Original Article

Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder among Rural, Urban, and Tribal Children (1–10 Years of Age)

Sunil Kumar Raina, Vishav Chander, Ashok K. Bhardwaj, Dinesh Kumar, Seema Sharma¹, Vipasha Kashyap, Mitasha Singh, Amit Bhardwaj²

Departments of Community Medicine, ¹Paediatrics and ²Neurology, Dr. R. P. Government Medical College, Tanda, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Studies on autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) have largely focused on children in specific settings. The current scenario of research in ASDs is limited largely to clinic-based case reports, case series, and retrospective chart reviews. The present study is the first population-based prevalence study conducted across rural, urban, and tribal populations in India. **Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional two-phase study was conducted covering children in the age group of 1–10 years of age across geographical regions representing rural, urban, and tribal populations. The first phase (screening phase) involved administration of the Hindi version of the Indian Scale for Assessment of Autism. Those identified as suspected of ASD and 10% of all classified as nonsuspects for autism were also evaluated by the clinical team in second phase (evaluation phase). **Results:** Forty-three children out of a total of 28,070 children in rural, urban, and tribal area in the age group of 1–10 years were diagnosed as cases of ASD yielding a prevalence of 0.15% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.15–0.25). Logistic regression analysis showed a two times significantly higher risk of diagnosing ASD in rural area as compared to tribal (odds ratio [OR]; 95% CI = 2.17 [1.04–4.52], $P = 0.04$). Male sex and upper socioeconomic group of head of family/father had a higher risk of getting diagnosed as autism as compared to lower socioeconomic group (OR; 95% CI - 3.23; 0.24–44.28, $P = 0.38$). **Conclusions:** Estimation of true prevalence of ASD in India is going to improve policies on developmental disabilities.

KEYWORDS: Autism spectrum disorder, children, prevalence, rural, tribal, urban

INTRODUCTION

Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are a spectrum of disorders characterized by pervasive impairments in social reciprocity and/or communication, stereotyped behavior, and restricted interests.^[1] ASD has been the focus of debate in recent years, largely as a result of multinational reports of increase in its prevalence.^[1] Studies reporting on the prevalence of ASD have reported the prevalence estimates ranging from 0.07% to 1.8%.^[2–7] The studies also point to an apparent increase in the prevalence of ASD. This reported increase in prevalence appears partly attributable to greater public awareness, broadening ASD diagnostic criteria, lower age at diagnosis, and diagnostic substitution.^[8]

Studies on ASD have largely focused on specific children populations; populations of children that are more likely to include children with ASD or children with histories of special needs or developmental delays.^[1,3,9] In other words, the current scenario of research in ASDs is limited largely to clinic-based case reports, case series, and retrospective chart reviews. A few attempts have also been made to study neurobiological, genetic substrates, and effectiveness of available treatment approaches in ASD.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sunil Kumar Raina, Department of Community Medicine, Dr. R. P. Government Medical College, Tanda, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India. E-mail: ojasrainasunil@yahoo.co.in

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Raina SK, Chander V, Bhardwaj AK, Kumar D, Sharma S, Kashyap V, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among rural, urban, and tribal children (1–10 years of age). J Neurosci Rural Pract 2017;8:368-74.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website:

www.ruralneuropractice.com

DOI:

10.4103/jnpr.jnpr_329_16

An extensive PubMed search on the prevalence or incidence of ASD in India reveals a paucity of epidemiological data. Data further reveal that there are no specific community-linked studies.^[10] In this direction, this is the first population-based prevalence study conducted across rural, urban, and tribal populations in India.

Earlier, we published a midterm report of the present study.^[11]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics

The study has been approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. A written informed consent was obtained from parents of the children. Further, assent was obtained from children over the age of 7 years.

Study site

The state of Himachal Pradesh is predominantly mountainous with altitude ranging from 350 m to 7000 m above the sea. Himachal Pradesh is located in northwest of India, between the latitudes 30°22.40–33°12.20 N and the longitudes 75°45.55–79°04.20 E.^[12] It is spread over 12 districts covering a total population of 6,856,509.^[12]

Details of selected areas

For the purpose of the study, eligible population (children 1–10 years of age) from distinct geographical areas of this state, namely, a tribal area, a rural area, and an urban area was identified for inclusion. For selecting these geographical areas, the entire geography of the state was mapped according to the notified description for each area. On the basis of this, notification areas were identified as tribal areas, rural areas, and urban areas. From each grouping of the area's cluster, census blocks (for study population) were selected by a simple random sampling technique.

