Neoadjuvant Therapy of Cervical Carcinoma with the Angiogenesis Inhibitor Bevacizumab: a Single-Centre Analysis

Neoadjuvante Therapie des Zervixkarzinoms mit dem Angiogenesese-inhibitor Bevacizumab: eine monozentrische Auswertung

ABSTRACT

Introduction Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequent cancer in women worldwide. Addition of the VEGF antibody bevacizumab in combination with platinum-containing chemotherapy achieved an improvement in overall survival in advanced cervical cancer. To date there are no data on neoadjuvant use of bevacizumab. We therefore studied the benefit of neoadjuvant combined therapy with bevacizumab in a group of cervical cancer patients.

Patients and Methods This retrospective cohort study analysed 14 patients with cervical cancer FIGO stages Ib1 to IV who received neoadjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy in combination with bevacizumab. The comparative cohort consisted of 16 patients who were treated with neoadjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy alone. The response rates were determined by means of preoperative clinical examination, diagnostic imaging (RECIST), changes in tumour markers (SCC) and by histopathology.

Results A clinical response was found in 93.8% (n = 15) of patients after bevacizumab-free therapy and in 100% (n = 14) of the patients who were treated with bevacizumab in addition. Combined therapy with bevacizumab led to a higher rate of clinical complete remission (42.9 vs. 12.5%; p = 0.072) and significantly improved the reduction in tumour size (Δ longest diameter: 3.7 vs. 2.5 cm; p = 0.025). Downgrading was observed in 100% of all patients treated with bevacizumab compared with 75% in the control arm. The rate of pathological complete remission (pCR) was not altered significantly (28.6% [n = 4] vs. 37.5% [n = 6]; p = 0.460).

Discussion Overall, combined therapy with bevacizumab led to a better clinical response. Operability was therefore improved more often. Because of the small patient cohort, larger prospective studies are necessary to validate the effect of neoadjuvant combined therapy with bevacizumab.
Chemotherapy might be effective in reducing the survival benefit of a significant number of patients. However, to be effective, both the chemotherapy and bevacizumab should be given simultaneously, which requires a careful balance between the benefits and risks.

**Introduction**

Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequent cancer in women worldwide and has high mortality [1]. In Germany, roughly 4500 women per year developed cervical cancer, consistently in recent years [2]. According to the German S3 guideline, surgery remains the standard treatment of early cervical cancer, while primary surgery is omitted when at least three defined risk factors are present and the patient should then receive chemoradiotherapy. For locally advanced or metastatic cervical cancer, the option of primary chemotherapy followed by surgery is usually an alternative to chemotherapy [3]. Neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy at shortened intervals is intended to shrink the tumour, thus improving operability and reducing operative morbidity, and to prolong progression-free and overall survival [3, 4]. Women especially with primary cervical cancer and defined risks such as bulky disease or suspicious lymph node status may benefit from a neoadjuvant concept, in which the drug chemotherapy reduces the probable necessity for adjuvant chemoradiotherapy. This possible benefit must be balanced against the risks and side effects of systemic therapy [5].

In the last few years, good treatment results have been achieved with the use of bevacizumab in various solid tumours [6, 7]. The human papilloma viruses usually involved in their development are an important factor in efficacy, especially in the treatment of cervical cancer [3, 8]. Their high oncogenic potential is based on stimulation of angiogenesis through different points of attack [8]. Bevacizumab is a recombinant human monoclonal VEGF antibody, which inhibits the formation of new vessels in the tumour through competitive inhibition of VEGF receptors [9 – 11]. Initial experience with the use of bevacizumab in advanced cervical cancer by Monk et al. showed a significant improvement in median overall survival and progression-free survival [12]. The basis for approval of bevacizumab in advanced cervical cancer was the GOG-240 study by Tewari et al., in which 452 patients with recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer received either pure chemotherapy (combination of paclitaxel and cisplatin or paclitaxel and topotecan) or chemotherapy combined with bevacizumab [13, 14]. Significant improvements with regard to overall survival and progression-free survival were achieved [13, 14]. Good results were also shown for the combination of bevacizumab with conventional platinum-containing chemoradiotherapy [15]. To date, there is no experience with the use of bevacizumab in the neoadjuvant treatment of cervical cancer.

