Introduction

In the first half of the 20th century, intestinal tuberculosis was recognized as the most common complication of active pulmonary tuberculosis. Enteric involvement was found in most patients with pulmonary tuberculosis in autopsy and radiologic series [1,2]. With widespread use of antituberculous chemotherapy, incidence of intestinal tuberculosis diminished sharply, and it is now rarely seen and considered an uncommon complication of pulmonary tuberculosis [1]. According to autopsy study in Japan, prevalence of gastrointestinal tuberculosis with history of pulmonary tuberculosis was 1.6% [3]. However, Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) is detected with high frequency in feces of patients with pulmonary tuberculosis [4]. Some patients with tuberculosis have had bowel perforations while receiving anti-tuberculous therapy [5,6]. Small bowel capsule endoscopy (SBCE) allows noninvasive evaluation of the small intestine [7]. In previous reports on patients with intestinal tuberculosis, SBCE was able to clearly depict lesions in the jejunum and ileum [8,9]. However, there are only single case or small case series reports of intestinal tuberculosis in patients with gastrointestinal symptoms. So far, no study has examined the entire small intestine in patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis, regardless of gastrointestinal symptoms.

Identification of intestinal abnormalities in patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis using small bowel capsule endoscopy

ABSTRACT

Background and study aims Mycobacterium tuberculosis is often detected in the feces of patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis. However, no study has examined the small intestine using small bowel capsule endoscopy (SBCE). This study aimed to investigate intestinal abnormalities in patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis.

Patients and methods SBCE was performed in sputum/ feces smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients. From December 2013 to November 2016, 15 patients were enrolled from a dedicated tuberculous ward. The primary outcome was intestinal abnormalities identified by SBCE.

Results Fourteen patients (median age 81 years, range 29 – 91; 3 female) underwent SBCE to the terminal ileum. The video was not recorded for one patient who was excluded from the analysis. Intestinal lesions, including 5 annular ulcers in 4 patients, were observed in 64% (9/14). In subgroup analysis, prevalence tended to be higher in patients undergoing SBCE within 1 month of anti-tuberculous therapy (P = 0.051). Distribution of small intestinal lesions tended to be distal. Four of five annular ulcers were located close to the ileocecal valve.

Conclusion Prevalence of intestinal lesions in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis is higher than expected before (UMIN 000017292)
manifestations. The aim of this study was to investigate intestinal abnormalities in patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis using SBCE.

**Patients and methods**

**Study design/setting**

The study protocol followed ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Fukushima Medical University. The study protocol was registered in the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN000017292) on December 1, 2013. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines were followed in reporting this study.

**Patients**

From December 2013 to November 2016, patients referred to Aizu Medical Center with pulmonary tuberculosis who were sputum smear-positive for acid-fast bacilli were enrolled by a pulmonary medicine specialist (MS). The hospital has a ward dedicated to care of patients with tuberculosis, available in this district with approximately 300,000 residents. At time of hospital admission, candidates for this study underwent fecal smear testing for acid-fast bacilli, and fecal smear-negative patients were excluded.

**Capsule endoscopy**

Capsule endoscopes (PillCam SB2 or SB3, Given Imaging Ltd., Yokneam, Israel) were used to image the small bowel. Prior to SBCE examination, abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan was performed to evaluate for risk of capsule retention, instead of enterography or enteroclysis [10]. If intestinal obstruction was suspected on CT scan findings, patency capsule examination was used. On the day prior to the examination, patients drank 0.9L of magnesium citrate 1 hour before capsule ingestion. All generated SBCE videos were separately reviewed by two experienced gastroenterologists (DN, KU), blinded to the patients’ background but aware that the patients had pulmonary tuberculosis with sputum smear-positive for acid-fast bacilli, due to the study protocol. Both gastroenterologists interpreted more than 50 clinical SBCE examinations after completing an educational program provided by the Japanese Association for Capsule Endoscopy. Disagreement between raters was reassessed after discussion. Bowel cleansing level was evaluated using a four-point qualitative scale (poor, fair, good, excellent) [11]; “good” and “excellent” were considered adequate.

