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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Ziel Beschreibung einer neuen Technik der transpedalen Magnetresonanzlymphangiografie (tMRL) mittels interstitieller Injektion eines gadoliniumhaltigen Standardkontrastmittels zur Visualisierung des zentralen Lymphsystems bei Patienten mit chylösen Ergüssen.

Material und Methoden Erste Erfahrungen mit der tMRL bei sieben aufeinanderfolgenden Patienten mit chylösen Ergüssen wurden retrospektiv ausgewertet. Es wurde eine hochaufgelöste dreidimensionale Multiecho (mDIXON) Sequenz verwendet, um den gesamten Torso der Patienten vor und nach interstitieller pedaler Injektion eines regulären extrazellulären gadoliniumhaltigen Kontrastmittels abzubilden. Die MRT-Untersuchungen wurden von zwei Radiologen qualitativ hinsichtlich Darstellung der zentralen (abdominellen und thorakalen) Lymphbahnen, deren Anatomie sowie hinsichtlich einer lymphatischen Pathologie (chylolympatische Leckage oder Reflux) analysiert.


Schlussfolgerung Die transpedale MR-Lymphangiografie mit interstitieller Injektion eines regulären extrazellulären gadoliniumhaltigen Kontrastmittels ist ohne Allgemeinanästhesie in der klinischen Routine durchführbar und erlaubt die Beurteilung von Pathologien des zentralen Lymphsystems.

Kernaussagen
- Eine transpedale Kontrastierung zentraler Lymphbahnen in der MRT ist technisch machbar.
- Hierzu kann reguläres extrazelluläres gadoliniumhaltiges Kontrastmittel verwendet werden.
- Anatomie und pathologische Veränderungen zentraler Lymphbahnen können mittels MR-Lymphangiografie evaluiert werden.
- Die transpedale MR-Lymphangiografie ist hilfreich zur Therapieplanung von chylösen Ergüssen.

ABSTRACT
Purpose To describe a novel technique for transpedal magnetic resonance lymphangiography (tMRL) with interstitial injection of a standard gadolinium-based contrast agent, and to assess its ability to visualize the central lymphatic system (CLS) in patients with chylous effusions.
Introduction
Intractable chylous effusions are associated with considerable morbidity and mortality [1, 2]. One of the main problems, especially in minimally invasive treatment of lymphatic pathologies [2–5] is the lack of simple imaging techniques for the visualization of the central lymphatic system (CLS; i.e., cisterna chyli (CCh), thoracic duct (TD) and direct tributaries) [6].

There are certain disadvantages associated with existing CLS imaging approaches. Conventional X-ray lymphangiography is technically challenging, invasive and time-consuming [7]. Lymphoscintigraphy has insufficient anatomical resolution for CLS evaluation [7]. On non-contrast MR-lymphangiography, central lymphatics can be evaluated, but it can be difficult to distinguish lymphatics from surrounding tissue [7, 8]. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR-lymphangiography is a promising new approach [9, 10]. However, it is time-consuming due to necessary sonographically guided lymph node puncture outside the scanner room, and usually requires general anesthesia or at least sedation.

To overcome these disadvantages, we sought to develop an MR imaging technique that can provide information on CLS anatomy, without time-consuming intranodal contrast application or general anesthesia. The aim of this study is to describe this new technique for transpedal MR-lymphangiography (tMRL) with interstitial injection of a standard gadolinium-based contrast agent and to assess its ability to visualize the CLS in patients with chylous effusions.

Materials and Methods
We retrospectively reviewed our recent experiences (10/2015 – 04/16) with tMRL to visualize the central lymphatics in seven patients referred to our institution for the treatment of clinically proven therapy-resistant chylous effusions. Patient characteristics and procedural information are given in case studies in the results section. Institutional review board approval and the patients’ written informed consent for the procedure and for data analysis were obtained.

MR imaging was performed by the same radiologist (C.C.P. with more than 5 years of experience) on a 1.5 Tesla MR scanner (Ingenia, Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) with the patient in a supine position. A leg Wedge was not used to allow for free lymph flow. Patients were neither sedated nor intubated. A 16-channel phased-array coil was used to cover the entire torso. After preparation of both feet for aseptic injection, local anesthesia was administered with 0.2 ml mepivacaine 1% (Mecain, Actavis, Langenfeld, Germany) per interdigital space using a 27-gauge needle. 6 ml of 1.0 mmol/ml gadobutrol (Gadovist, Bayer Vital, Leverkusen, Germany) were diluted with saline solution to 8 ml to increase the volume for injection.

An axial non-contrast breath-hold three-dimensional T1-weighted multi-gradient echo (mDIXON) sequence was acquired [11] (Table 1). Three separate stacks of axial slices were sufficient to cover the entire torso.