In this article, we would therefore like to report our initial clinical experiences with platinum-containing chemotherapy in combination with the angiogenesis inhibitor bevacizumab in the neoadjuvant treatment of cervical cancer. We also studied the effect of the addition of bevacizumab on remission rates and operability, comparing this with a bevacizumab-free group of patients.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients and therapy regimen**

For this retrospective cohort study, 46 patients with histologically confirmed cervical cancer who received systemic treatment in the period from 2007 to 2016 in the oncology outpatient clinic of the department of obstetrics and gynaecology of Cologne University Hospital were screened. 30 patients who received neoadjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy were included in the study (Fig. 1).

Fourteen patients received neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with bevacizumab. Eight patients received combined therapy consisting of paclitaxel (80 mg/m² q7), cisplatin (75 mg/m² q21) and bevacizumab (15 mg/kg q21). We gave an average of 12 cycles of paclitaxel (80 mg/m²), 4 cycles of cisplatin (75 mg/m²) and 4 cycles of bevacizumab (15 mg/kg). Five patients were given a combination of cisplatin (40 mg/m² q7), docetaxel (35 mg/m² q7) and bevacizumab (5 mg/kg q7). An average of 8 cycles of cisplatin (40 mg/m²), 8 cycles of docetaxel (35 mg/m²) and 6 cycles of bevacizumab (5 mg/kg) was given. One pa-
tient received a treatment combination started elsewhere consisting of paclitaxel (80 mg/m² q7), carboplatin (6 mg/AUC q21) and bevacizumab (15 mg/kg q21). The cost of treatment was reimbursed by the health insurance companies following individual applications.

For the comparative cohort, 16 patients with bevacizumab-free therapy were analysed. Fifteen women received weekly therapy with cisplatin (40 mg/m²) and docetaxel (35 mg/m²), and one patient received cisplatin (30 mg/m² q7) and topotecan (4 mg/m² q7). The patients received an average of 8 cycles of cisplatin 40 mg/m² and 8 cycles of docetaxel 35 mg/m². In the cisplatin/ topotecan regimen, 8 cycles of cisplatin (30 mg/m² q7) and 8 cycles of topotecan (4 mg/m² q7) were given.

Study methods
Following the conclusion of the neoadjuvant chemotherapy, all patients had re-evaluation of tumour status by clinical examination and imaging appropriate to the primary tumour stage. Above FIGO stage IB2, extrapelvic spread was assessed by CT of the thorax/abdomen and locoregional spread by MRI of the pelvis, in accordance with the S3 guideline [3]. The scans were assessed radiologically according to the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) [16]. A tumour that could no longer be detected compared with the pre-treatment imaging was defined as clinical complete remission (cCR). A tumour that regressed in size by more than 30% compared with the previous imaging was defined in accordance with RECIST criteria as partial remission (cPR). Lesions that regressed but could not be defined as partial remission according to RECIST criteria were recorded as response [17]. If histopathologic examination did not detect any residual invasive tumour, this was recorded as pathologic complete remission (pCR). In patients with a carcinoma smaller than FIGO IB2, re-evaluation after chemotherapy was not by radiologic imaging but by clinical ultrasonography [3]. The longest diameter in cm (LD) was used to measure tumour size. The change in tumour size was calculated as the mean of the difference (ΔLD) before and after therapy. In 7 patients in the conventional therapy regimen and one patient in the cohort treated with bevacizumab, the diameter could not be determined from the available imaging. When there was a squamous epithelial tumour component, the serological response was reported as the mean change in the squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC) in the serum (μg/l) before and after the last cycle of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (ΔSCC). In 5 patients, there was no further information about the SCC course.

Statistical analysis
A structured medical database was set up through different clinical information systems (ORBIS® OpenMED, AGFA HealthCare NV, Cato®) and analysed through Excel® 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA) and SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA). Statistical calculation of the p-values was performed with Fisher’s exact test and with Barnard’s test [18].

Results
In the study period, 30 cervical cancer patients in total were treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy containing platinum. Fourteen of these patients received chemotherapy combined with bevacizumab. This cohort was compared with 16 patients who were treated without bevacizumab. The demographic characteristics of the patient cohorts are listed in ▶ Table 1.

