**Metastatic Phaeochromocytoma: Spinning Towards More Promising Treatment Options**
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**Introduction**

Phaeochromocytomas (PCC) and paragangliomas (PGL) originate from neural crest-derived chromaffin cells of the adrenal medulla (PCC) or from the paraganglia located outside the adrenal gland (extra-adrenal phaeochromocytoma, PGL), and are generally highly vascular. They are frequently heritable albeit rare tumours with an incidence of 0.8 per 100,000 persons per year [1], with the highest prevalence in the fourth and fifth decades, but 10%–20% occur in paediatric patients [2, 3]. However, the incidence may be underestimated since over 50% of phaeochromocytomas found at autopsy were not clinically suspected, at least in earlier studies [4]. They commonly produce catecholamines including adrenaline (epinephrine), noradrenaline (norepinephrine), or dopamine. They may produce one, two or all three different types of catecholamines, depending on the underlying somatic or germline mutation [5]. The excess of secretion can produce a whole variety of symptoms including hypertension (which may be episodic), palpitations, severe headache, sweating, and anxiety. For benign PCCs/PGLs, comprising around 90% of phaeochromocytomas but less for PGLs, the therapy of choice is surgery following adequate blood pressure control with alpha-adrenoreceptor blockade and less often β-adrenoreceptor blockade. However, around 10% of PCCs/PGLs...
are metastatic, and therapy for these tumours is currently challenging. Although there are some radiological, biochemical, histopathological (“Pheochromocytoma of the Adrenal gland Scales Score” (PASS) > 6, Ki-67 > 2 %) and genetic clues suggesting the risk of metastases of primary PCCs/PGLs [5–9], malignant disease is currently only defined by the presence of distant metastases. Currently, the term “malignant” PCC/PGL has been replaced by “metastatic” PCC/PGL in the most recent WHO classification [10]. The localisation of the primary tumour can give some idea of the risk of metastases: around 5–20 % of PCCs and around 15 %–35 % of PGLs are metastatic [11–13]. Tumours that are extra-adrenal and greater than 5 cm in size are associated with a higher risk for metastatic spread [6,14]. Due to poor differentiation and disrupted catecholamine production, metastatic PCCs/PGLs often produce high levels of dopamine and its metabolite 3-methoxytyramine [15,16]. Moreover, high chromogranin A (CgA) levels have been linked to metastatic spread [14]. Most importantly at present, the specific genetic background is related to a high potential risk of metastasis [5]. Up to 30–40 % of these tumours show germline mutations, with an equal number showing identifiable somatic mutations in more than 20 well-characterised PCC/PGL susceptibility genes (▶ Fig. 1), as recently reviewed [17]. These different mutations can be separated into three different clusters: pseudohypoxia-associated cluster-1 mutations, kinase signalling-associated cluster-2 mutations, and most recently Wnt-signalling linked cluster-3 mutations (▶ Fig. 1) [5,17]. The pseudohypoxia-associated cluster-1 mutations show a more aggressive behaviour, with the highest metastatic potential seen in SDHB carriers, compared to cluster-2 mutations. Cluster-3 mutations also seem to be associated with high metastatic potential [18]. In metastatic PCCs/PGLs, primary tumour resection can be recommended based on careful evaluation of tumour burden as well as the extent of metastatic disease. This option would help to reduce cardiovascular and other such risks from high catecholamine levels, alleviate symptoms from the tumour invading surrounding structures, or increase the entry of a radiopharmaceutical into metastatic lesions [19,20]. In other types of neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) there is evidence that surgical resection of metastases may extend progression-free and overall survival due to the rarity of disease. Therefore, surgery to remove metastatic lesions may be considered in individual cases of PCC/PGL, if at all possible. This is not to say that we would recommend removal of all metastatic lesions in all cases, but where it is possible to remove all or nearly all lesions with minimal morbidity, this should be actively considered, especially in the context of a possible curative approach, as previously suggested [23]. If such R0 resection is not possible, current therapy options rely on classic chemotherapy regimens (the Averbuch scheme [24]), but modified and updated), radiopharmaceuticals (131I-MIBG, 18F-17β-DOTATATE), as well as on molecular targeted therapies based on the activation of oncogenic signalling pathways associated with the different molecular clusters (anti-angiogenic tyrosine kinase inhibitors and mTORC1 inhibitors) including agents with potential future therapeutic possibilities (HIF-2α and PARP inhibitors, temozolomide alone, metronomic temozolomide, somatostatin analogues) [5,25]. In spite of striking recent progress in this area, none of the therapy options mentioned has been officially approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or the European Medicines Agency for metastatic PCC/PGL due to the rarity of the disease and the lack of prospective studies. Here we review the currently existing therapeutic options for metastatic PCCs/PGLs, and provide some ideas regarding upcoming promising future approaches, closely linked to new discoveries in molecular biology and pathogenesis of these tumours, especially those with underlying germline and somatic mutations.