The study population thus covered included entire eligible population of the selected areas (tribal, rural, and urban) falling within three districts of Himachal Pradesh and covering a total population of 191,558.

Urban area

Kangra is situated in the Western Himalayas and falls in the humid zone. It is situated in the Western Himalayas between 31°2'–32°5' (N) latitude and 75°–77°45' (E) longitude. The urban areas to be studied were selected from this district.

Towns of Dharamsala and Palampur, which are generally having a hilly topography, and towns of Kangra and Narpur, with a relatively plain terrain, were included in the study.^[12]

Rural area

Una lies in the southwest of the state. The topography of this place is generally plain with low hills and lies in the subhumid tropical climatic zone. It is situated at an altitude ranging between 350 and 1200 m above mean sea level and is bounded between 75°58'2"–76°28'25" (E) longitude and 31°17'52"–31°52'0" (N) latitude. Haroli block of this district was selected for the study.^[12]

Tribal area

Bharmour and Pangi were selected for the study of prevalence of autism in the tribal area of Himachal Pradesh. Bharmour is situated in the southeast of the district Chamba (Himachal Pradesh). It falls between 76°20'0" and 76°52'30" N latitude and 32°11'0" and 32°36'00" E longitude with a total geographical area of 1797.28 km². This region is mostly inhabited by a distinct tribe of nomadic pastoralists known as "Gaddis." They travel from one ecological zone to another in winter and summer seasons. Pangi was also selected for the study of prevalence of autism in this state. Pangi of Chamba district was also selected for the study. It lies in the northwestern extremity of the state. The villages of this area lie at an altitude ranging between 2100 m and 3000 m. It is situated in the semiarid zone of the inner Himalayas, which makes its terrain rough and difficult.

Study design and selection criteria

A cross-sectional study design was used for estimating the prevalence of ASD. The study covered the entire eligible population (children aged 1–10 years of age) of the selected areas available for participation on the day of survey. However, children with a history of hearing impairment were excluded from the study. No secondary visit was conducted and only *de facto* population was studied. All the houses from the selected areas were surveyed by a house-to-house survey to screen children with autism. The research was carried out in two phases: a screening phase and an evaluation phase.

Screening phase

Instrument

The study participants were screened by investigators trained in the diagnosis of ASD using the Hindi version of the Indian Scale for Assessment of Autism (ISAA).^[13] The ISAA is based on childhood autism rating scale. It has forty items divided under six domains - social relationship and reciprocity; emotional responsiveness; speech, language, and communication; behavior patterns; sensory aspects; and cognitive component. The items are rated from 1 to 5 with an increased score indicating increased severity of the problem. A score <70 was taken as no autism, 70–106 as mild autism, 107–153 as

moderate autism, and a score >153 severe autism. The Hindi version Indian Scale for Assessment of Autism (hereafter referred as HISSA) was modified to suit local needs using a systematic, iterative process. The details have been provided somewhere else.^[11]

The screening phase also included an assessment of the sociodemographic profile of the participants (using a socioeconomic status [SES] pro forma) and administration of a behavioral checklist in addition to administration of HISAA.^[13,14] Separate SES pro forma (modified Kuppaswamy for the urban area and modified Prasad scale for the rural and tribal areas) was used for the urban, rural, and tribal areas.^[13,14]

Evaluation phase

After the screening phase, all the subjects who were scoring above seventy on the ISAA were considered suspected cases of autism and were evaluated by a clinical team comprising public health specialists, pediatrician, neurologist, and clinical psychologist. The evaluation included an account of the prenatal conditions, birth history, developmental and medical histories, findings from earlier evaluations (including a history of hearing impairment), and intellectual and behavioral functioning. The clinical evaluation also included observing the child for a few minutes.

The screening scores on the scale were also reconsidered and altered (wherever required) as per the recommendations of the clinical team. A child was defined as a confirmed case of ASD after confirmation by the clinical team.

Further, 10% of all the children who scored less and were thus classified as nonsuspects for autism were also evaluated by the clinical team.

RESULTS

A total of 28,078 (children in the age group of 1–10 years) participants were screened using the standardized tools. The population sample included 14,059 (50.1%) females and 14,019 (49.9%) males. The number of females exceeded the number of males in the rural population, i.e., Una, whereas it was opposite for the tribal and urban areas. The mean age of the children

screened in tribal area (male: 71.45 ± 32.73 , female: 70.33 ± 32.87) was higher than that of rural and urban children [Table 1].

