Access this article online
Quick Response Code:

Website: www.jlponline.org
DOI: 10.4103/JLP.JLP_45_18

Evaluation of mean monocyte volume in septicemia caused by *Salmonella* species

Dilip Kumar, Madhusoodanan Sudha, Bansidhar Tarai, Poonam Das

Abstract:

OBJECTIVE: The objective was to study the significance of volume, conductivity, and scatter (VCS) parameters of monocytes in *Salmonella* infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We selected peripheral blood samples of 52 patients whose blood culture was positive for *Salmonella* species (*Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella paratyphi A*) and analyzed VCS parameters by subjecting them to differential count in hematology analyzer LH750 (Beckman Coulter). Out of these, we studied VCS parameters of monocytes in *Salmonella* infection and compared with two control groups (control 1: other infections and control 2: normal subjects).

RESULTS: Mean monocyte volume (MMV), standard deviation (SD) of MMV, and SD of mean channel monocyte conductivity were found to be significantly increased in *Salmonella* infection ($P < 0.05$) when compared with both controls. We propose a cutoff value of 185 for MMV with sensitivity and specificity of 80% and 73%, respectively, to predict *Salmonella* infection.

CONCLUSIONS: MMV can be a useful tool for predicting *Salmonella* infection under appropriate clinical settings.

Key words:

Mean monocyte volume, monocytes, *Salmonella*, septicemia

Introduction

Volume, conductivity, and scatter (VCS) parameters are used to obtain differential leukocyte count (DLC) in automated hematology analyzers (Beckman Coulter LH 750, India Pvt Ltd) based on Coulter's principle. VCS parameters include mean channel volume (MCV) and conductivity and scatter of neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, and eosinophils. The corresponding standard deviation (SD) of these parameters is also obtained along with. These parameters can show variation according to various disorders affecting DLC.

Volume of white cells denotes size of the cell which is measured on the principle of impedance, i.e., using low voltage current

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

and measuring electric resistance changes which are produced by nonconducting cells in conducting medium.^[1,2] Conductivity is measured by radiofrequency produced by high-voltage electromagnetic current and that enters the cell and obtains information about the cell size and internal structure. Scatter is measured by making laser light pass through the hemodynamically focused stream of cells. Scatter is dependent on cell surface and internal structure like nuclear location and granules.^[2] The Coulter also provides the corresponding width of VCS parameters, namely SD of MCV, SD of mean channel conductivity, and SD of mean channel scatter.^[3]

The usefulness of VCS parameters, especially mean neutrophil volume (MNV) and mean monocyte volume (MMV) for predicting acute bacterial infection, is already proven by previous studies.^[4-6] VCS parameters have

How to cite this article: Kumar D, Sudha M, Tarai B, Das P. Evaluation of mean monocyte volume in septicemia caused by *Salmonella* species. J Lab Physicians 2018;10:397-400.

Department of
Microbiology, Institute
of Lab Medicine, Max
Super Speciality Hospital,
New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Bansidhar Tarai,
Institute of Lab Medicine,
Max Super Speciality
Hospital, Saket,
New Delhi - 110 017,
India.
E-mail: bansidhar.tarai@
maxhealthcare.com

Submission: 03-04-2018

Accepted: 05-08-2018

been studied in septicemic patients, but to the best of our knowledge, no significant study has been done on VCS parameters in case of *Salmonella* infection, particularly on MMV. Currently, the mainstay of diagnosis in enteric fever is blood culture and serological tests.^[7,8] When the blood investigations are sent to the laboratory, complete blood count (CBC) is almost always done as a part of workup. VCS parameters are automatically derived when the sample is subjected to CBC analysis, without additional cost. Considering the role of monocytes in the pathogenesis of *Salmonella* infections,^[9-11] we decided to study the change in the VCS parameters in *Salmonella* infections. We compared it with other infections and healthy controls to derive the sensitivity and specificity at particular cutoff value.

Materials and Methods

Selection of cases

This study was undertaken at a SuperSpeciality Hospital, New Delhi, India. In our prospective case-control study, we randomly selected 52 cases having blood culture positive for *Salmonella* species (including *Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella paratyphi A*), irrespective of their age and sex. The peripheral blood samples from these cases were collected with proper aseptic precautions and analyzed for VCS parameters of monocytes. The patients' clinical records were also reviewed for correlation. All the samples for the study of VCS parameters were taken on the same day of blood culture sample collection.