Oncogenic Signalling in PCC and PGL

For the successful development of novel therapeutic strategies, it is extremely important to note that now more than 20 PCC/PGL-associated germline and/or somatic mutations have been identified, and these can be divided into three main clusters (▶ Fig. 1) [5,17]:

1) The pseudohypoxia signalling cluster (cluster-1) is related to mutations of genes encoding for proteins that are associated with significant regulation of the hypoxia signalling pathway and therefore hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-α, most significantly 2α, and includes mutations in genes encoding for hypoxia-inducible factor 2α (HIF2A), Krebs cycle enzymes such as succinate dehydrogenase subunits (SDHx [SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD]), succinate dehydrogenase complex assembly factor-2 (SDHAF2), fumarate hydratase (FH), malate dehydrogenase 2 (MDH2), and isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1); it also includes von Hippel–Lindau tumour suppressor (VHL) and egl-9 polyhydroxylase-1 and -2 (EGLN1/2). PCCs and PGLs resulting from cluster-1 mutations are often multiple, aggressive and metastatic, and have a poorer prognosis compared to PCCs/PGLs bearing other susceptibility gene mutations. The cluster is called pseudohypoxia since it mimics cellular hypoxia leading to an increased dependence on glycolysis due to an impaired Krebs cycle (SDH(A/F2)/B/C/D), FH, MDH2 and IDH mutations) with impaired oxidative phosphorylation. In this manner the oncometabolites succinate, fumarate or 2-hydroxylutarate accumulate, and in turn promote DNA hypermethylation and thus inactive tumour suppressor genes, including egl-9 polyhydroxylase 1/2 (EGLN1/2), as reviewed in [26]. The impaired activity of EGLN1/2 leads to less dihydroxylation of HIF-α and thus, less ubiquitination/degradation of HIF-α. The HIF-α degradation is VHL-dependent; therefore, these mutations promote HIF-α stabilisation and accumulation independent of hypoxia resulting in increased angiogenesis (VEGF/PDGF transcription amongst others), dysregulation of metabolism, migration, invasion and finally metastases. HIF-α is the common final point, the „Achilles’ heel”, of cluster-1 mutations, interconnecting cluster-1 with cluster-2 mutations [27], as explained below in detail, and is thus a particularly significant possible therapeutic target. Almost all tumours belonging to cluster-1 have a noradrenergic phenotype and produce noradrenaline (normetanephrine), with some also producing 3-methoxytyramine, but little or no adrenaline (metanephrine).