Thereafter, bilateral interstitial intradermal injection of 1 ml of the diluted contrast medium per interdigital space was performed (overall 8 ml of 6.0 mmol gadobutrol which is the recommended standard dose for intravenous application in patients with a bodyweight of 60 kg). The patients were asked to move their legs for 3 – 5 minutes. The three-dimensional mDIXON sequence was then repeated every 5 minutes to observe lymphatic flow until washout and renal contrast medium excretion were observed. The time a patient remained in the scanner was documented.

MR examinations were assessed qualitatively by two radiologists (C.C.P. and H.H.S.). Visualization of the central lymphatics (excellent, moderate, poor, not visible), delineation of their anatomical boundaries and lymphatic flow in the central lymphatics in 1/7 patients. In one patient neither CLS enhancement nor leakage were detectable. tMRL findings were considered helpful in all technically successful cases, and considerably influenced further treatment in four patients. All examinations were well tolerated without complications. No general anesthesia or conscious sedation was necessary.

Conclusion
Transpedal MR-lymphangiography with interstitial injection of a standard extracellular gadolinium-based contrast agent is feasible in clinical routine without general anesthesia and allows for assessment of pathologic conditions of central lymphatics.

Key Points
- Transpedal MR-lymphangiography of central lymphatics is technically feasible.
- A standard extracellular gadolinium-based contrast agent can be used for tMRL.
- tMRL allows for evaluation of anatomy and pathologies of central lymphatics.
- tMRL is a useful tool for treatment planning in chylous effusions.
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omography and the presence of chylolymphatic leakage or reflux were evaluated. Leakage was defined as progressive pooling of contrast medium outside of lymphatic structures, reflux as retrograde contrast medium flow within lymphatic channels. Technical success was defined as excellent or moderate visualization of the CLS (especially of the TD, as a CCh can be anatomically absent in up to 30% of cases [12]) or detection of pathology preventing contrast flow into the CLS. Venous contrast contamination obscuring the lymphatics was assessed. Diagnosis of lymphatic pathology and impact of tMRL on patient management were determined in consensus by both radiologists. The time for visualization of different parts of the lymphatic system was recorded. Any adverse events associated with tMRL were noted.

Results

Case studies

Patient 1

A 24-year-old patient presented with left-sided non-traumatic chylothorax. Thoracoscopic surgery and parenteral nutrition had been unsuccessful with persisting leakage of 1000 ml/day. On tMRL the pelvic and retroperitoneal lymphatics, CCh and the lower two-thirds of the TD appeared normal with segmental TD duplication in the lower thorax. Abnormally dilated lymphatic ducts surrounded the left-sided termination of the TD (Fig. 1). Chylolymphatic leakage or reflux was not observed. A diagnosis of localized lymphatic malformation as the cause of the chylothorax was established and confirmed by conventional lymphangiography during the subsequent lymphatic intervention. After transabdominal embolization of the malformation, drainage via the chest tube ceased immediately.

Patient 2

A 54-year-old patient suffering from necrotizing pancreatitis with left subclavian vein thrombosis developed bilateral chylothorax, chylopericardium and chylous ascites that were refractory to conservative treatment. TD ligation, pleurectomy and irradiation of the TD had been unsuccessful. Lymphoscintigraphy was reported to show normal tracer flow without depiction of pathology.

On tMRL contrast medium flow was unobstructed. The CLS appeared normal with segmental duplications of the TD in the mid and upper thorax. There was extensive chylolymphatic reflux from the cervical part of the TD into dilated mediastinal, pericardial and bronchial lymphatics (Fig. 2). Leakage was not identified. Reflux was confirmed by intranodal lymphangiography. After TD embolization, drainage via bilateral chest tubes and an ascites drain ceased within three days. The chylopericardium also decreased on follow-up imaging.

Patient 3

A 58-year-old patient suffered from a right-sided chylothorax after surgery for recurrent pleural mesothelioma. Parenteral nutrition with somatostatin application and several attempts of TD ligation and pleurodesis were unsuccessful. tMRL demonstrated unobstructed lymph flow without reflux. Several tortuous lymphatic channels in the middle and upper thorax coalesced into a single TD before its termination. Retrocardially the tortuous lymphatic channels showed contrast leakage into the left pleural cavity (Fig. 3). Intranodal lymphangiography confirmed the diagnosis of post-operative leakage of three lymphatic channels. Leakage ceased immediately after successful TD embolization.

