

Treatment of chronic subdural Hematoma with burr hole craniostomy and irrigation

J Gurunathan M Ch

Kamala Hospital, Salem, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: Several surgical procedures have been reported for the treatment of chronic subdural hematoma. Whether drain is required is not clear. Usefulness of burr hole craniostomy, irrigation and refilling the hematoma cavity with saline are analyzed. Between 1994 and 2004, 103 adult patients with chronic subdural hematoma were studied in respect to post operative recurrence and clinical improvement after burr hole irrigation without subdural drainage. Fifty six patients (54%) had definite history of head injury, and the hematoma was bilateral in 12 patients (11%). Ninety seven (94%) patients improved. Two patients required craniotomy and membranectomy after repeat irrigation. Recurrent bleeding from the outer membrane is the proven and widely accepted theory. Eosinophilic infiltration in the outer membrane may contribute the local hyperfibrinolysis and recurrent bleeding. Hematoma evacuation brings about hemostasis and fibrosis by stopping self-perpetuating cycles in the subdural neocapillaries. When neomembrane is matured, the neocapillary is no longer fragile. If absorption exceeds rebleeding the hematoma will disappear.

Keywords : Chronic subdural hematoma, hematoma recurrence, subdural air collection, subdural drainage

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Subdural Hematoma (CSDH) can be evacuated through a twist drill, burr holes or craniotomy, with or without the placement of a subdural drain. Most surgeons agree that twist drill and burr hole craniostomies are usually adequate for drainage. Although drain is used in all cases treated with a twist drill craniostomy, there is some controversy regarding the insertion of drain after burr hole craniostomy^{1,2,3,4}. Concomitant diseases are frequently associated with CSDH and can impair both its prognosis and surgical outcome. In fact, death and recurrence are sometimes influenced more by the patients poor pre-operative clinical status or complication caused by concomitant diseases than by complications or failure of surgical treatment. The rate of recurrence of CSDH after surgery ranges between 3% to 27%³⁻¹⁶.

The risk factors for recurrence are variable, and have been discussed in several papers. These risk factors appear to be related to the thickness and to neuroimaging features of the hematoma on CT or MR images, as well as the different modalities of surgical treatment that are performed (with/without drain, with/without irrigation) and to factors that affect brain re-expansion (post-operative

subdural air collection). In this article usefulness of single burr hole craniostomy and saline irrigation without subdural drain is analyzed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

From December 1994 to November 2004, 103 patients were treated for chronic subdural hematoma at our hospital. All of them were surgically treated and were analyzed retrospectively. There were 86 males and 17 females (M:F ratio 5:1) in the study group. Mean age was 68 years (range between 31-93 years). In 56 (54%) patients definite history of head trauma was the cause of CSDH, while 47 (45%) patients did not have definite history of head injury. The clinical presentations were headache in 31 patients (30%), focal neurological deficit in 58 (44.6%) and altered mental state in six patients (5.8%). Interestingly, one patient presented with status epilepticus. Associated systemic disease like hypertension, diabetes, ischemic heart disease etc was diagnosed in 43 patients (41%).

In all cases Computed Tomography (CT) was used for diagnosis and post-operative assessment. Hematoma was bilateral in 12 patients (11%).

To evacuate the hematoma, a skin incision of approximately 4 to 5 cm was made over the maximum thickness of the hematoma. Burr hole was made, dura was cauterized and opened by cross shaped incision. Its outer membrane was coagulated and incised fluid material was let out and cut edges of the membrane were coagulated.

Address for correspondence : Dr. J. Gurunathan, M.Ch., Neuro F-180, Lakshmi Street, Alagapuram, SALEM – 636 004. Tamil Nadu. INDIA.
Tel. No. : 0427 - 2445714, e-mail : guru_neuro@yahoo.co.in

Infant feeding tube was passed into the hematoma cavity, irrigation with normal saline was performed until a clear reflux was obtained. Irrigation was repeated by taking the catheter out and changing the direction of the catheter. After thorough and liberal saline irrigation the cavity was filled with saline. No drain was placed in the subdural space. Patients were discharged 7-12 days after surgery.