2) The kinase signalling cluster (cluster-2) is related to mutations of genes encoding for proteins that belong to the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K/mTORC1) pathway/receptor kinase signalling and comprises mutations in the rearranged-during-transfection (RET) proto-oncogene, neurofibromin 1 (NF1) tumour suppressor, H-RAS and K-RAS...
proto-oncogenes, transmembrane protein 127 (TMEM127) and Myc-associated factor X (MAX). Most PCCs/PGLs patients belonging to this cluster have a relatively good prognosis. Activation of PI3K/AKT and RAS/RAF/ERK signalling promotes cell growth, proliferation, cell survival, and chromatin remodelling, and is also involved in the metabolic 'switch' towards glycolysis and glutaminolysis in cancer cells. Receptor tyrosine kinases (amongst others RET, VEGFR, c-met) activate PI3K. PI3K activates AKT, which in turn...
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inhibits TSC1/2 leading to disinhibition/activation of mTORC1; mTORC1 phosphorylates and activates various proteins including p70S6K, by which p70S6 is phosphorylated. Activated p70S6 promotes cell growth, proliferation, cell survival, and amongst others, leads to protein synthesis of HIF-α, especially HIF-1α, which favors angiogenesis (VEGF/PDGF transcription amongst others), invasion and metastases under hypoxic or pseudohypoxic conditions, as in the case of SDHx-mutations. The RAS/RAF/ERK-pathway is also activated by receptor tyrosine kinases (amongst others RET) and activates mTORC1. NF1 mutations lead to disinhibition of RAS and to mTORC1 activation. TMEM127 is a tumour suppressor gene inhibiting mTORC1 with mutations also leading to disinhibition/activation of mTORC1. The tumour suppressor MAX antagonises Myc-dependent cell survival, proliferation and angiogenesis: mutations lead to increased cell proliferation and angiogenesis [17, 28]. Most of the cluster-2 mutations have a typical adrenergic phenotype with adrenaline (metanephrine) together with or without noradrenaline (norepinephrine) production.

HIF-α, the interconnection between cluster-1 and cluster-2: As extensively reviewed in [26], it is not completely clear whether HIF-1α or HIF-2α promote tumorigenesis in cluster-1 PCCs and PGLs and both HIFs seem to be involved; nevertheless, HIF-2α seems to be predominantly overexpressed in cluster-1 mutations and is considered as an important player in the aggressive behaviour of these tumours. For example, overexpression of HIF-2α has been described in patients with PCCs and PGLs with SDHB and SDHD mutations [29, 30]. On the other hand, another study [31, 32] found more frequent HIF-2α overexpression in VHL-mutated PCCs and PGLs, whereas in SDH-related tumours nuclear HIF-1α staining was more prominent, although these findings in a single study are controversial. In the studies of Favier et al. [33], HIF-2α mRNA overexpression was detected in both VHL- and SDH-mutated PCCs and PGLs, as compared to cluster 2 (NF1- and RET-mutated) PCCs and PGLs. A study by Koh et al. [34] also indicated a leading role for HIF-2α in tumour development and progression in cluster-1 tumours. Moreover, somatic and germline gain-of-function mutations have been identified in the HIF2A gene in patients with multiple or recurrent PCCs and PGLs and polycythemia, with a metastatic potential of around 30-40% [35–40]. These mutations disrupt HIF-2α prolyl hydroxylation, as well as the binding of mutated HIF-2α to pVHL, resulting in HIF-2α accumulation.

The cluster-2 mutations in RET and NF-1 promote nuclear accumulation of HIF-1α via Ras/RAF/MEK/ERK over-activation [41]. ERK directly phosphorylates HIF-1α and induces transcription of VEGF, a key regulator of angiogenesis [42, 43]. RET and NF1 mutations, moreover, lead to over-activation of PI3K signalling and, thus, induce HIF-1α mRNA expression and transcription via overexpression of NF-κB subunits. Activation of PI3K also may lead to mTORC2 activation; this in turn induces HIF-2α expression [44]. HIF-2α in turn may also activate mTORC1 [45]. In tumours caused by TMEM127 and MAX mutations, HIF-1α levels seem to be increased due to mTORC1 activation [46, 47]. MAX acts as a tumour suppressor, and mutations in MAX disinhibit/activate c-Myc signalling [28, 48]. HIF-1α is also one of the transcription targets of c-Myc [49]. Somatic H-RAS mutations were found in PCCs and PGLs, causing the activation of the Ras/RAF/ERK signalling pathway [50]. Ras/RAF/ERK pathway activation leads to an increase in HIF-1α signalling and to the transcription of HIF target genes. Therefore, both HIF-1α and HIF-2α are common checkpoints/gatekeepers of cluster-1 and cluster-2 mutated PCC/PGLs and, thus, interconnect both clusters as a potential common druggable target. However, cluster-1 mutations are predominantly associated with HIF-2α accumulation which is also associated with more aggressive tumour behaviour in PCC/PGL and other tumours [18, 34, 51], while cluster-2 mutations are predominantly linked to HIF-1α accumulation (although both clusters may involve both types of HIFs, which have been found to be overexpressed in many human cancers and have been considered as an essential checkpoint (gatekeeper) of tumorigenesis, as reviewed in [26, 27]). Nevertheless, we essentially do not know why cluster-1 tumours have a much worse prognosis. Several studies are underway now to decipher the molecular biology of these tumours in even more detail.

