Left and right ventricular strain in the course of acute myocarditis: a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Ziel Gegenstand der Studie war die Untersuchung im Rahmen einer Verlaufsbeobachtung der akuten Myokarditis dahingehend, ob Beeinträchtigungen in links- (LV) und rechtsventrikulären (RV) Strainparametern vorhanden sind. Darüber hinaus war beabsichtigt, die Strainparameter hinsichtlich ihrer Voraussagekraft für eine Funktionsnormalisierung in der Nachuntersuchung zu evaluieren.

Material und Methoden Es wurden 69 Patienten mit Myokarditis in der Akutphase (Baseline) und nach durchschnittlich 92,5 ± 50,4 Tagen mittels kardialer Magnetresonanztomografie untersucht. Die „Lake Louise“ Kriterien (T2 Signalintensitätsratio, „early gadolinium enhancement ratio“ und „late gadolinium enhancement“) und LV und RV myokardiale Strainparameter wurden ausgewertet. Eine logistische Regressionsanalyse wurde durchgeführt, um Prädiktoren für eine Funktionsnormalisierung in der Nachuntersuchung zu finden.

Ergebnisse Alle inflammatorischen Parameter verbesserten sich deutlich in der Nachuntersuchung (P<0,001 für alle Parameter). Entsprechend war auch die LV und RV Funktion in der Nachuntersuchung signifikant verbessert (LV Ejektionsfraktion: 53,5 ± 12,7 % vs. 61,3 ± 9,5 %; P<0,001, RV Ejektionsfraktion: 54,1 ± 10,0 % vs. 59,4 ± 6,3 %; P<0,001). LV und RV Strainparameter (longitudinaler, zirkumferentieller und radialer Strain) verbesserten sich ebenfalls in der Nachuntersuchung signifikant im Vergleich zur initialen Untersuchung (P<0,05 für alle Parameter). Der initiale LV globale systolische longitudinale Strain war der einzige Parameter in der multivariaten Regressionsanalyse, der unabhängig eine Funktionsverbesserung in der Nachuntersuchung voraussagen konnte (OR: 0,303; P=0,007).


Kernaussagen
▪ Beeinträchtigungen des myokardialen Strains bei Myokarditis erholen sich meist im Verlauf.
▪ Eine RV Dysfunktion kann in der Akutphase häufig beobachtet werden.
▪ Der LV longitudinale Strain kann eine Funktionsnormalisierung in der Verlaufsuntersuchung voraussagen.

ABSTRACT
Purpose To investigate the degree of impairment in left (LV) and right ventricular (RV) myocardial strain parameters over the course of acute myocarditis and to evaluate its value for the prediction of functional recovery upon follow-up.

Materials and Methods 69 patients with acute myocarditis underwent cardiac magnetic resonance imaging during the acute stage (baseline) and after a mean 92.5 ± 50.4 days follow-up. Standard “Lake Louise” criteria (T2 signal intensity ratio, early gadolinium enhancement ratio and late gadolinium enhancement) and feature tracking derived LV and RV strain parameters were assessed. Logistic regression analysis was used to find predictors of functional recovery upon follow-up.
Introduction

Myocarditis accounts for up to 20% of sudden unexpected deaths in young adults and has been identified as a substantive cause of cardiac mortality and morbidity [1]. The course of myocarditis is subject to substantial individual variations and the clinical management remains difficult [2]. Healed myocarditis can often be indirectly assumed in the absence of persisting symptoms if myocardial function and biomarkers have normalized [3]. However, in some cases, dilated cardiomyopathy may result from persistent myocardial inflammation [4]. Although confirming the presence of myocarditis is primarily a histopathological diagnosis [5], cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) is more and more considered to be an alternative option for the diagnostic evaluation of patients presenting with symptoms of acute myocarditis [6, 7]. In the follow-up of acute myocarditis, CMR can identify patients with ongoing inflammation of the myocardium who ultimately require an additional endomyocardial biopsy for an optimized therapeutic regime [8]. Also, CMR can provide important information regarding the prognosis of patients suffering from myocarditis. The initial presence of myocardial oedema has been described to strongly predict left ventricular (LV) functional recovery [9], whereas the presence of non-ischemic necrosis on late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging is regarded as an independent predictor of all-cause and cardiac mortality [10]. Another newer CMR technique, which also adds important information to multiparametric myocarditis CMR, is the determination of myocardial strain and deformation parameters using a feature tracking technique [6, 11]. Myocardial strain parameters are typically reduced during early-stage pathogenesis [12, 13], however, the predictive value of strain parameters for the recovery of LV function is not known. In addition, the predictive value of right ventricular (RV) functional parameters for outcome prediction has only been addressed by a few studies [14], and little is known about RV deformation analysis over the course of acute myocarditis. The aim of this study was to evaluate: (1) whether RV dysfunction can be observed during the course of acute myocarditis, and (2) the predictive value of several CMR parameters including myocardial strain analysis for the prediction of functional recovery upon follow-up.