We assessed the residual or recurrent collection by taking CT scan before discharge and one month after surgery.

RESULTS

One patient died in post-operative period, who was 83 year old, admitted with poor neurological status. Five patients (4%) had recollection. Two patients after initial improvement deteriorated on the third day after surgery. Both the patients did not improve after repeat irrigation through the same burr hole, after which craniotomy and membranectomy was done. Three patients were readmitted, two patients 20 days after surgery and one on 24th day. All three had recurrence on the same side and site. They improved after repeat irrigation through the same burr hole. One patient presented with status epilepticus was managed with intravenous anticonvulsant drugs. Ninety seven patients (95%) were discharged within seven days and five patients (4.8%) were discharged 12 days after surgery.

Twelve patients (11%) had subdural air collection at the time of discharge. Three patients required re-operation.

DISCUSSION

The recurrence rate is highly variable irrespective of whether the subdural cavity is drained or not drained. The rate of recurrence in our series is 4%, equals those of the other authors³⁻¹⁶. Drains are used whether as the primary means of decompressing the hematoma through a twist drill or burr hole or as an adjuvant to allow continued drainage of the subdural space after the surgical decompression has been completed through either a twist drill, burr hole or craniotomy. There is no consensus in the literature regarding the superiority of drains. Laumer et al¹⁴ randomized 49 patients to closed system drainage and 47 to no drainage after burr hole craniotomy. There was no significant difference between the groups, with a repeated operation rate of 27%. Wakai et al¹⁶ reported that closed system drainage through burr hole was significantly better than simple burr hole. Markwalder and Seiler² described no additional benefit with subdural drain. Reoperation rate has been observed to be low in chronic subdural hematoma treated with post-burr hole drains but no difference was observed in sub acute subdural hematoma³. Erol et al¹⁷ in his prospective study, reported no significant difference in recurrence rate between simple burr hole craniotomy,

irrigation and burr hole craniotomy with closed system drainage. Hamilton et al⁴ reported no significant difference regarding the incidence of post-operative complications or hematoma recurrence requiring subsequent surgery between the groups who underwent burr hole and craniotomy with or without drain.

Possible factors responsible for these discrepancies include, failure to recognize and treat properly multiloculated CSDH, too aggressive a surgical approach towards persistent CT demonstrated but asymptomatic subdural residual or recurrent collections¹⁸.

Markwalder et al¹⁹ demonstrated persisting subdural collection in 78% of cases on the tenth day after surgery after burr hole craniotomy evacuation and closed system drainage. He suggested the blood vessel dysfunction and impairment of cerebral blood flow may participate in delay of brain re expansion. He suggested that well developed subdural neo-membranes are the crucial factors of cerebral reexpansion, a phenomenon that takes at least 10 to 20 days and the additional surgical procedures like repeat tapping, craniotomy and membranectomy or even craniectomy should not be evaluated earlier than 20 days after the initial surgical procedure unless the patient has deteriorated markedly.

Nakaguchi⁶ found that the reduction of residual air volume in the subdural space by keeping drain in frontal position as suitable means to avoid recurrence of CSDH. Mori¹² suggested complete replacement of subdural hematoma by normal saline to prevent influx of air into the subdural space reduce the recurrence.

The pathogenesis and recurrence of CSDH has been controversial for more than a century and still remains obscure. The most widely accepted theory is that is the result of repeated bleeding from the outer membranes of the hematoma. Many causes for the repeated bleeding are explained^{20,21,22,23}. The histological and histochemical changes are also responsible for recurrence. Sarkar et al²² observed infiltration of eosinophils in the vascularised and hyalinised granulations tissue of the subdural membrane. Yamashima²⁰ postulated that the eosinophils in the outer membrane may contribute to the development of local hyperfibrinolysis and recurrent subdural bleedings; probably there is liberation of eosinophilic granules might provoke local hyper fibrinolysis, liquifaction and expansion of subdural clot.