3) The Wnt signalling cluster (cluster-3) comprises somatic mutations in Cold Shock Domain-containing E1 gene (CSDE1) and the ‘mastermind-like’ transcriptional coactivator 3 (MAML3) fusion genes. MAML3-mutated PGLs/PCCs show hypomethylation and over-activation of Wnt and Hedgehog signalling. These tumours strongly express the neuroendocrine tumour marker CgA. CSDE1 mutations lead to over-activation of β-catenin, a target of Wnt signalling; over-activation of Wnt/β-catenin signalling favours tumour proliferation, invasion and metastases. The catecholamine phenotype of the recently discovered cluster-3 mutations [18] is not known as yet. In a recent study, cluster-3 mutations, with MAML3 being the most common, were associated with high Ki-67, aggressive behaviour and the early occurrence of distant metastases [18].

The association of the three different clusters with effects on different oncogenic signalling pathways related to a different risk of metastatic PCC/PGL emphasises the importance of genetic testing in all PCC/PGL patients [52].

Very recently, a gain of function mutation in the DNA methyltransferase DNMT3A has been identified as a potential PCC/PGL susceptibility gene leading to hypermethylation and inactivation of tumour suppressor genes, similar to the increased oncometabolites associated with cluster-1 mutations [53]. Currently, it is unknown whether this gene may play a primary or secondary role in the pathogenesis of these tumours.

Chemotherapy: Classic Chemotherapy Updated

Chemotherapeutic agents inhibit the cell cycle at different phases. Besides other sporadically-used chemotherapy protocols including cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, methotrexate, ifosfamide and streptozocin which show low evidence to support their clinical use [54, 55], the best studied chemotherapy protocol for advanced PCC/PGL combines cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and dacarbazine (CVD) according to the Averbuch scheme (cyclophosphamide 750 mg/m², vincristine 1.4 mg/m², and dacarbazine 600 mg/m² on day 1 and dacarbazine 600 mg/m² on day 2) [56]. However, all studies are retrospective and prognostic factors indicating metastatic behaviour such as size and location of the primary tumour, size, location and the timing of metastases, progression prior to chemotherapy, and SDHB mutation status, are frequently missing [55]. A meta-analysis of the largest studies on CVD showed a partial response concern-
zyme capable of repairing the temozolomide-induced adducts is observed [64]. Temozolomide is a DNA alkylating substance leading to DNA hypermethylation [17] associated with hypermethylation of the MGMT promoter region in SDHB-mutated tumors, with a pseudohypoxia-associated increase in succinate and DNA hypermethylation (▶ Fig. 1). It is likely that temozolomide monotherapy may be better as compared to maintenance therapy with CVD. Maintenance with dacarbazine or temozolomide alone after 6-9 cycles of CVD may be reasonable for patients who initially responded to CVD (partial radiographic response or disease stabilisation) [55, 64]. If temozolomide monotherapy is not effective or not tolerated at standard doses, a metronomic scheme with long-term low-dose temozolomide (75 mg/m²/d with a schedule of 3 weeks on treatment followed by 1 week off treatment) in combination with high-dose lanreotide autogel (120 mg s.c. every 14 days) might stabilise PCCs/PGLs with low MGMT levels and MGMT hypermethylation, as recently published for two patients [68]. This study again emphasised the benefit of genetic testing in all patients in order to provide the best individualised treatment approach depending on specific oncogenic signalling, although the patient numbers are small.