Patient 4

A 62-year-old patient developed a left-sided chylothorax after surgery for recurrent pleural mesothelioma. Parenteral nutrition with somatostatin application and several attempts of TD ligation and pleurodesis were unsuccessful. tMRL demonstrated unobstructed lymph flow without reflux. Several tortuous lymphatic channels in the middle and upper thorax coalesced into a single TD before its termination. Retrocardially the tortuous lymphatic channels showed contrast leakage into the left pleural cavity (Fig. 3). Intranodal lymphangiography confirmed the diagnosis of post-operative leakage of three lymphatic channels. Leakage ceased immediately after successful TD embolization.

Patient 5

A 58-year-old patient suffered from a right-sided chylothorax after esophagectomy with gastric interposition for esophageal cancer. Chest tube drainage did not drop below 1000 ml per day under total parenteral nutrition. tMRL was performed to assess lymphatic anatomy which can be altered after gastric interposition surgery. The retroperitoneal lymphatics, the CCh and the lower part of the TD appeared normal. Contrast medium extravasation from a side branch of the TD was visible retrocardially (Fig. 4). Importantly tMRL demonstrated an additional retroaortic lymphatic channel receiving lymph flow from the retroperitoneal lymphatics not draining into the CCh. This channel drained into the TD immediately below the leakage. During embolization special care was taken to occlude this channel and the leakage site itself to prevent further chylolymphatic flow towards the leak. Leakage ceased immediately after intervention.
Case study 1. a-c Axial tMRL images in a patient with extensive left-sided chylothorax with mediastinal shift to the right. Examination showed normal thoracic lymphatic anatomy with duplication of the thoracic duct in the lower thorax (inset magnification in c) and dilated left-sided lymphatics surrounding the termination of the TD (arrows). Due to the employed imaging technique, the thyroid gland appears hyperintense in a. This does not reflect contrast enhancement. d Posterior-anterior intra-interventional image after transabdominal embolization showing the left periclavicular lymphatic malformation (arrows). The duplication of the TD in the lower thorax is partially obscured by mild contrast medium leakage from the puncture site.

Patient 6
A 54-year-old patient presented with chylous ascites refractory to conservative treatment after radical peritonectomy and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy for peritoneal metastases of colorectal cancer (1500 ml per day). tMRL showed prompt contrast enhancement of the pelvic lymphatics while the retroperitoneal lymphatics showed only minimal enhancement. The CLS and a leakage site could not be identified. Intranodal oily lymphangiography initially demonstrated venous drainage of contrast medium due to inguinal lymphovenous anastomoses. After additional pelvic lymph node punctures, contrast agent ascended into the retroperitoneal lymphatics demonstrating diffuse leakage into the peritoneal cavity. As in tMRL the CLS did not show any enhancement. Leakage ultimately resolved under parenteral nutrition two weeks after lymphangiography.

Overall imaging results
Interstitial tMRL was technically successful in 6/7 patients with visualization of the CLS rated as excellent in 5/7 and good in 1/7 patients. tMRL confirmed the location of leakage in 3/7, demonstrated a lymphatic malformation in 1/7, reflux in 1/7 and confirmed normal chylolymphatic flow in the central lymphatics in 1/7 patients. In patient 6 neither CLS enhancement nor leakage was detectable.

Patient 7
A 72-year-old female patient with a history of lymphoma currently in partial remission after radiochemotherapy presented with a right-sided chylothorax unresponsive to conservative treatment. tMRL demonstrated unobstructed lymph flow without reflux. The CLS appeared normal without leakage. There was residual lymphoma in the upper retroperitoneum surrounding the CCh not showing contrast enhancement. Based on these findings, a lymphatic intervention was not indicated. Continuation of conservative treatment ultimately was successful without recurrence of the chylothorax.

Interstial tMRL
for contrast-enhanced MRL (including preparation, pre-injection T1-images, contrast injection and post-contrast T1-images) was 48 min (range 37 – 61 min). Contrast medium washout was observed within 15 to 25 minutes after initial post-contrast images. Although contrast medium was also already present within the renal pelvis on initial images, relevant venous contamination was not observed.

tMRL findings were considered helpful in all technically successful cases, and considerably influenced further treatment in four patients. In patient 2 suffering from chylothorax, chylopericardium and chylous ascites, the decision to perform TD embolization despite the presence of ascites was supported by tMRL findings of chylolymphatic reflux as the primary pathology. In patient 3 intranodal lymphangiography was performed with con-
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especially in adults – not deemed suitable for visualization of the central lymphatics [13, 14]. However, pedal interstitial contrast injection was so far used in the evaluation of peripheral edema as well as in an effort to improve the detection of lymph node metastases in the pelvis [10, 15]. So far, contrast enhancement of the central lymphatics after interstitial contrast injection has only been reported in one case of an infant with chylothorax [16]. In the past different contrast agents have been developed to overcome this limitation (dendrimer-based gadolinium-labeled contrast medium [17]; lipophilic perfluorinated gadolinium chelates [18]). Although yielding good results in swine models, these contrast agents are not available for clinical use. Another approach utilized interstitial application of gadofosveset premixed with human albumin which led to CLS visualization in a swine model [19]. This approach requires preparation of the contrast agent/albumin mixture and has so far not been investigated in humans. Furthermore, gadofosveset is currently not available for clinical use in Germany.

