Recognition accuracy of tumor extent using a prototype 3D endoscope for superficial gastric tumor: an ex vivo crossover study
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ABSTRACT
Background and study aims Many studies have shown the utility of rigid three-dimensional (3D) endoscopes in surgery, but few have reported the utility of flexible 3D endoscopes. This ex vivo study was intended to investigate whether a newly developed 3D endoscope (GIF-Y0083; Olympus) improves diagnostic accuracy for superficial gastric tumor.

Methods Twelve observers comprising experts, trainees, and novices (4 each) evaluated 2D and 3D images of 20 specimens resected by gastric ESD. Evaluation items were diagnostic accuracy of tumor extent and degree of confidence in assessing (a) tumor extent, (b) morphology, and (c) comprehensive recognition. The 2D and 3D endoscopy data were compared in a crossover analysis.

Results Overall, diagnostic accuracy was significantly higher with 3D images (88.1%) than with 2D images (84.2%) (P<0.01). Comparison by skill level showed that 3D images significantly improved diagnostic accuracy among novices but not among experts or trainees. Comparison by morphology showed that diagnostic accuracy did not differ significantly for type IIa/IIb lesions but improved significantly for type IIc lesions among trainees and novices. Overall, 3D images significantly increased the degree of confidence in the assessment of all three items (a–c). Comparison by skill level showed similar results, and comparison by morphology showed that regardless of skill level, the degree of confidence in assessing all items (a–c) increased significantly only when examining type IIc lesions.

Conclusion Compared with 2D images, 3D images significantly improved both diagnostic accuracy of tumor extent and degree of confidence for diagnosing superficial gastric tumor. The utility of the 3D endoscope was apparent among trainees and novices and for the diagnosis of type IIc lesions.

Introduction
Conventional endoscopic imaging relies solely on two-dimensional (2D) information, and therefore lacks depth information. Although the utility of three-dimensional (3D) endoscopic systems has been reported in many studies showing that these systems improve the speed and accuracy of surgery through the enhancement of depth perception [1–3], there have been few reports on endoscopic diagnosis made by using flexible endoscopes [4]. Against this background, we previously reported that diagnostic accuracy for superficial gastrointestinal tumor was improved by converting 2D endoscopic images of endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) resection specimens into 3D images [5]. In the current study, using a newly developed prototype 3D endoscope, we investigated whether the 3D system improves diagnostic accuracy for superficial gastric tumor compared with 2D endoscopy.
Methods

Experimental design

Twelve observers comprising experts, trainees, and novices (4 each) participated in this study, which used a crossover design to reduce bias (▶Fig. 1). An expert was defined as an endoscopist with 10 years of experience in endoscopy, and a novice was defined as a physician who had never performed a single routine endoscopic examination. Experts, trainees, and novices were divided equally into two experimental groups (the 2D-first group and 3D-first group), each therefore containing two experts, two trainees, and two novices. In the 2D-first group, observers evaluated 2D images and then 3D images after a 1-week washout period; in the 3D-first group, 3D images were evaluated before 2D images, with a 1-week washout period. Evaluation items were (1) diagnostic accuracy of tumor extent and (2) degree of confidence for (a) tumor extent, (b) morphology, and (c) comprehensive recognition. The degree of confidence was scored using a 5-point scale: 1 = extremely likely, 2 = likely, 3 = more likely than not, 4 = unlikely, and 5 = very unlikely. In addition, the coefficient of correlation was analyzed for diagnostic accuracy and degree of confidence.

Lesions

We examined 20 resection specimens from gastric tumors (15 differentiated adenocarcinomas, 1 undifferentiated adenocarcinoma, and 4 adenomas) obtained by ESD at our hospital. Pathological examination revealed that six, five, and nine lesions were type IIa, IIb, and IIc, respectively. The median tumor size was 11.0 mm (range 5–40 mm).

Endoscopic imaging and observation

The dimensions of the newly developed 3D prototype endoscope (GIF-Y0083; ▶Fig. 2a, ▶Fig. 2b) were outer diameter at the tip of the scope, 12.2 mm; maximum diameter of the scope, 14.1 mm; length of the scope, 1030 mm; and the diameter of the channel, 2.8 mm. Although magnification is not feasible, the endoscope is equipped with narrow-band imaging and water jet technologies. This newly developed 3D prototype endoscope was used along with an endoscopic system identical to that used in surgery: EVIS EXERA III Video System Center, 3D Visualization Unit (CV-190), and EVIS EXERA III Xenon Light Source (3DV-190), and EVIS EXERA III (CLV-190) (all from Olympus) as well as 3D Medical Display (LMD-3251MT; Sony) (▶Fig. 2c). Images are obtained through each lens and sent to each video processor as an electrical signal. Each video processor changes the electrical signal to video signal similar to the working of the conventional endoscope. Each video signal is sent to a 3D video processor and synthesized as a 3D image. Finally, the 3D image is visualized using a 3D monitor and 3D glasses (▶Fig. 2d) [6]. In these systems, 2D mode can be switched to 3D mode and vice versa with one button.

Immediately after ESD, the resected specimen was immobilized with pins on a black rubber plate, and using an endoscope fixture, conventional white light endoscopy or chromoendoscopy was performed without magnification to generate 2D and 3D images of the specimen under water (▶Fig. 3). Because 3D samples cannot be presented without a 3D monitor and 3D glasses, only a 2D sample is shown in ▶Fig. 4a.