Radiopharmaceuticals: 131I- metaiodobenzylguanidin (MIBG) and Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy (PRRT)

MIBG is a noradrenaline analogue that is taken up by the chromaffin cells of the sympathomedullary system which can be specifically targeted by the radiopharmaceutical 131I-MIBG. 131I-MIBG therapy is currently the most studied treatment option in metastatic PCC/PGL, including even (albeit small) prospective studies [69–73]. It is still the recommended first-line treatment for 123I-MIBG positive patients with slow-growth metastases [5], although this situation may be changing. Treatment regimens can be classified into two basic strategies: fractionated multiple low-dose treatments, or a limited number of high-dose treatments. Both high-dose regimens and low-dose regimens have shown efficacy [74]. In a large meta-analysis of 17 studies on 131I-MIBG published between 1984 and 2012 including 243 PCC/PGL patients (follow-up durations 24 to 62 months), 3% of patients showed a complete response, 27% of patients showed a partial response, while 52% of patients exhibited stable disease [75]. In two of the studies included in this meta-analysis on 131I-MIBG treatment in PCC/PGL patients, the mean PFS of 131I-MIBG-treated patients was 23.1 and 28.5 months, respectively. Two other studies reported a 5-year survival rate of 45%
(with a median OS of 4.7 years) and 64 %, respectively, and one study reported a median OS of 42 months. The other studies included in the meta-analysis did not report PFS or OS [75]. In another retrospective study, the median PFS of MIBG-treated PCC patients (n = 6, none with SDHB mutations) was 20.6 months with a median OS of 41.2 months; the median PFS of 131I-MIBG-treated PGL patients was 14.4 months (n = 5, one with an SDHB mutation) with a median OS of 22.8 months [76]. Clearly, the robustness and duration of these responses depends on a host of factors, probably most importantly on the genetic background. For patients with bone metastases a poorer response to 131I-MIBG has been reported compared to patients with metastases limited to soft tissue [71]. After low-dose treatment, adverse effects are generally mild including anorexia, nausea, vomiting, mild leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and gonadal failure; however, severe bone marrow aplasia has been reported sporadically [71]. After high-dose treatment, complete (3/12) and partial responses (7/12) have been reported, but the adverse effects on the bone marrow including grade 3 thrombocytopenia and grade 3 and grade 4 neutropenia were more common [77]. Acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndrome have also been observed after several infusions of high-dose treatments [69]. A new preparation of ultratracer 131I-MIBG with high specific activity (radiolabelled MIBG without the addition of a carrier) produced on the Ultratracer® platform, may increase tumour tissue uptake and treatment efficacy with less side effects [78]. Ultratracer iodobenguan-131I is being evaluated in a phase II trial (NCT00874614) that has reported a preliminary radiological partial response rate and stable disease in more than 90 % of patients (n = 34) [79]. The estimated study completion date is February 2021. In March 2018 a certification or an extension request to delay submission of results was submitted. The study results should first be awaited before Ultratracer iodine treatment might be recommended. However, the increasing use of PRRT will probably render such data obsolete as newer radioisotopes are used.

Since most PCCs/PGLs strongly express somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (SSTR2) [80, 81], PRRT has also been investigated in some small studies [82–85], and was reported to be superior to 131I-MIBG therapy in PCC/PGL patients (n = 22) regarding PFS and response to treatment according to a very recently published retrospective study [76]. In this case, a radioligate, 90Y or more usually 177Lu, is combined with octreotide via a chelating agent. In PGL patients the OS was also significantly higher after PRRT compared to 131I-MIBG therapy [76]. The recent prospective NETTER-1 trial in midgut neuroendocrine tumours has led to the approval of PRRT for the treatment of midgut and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours in many countries [86], and initiation of an analogous prospective phase II clinical trial with PCC/PGL patients seems reasonable. Nevertheless, more data regarding different hereditary and sporadic metastatic PCCs/PGLs is needed for more accurate conclusions regarding the efficacy of this type of radiotherapy.

Conventional External Beam Radiation Therapy (cEBRT)

CEBRT is the most frequently used treatment in patients with PCC/PGL bone metastases; in a retrospective study with 24 PCC/PGL patients treated with cEBRT, more than 80 % showed symptomatic and imaging improvement [87], and in a very recently published study with 41 PCC/PGL patients treated with cEBRT, 81 % of all lesions showed local control at 5 years while 94 % of patients showed symptomatic improvement [88]. A prospective randomised control trial published in 2005 showed that patients with spinal cord compression due to metastases of any cancer benefited most from first-line decompressive surgery followed by cEBRT, compared to cEBRT alone [89]. Moreover, spinal stereotactic radiosurgery (SSRS) (cyberknife), which allows the delivery of a high dose of radiation directly to the lesion, decreasing toxic effects on adjacent tissue, may also be a therapeutic option for PCC/PGL bone metastases [90–92].