Benzel et al²⁴ suggested recurrence rate depends on the removal of the residual semisolid subdural hematoma component and the removal, dilution and inactivation of endogenous fibrinolytic agents.

Mere removal of CSDH although leaving the entire outer membrane intact, are almost always effective in treating these lesions. However it has never been explained why these procedures stop the repeated hemorrhage from the outer membrane. Weir²⁵ proposed that the removal of CSDH brings about hemostasis and fibrosis by stopping the self-perpetuating cycles in the subdural neocapillaries by removal of hemorrhagic fluid that probably contains anti-clotting factors.

Yamashima²⁶ on ultra structural studies, showed gap junctions in the endothelial cells of the outer membranes, which indicate the high permeability of capillary walls. The distinctive feature of the thin or absent basement membrane among these macro capillaries indicates that these vascular structures are fragile and have characteristics of easy bleeding. Once the pressure within the inner cavity of the hematoma becomes reduced following hematoma drainage, a hydrostatic pressure gap from the capillary pressure will develop. Therefore, exudation can occur along the opened endothelial gap junctions of the vessels, with this gap as a driving force²⁷.

Yamashima²⁶ explained this phenomenon by the mechanism of formation of such a gap junction where neighboring endothelial cells were separated as the intraluminal hydrostatic pressure became increased. As the intraluminal pressure drops following surgical hematoma drainage, the separations between endothelial cells become reduced. Thus a reduction of gap junctions in turn decreases membrane permeability gradually after the surgery. It is likely that there is a balance between the influx and efflux of blood (components) in the vessels within the outer membrane. When efflux exceeds influx because of local hyperfibrinolysis the hematoma enlarges; conversely, when influx exceeds efflux because of decreased fibrinolysis the hematoma shrinks^{23,26}.

CONCLUSION

Adequate and complete evacuation of the CSDH to significantly reduce the intra cavitory pressure, thorough and liberal saline wash to remove the residual semi solid component and the removal, dilution and inactivation of endogenous fibrinolytic agents, refill the subdural cavity with saline to prevent the influx of air into the subdural space reduce the recurrence rate in the treatment of CSDH. Even with this information it is likely that the controversy will continue.

REFERENCES

1. Weigel R, Schmiedek P, Krauss JK. Outcome of contemporary surgery for chronic subdural haematoma : evidence based review. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiat* 2003; 74:937-43