Combined therapy with bevacizumab increases the clinical response rates
▶ Table 2 shows a comparison of the response rate after neoadjuvant therapy with or without bevacizumab. Neoadjuvant therapy with bevacizumab led to an improved clinical response (100% [n = 14] vs. 93.8% [n = 15], p = 0.442). The rate of clinical complete remission was higher in the patients treated with bevacizumab. A statistical trend in favour of the combined therapy with
bevacizumab could be identified (42.9% [n = 6/14] vs. 12.5% [n = 2/16]; p = 0.072). Clinical complete remission was achieved in the bevacizumab-free cohort up to stage FIGO IIb, while clinical complete remission was observed in higher stages also in the bevacizumab-treated cohort (▶ Fig. 3). Histopathological examination of the operation specimens showed downgrading in the sense of a positive change in tumour stage in 100% (n = 14) of patients treated with bevacizumab. This proportion was 75% (n = 12/16; p = 0.103) in the conventionally treated patients. The rate of pathologic complete remission (pCR) was not altered sig-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>NACT n (%)</th>
<th>NACT + bevacizumab n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7 (43.8)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 (56.2)</td>
<td>11 (78.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell carcinoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11 (68.9)</td>
<td>9 (64.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5 (31.1)</td>
<td>5 (35.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour stage (FIGO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cT1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 (31.3)</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cT2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9 (56.3)</td>
<td>6 (42.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cT3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cT4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (12.4)</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cN0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>4 (28.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cN+</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>10 (71.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>13 (92.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NACT n (%)</th>
<th>NACT + bevacizumab n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>15 (93.7)</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>6 (42.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>12 (75)</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>6 (42.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>10 (62.5)</td>
<td>10 (71.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>6 (37.5)</td>
<td>4 (28.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downgrading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>12 (75)</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0 resection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Clinical and histopathological tumour characteristics of the patients (n = 30): comparison of the bevacizumab-free treatment cohort (NACT) with the combined bevacizumab therapy (NACT + bevacizumab).

Table 2 Response rates and progress parameters depending on neoadjuvant therapy with or without bevacizumab (NACT ± bevacizumab). The change in tumour size and SCC course were calculated as the means of the differences (ΔLD/ΔSCC) before and after therapy.

cPR: clinical partial remission; cCR: clinical complete remission; pCR: pathologic complete remission; LD: longest diameter in cm; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma antigen in the serum (μg/l)
nificantly (28.6% [n = 4] vs. 37.5% [n = 6]; p = 0.460) (Table 2).

However, pCR was achieved even in FIGO stage IV in one case by the addition of bevacizumab. In this case there was a primary squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix with hepatic and lymphog-

enous metastases. By contrast, pCR after conventional therapy was observed only up to FIGO stage IIb. R0 resection was achieved in all patients treated with bevacizumab (100% [n = 14/14] vs. 87.5% [n = 14/16]; p = 1.0).

**Addition of bevacizumab significantly improves tumour reduction**

In the majority of patients (22/30), the pre- and post-treatment tumour size could be assessed objectively by imaging (MRI, CT) according to RECIST criteria (longest diameter – LD). Significantly better tumour reduction was achieved by combined bevacizumab therapy (p = 0.025, Figs. 2 and 3).

A markedly elevated SCC level in the serum was apparent before the start of therapy, especially with large cancers. Although the marked fall in the elevated SCC serum level with large cervical cancers was not statistically significant in our study (ΔSCC: 7.4 vs. 8.3 μg/l; p = 0.978), we recorded remissions that were also confirmed serologically. As an example, one patient in stage IIIb (pa-

▶ Fig. 2 Boxplot diagram shows better tumour reduction by addi-

tion of bevacizumab (ΔLD in cm = 2.5 ± 1.6 vs. 3.7 ± 1.2; p = 0.025). Fisher’s exact test with a significance level of α = 0.05.

Another box plot shows the change in tumour size (LD) before and after treatment with/without bevacizumab. The table shows the corresponding response rates and tumour stages. In 7/16 patients in the conventional group and 1/14 patients in the bevacizumab group information about the post-therapy tumour size was lacking and was excluded accordingly (abbreviations: cPR: clinical partial remission; cCR: clinical complete remission; pCR: pathological complete remission).

- Fig. 3 The waterfall plot shows the change in tumour size (LD) in percent before and after treatment with/without bevacizumab. The table shows the corresponding response rates and tumour stages. In 7/16 patients in the conventional group and 1/14 patients in the bevacizumab group information about the post-therapy tumour size was lacking and was excluded accordingly (abbreviations: cPR: clinical partial remission; cCR: clinical complete remission; pCR: pathological complete remission).
tient 22, ▶ Table 3) who received combined bevacizumab therapy had a fall in SCC from 21.4 μg/l initially to 1.5 μg/l and a reduction in tumour size (LD) from 5.6 initially to 0 cm, in the sense of a clinical complete remission.