Molecular Targeted Therapies: Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors, mTORC1 Inhibitors, HIF-2α Antagonist, SSTR2 analogues

The receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors previously considered as potential therapeutic targets for PGL/PCC include sunitinib, cabozantinib, axitinib and pazopanib, and all have anti-angiogenic potential. Sunitinib is the most studied tyrosine kinase inhibitor in PGL/PCC with anti-angiogenic potential due to inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factors-1 and -2 receptors (VEGFR1/2), platelet-derived growth factor-β receptor (PDGFR) and RET, amongst others. Accordingly, sunitinib is an interesting therapeutic agent for pseudohypoxia associated cluster-1 mutations (amongst others SDHx) leading to HIF-α stabilisation and increased angiogenesis, but also for the kinase signalling associated cluster-2 mutations including RET and NF1 and converging with the pseudohypoxia pathway into HIF-α with increased angiogenesis (Fig. 1). Sunitinib has already been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency for renal cell carcinomas, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours and gastrointestinal stromal tumours. At the moment, sunitinib is being investigated in the first prospective, randomised, placebo-controlled clinical phase II trial in PCC and PGL (FIRST-MAPP, NCT01371202). At the time the current manuscript was written, the trial had almost completed recruitment (n = 74). The current largest published retrospective trial investigating sunitinib (dose: 37.5 mg or 50 mg) in PCC and PGL included 17 patients [93]: 3 of the 17 patients could not be evaluated for tumour response to sunitinib since they suffered from early toxicities and medication was stopped. Side effects of sunitinib include hypertension, diarrhoea, mucositis, hand-foot syndrome and fatigue. Of the remaining 14 patients, 3 (21.4 %) showed partial responses (PR), 5 (35.7 %) had stable disease (SD), while the other six patients (43 %) had progressive disease (PD). Therefore, a total of 57 % of patients evaluated showed clinical and radiographic benefit from sunitinib, indicating that sunitinib might be an effective treatment option. The median OS of sunitinib-treated patients was 26.7 months. Although the median PFS after initiating sunitinib was only 4.1 months (95 % confidence interval = 1.4–11.0), the PFS of the responders to sunitinib was much longer compared to non-responders: the three partial responses to sunitinib lasted for 11, 12 and 4.5 months, respectively. Two cases with stable disease had no progression until the end of the observation period of 36 months (one of these patients was under additional therapy with the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin for 1.5 years until the end of the observation period), the other three patients with sta-
Malignant disease showed a PFS of 27, 8 and 6 months, respectively. The time to progression of non-responders to sunitinib was 0.4–4 months, which strongly decreased the median PFS of the whole study population [93]. Current unpublished data from the NIH suggest that such therapy may be particularly effective for SDHB-related tumours, although again in many patients rapid relapse may occur after a brief ‘honeymoon’ period. It is likely that in the future specific molecular features may be identified to indicate the type of patient who will show a prolonged response to such agents. Four of the 5 patients with SD were SDHB mutation carriers and one of 3 patients with PR was an SDHB carrier. Five of the 6 patients with PD suffered from apparently sporadic PCCs and one of them was an SDHB mutation carrier. Thus, most patients with a clinical benefit were carriers of SDHB mutations. It should also be noted that several SDHB patients with metastatic PCC/PGL who initially had a good response then progressed rapidly (K. Pacak, personal experience). Therefore, caution should be taken when sunitinib is considered for the therapy of these particular patients. For one of the SDHB-mutated patients with SD, the sunitinib dose was decreased to 25 mg due to fatigue and 18 months after initiation of sunitinib therapy the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin (4 mg) was added: this patient showed persistent SD and overall lower glucose uptake in 18F-FDG PET/CT 36 months after initiation of sunitinib therapy and 18 months after first application of the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin, and had experienced no disease progression at the time of the writing of that study [93]. Although single treatment with the mTORC1 inhibitor everolimus was not effective in PCC and PGL in a small clinical study [94], nevertheless, this implies that combination treatments might be more effective at lower doses with less toxicity, compared to higher dose treatment with each drug separately. A study (NCT00655655) with the mTORC1 inhibitor everolimus plus the EGFR-1 inhibitor vatalanib is ongoing. Consistently, our in vitro and in vivo data on PCC/PGL [95–98] showed additive effects of the mTORC1 inhibitor everolimus plus the statin lovastatin (which leads to AKT and ERK inhibition), or additive effects of a dual PI3K/mTORC1/2 inhibitor plus lovastatin; moreover, we could show synergism for lovastatin plus 13-cis retinoic acid at low therapeutically-relevant doses. Re-purposing older well-tolerated drugs such as statins or 13-cis retinoic acid for novel therapeutic purposes seems to be a novel approach worthy of further research, particularly in combination treatments.