Methods

Ethics commission approval was obtained for this retrospective study. The requirement to obtain written informed consent was waived. This study included the datasets of 69 patients with clinically suspected myocarditis who underwent an initial CMR after admission and a follow-up CMR between June 2008 and April 2016 at our department. Acute myocarditis was diagnosed based on diagnostic criteria for clinically suspected myocarditis as recommended of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on myocardial and pericardial diseases [5, 6]. All patients had the diagnosis of acute myocarditis at hospital discharge. Patients with coronary artery disease, previous myocarditis or myocardial infarction were not included in this study.

CMR scans

CMR investigations were conducted on clinical whole body CMR scanners (Intera 1.5 Tesla, Ingenia 1.5 Tesla or Ingenia 3 Tesla, Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands). ECG-gated steady-state free precession cine images were acquired for functional measurements and strain analysis. Cine images were obtained in short axis, 4-chamber and 2-chamber views. Short axis views of T2-weighted short-tau inversion-recovery (STIR) sequences were acquired in order to visualize myocardial oedema and to calculate T2 signal intensity (SI) ratio. For the assessment of myocardial hyperaemia (early gadolinium enhancement), axial fast spin echo T1-weighted images were acquired in free-breathing directly before and after contrast administration [15]. For LGE imaging, segmented inversion-recovery gradient-echo sequences were acquired in short axis, 4-chamber and 2-chamber views. Contrast was given as a bolus of 0.2 mmol/kg of body weight of gadobutrol (Gadovist, Bayer Healthcare, Leverkusen, Germany). Typical sequence parameters are summarized in Table S1 (online-only supplement).

Analysis of CMR images

Analysis of CMR images and data was performed by two blinded, experienced radiologists. Image analysis was conducted using a...
A testing for normal distribution was performed for continuous variables. Dichotomous variables are presented as absolute frequency. For inter-individual group comparisons, the independent 2-sample Student t test was applied. For intra-individual group comparisons, the paired Student t test was used. Inter-individual comparisons of dichotomous variables were performed using the χ² test (cell count > 5) or the Fisher exact test (cell count ≤ 5) for. For intra-individual comparisons, the McNemar’s test was used. For correlation analysis, the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was performed. Significant independent predictors of LV functional recover were analysed by univariate and subsequent multivariate binary logistic regression analysis. Results are displayed as odds ratio (OR) with 95 % confidence interval (CI). Diagnostic performance of parameters for the prediction of functional recovery was analysed by plotting receiver operating characteristics (ROCs). A P value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

General results

The datasets of 69 patients were analysed in this study. Mean age of the study population was 41.8 ± 18.8 years (range: 18 – 76). Clinical parameters at baseline are presented in Table 1. After admission to the hospital, initial CMR was performed after 5.6
±6.5 days. Patients with myocarditis were followed up 92.5 ± 50.4 days after initial CMR. During the study period, no deaths or heart transplantations occurred.