2. Markwalder TM, Seiler RW. Chronic subdural hematomas: to drain or not to drain? *Neurosurgery* 1985; 16:185-8.
3. Lind Christopher R.P., Lind Christina J., Mee Edward W. Reduction in the number of repeated operations for the treatment of subacute and chronic subdural hematomas by placement of subdural drains. *J Neurosurg* 2003, 99: 44-6.
4. Hamilton Mark G, Frizzel J Bevan, Tranmer Bruce I. Chronic subdural hematoma: The role for craniotomy reevaluated. *Neurosurgery* 1993; 33: 67-72.
5. Frati Alessandro, Salvati Maurizio, Mainiero Fabrizio, et al. Inflammation markers and risk factors for recurrence in 35 patients with a posttraumatic chronic subdural hematoma: a prospective study. *J. Neurosurg* 2004, 100: 24-32.
6. Nakaguchi Hiroshi, Tanishima Takeo, Yoshimasu Norio. Relationship between drainage catheter location and postoperative recurrence of chronic subdural hematoma after burr-hole irrigation and closed-system drainage. *J Neurosurg* 2000, 93: 791-5.
7. Ernestus RI, Beldzinski P, Lanfermann H, Klug N. Chronic subdural hematoma : surgical treatment and outcome in 104 patients. *Surg Neurol* 1997; 48:220-5.
8. Suzuki K, Sugita K, Akai T, et al. Treatment of chronic subdural hematoma by closed-system drainage without irrigation. *Surg Neurol* 1998; 50:231-4.
9. Eggert HR, Harders A, Weiget K, Gilsbach J. Recurrence following burr hole trephination of chronic subdural haematomas. *Neurochirurgia (Stuttg)* 1984; 27:141-3.
10. Matsumoto K, Akagi K, Abekura M, et al. Recurrence factors for chronic subdural hematomas after burr-hole craniostomy and closed system drainage. *Neurol Res* 1999; 21:277-80.
11. Lee JY, Ebel H, Ernestus RI, Klug N – Various surgical treatments of chronic subdural hematoma and outcome in 172 patients : is membranectomy necessary?, *Surg. Neurol* 2004; 61: 523-7.
12. Mori K, Maeda M – Surgical treatment of chronic subdural hematoma in 500 consecutive cases : clinical characteristics, surgical outcome, complications, and recurrence rate. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2001; 41:371-81.
13. Sambasivan M. An overview of chronic subdural hematoma: Experience with 2300 cases. *Surg Neurol* 1997; 47:418-22.
14. Laumer R, Schramm J, Leykauf K. Implantation of a reservoir for recurrent subdural hematoma drainage. *Neurosurgery* 1989; 25:991-6.
15. Gastone Pansini, Fabrizia Cioffi, Homere Mouchaty, et al. Chronic subdural hematoma : Results of a homogeneous series of 159 patients operated on by residents, *Neurol Ind* 2004; 52: 475-7.
16. Wakai S, Hashimoto K, Watanabe N, et al. Efficacy of closed system drainage in treating chronic subdural hematoma : a prospective comparative study.

- Neurosurgery* 1990; 26: 771-3.
17. Erol FS, Topsakal C, Faik Ozveren M, Kalpan M, Tiftikei MT. Irrigation vs. closed drainage in the treatment of chronic subdural hematoma.
J Clin Neurosci 2005; 12:261-3.
 18. Drapkin AJ. Chronic subdural hematoma : pathophysiological basis for treatment.
Br J Neurosurg 1991; 5; 467-73.
 19. Markwalder TM, Steinsiepe KF, Rohner M, Reichenbach W, Markwalder H. The course of chronic subdural hematomas after burr-hole craniostomy and closed-system drainage.
J Neurosurg 1981, 55: 390-6.
 20. Testumori Yamashima – The inner membrane of chronic subdural hematomas, Pathology and pathophysiology.
Neurosurg Clin N Am 2000; 11:413-24.
 21. Hideki Murukami, Yuichi Hirose, Masachika Sagoh, et al. Why do chronic subdural hematomas continue to grow slowly and not coagulate ? Role of thrombomodulin in the mechanism.
J. Neurosurg 2002; 96: 877-84.
 22. Sarkar C, Lakhtakia R, Gill SS, et al. Chronic subdural hematoma and the enigmatic eosinophil.
Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2002; 144: 983-8.
 23. Lee KS. Natural history of chronic subdural hematoma.
Brain Injury 2004; 18:351-8.
 24. Benzel EC, Bridges RM Jr, Hadden TA, Orrison WW. The single burr hole technique for the evacuation of non-acute subdural haematomas.
J Trauma 1994; 36:190-4.
 25. Weir B. Oncotic pressure of subdural fluids.
J Neurosurg 1980; 53: 512-5.
 26. Yamashima T, Yamamoto S, Friede RL. The role of endothelial gap junctions in the enlargement of chronic subdural haematomas.
J Neurosurg 1983; 59: 298-303.
 27. Kwon Taek-Hyun, Park Youn-Kwan, Lim Dong-Jun, et al. Chronic subdural hematoma: evaluation of the clinical significance of postoperative drainage volume.
J.Neurosurg 2000; 93:796-9.