Introduction
The endoscopic full-thickness resection device (FTRD, Ovesco, Germany) combines a modified over-the-scope-clip (OTSC) for creation of a full thickness plication and a snare, which is subsequently used to cut a full-thickness specimen [1, 2]. The method has great potential, particularly for treatment of difficult-to-resect colorectal neoplasia such as non-lifting lesions (e.g. due to scarring after previous endoscopic treatment) or lesions in specific anatomic localizations (e.g. in proximity to a diverticulum or at the appendiceal orifice) [3–5]. The largest study published so far included 181 patients and reported R0 resection rates of 77.7 % and 72.4 % for difficult-to-resect colorectal adenomas and early cancers, respectively. The rate of emergency surgery was 2 % and included one case of acute appendicitis. Final histopathology showed appendicitis and residual serrated adenoma within the appendiceal stump, but unexpectedly, also a displaced adenoma fragment at the serosal surface of the cecum. Given the transmural placement of the clip prior to snare resection, translocation of neoplastic tissue to the extra luminal site in cases of incomplete adenoma/carcinoma resection could be a concern. Here, we present a case of possible translocation of a neoplastic tissue fragment during incomplete adenoma resection at the appendiceal orifice.

Case report
A 65-year-old woman was referred for endoscopic treatment of a serrated adenoma surrounding the appendiceal orifice with the appendix in situ. The resection was performed with a colonoscope (CF-H180AL, Olympus Medical, Germany) using the FTRD system without any technical problems. The resected specimen was apparently complete but histopathology revealed residual neoplastic tissue at the margin towards the appendiceal stump (▶Fig. 1). Moreover, and despite antibiotic prophylaxis and therapy (initial prophylaxis with cefuroxime continued after the intervention with ceftriaxone/metronidazole), the patient developed acute appendicitis. Within 6 hours after the intervention, she reported nausea, vomiting and abdominal discomfort. Her symptoms gradually increased in se-
verity with fever, increasing leucocyte counts and typical signs of appendicitis on transabdominal ultrasound. On the second post-interventional day, laparoscopic ileocecal resection (rather than the planned cecal pole resection) had to be performed due to the close proximity of the OTSC clip to the ileocecal valve. The final histopathology not only showed acute appendicitis and residual serrated adenoma within the appendiceal stump but also displaced adenoma fragment attached to serosal surface of the cecum (▶ Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Here we report the unfortunate course after EFTR at the appendiceal orifice with the appendix in situ. Despite prophylactic and therapeutic use of antibiotics, the patient developed signs of acute appendicitis with need for emergency surgery. Appendicitis after EFTR necessitating surgery at this location has been described [6] and it is most probably the consequence of luminal obstruction [7]. Of note, in this particular case, a laparoscopic ileocecal resection had to be performed (rather than a simple cecal pole resection, which would have been the alternative to endoscopic treatment).

When analyzing the resected specimen, we observed a neoplastic tissue fragment embedded in the fibrinous deposits on the outer (serosal) surface or the cecum. While it is impossible to definitely prove that the neoplastic tissue fragment was displaced during the EFTR procedure (rather than during emergency surgery or processing of the specimen) the circumstantial evidence argues in favor of such an event. In fact, inadvertent placement of the modified OTSC clip through neoplastic tissue represents at least a theoretical risk of tumor cell dissemination to the extra luminal side of the colon. Tumor cell
spread after peritoneal perforation has been reported for endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer [8] or after complicated transanal endoscopic microsurgery [9]. It is probably a rare event and its clinical significance is unclear, in particular since data on long-term follow-up are lacking. Nevertheless, incomplete resections mostly resulting from a lack of control of the lateral resection margins have been reported in roughly every fourth EFTR intervention [6]. Therefore, long-term follow-up data will be important to rule out that possible spread of neoplastic tissue might have clinical consequences. Given the data presented above, an R1 resection of invasive cancer is suggestive of clip placement through the cancer and consequently, additional surgery should be considered.

Conclusion
In summary, we recommend avoiding EFTR with the FTRD system for neoplastic lesions surrounding the appendiceal orifice when the appendix is in situ because of a higher risk of incomplete resection, possible translocation of neoplastic tissue and risk of appendicitis. Instead, laparoscopic cecal pole resection should be the preferred method. Moreover, every possible measure should be undertaken to avoid placement of the clip through neoplastic tissue.
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