CMR results

All inflammatory CMR parameters showed a significant decrease from baseline compared to follow-up (see Table 2): T2 SI ratio (1.9 ± 0.4 vs. 1.7 ± 0.3; P < 0.001); EG Er (4.5 ± 4.1 vs. 2.5 ± 1.2; P < 0.001). Quantitative LGE also significantly declined over time (7.1 ± 5.0 % vs. 6.1 ± 4.7 %; P = 0.002) (see Fig. 1). On visual analysis, LGE was still present in 65 % (45/69) of all patients on follow-up. LV ejection fraction showed a significant improvement from baseline to follow-up (53.5 ± 12.7 vs. 61.3 ± 9.5; P < 0.001). On baseline, 24/69 (34.9 %) patients presented with a reduced RV function (RV ejection fraction < 52 %). Furthermore, baseline RV function was significantly correlated with baseline LV ejection fraction (r = 0.668; P < 0.001). Also, RV global peak longitudinal strain was significantly correlated with RV function (r = −0.411; P = 0.001) and LV longitudinal strain (r = 0.558; P < 0.001) (see Fig. 2). Over the course of the disease, RV ejection fraction significantly improved from baseline to follow-up (54.1 ± 10.0 vs. 59.4 ± 6.3; P < 0.001). Except RV global peak systolic radial strain, all myocardial LV and RV strain parameters (longitudinal strain, circumferential strain and radial strain) significantly improved from baseline to follow-up (P < 0.05 for all parameters) (see Fig. 3, 4). 30/69 (43 %) of all patients presented with an impaired LV ejection fraction at baseline. Patients with a reduced baseline LV ejection fraction also had reduced RV ejection fraction (54.1 ± 10.0 vs. 59.4 ± 6.3; P < 0.001) and reduced LV and RV strain parameters (P < 0.05 for all parameters) compared to patients with preserved LV ejection fraction at baseline (see Table 3). Interestingly, no differences were observed in visible myocardial oedema (77 % vs. 85 %; P = 0.701) and visible late gadolinium enhancement (83 % vs. 92 %; P = 0.219) between both groups. 18/69 (26 %) of all patients had positive “Lake Louise” criteria at follow-up, as a CMR sign of ongoing myocarditis. Patients with positive “Lake Louise” criteria on follow-up had a comparable LV and RV function compared to without positive “Lake Louise” criteria (LV ejection fraction: 61.9 ± 8.0 vs. 61.2 ± 10.0; P = 0.758; RV ejection fraction: 59.6 ± 7.4 vs. 59.4 ± 5.9;
Also, no differences were observed in myocardial strain parameters (P > 0.05 for all parameters).

Impact of different CMR parameters for the prediction of functional recovery

59/69 (85.5 %) of all patients had a normal LV myocardial function (LV ejection fraction > 55 %) upon follow-up. In the univariate logistic regression analysis, LV (OR: 1.232 (CI: 1.088 – 1.395); P = 0.001) and RV ejection fraction (OR: 1.190 (CI: 1.064 – 1.331); P = 0.002), visible myocardial oedema (5.778 (CI: 1.219 – 27.388); P = 0.027) and LV and RV global peak strain parameters (P < 0.05 for all parameters) showed a predictive value for a functional recovery upon follow-up. In the multivariate model, LV global peak systolic longitudinal strain (OR: 0.303 (CI: 0.128 – 0.718); P = 0.007) was the only independent predictor of functional recovery upon follow-up. The results of the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis are summarized in ▶ Table 4. Using a cut-off of <-16.9 %, LV global peak systolic longitudinal strain had a sensitivity of 90.0 % and a specificity of 75.4 % for the prediction of functional recovery upon follow-up.

Also in patients with initially reduced LV ejection fraction, LV global peak systolic longitudinal strain remained the only significant multivariate predictor of functional recovery upon follow-up (OR: 0.296 (CI 0.102 – 0.858); P = 0.025) (see ▶ Fig. 5). In these patients, LV global peak systolic longitudinal strain had a sensitivity of 85.7 % and a specificity of 95.5 % (cut-off: <-12.3 %) for the prediction of functional recovery upon follow-up (see ▶ Fig. 5).

Discussion

In this retrospective follow-up study in patients with acute myocarditis, we described the changes of various CMR parameters including LV and RV myocardial strain over the course of the disease and evaluated their value for the prediction of functional recovery. The main findings of our study are that (1) RV dysfunction may frequently be observed during the acute stage of myocarditis, (2) LV longitudinal strain was the only independent predictor of functional recovery upon follow-up.
“Lake Louise” criteria

CMR is increasingly used for the diagnosis, evaluation, and follow-up of patients suffering from myocarditis [7, 8, 13]. Using standard “Lake Louise” criteria, CMR can reliably detect inflammatory myocardial alteration in the acute setting. In follow-up investigations, CMR can monitor inflammatory activity of the heart muscle and may help to identify patients with persistent signs of myocardial inflammation, who might require a subsequent endomyocardial biopsy for a more specific therapy [8]. Several CMR studies have described the temporal evolution of the “Lake Louise” criteria [8, 9]. In this regard, EGEr is a CMR parameter of active inflammation, which is mostly elevated in the acute stage of the disease. As was observed in this study, a recovery of EGEr is seen fairly quickly in most of the cases [8, 17, 18].