The SES of the head of the family/father (as per Uday Pareek scale) of the screened rural children in Table 2 shows that around half of the participants belonged to middle class (51.7%) followed by upper middle class (46.5%). The majority of children in tribal area (61.7%) belonged to middle class followed by upper middle class (37.6%). In urban area, 56.9% of participants screened belonged to upper lower class (according to modified Kuppaswamy scale) and 33.9% to lower middle class [Table 2].

After the evaluation by the experts in the selected areas, 43 children out of a total of 28,070 children from rural, urban, and tribal areas in the age group of 1–10 years were diagnosed as cases of ASD yielding a prevalence of 0.15% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.15–0.25).

Majority of these 43 diagnosed (88.4%; 38/43) were above 4 years of age. Proportion of children with diagnosed autism was same across 48–83 months and 84–120 months age group (0.2%). A higher proportion of male children (0.2%) were identified as cases of ASD as compared to females (0.1%).

The prevalence of ASD was found to be highest in the rural area with 26 children in the age group of 1–10 identified as cases of ASD out of a total of 10,961 children studied yielding a prevalence of 0.24% (95% CI = 0.12–0.28). The prevalence was almost similar in urban and rural areas at 0.09% (95% CI = 0.03–0.17) and 0.11% (95% CI = 0.04–0.16), respectively.

A higher proportion of ASD was observed among upper class SES (2.8%) of rural area. Around two-thirds of the children with ASD (17/23) were from upper middle class in rural area. Applying the same scale for SES in tribal area shows that majority of the autistic children belonged to upper middle class families (56.3%). However, majority of these urban children with ASD were from lower middle class family (30%; 3/10) [Table 3].

Logistic regression analysis model was run with children diagnosed with autism as dependent variable and area of residence, age groups, sex, and SES as independent

Table 1: Gender and area-wise distribution of study participants

Study area	Number of children screened (%)			Mean age in months $\pm$ 2SD		Median (IQR)	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Male	Female
Rural	5001 (45.6)	5960 (54.4)	10,961 (100)	65.37 $\pm$ 30.81	65.74 $\pm$ 31.27	65 (39-91)	65 (39-92)
Urban	4238 (53.3)	3712 (46.7)	7950 (100)	66.55 $\pm$ 30.28	66.59 $\pm$ 30.88	66 (41-92)	66 (40-94)
Tribal	4780 (52.1)	4387 (47.9)	9167 (100)	71.45 $\pm$ 32.73	70.33 $\pm$ 32.87	72 (42-100)	70 (42-99)
Total	14,019 (49.9)	14,059 (50.1)	28,078 (100)	67.80 $\pm$ 31.44	67.40 $\pm$ 31.74	67 (41-94)	67 (40-94)

SD: Standard deviation, IQR: Interquartile range

Table 2: Socioeconomic status-wise distribution of study participants in rural, tribal (Uday Pareek socioeconomic status scale), and urban (modified Kuppaswamy socioeconomic status scale) area

SES of head of the family	Number of children (%)		
	Male	Female	Total
Rural			
Upper-I	29 (40.8)	42 (59.2)	71 (100)
Upper middle-II	2260 (44.4)	2834 (55.6)	5094 (100)
Middle-III	2645 (46.6)	3027 (53.4)	5672 (100)
Lower middle-IV	67 (54.5)	56 (45.5)	123 (100)
Lower-V	0	1 (100)	1 (100)
Total	5001 (45.6)	5960 (54.4)	10,961 (100)
Urban			
Upper-I	2 (100)	0	2 (100)
Upper middle-II	266 (54.6)	221 (45.4)	487 (100)
Lower middle-III	1403 (51.9)	1298 (48.1)	2701 (100)
Upper lower-IV	2451 (54.2)	2070 (45.8)	4521 (100)
Lower-V	116 (48.5)	123 (51.5)	239 (100)
Total	4238 (53.3)	3712 (46.7)	7950 (100)
Tribal			
Upper-I	32 (50.8)	31 (49.2)	63 (100)
Upper middle-II	1750 (50.8)	1693 (49.2)	3443 (100)
Middle-III	2997 (53.0)	2663 (47.0)	5660 (100)
Lower middle-IV	1 (100)	0	1 (100)
Total	4780 (52.1)	4387 (47.9)	9167 (100)

SES: Socioeconomic status

variables [Table 4]. A two times significantly higher risk of diagnosing ASD was observed in rural area as compared to tribal (odds ratio [OR]; 95% CI = 2.17 [1.04–4.52], $P = 0.04$). Higher age group (48–83 and 84–120 months) had 3–4 times higher chance of getting diagnosed as autism as compared to young age children (12–47 months) and this association was statistically significant ($P = 0.01$). Male sex had a 26% higher chance of getting diagnosed with autism as compared to female sex; however, this association was not significant (OR - 1.26; 95% CI - 0.69–2.30, $P = 0.46$).