No treatment-limiting side effects due to additional administration of bevacizumab

In our cohort, there were no treatment-limiting side effects overall on combined therapy with bevacizumab (▶ Table 3). The vast majority of the patients had blood count changes during ongoing combined therapy. Fatigue and exhaustion states occurred equally often on the treatment. One patient had grade III fatigue and had to be hospitalised for supportive treatment, but the next cycle was delivered without a delay. No fistulas or thromboembolic events were found in the entire cohort. 57% of all women had grade I and II proteinuria during therapy. Hypertensive changes occurred with similar frequency. One patient with pre-existing hypertension had an exacerbation of blood pressure levels to CTC grade III on bevacizumab. The chemotherapy with bevacizumab was continued with antihypertensive therapy.

Discussion

The aim of this retrospective analysis was to study the effect of bevacizumab in combination with neoadjuvant chemotherapy in cervical cancer. We observed that neoadjuvant chemotherapy with bevacizumab can significantly improve tumour regression in our patients, thereby possibly achieving increased response rates.

Even if no significant difference was found in overall response (p = 1.000), we were able to show a trend in the rate of clinical complete remission in favour of the bevacizumab cohort (p = 0.072). A similar observation was made in the GOG-240 study, where higher response rates of 50% were obtained in the cisplatin-paclitaxel-bevacizumab arm compared with 45% in the cisplatin-paclitaxel arm, though the difference was not significant (p = 0.51) [13]. Pathologic complete remission appears to have a relevant influence on overall survival as well as on progression-free survival [19,20]. We therefore examined the rate of pathologic complete remission (pCR) also but did not achieve any significant improvement in the bevacizumab cohort. This non-significant difference is possibly attributable to the small patient cohort. In addition, it should be noted that the bevacizumab cohort contained more patients with advanced disease (cT3/cT4 carcinoma; n = 5), in whom pathologic complete remission is more difficult to achieve.

All patients treated with bevacizumab had R0 surgery. Moreover, downgrading was found in all. Thus, the addition of bevacizumab might possibly achieve better operability especially of large and initially inoperable tumours. Further prospective studies should examine the predictive value of tumour size in bevacizumab treatment.

The significantly greater anti-tumour effect on tumour size (p = 0.025) due to the addition of bevacizumab can be explained by pathophysiological processes. It is well known that the proliferation of new blood vessels plays a crucial role in the growth of a tumour [21]. VEGF expression and microvascular density are surrogate markers of angiogenesis and microvascular density correlates with the expression of VEGF [22]. High microvascular density has already been identified as an unfavourable marker for disease prognosis [23]. Saijo et al. showed in 2015 that high microvascular density was present in squamous cell cancers, especially at advanced stages, and in adenocarcinomas at any stage [24]. This increased vascular density is believed to enable chemotherapy to be more effective locally, with a better response to radiation due to increased oxygenation [25]. This explains the rationale for neoadjuvant therapy with bevacizumab. Lee et al. demonstrated a significant correlation between the reduction in tumour size and the fall in SCC after radiotherapy of cervical cancer [26]. We confirmed this observation to some extent in our bevacizumab-treated patients.

The small number of patients and non-homogeneous patient characteristics were weak points in this study. All patients received platinum-containing chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab but the dosage and therapy cycles were not uniform.

▶ Table 3 List of registered side effects after bevacizumab combination therapy. They were classified according to CTCAE (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients (n = 14)</th>
<th>None n (%)</th>
<th>Grade I n (%)</th>
<th>Grade II n (%)</th>
<th>Grade III n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>9 (64)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>4 (29)</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>5 (36)</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>9 (64)</td>
<td>5 (36)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucositis</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>9 (64)</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula/perforation</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>5 (36)</td>
<td>5 (36)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>6 (43)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>5 (36)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolic events</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>6 (43)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nevertheless, a significant effect on tumour size and a trend in clinical response rates were observed due to the addition of bevacizumab, which indicates a corresponding treatment effect. Assessment of the resection status and of the clinical response rates could have been influenced by the subjective assessment and inter-observer variability. To reduce these confounding factors, the change in tumour size and response rates in patients re-evaluated radiologically were analysed according to RECIST criteria.

The side effect profile of bevacizumab includes hypertension and proteinuria in particular [27]. Similarly to the experience of the GOG-240 study, bevacizumab-associated side effects were apparent in our patients, but these were readily treatable and did not lead to discontinuation of the treatment [13].

Conclusion

Overall, combined therapy with bevacizumab led to a better clinical response and improved operability. The results should be interpreted cautiously because of the small case numbers but are encouraging for further prospective studies to validate the success of neoadjuvant combined bevacizumab therapy.
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