Alternatively, dynamic contrast-enhanced MR-lymphangiography (DCE-MRL) [9, 10] that permits dynamic evaluation of lymphatic flow has shown promising results in a variety of lymphatic pathologies. However, it requires general anesthesia (or at least sedation) and time-consuming sonographically guided needle placement into inguinal lymph nodes outside the scanner room, which is associated with a risk of accidental needle dislodgment.

The results of our study demonstrate that tMRL with interstitial injection of a standard contrast agent is feasible and can be employed to visualize the CLS. In contrast to DCE-MRL, tMRT requires adequate timing of image acquisition in order to evaluate the passage of the contrast agent. Initial experiences show that image acquisition should start within a few minutes after contrast injection. In contrast to MRL of the lower extremity, in which the patient lies still during the whole examination, asking the patients to move their legs after contrast injection seems to be key to tMRL of the central lymphatics. It remains unclear why patient 6 did not show enhancement of the central lymphatics. A possible explanation may be the presence of diffuse retroperitoneal leakage, but also bilateral inguinal lymphovenous shunts as identified on conventional lymphangiography may have contributed to poor enhancement.

The most important advantage of tMRL is that it can be performed without general anesthesia or sedation. Contrast injection can be performed inside the scanner room without the need for time-consuming sonographically guided puncture. Using the water-only images of the breath-hold mDIXON sequence [11] forgoes the need for image subtraction and allows for good distinction of central lymphatics from surrounding tissue. A further advantage of our technique is the use of a commercially available extracellular contrast agent. It is important to note, however, that interstitial application is an off-label use. Infection and local reactions to the contrast medium at the injection sites are possible complications [20], but were not observed in our experience. Intramuscular injections, however, should be avoided [20].

This study is limited by its retrospective character with inherent methodological problems and by its small sample size. However, in 6/7 patients tMRL findings were confirmed by results of fluoroscopic intranodal lymphangiography. Dynamic information on lymph flow evaluated by tMRL may be limited compared to DCE-MRL, with which the entire contrast medium passage can be observed.

Discussion

Contrast-enhanced MR-lymphangiography with interdigital interstitial injection of a standard gadolinium-based contrast medium is an established technique for the evaluation of peripheral lymphatics of the upper and lower extremities. It has recently been used in the evaluation of peripheral edema as well as in an effort to improve the detection of lymph node metastases in the pelvis [13, 14]. However, pedal interstitial contrast injection was so far not deemed suitable for visualization of the central lymphatics – especially in adults – as contrast medium typically reaches only the pelvic lymphatics [10, 15].

Fig. 4 Case study 5. Coronal reconstruction of a tMRL in a patient with a postoperative chylothorax after esophagectomy demonstrates the cisterna chyli (arrows) and the lower thoracic duct (short arrows) with contrast extravasation into the right pleural cavity (arrow heads). Observe a retroaortic lymph channel draining into the thoracic duct at the site of leakage (black arrow).


The most important advantage of tMRL is that it can be performed without general anesthesia or sedation. Contrast injection can be performed inside the scanner room without the need for time-consuming sonographically guided puncture. Using the water-only images of the breath-hold mDIXON sequence [11] forgoes the need for image subtraction and allows for good distinction of central lymphatics from surrounding tissue. A further advantage of our technique is the use of a commercially available extracellular contrast agent. It is important to note, however, that interstitial application is an off-label use. Infection and local reactions to the contrast medium at the injection sites are possible complications [20], but were not observed in our experience. Intramuscular injections, however, should be avoided [20].
In conclusion, this study demonstrates the feasibility of contrast-enhanced MR imaging of the CLS by interstitial pedial injection of a standard extracellular contrast agent. tMRL is a new imaging technique that allows for assessment of pathologic conditions of central lymphatics that can be performed in clinical routine without general anesthesia.

Implications for patient care
- Interstitial transpedal MR-lymphangiography allows for minimally invasive assessment of central lymphatic anatomy and pathology in patients suffering from chylous effusions.
- tMRL can be performed in clinical routine without general anesthesia or sedation.
- It can be employed in the pre-interventional workup of lymphatic interventions, possibly influencing the therapeutic strategy.
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