Children in upper Class (I) SES of head of family/father had a nonsignificant three times higher risk of getting diagnosed as autism as compared to lower Class (V) (OR; 95% CI - 3.23; 0.24–44.28, $P = 0.38$).

DISCUSSION

ASD is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by qualitative impairments in three domains: social interaction, communication, and repetitive stereotyped behavior. As a rule, these impairments begin in early childhood (before the age of 3 years), persist throughout the full life span, and often cast a detrimental impact on the well-being of affected individuals.^[15] Epidemiological surveys in

different geographical regions since 2000 converge to estimates to a median of 17/10,000 for autistic disorder and 62/10,000 for all pervasive developmental disorders combined.^[16] The current study was the first community-based study carried out in India on the prevalence of autism among 1–10 years age and reported a prevalence of 15/10,000 (0.15%). Studies in Asia, Europe, and North America have identified individuals with ASD with an average prevalence of between 1% and 2%.^[17] The prevalence was significantly higher among children residing in rural areas as compared to urban and tribal. This was in contrast to an Arab study, where most of affected children were from urban areas, especially in Egypt and Jordan.^[18] The prevalence is also affected by accessibility to a tertiary care center and source of case identification (mainly families).^[19] Increased awareness about ASD with better access to health-care services among urban population leads to early diagnosis and management of the disorder. This could have led to improvement in symptoms and one of the reasons that a relatively lower proportion of study population fell in the category of autism.

Males presented with ASD in a higher proportion as compared to female children consistent with studies from elsewhere showing male predilection,^[16] and there is no evidence to date that explains this finding.^[20] One possible reason is that female children are more able to mask their behavioral difficulties than males. Furthermore, in developing countries, families may pay more attention to the development of male children compared with females or there could be lack of willingness by parents to report certain behavior exhibited by a female child.^[21] However, gender in our study was insignificantly associated with ASD. This result contradicts previous findings in a cohort study that included 118 children with autism followed into adolescence which reported more significant social impairment among females.^[22]

The prevalence of ASD was observed to be significantly higher among children 4–10 years with mild autism also higher in same age. The prevalence was higher in early years (1–7 years) for moderate autism. One possible reason could be that the case identification by the family was delayed till motor and speech development. Even though ASD can be diagnosed as early as age 2 years, most children are not diagnosed with ASD until after age 4 years.^[17]

The 2011–2012 National Survey of Children's Health, US, included children aged 6–17 years; when further stratified by age, the ASD prevalence was 18.2/1000 children aged 6–9 years, which was much higher as compared to our study (7–10 years, 2/1000).^[23] The

Table 3: Confirmed cases of autism in selected rural, urban, and tribal areas

	Children diagnosed as autistic (%)	Normal (%)	Total (%)
Age groups (months)			
12-47	5 (0.1)	8976 (99.9)	8981 (100)
48-83	19 (0.2)	9314 (99.8)	9333 (100)
84-120	19 (0.2)	9745 (99.8)	9764 (100)
Total	43 (0.15; 95% CI=0.15-0.25)	28,035 (99.87)	28,078 (100)
Sex			
Male	23 (0.2)	14,039 (99.9)	14,059 (100)
Female	20 (0.1)	13,996 (99.8)	14,019 (100)
SES class (rural)			
Upper	2 (2.8)	69 (97.2)	71 (100)
Upper middle	17 (0.3)	5077 (99.7)	5094 (100)
Middle	7 (0.1)	5665 (99.9)	5672 (100)
Lower middle	0	123 (100)	123 (100)
Lower	0	1 (100)	1 (100)
Total	26 (0.24; 95% CI=0.12-0.28)	10,935 (99.86)	10,961 (100)
SES class (urban)			
Upper	0	2 (100)	2 (100)
Upper middle	1 (0.1)	486 (99.8)	487 (100)
Lower middle	3 (0.1)	2698 (99.9)	2701 (100)
Upper lower	2 (0.04)	4519 (99.96)	4521 (100)
Lower	1 (0.4)	238 (99.6)	239 (100)
Total	7 (0.09; 95% CI=0.03-0.17)	7943 (99.91)	7950 (100)
SES (tribal)			
Upper	0	63 (100)	63 (100)
Upper middle	4 (0.1)	3439 (99.9)	3443 (100)
Middle	6 (0.1)	5654 (99.9)	5660 (100)
Lower middle	0	1 (100)	1 (100)
Total	10 (0.11; 95% CI=0.04-0.16)	9157 (99.89)	9167 (100)

