mixed.^[7,8] A preliminary report from the Harvard study, published in 2006, suggested that exposure to higher levels of fluoride in drinking water increases the risk of osteosarcoma in boys but not in girls.^[4] However, as these results were not reproducible in a second part of the same study, researchers themselves advised caution in interpreting the report until the full results of the study become available.^[6] The full study is yet to be published.

So far, the general consensus is that there is no strong evidence of a link between water fluoridation and cancer. Several of the reviews noted that further studies, including the full results of the Harvard study, are required to establish or reject the possibility of any such association.^[5,7,8,10]

In this context, observations of this study, although interesting, are largely hypothesis generating due to the small sample size. The proposed hypothesis warrants further testing in larger cohorts in a prospective manner to demonstrate reproducibility of the results. Thus, the jury is

still out and controversy regarding fluoride carcinogenesis remains as such.

Jyoti Bajpai

Department of Medical Oncology, Tata Memorial Cancer Center,
Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence to: Dr. Jyoti Bajpai,

E-mail: dr_jyotibajpai@yahoo.co.in

References

1. Kharab S, Sandhu R, Kundu ZS. Fluoride levels and osteosarcoma. *South Asian J Cancer* 2012;1:76-7.
2. Bajpai J, Puri A, Shah K, Susan D, Jambhekar N, Rekhi B, *et al.* Chemotherapy compliance in patients with osteosarcoma. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013;60:41-4.
3. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ToxFAQs for fluorine, hydrogen fluoride, and fluorides, 2007. Available from: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaq/toxfaq.html>. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
4. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Fluorides (inorganic, used in drinking-water). IARC Monographs Supplement 7, 1987. p. 208-10. Available from: <http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/suppl7/Suppl7-83.pdf>. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
5. McDonagh MS, Whiting PF, Wilson PM, Sutton AJ, Chestnutt I, Cooper J, *et al.* Systematic review of water fluoridation. *BMJ* 2000;321:855-9. Available from: http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/CRD_Reports/crdreport18.pdf. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
6. National Cancer Institute. Fluoridated water: Questions and answers, 2005. Available from: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Risk/fluoridated-water>. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 29].
7. National Research Council. Fluoride in drinking water: A scientific review of EPA's standards, 2006. Available from: http://www.books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11571. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
8. National Research Council. Health effects of ingested fluoride, 1993. Available from: http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=2204. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
9. National Toxicology Program. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of sodium fluoride (CAS No. 7681-49-4) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (drinking water studies), 1990. Abstract Available from: <http://www.ntp.niehs.nih.gov/index.cfm?objectid=0709411C-E355-A12E-DBB6666806CB8DB2>. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
10. United States Public Health Service. Review of fluoride: Benefits and risks, 1991. Available from: <http://www.health.gov/environment/ReviewofFluoride/default.htm>. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 30].
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC statement on water fluoridation and osteosarcoma. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/safety/osteosarcoma.htm>. [Accessed on 2009 Dec 29].

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.sajc.org

DOI:

10.4103/2278-330X.119881