Comparable to myocardial hyperaemia, myocardial oedema also represents a sign of active myocardial inflammation [19]. During the course of myocarditis, myocardial oedema is mainly visible in the acute phase and often dissolves quickly [20]. In a recently published study, myocardial oedema was only visible in 4% of all patients after a 16 weeks’ follow-up [8]. In this study, myocardial oedema was visible in 9% of all patients after a 90 days’ follow-up. Vermes et al. described the presence of myocardial oedema to be associated with LV function recovery following acute myocarditis [9]. In this study, regional myocardial oedema could also predict functional recovery, but only on the univariate analysis. In acute myocarditis, myocardial oedema should be regarded as a marker of reversibly injured myocardium as an improvement of global and regional myocardial function closely follows the regression of myocardial oedema [9, 21].

In the acute setting, LGE is a CMR marker of myocardial necrosis and represents irreversible myocardial injury [8, 22]. During the healing process, this necrotic tissue is replaced by a fibrotic scar [9, 22]. This persistence of scar tissue leads to relatively high percentage of patients with positive LGE on follow-up investigations, even in patients without any signs of ongoing inflammation (e.g. myocardial oedema) [8, 23]. Accordingly, 66% of all patients had a persistence of LGE on follow-up in our study. Although positive LGE is considered to be a good predictor of sudden cardiac death and all-cause mortality in myocarditis, it surprisingly does not play a role in the prediction of incomplete recovery [10], as also shown in our study. The association between LGE and sudden cardiac death might be explained by the essential role of myocardial fibrotic remodelling as a substrate for cardiac arrhythmia [24], which seems to be independent of LV functional improvement.

Ventricular function and strain

Although myocardial inflammation in acute myocarditis is assumed to involve the left and the right ventricle, only very few studies investigated right ventricular function in the course of the disease. A follow-up study in patients with biopsy-proven myocarditis found initial abnormal right ventricular function to be a good predictor of poor outcome [14]. In our study, global RV function
was distinctly decreased at baseline compared to follow-up. Comparably, RV strain indices were also markedly reduced. We also found positive correlations between baseline RV functional parameters and LV functional parameters. Therefore, our results indicate that right ventricular involvement in acute myocarditis may be a frequent finding, which is associated with left ventricular functional impairment. This thesis is supported by the fact that histopathological diagnosis of myocarditis is often obtained from right ventricular biopsy specimens indicating a not insubstantial load of inflammatory activity in the right ventricle [25].

Myocardial strain analysis can detect regional and global myocardial dysfunction in acute myocarditis and may serve as a new diagnostic CMR target [13, 26]. Several case-control studies have described myocardial strain indices to be decreased in acute myocarditis, even in patients with preserved ejection fraction [12, 13]. In our follow-up study on patients with myocarditis, we could show that myocardial strain indices significantly improved from baseline to follow-up. Myocardial strain analysis might therefore be suitable for intraindividual disease monitoring, when a normalization of myocardial strain parameters should be expected upon follow-up. Interestingly, we found LV global systolic longitudinal strain to be the only predictor of full functional recovery upon follow-up on multivariate analysis. Despite the established role of LV ejection fraction for outcome prediction, several other studies indicated an incremental value of LV longitudinal strain for risk stratification in patients with various cardiac disease (e.g. in dilated cardiomyopathy or acute myocardial infarction) [27, 28]. The prognostic value of global longitudinal strain for predicting major adverse cardiac events is regarded to be superior compared to standard ejection fraction alone [27]. Arenja et al. reported longitudinal strain to be an independent parameter for outcome and offers incremental information beyond LV ejection fraction and the presence of myocardial fibrosis in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy [28]. This superiority of longitudinal strain was also shown in an analysis of the right ventricle [29]. Using the feature tracking technique, longitudinal strain has been reported to be the only independent prognostic parameter in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy, surpassing the prognostic value of radial and circumferential strain [30]. Also, previous feature tracking CMR studies reported global longitudinal strain to offer a more robust intra- and inter-observer variability compared to other strain parameters (e.g. global radial strain values) [31, 32]. Furthermore, feature tracking global longitudinal strain has been reported to offer a very low inter-study reproducibility [31].