CI: Confidence interval, SES: Socioeconomic status

Table 4: Binary logistic regression analysis of children diagnosed with autism in selected rural, urban, and tribal areas

	Children diagnosed as autistic (%)	Normal (%)	Total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P	Statistical Significance
Area of residence						
Rural	26 (0.2)	10,935 (99.8)	10,961 (100)	2.17 (1.04-4.52)	0.04	Significant
Urban	7 (0.1)	10,935 (99.8)	10,961 (100)	1.49 (0.47-4.75)	0.50	Nonsignificant
Tribal	10 (0.1)	9157 (99.9)	9167 (100)	1		
Age groups (months)						
12-47	5 (0.1)	8976 (99.9)	8981 (100)	1		
48-83	19 (0.2)	9314 (99.8)	9333 (100)	3.45 (1.29-9.27)	0.01	Significant
84-120	19 (0.2)	9745 (99.8)	9764 (100)	3.66 (1.37-9.81)	0.01	Significant
Sex						
Male	23 (0.2)	14,039 (99.9)	14,059 (100)	1.26 (0.69-2.30)	0.46	Nonsignificant
Female	20 (0.1)	13,996 (99.8)	14,019 (100)	1		
SES class						
I	2 (1.5)	134 (98.5)	136 (100)	3.23 (0.24-44.28)	0.38	Nonsignificant
II	22 (0.2)	9002 (99.8)	9024 (100)	0.59 (0.06-4.94)	0.58	Nonsignificant
III	16 (0.1)	14,017 (99.9)	14,033 (100)	0.27 (0.03-2.42)	0.24	Nonsignificant
IV	2 (0.04)	4643 (99.96)	4645 (100)	0.10 (0.01-1.14)	0.06	Nonsignificant
V	1 (0.4)	239 (99.6)	240 (100)	1		

CI: Confidence interval, OR: Odds ratio, SES: Socioeconomic status

difference could be due to difference in geography, culture, SES, and methodology used.

SES is one of the fundamental indicators of ASD and is corroborated by our findings.^[24] A higher prevalence was

observed among “upper class” in rural area and “middle class” in both urban and tribal areas.

A descriptive study conducted at an urban tertiary care center in India reported majority of autistic children from middle class SES. The probable reason for this finding was that upper class patients usually do not avail government hospital medical facilities.^[25] In contrast, findings from Saudi Arabia reported most of the children diagnosed with autism belonged to families of low socioeconomic standards with unsatisfactory income.^[18] Evidence suggests an inverse correlation between SES and mental health; however, this causation is based on longitudinal studies.^[26]

These contrasting observations could be due to a low awareness among the source of identification in lower SES leading to negligence in early identification of the developmental delays further leading to higher mortality in children of lower SES due to associated anomalies with ASD. Furthermore, parents from lower SES groups may postpone seeking medical attention for disorders other than sickness.

The situation in low- and middle-income countries such as India appears to be that child health programs focus mainly on child survival issues. Very little attention is paid to developmental disabilities at policy and implementation level and as a result, budget allocations and human resource deployment are directed away from these programs. Lack of effective identification, referral program, and service delivery for these children is a big barrier.^[16]

CONCLUSION

The true estimation of prevalence of ASD in India will go a long way in improving policies regarding developmental disabilities.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the support of Dr. Sukhjot Singh, Associate Professor and Head, Department of Psychiatry, Dr. R. P. Government Medical College Tanda, Kangra (Himachal Pradesh) and Dr. Sanjeev Chaudhary, Professor and Head, Department of Paediatrics, Dr. R. P. Government Medical College Tanda, Kangra (Himachal Pradesh) for their help.