**Outcome measurements**

The primary endpoint was identification of an intestinal abnormality identified by SBCE. In this study, intestinal abnormalities were limited to ulcers or erosions. Any subtle changes, e.g., slight erythema, were not included. The secondary endpoint was distribution of abnormalities. Subgroup analyses using a four-point qualitative scale (poor, fair, good, excellent) [11]; “good” and “excellent” were considered adequate.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive data were generated for all variables regarding capsule endoscopy. As a pilot study to guide future research, the sample size was arbitrary. In subgroup analyses, Fisher’s exact test or chi-square test was used for comparison.

**Results**

**Patients**

Antituberculous chemotherapy was started at time of hospital admission. All patients were confirmed as having MTB by genetic testing. After confirming fecal smear-positive for acid-fast bacilli as well as absence of intestinal obstruction on CT scan findings, a total of 15 patients underwent SBCE. No patient underwent patency capsule examination for suspicion of intestinal obstruction. Video recording failed in one patient who was excluded from the analysis (Fig. 1). In chronological order, the backgrounds of the 14 patients included are shown in Table 1. There were trends toward male gender, old age and low body mass index. Six patients presented with gastrointestinal symptoms, including abdominal pain, diarrhea and altered bowel habits. Two patients were given corticosteroids and immunosuppressants due to dermatomyositis or rheumatoid arthritis, and one of these two patients regularly took NSAIDs. Three other patients also took NSAIDs for 1 month or longer. Three (No.2, 5, 6) of the initial six patients underwent colonoscopy during the smear-positive period, and only one patient (No.2) had abnormal findings at the ileocecal valve. The terminal ileum of the patient was unable to be observed.
due to the destructive appearance at the valve with severe stenosis, but SBCE successfully identified an annular ulcer at the terminal ileum. Following this, no patients underwent colonoscopy. Ten patients underwent SBCE within a month after starting antituberculous therapy, while four underwent SBCE more than 1 month later because of critical tuberculous disease. One patient (No. 2) underwent ileocecal resection due to a perforated lesion 2 months after SBCE. Histology of the resected specimen showed granulomatous inflammation with caseous necrosis, suggesting tuberculous disease.

SBCE procedure

Twelve of 14 capsules reached the colon within the duration of battery life, but two reached the ileum with active battery power and stopped sending data after the battery lost power. Median small intestine transit time (n = 12) was 4 hours 27 minutes (range 2 h 23 min to 12 h 28 min). Bowel cleansing was adequate in 93% (13/14) of patients. There were no complications associated with the SBCE examinations.

SBCE findings, patient-based

Of 14 patients, nine (64%) had ulcers, accompanied by erosions in two (Table 2). Five of nine patients with ulcers had annular ulcers, and one patient had two annular ulcers. Of seven patients with multiple lesions, six had multiple ulcers only and one had an “ulcer plus erosion.” Three of five patients with annular ulcers had wall thickening of the terminal ileum on abdominal CT scan images. Examples of an ulcer, an annular ulcer and an erosion are shown in Fig. 2a, Fig. 2b and Fig. 2c. In subgroup analysis using the finding of an abnormal SBCE as a factor, there was a tendency in patients undergoing the study within 1 month after antituberculous therapy (≤1 month: 8/10, 80%; >1 month: 1/4, 25%; P = 0.095). In subgroup analysis using presence of an annular ulcer as a factor, there was a tendency toward presence of gastrointestinal symptoms (P = 0.091), use of corticosteroids or immunosuppressants (P = 0.11), and long-term use of NSAIDs (P = 0.095). There were no specific trends in other subgroup analyses.