As controls, we selected two groups for study of VCS parameters. One group included 82 septicemic cases positive for organisms other than *Salmonella* (Group 1), and the other group included 100 healthy individuals who came to the hospital for preventive health checkup and were found clinically normal (Group 2). The culture-positive cases in which the blood culture yielded bacteria likely to be contaminants were excluded from the study.

Data collection

For VCS parameters, 2 ml of blood sample was collected in BD vacutainer (K2 ethylenediaminetetraacetic) under strict aseptic precautions by venepuncture method. Beckman Coulter LH750 was used for recording VCS parameters of white blood cells. For blood culture, two or more blood specimens were collected using sterile technique at separate sites, before antibiotic administration. BACT/ALERT® disposable blood culture bottles were used for sample collection. The blood culture was performed in BACT/ALERT® microbial detection system.

Statistical analysis

All the analyses were performed using STATA software, version 9.0 (Stata Corp LLC College station, Texas, USA). Results were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparison between two means was performed by using Student's

t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

General data

We collected the VCS parameters of 52 *Salmonella* cases and compared the same with VCS parameters of 82 cases positive for other organisms in culture (control Group 1) and with 100 healthy controls (control Group 2).

The average age of the *Salmonella* cases was 27.73, of the control Group 1 was 54.85, and control Group 2 was 42.34. The male:female ratio of *Salmonella* cases was 1:1, of control Group 1 was 1.64:1, and of control Group 2 was 0.96:1.

The most common organisms cultured in the control Group 1 were Gram-negative bacilli, followed by Gram-positive cocci and yeasts. The most common bacteria cultured were *Escherichia coli* ($n = 33$), followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ($n = 20$) [Table 1].

The average total leukocyte count (TLC) for *Salmonella* cases was $6.84 \times 10^9/L$, while the same for control Group 1, which included other infections, was $14.55 \times 10^9/L$ and for healthy controls, it was $7.1 \times 10^9/L$. The mean neutrophil percentage in *Salmonella* cases was 70.03% and the same for control groups 1 and 2 was 81.95% and 60.7%, respectively. The mean monocyte percentage in *Salmonella*, control Group 1, and control Group 2 was 7.17%, 3.79%, and 6.49%, respectively.

Monocyte volume, conductivity, and scatter parameters

The MMV and SD of MMV were found to be higher in *Salmonella* cases when compared to both the control groups [Table 2]. We statistically compared the MMV and SD of MMV of *Salmonella* cases with the control groups. We found a significant increase in MMV of

Table 1: Organisms cultured in control Group 1

Organisms	n (%) of cases
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	33 (33)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	20 (20)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	5 (5)
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	4 (4)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	4 (4)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	4 (4)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	2 (2)
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	2 (2)
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	2 (2)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	2 (2)
<i>Candida famata</i>	1 (1)
<i>Candida haemulonii</i>	1 (1)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	1 (1)
<i>Elizabethkingia meningosepticum</i>	1 (1)

Salmonella cases when compared to other infections and healthy controls ($P < 0.00$). The SD of MMV and mean channel monocyte conductivity (MMC) also showed a significant increase when compared to both control groups ($P < 0.05$). Other monocyte parameters such as MMC, mean channel monocyte scatter (MMS), and SD of MMS were not significantly increased ($P > 0.05$) in *Salmonella* infection when compared to other infections. However, these parameters showed a significant increase when compared with healthy controls [Table 2].

Sensitivity and specificity at the designated cutoff values

Based on the above observations, we proposed a cutoff of 185 for MMV in *Salmonella* cases with a sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 73% when compared with control Group 1 and 98% when compared to control Group 2. The positive predictive value and negative predictive value for this cutoff are 66% and 85%, respectively, when compared with other infections (Group 1). We also calculated the cutoff for SD of MMV. With a cutoff of 24, SD of MMV achieved a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 54% when compared with the control Group 1 and 96% when compared with Group 2. The positive and negative predictive values were 53% and 81%, respectively, when compared with control Group 1. With a cutoff of 5, SD of MMC achieved a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 60% when compared with control Group 1 and 82% when compared with Group 2 and the positive and negative predictive values were 55% and 80%, respectively, when compared with control Group 1 [Table 3].