Diagnosis of tumor extent

At more than 1 month after ESD, the 12 endoscopists who were blinded to the actual diagnosis and histopathological findings in each case evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of tumor extent in all 20 cases by drawing a demarcation line on printed 2D images while viewing 2D and 3D images of individual lesions. Three-dimensional monitors and 3D glasses were used to evaluate the 3D images (▶Fig. 5). Accuracy was then determined based on histological mapping (▶Fig. 4b). Diagnostic accuracy was calculated using the following formula: Diagnostic accuracy = Number of accurate diagnoses of lesions and non-lesion areas / (Number of lines passing through non-lesion areas + Number of starting and ending points of line segments within the lesion). To explain with a specific example, ▶Fig. 4b shows eight starting and ending points of line segments within the lesion and four white solid lines in the non-lesion area, giving a sum of 12 (8 + 4), which is used as the denominator when calculating the diagnostic accuracy. In ▶Fig. 4c, the total number of accurate diagnoses of lesion and non-lesion areas is nine (blue
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circles) with respect to the sample demarcation line (yellow), making the diagnostic accuracy 75% (9/12). Marking with error ≥ 1 mm was judged to be inaccurate.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Accuracy and degree of confidence (5-point scale score) were compared between 2D and 3D approaches by paired t-test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test, respectively. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 14 (StataCorp LLC., College Station, Texas, United States) and a P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Accuracy of assessing tumor extent**

Overall, diagnostic accuracy was significantly higher with 3D images (88.1%) than with 2D images (84.2%) (Table 1). Results were similar between the 2D-first and 3D-first groups, suggesting that the results were not affected by bias (Table 1). Comparison by skill level showed that 3D images improved diagnostic accuracy regardless of skill level. In particular, there was a significant difference among novices (Table 2). Comparison by morphology showed that diagnostic accuracy for type IIa and IIb lesions with 3D images was better than or equal to that...
with 2D images, even though no statistically significant difference was attained. In contrast, diagnostic accuracy for type IIc lesions improved significantly among trainees and novices (Table 2).

Degree of confidence

Comparison by skill level showed that 3D images increased levels of confidence in assessing the items (a–c) overall and regardless of skill level (Table 3). Comparison by morphology showed that degree of confidence increased significantly among experts and trainees when assessing morphology and comprehensive recognition of type IIa lesions. A significant increase was also observed for assessing morphology of type IIb lesions regardless of skill level and comprehensive recognition of type IIb lesions among experts. As for type IIc lesions, 3D images significantly increased levels of confidence in assessing all items (Table 4).

Correlation coefficient

A scatterplot and fitted curve are shown in Fig. 6. Diagnostic accuracy and confidence had a correlation coefficient of 0.4, showing a correlation between the two factors.

Discussion

The brain has the stereoscopic ability to convert flat 2D images, such as those on a television screen, into 3D images. To perceive depth of view or the dimension of objects, the brain converts the parallax that exists between visual information entering from the two eyes into 3D information. With use of special glasses, modern 3D technology allows the addition of depth to
Three-dimensional technology has been applied in various fields including cinematography. In recent years, the application range of 3D technology has steadily expanded into the medical field. In conventional laparoscopic surgery, which relies on only 2D information displayed on the monitor, lack of depth information makes it difficult to determine the location of organs and depth of the surgical field. Because 3D endoscopy can compensate for this type of drawback, many studies have reported the utility and/or safety of a 3D endoscopic system. Despite heightened expectations for the utility of endoscopic diagnosis through the addition of 3D information, no study has been performed of endoscopic diagnosis using a flexible 3D endoscope. In this study, we therefore used 3D images generated by the newly developed 3D endoscope and evaluated the utility of 3D endoscopy in diagnosis of superficial gastric tumor. This is the first report of endoscopic diagnosis made by using flexible 3D endoscopes.

In this study, diagnostic accuracy for tumor extent was significantly improved by use of 3D images compared with 2D images, especially among novices. In addition, comparison by morphology showed that the utility of 3D endoscopy was high when examining type IIC lesions. This may reflect the fact that demarcation lines are relatively clear in type IIA lesions and that type IIB lesions seldom benefit from 3D endoscopy. Furthermore, compared with 2D images, 3D images significantly increased levels of confidence in assessing tumor extent, morphology, and comprehensive recognition overall and regardless of skill level. Again, the utility of 3D endoscopy was high when examining type IIC lesions.

As in the previous study, the findings from this study showed that the utility of 3D endoscopy is superior among physicians with less experience in endoscopy. This suggests that experts convert 2D images into stereoscopic structures based on their experience, showing that stronger effects can be expected among novices and trainees. Based on these findings, it is expected that use of 3D endoscopic images can shorten training and education among novices and trainees. In addition, 3D endoscopy increased the degree of confidence significantly even among experts.

One of the problems associated with 3D endoscopy is eye-strain and headache in individuals who wear 3D glasses, pre-
sumably because the brain is confused by the input of different visual information through the glasses. Similarly, some observers developed eyestrain in this study, necessitating the investigation and establishment of measures against eyestrain in the future.

There is a limitation to this study. Because of the small number of subjects (12 observers) and sample size (20 lesions), this study might not have had a sufficient statistical power. In addition, because ESD specimens were used, diagnosis was performed based only on frontal views. Moreover, because all the specimens were from tumors, further study is needed to perform differential diagnosis of tumors and non-tumors.

In the future, we plan to investigate the efficacy of the 3D prototype endoscope in diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal lesions.

Conclusions

Use of the newly developed 3D prototype endoscope significantly improved diagnostic accuracy of tumor extent as well as degree of confidence compared with 2D endoscopy. The utility of 3D endoscopy was apparent among trainees and novices (physicians with less experience) and when making a morphologic diagnosis of type IIc lesions.
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