A total of 25 lesions in nine patients were depicted but eight lesions in two incomplete SBCE patients were excluded from the analysis of the distribution. A total of 17 lesions in seven patients were distributed throughout the entire small intestine (Fig. 3). The majority of lesions were located in the distal small intestine. All three annular ulcers were located close to

---

**Table 1** Patient demographics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Degree of sputum smear</th>
<th>Gastrointestinal tract symptoms</th>
<th>Use of corticosteroid/IM</th>
<th>Long-term use of NSAIDs</th>
<th>Interval after antituberculous therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>98 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>194 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>48 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>57 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>11 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index calculated by height, m/(weight, kg); IM, immunosuppressant; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; n/a, not available

1 According to Reference 12

2 Including abdominal pain and altered bowel habits

---

**Table 2** Findings from small bowel capsule endoscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>n = 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>9 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• erosion</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ulcer</td>
<td>9 (64%)¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ including 5 annular ulcers
Based on a localization system for capsule endoscopy, two annular ulcers in patients who had incomplete SBCE studies were located in the distal small intestine. Three months later, three patients underwent repeat SBCE. Two showed resolution of ulcerated lesions while new annular ulcers were found in one patient.

**Discussion**

This study using SBCE imaging of the small intestine demonstrates that prevalence of intestinal lesions in sputum/fecal smear-positive patients with pulmonary tuberculosis was as high as 60%. Excluding four patients who underwent SBCE >1 month after antituberculous therapy, this prevalence reached 80%, a much higher percentage than expected before. Four of nine patients with intestinal lesions had no gastrointestinal manifestations, suggesting that gastrointestinal symptoms may not always suggest presence of intestinal tuberculous lesion in patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis. Ingested MTB can be detected in gastric fluid. These organisms can survive in the gastrointestinal tract and be excreted in the stool [4, 13]. In the current study, indeed, MTB was observed in all fecal specimens. A previous study reported that MTB grew in 14.9% of sputum and 9.7% of fecal specimens in pulmonary tuberculosis patients [4]. The surviving MTB may cause infectious lesions in the intestine.

In the five patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis, however, no intestinal abnormalities were observed, even using SBCE. Of these patients, three underwent SBCE studies 1 month or more after antituberculous therapy started, while two patients who underwent SBCE within 2 weeks (4 and 9 days) were relatively young (46 y female, 53 y male). Age might be a pivotal factor related to incidence of intestinal lesions.

Most of the lesions were located in the distal small intestine, and all annular ulcers were found in the terminal ileum. These observations are consistent with previous reports using enteroscopy or enterography performed on patients with intestinal tuberculosis [1]. This may be attributed to the apparent affinity of MTB for lymphoid tissue and area of physiologic stasis [1]. In patients suspicious for intestinal tuberculosis, the initial test should be colonoscopy to assess the terminal ileum. Considering active tuberculous disease with possible dissemination, however, CT scan may be an alternative to colonoscopy because abnormal findings such as wall thickening of the terminal ileum on CT scan were seen in three of five patients with annular ulcers in this study.

There are acknowledged limitations to this study. First, the generalizability of these findings may be limited, as it was a single-center study with only 14 patients. Second, SBCE images may appear as multiple lesions when there is only a single lesion because the capsule moves to and fro in the small intestine. The multiplicity of intestinal lesions may be lower than suggested...
by this SBCE study. Third, other factors such as NSAID use may cause intestinal lesions [14]. In the current series, there was no significant difference in the number of lesions comparing patients with long-term NSAID use and non-use. Despite these limitations, we believe that this study is meaningful because SBCE has clarified the prevalence and distribution of intestinal abnormalities in patients with active tuberculosis (Table 3).

### Conclusion

In conclusion, SBCE identified intestinal lesions in patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis. Prevalence of intestinal tuberculous lesions is higher than previously reported and distribution of intestinal abnormalities is consistent with previous reports using conventional diagnostic modalities.
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