Discussion

Typhoid fever, one of the major bacterial infections worldwide, is caused by human-adapted typhoidal serovars of *Salmonella enterica*, namely *S. typhi*, *S. paratyphi A*, *S. paratyphi B*, and *S. paratyphi C*.^[7,12] The highest incidence worldwide is found in Asia, particularly South-central and South eastern regions, and this is one of the most common organisms encountered in our country.^[13] The clinical diagnosis of typhoid fever is challenging due to diverse manifestations of the disease. At present, the diagnosis is based on clinical presentations, bacterial culture, serological markers, antigen detection, and molecular methods. The serological tests such as Widal can give rise to false-positive results and rapid tests such as Typhidot IgM also have a low sensitivity and specificity.^[14] The gold standard of diagnosis in typhoid fever is blood culture which is expensive, time-consuming (minimum 48 h), and if the patient has taken any antibiotics before sample collection, may become false negative.^[7]

Enteric fever clinically presents as high-grade fever, and CBC is one of the common tests done during the preliminary evaluation of fever. Findings such as leukopenia and neutropenia are seen in 15%–25% patients only. Leukocytosis is seen in children, in the first 10 days of disease, and in complications such as secondary infections.^[12] Hence, total leukocyte count and neutrophil percentage in these patients show high variation and of no use in supporting the diagnosis.^[15] In our study also, we

Table 2: Statistical comparison of volume, conductivity, and scatter parameters of monocytes in *Salmonella* with the control groups

Parameter	Mean±SD			P	
	<i>Salmonella</i> cases (n=52)	Control Group 1 (n=82)	Control Group 2 (n=100)	<i>Salmonella</i> versus Group 1	<i>Salmonella</i> versus Group 2
MMV	194.94±9.84	177.72±12.3	169.95±5.11	0.0000	0.0000
SD of MMV	25.29±2.38	24.06±4.68	18.62±2.24	0.0470	0.0000
MMC	124.9±3.19	124.5±5.2	118.7±2.65	0.5914	0.0000
SD of MMC	5.89±1.11	5.21±1.77	4.27±0.40	0.0137	0.0000
MMS	83.57±4.49	82.97±5.37	87.08±3.41	0.5087	0.0000
SD of MMS	10.65±2.06	11.46±3.307	9.87±0.81	0.1166	0.0010

MMV = Mean channel monocyte volume, SD = Standard deviation, MMC = Mean channel monocyte conductivity, MMS = Mean channel monocyte scatter

Table 3: Sensitivity and specificity at the designated cutoff values of mean channel monocyte volume, standard deviation of mean channel monocyte volume, and standard deviation of mean channel monocyte conductivity for predicting *Salmonella* sepsis

	Cutoff	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity when compared to control Group 1 (%)	Specificity when compared with control Group 2 (%)	Positive predictive value when compared to control Group 1 (%)	Negative predictive value when compared to control Group 1 (%)
MMV	183	86	70	98	64	89
	185	80	73	98	66	85
	186	78	78	99	68	85
SD of MMV	24	80	54	96	53	81
	25	63	62	98	47	72
SD of MMC	5	77	60	82	55	80
	5.2	61	67	87	54	73

MMV = Mean channel monocyte volume, SD = Standard deviation, MMC = Mean channel monocyte conductivity

found an average TLC of 6.84×10^9 /L for *Salmonella* cases with a range of 3×10^9 /L– 26×10^9 /L. The average neutrophil percentage was 70.03% with a range of 45%–90%.

The VCS parameters are used to derive DLC in some automated hematology analyzers. These parameters assess the size of the cell and internal structure of the cells. The mononuclear phagocytic system plays an important role in the pathogenesis of *Salmonella*. In the peripheral blood also, bone marrow-derived monocytes contain these organisms.^[9-11] Hence, we studied the changes in the VCS parameters of monocyte in enteric fever to look for any specific changes. We found a significant change in MMV, SD of MMV, and SD of MMC, while MMC, MMS, and SD of MMS showed no significant change.

Here, in our study, we observed a significant increase in the MMV and SD of MMV in typhoid fever ($P < 0.05$) when compared with other infections and healthy controls.