In patients with acute myocarditis, only a few studies evaluated the prognostic value of strain parameters: An echocardiographic study described longitudinal and circumferential strain to be significant predictors of cardiac outcome following acute myocarditis [33]. Recently, Lee et al. reported that radial long-axis strain can predict major adverse cardiovascular events and incomplete LV functional recovery [26].

In summary, our results indicate an increasing evidence that myocardial strain analysis can also provide additional information regarding outcome prediction in patients with acute myocarditis.

▶ Fig. 4 Left (LV) and right ventricular (RV) longitudinal strain curves at baseline and follow-up in a 26-year-old male with acute myocarditis. The color-coded curves given in the strain graph represent the longitudinal strain of different segments versus time. The dotted represents the average strain of all segments. The LV and RV longitudinal strain is reduced at baseline. At follow-up, LV and RV longitudinal strain values increase and the strain curves align themselves more uniformly.

In this regard, it is also important to mention that myocardial strain analysis using the feature tracking technique can be performed using routinely acquired cine CMR images and without the need of additional contrast administration.

**Limitations**

Although endomyocardial biopsy is regarded as the reference standard for the diagnosis of myocarditis, it was not performed in this study. Instead, as reported previously in multiple CMR studies, the initial diagnosis of acute myocarditis was mainly made based on clinical parameters [6, 7]. Alterations of myocardial strain parameters are non-specific and can be detected in many acute and chronic cardiac diseases and conditions (e.g. in patients longstanding chronic disease [34] or significant coronary artery disease [35]). Due to the retrospective study design, patients were investigated at three different CMR scanners, which might have influenced some quantitative measurements (e.g. myocardial strain analysis). However, differences in the magnitude of longitudinal and circumferential strains at different field strengths have been reported to be rather small [36].

**Conclusions**

In patients with acute myocarditis, CMR strain analysis may be a new tool to monitor LV and RV functional impairment over the course of the disease. Furthermore, LV longitudinal strain has the potential to become a new CMR parameter for the independent prediction of functional recovery.
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**Table 3** CMR findings at baseline of patients with impaired and preserved ejection fraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Impaired LV ejection fraction (&lt;55%) (n = 30)</th>
<th>Preserved LV ejection fraction (≥55%) (n = 39)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>43.2 ± 12.4</td>
<td>61.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV enddiastolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>192.7 ± 66.4</td>
<td>148.0 ± 27.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>48.1 ± 11.2</td>
<td>58.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV enddiastolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>151.8 ± 59.4</td>
<td>121.8 ± 31.8</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 SI ratio</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early gadolinium enhancement ratio</td>
<td>6.1 ± 5.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late gadolinium enhancement (%)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>7.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible regional myocardial oedema</td>
<td>23 (77%)</td>
<td>33 (85%)</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible late gadolinium enhancement</td>
<td>25 (83%)</td>
<td>36 (92%)</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Lake Louise criteria</td>
<td>25 (83%)</td>
<td>32 (82%)</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>−15.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>−21.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV longitudinal strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>−1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>−1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV circumferential strain (%)</td>
<td>−15.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>−23.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV circumferential strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>−1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>−1.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV radial strain (%)</td>
<td>26.6 ± 9.5</td>
<td>39.6 ± 11.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV radial strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>−20.4 ± 5.5</td>
<td>−24.7 ± 5.8</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV longitudinal strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>−1.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>−2.00 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV circumferential strain (%)</td>
<td>−11.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>−15.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV circumferential strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>−1.23 ± 0.30</td>
<td>−1.38 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV systolic radial strain (%)</td>
<td>17.66 ± 6.13</td>
<td>20.88 ± 7.33</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV radial strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV = left ventricular; RV = right ventricular. Continuous variables are given as mean ± standard deviation. Dichotomous variables are given as absolute frequency with percentages in parentheses. Strain values represent global peak systolic strain.
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**Table 5** Baseline CMR predictors of functional recovery in patients with impaired LV ejection fraction (<55%) (n = 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction</td>
<td>1.206 (1.059 – 1.374)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV ejection fraction</td>
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<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 SI ratio</td>
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</tr>
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<td>Visible late gadolinium enhancement</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Lake Louise criteria</td>
<td>0.167 (0.022 – 1.282)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV global peak systolic longitudinal strain</td>
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<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance, LV = left ventricular, RV = right ventricular. Predictors of functional recovery upon follow-up were determined by using binary logistic regression analysis. Significant univariate predictors were entered into the multivariate model. Odds ratios are given with 95% confidence interval.
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