Financial support and sponsorship

The study was carried out with a research grant funded by Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi (Grant No.: 2010-13320/21:09:2012).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Vijay Sagar KJ. Research on autism spectrum disorders in India. *AP J Psychol Med* 2011;12:69-72.
- Fombonne E. Epidemiology of pervasive developmental disorders. *Pediatr Res* 2009;65:591-8.
- Gillberg C. Infantile autism and other childhood psychoses in a Swedish urban region. Epidemiological aspects. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1984;25:35-43.
- Baird G, Simonoff E, Pickles A, Chandler S, Loucas T, Meldrum D, *et al.* Prevalence of disorders of the autism spectrum in a population cohort of children in South Thames: The Special Needs and Autism Project (SNAP). *Lancet* 2006;368:210-5.
- Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network Surveillance Year Principal Investigators; US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders – Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, United States, 2006. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 2009;58:1-20.
- Yeargin-Allsopp M, Rice C, Karapurkar T, Doernberg N, Boyle C, Murphy C. Prevalence of autism in a US metropolitan area. *JAMA* 2003;289:49-55.
- Kawamura Y, Takahashi O, Ishii T. Reevaluating the incidence of pervasive developmental disorders: Impact of elevated rates of detection through implementation of an integrated system of screening in Toyota, Japan. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2008;62:152-9.
- Fombonne E. Thimerosal disappears but autism remains. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2008;65:15-6.
- Williams JG, Higgins JP, Brayne CE. Systematic review of prevalence studies of autism spectrum disorders. *Arch Dis Child* 2006;91:8-15.
- Fombonne E. Epidemiological surveys of autism and other pervasive developmental disorders: An update. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2003;33:365-82.
- Raina SK, Kashyap V, Bhardwaj AK, Kumar D, Chander V. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders among children (1-10 years of age) – Findings of a mid-term report from Northwest India. *J Postgrad Med* 2015;61:243-6.
- Himachal Pradesh – Census 2011. Resource Document. Available from: <http://www.census2011.co.in>states>. [Last accessed on 2016 Jul 03].
- Kumar BP, Dudala SR, Rao AR. Kuppuswamy's socio-economic status scale – A revision of economic parameter for 2012. *Int J Res Dev Health* 2013;1:2-4.
- Holyachi S, Santosh A. Socioeconomic status scales – An update. *Ann Community Health* 2013;1:24-7.
- Kamp-Becker I, Schröder J, Remschmidt H, Bachmann CJ. Health-related quality of life in adolescents and young adults with high functioning autism-spectrum disorder. *Psychosoc Med* 2010;7. pii: Doc03.
- Elsabbagh M, Divan G, Koh YJ, Kim YS, Kauchali S, Marcín C, *et al.* Global prevalence of autism and other pervasive developmental disorders. *Autism Res* 2012;5:160-79.
- CDC. Data and Statistics. Autism Spectrum Disorder. Resource Document. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/autism/data.html>. [Last accessed on 2016 Jul 03].
- Amr M, Bu Ali W, Hablas H, Raddad D, El-Mehesh F, El-Gilany AH, *et al.* Sociodemographic factors in Arab children with autism spectrum disorders. *Pan Afr Med J* 2012;13:65.
- Salhia HO, Al-Nasser LA, Taher LS, Al-Khathaami AM, El-Metwally AA. Systemic review of the epidemiology of autism in Arab Gulf countries. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 2014;19:291-6.

20. Newschaffer CJ, Fallin D, Lee NL. Heritable and nonheritable risk factors for autism spectrum disorders. *Epidemiol Rev* 2002;24:137-53.
21. Al-Salehi SM, Al-Hifthy EH, Ghaziuddin M. Autism in Saudi Arabia: Presentation, clinical correlates and comorbidity. *Transcult Psychiatry* 2009;46:340-7.
22. Nydén A, Hjelmqvist E, Gillberg C. Autism spectrum and attention-deficit disorders in girls. Some neuropsychological aspects. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2000;9:180-5.
23. Blumberg SJ, Bramlett MD, Kogan MD, Schieve LA, Jones JR, Lu MC. Changes in prevalence of parent-reported autism spectrum disorder in school-aged U.S. children: 2007 to 2011-2012. *Natl Health Stat Report* 2013;20:1-11.
24. King MD, Bearman PS. Socioeconomic status and the increased prevalence of autism in California. *Am Sociol Rev* 2011;76:320-46.
25. Juneja M, Mukherjee SB, Sharma S. A descriptive hospital based study of children with autism. *Indian Pediatr* 2005;42:453-8.
26. Hudson CG. Socioeconomic status and mental illness: Tests of social causation and selection hypothesis. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 2005;75:3-18.