MMV has been studied along with MNV in bacterial infections where Lee and Kim found a significant increase in MMV in sepsis and they proposed a cutoff of ≥ 175.5 for MMV with sensitivity and specificity of 83.3% and 59.3%, respectively.^[5] Mardi *et al.* also found a significant increase in MMV in their study on MNV and MMV in bacterial infections.^[6]

We proposed a higher cutoff value of 185 for MMV to suspect *Salmonella* infection with a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 73% when compared with other infections (control Group 1). We calculated a cutoff of 24 for SD of MMV in our study with a sensitivity and a specificity of 80% and 54%, respectively, when compared to Group 1. We also calculated a cutoff of 5 for SD of MMC with a sensitivity and a specificity of 77% and 60%, respectively, when compared to Group 1. Since MMV, as compared to SD of MMV and MMC, carries a better specificity at a sensitivity of 80%, we advocate the study of MMV parameter in *Salmonella* infection.

As compared to Typhidot IgM (rapid serological diagnosis) where the sensitivity and specificity had been reported as 75% and 60.7%, respectively,^[14] MMV gives a better sensitivity and specificity as per our study without requirement of any additional serum sample. Can serological test be replaced by MMV parameter needs further study. We hypothesize that *Salmonella* infection causes complex intracellular changes resulting in increase in MMV and study of MMV can be a useful tool for diagnosing *Salmonella* infection under appropriate clinical settings.

Conclusion

Enteric fever is one of the most common causes of septicemia in developing countries due to poor sanitation conditions. Since the disease is associated with dreaded

complications, it is essential to diagnose this at the earliest for better management and to prevent any complications. Though there are many tests available for diagnosing the typhoid fever, the role of MMV should also be taken into account. We propose a cutoff of 185 for MMV with a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 73% when compared with other infections to predict *Salmonella* septicemia, if there is clinical suspicion for the same. This test requires no additional workforce, instrumentation, or cost.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Ward PC. The CBC at the turn of the millennium: An overview. *Clin Chem* 2000;46:1215-20.
2. Sullivan E. Hematology analyzer: From workhorse to thoroughbred. *Lab Med* 2006;37:274-8.
3. Lehner J, Greve B, Cassens U. Automation in haematology. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2006;34:328-39.
4. Chaves F, Tierno B, Xu D. Quantitative determination of neutrophil VCS parameters by the coulter automated hematology analyzer: New and reliable indicators for acute bacterial infection. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2005;124:440-4.
5. Lee AJ, Kim SG. Mean cell volumes of neutrophils and monocytes are promising markers of sepsis in elderly patients. *Blood Res* 2013;48:193-7.
6. Mardi D, Fwity B, Lobmann R, Ambrosch A. Mean cell volume of neutrophils and monocytes compared with C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and white blood cell count for prediction of sepsis and nonsystemic bacterial infections. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2010;32:410-8.
7. Wain J, Hosoglu S. The laboratory diagnosis of enteric fever. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2008;2:421-5.
8. Olsen SJ, Pruckler J, Bibb W, Nguyen TM, Tran MT, Nguyen TM, *et al.* Evaluation of rapid diagnostic tests for typhoid fever. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:1885-9.
9. Raffatellu M, Wilson RP, Winter SE, Bäumlér AJ. Clinical pathogenesis of typhoid fever. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2008;2:260-6.
10. Wijburg OL, Simmons CP, van Rooijen N, Strugnell RA. Dual role for macrophages *in vivo* in pathogenesis and control of murine *Salmonella enterica* var. Typhimurium infections. *Eur J Immunol* 2000;30:944-53.
11. Ohl ME, Miller SI. *Salmonella*: A model for bacterial pathogenesis. *Annu Rev Med* 2001;52:259-74.
12. Pegues DA, Miller SI. Salmonellosis. In: Longo DL, Kasper DL, Jameson JL, Fauci AS, Hauser SL, Loscalzo J, editors. *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*. 18th ed. Vol. 1. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.; 2012. p. 1274-7.
13. Agarwal R, Gupta D, Ray P, Aggarwal AN, Jindal SK. Epidemiology, risk factors and outcome of nosocomial infections in a respiratory Intensive Care Unit in North India. *J Infect* 2006;53:98-105.
14. Keddy KH, Sooka A, Letsoalo ME, Hoyland G, Chaignat CL, Morrissey AB, *et al.* Sensitivity and specificity of typhoid fever rapid antibody tests for laboratory diagnosis at two sub-Saharan African sites. *Bull World Health Organ* 2011;89:640-7.
15. Khan M, Coovadia YM, Connolly C, Sturm AW. The early diagnosis of typhoid fever prior to the Widal test and bacteriological culture results. *Acta Trop* 1998;69:165-73.