Another promising tyrosine kinase inhibitor might be the c-met inhibitor caboazontinib. In renal cell carcinoma patients it was more effective than sunitinib in terms of PFS and objective response [99, 100]. Patients with metastatic prostate cancer with bone metastases experienced pain relief, increased haemoglobin and decreased bone turnover in response to caboazontinib [101]. Preliminary results of a phase II study (NCT 02302833) assessing caboazontinib in 11 patients with PCC/PGL have shown a tumour size decrease and disease stabilisation in most patients with a PFS of 11.2 months, without serious adverse events [55]. The initial dose of caboazontinib was 60 mg daily and the dose was titrated down on the basis of tolerability. However, two phase II studies with the tyrosine kinase inhibitors pazopanib (6 patients) (NCT01340794) [102] and axitinib (9 patients) (NCT01967576) did not show any clear benefit in PCC/PGL. Due to gastrointestinal and serious cardiovascular adverse events (Takotsubo cardiomyopathy) of several patients, the pazopanib trial was terminated [102] and the axitinib trial is being closed. All tyrosine kinase inhibitors mentioned increase the risk of severe hypertension and require adequate blood pressure monitoring.

HIF-α is the ‘Achilles heel’ of the cluster-1 PCC/PGL susceptibility gene mutations (detailed under ‘Oncogenic signalling’, ▶ Fig. 1) and, moreover, interconnects cluster-1 with cluster-2 kinase signalling (also details under ‘Oncogenic signalling’) [26, 27, 35, 103]. As described in detail above and reviewed in [26, 27], all the pseudohypoxia-associated cluster-1 PCC/PGL susceptibility mutations predominantly lead to HIF-2α stabilisation and accumulation as a consequence of increases in succinate and other oncometabolites. Therefore, the HIF-2α inhibitor PT2399, which has already shown efficacy in renal cell carcinoma mouse models and was superior to sunitinib [104, 105], might be a promising novel therapy option for PCC/PGL. It deserves further investigation in PCC/PGL in vitro and in vivo, especially in cluster-1 models and eventually in patients carrying SDHx or other Krebs cycle-associated mutations [27].

Long-acting SSTR2 analogues have already been approved for tumour growth control in midgut neuroendocrine tumours (PRO-MID trial) and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours with a Ki-67 < 10 % (CLARINET trial) [106, 107]. Due to strong SSTR2 expression of PCC/PGL [81], treatment with long-acting SSTR2 analogues deserves evaluation in a clinical phase II trial with PCC/PGL patients.

The current ongoing clinical trials in PCC/PGL investigating molecular targeted therapy are reviewed in [25].

**PARP inhibitors – Novel Targeted Therapy to Improve Old Fashioned Chemotherapy**

As mentioned above, chemotherapeutic agents such as temozolomide lead to DNA damage and tumour cell apoptosis. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) is a highly conserved enzyme in eukaryotes which repairs DNA breaks and stabilises DNA replication [108], similar to MGMT. PARP-deficient mice were very sensitive to DNA damaging agents [109]. The PARP DNA repair system is very active in PCCs/PGLs with pseudohypoxia-associated cluster-1 mutations through increased intracellular NAD+ levels secondary to enhanced catalytic activity of NADH dehydrogenase. This leads to chemoresistance in cluster-1 (SDHB) mutation carriers. PARP inhibitors, which have been demonstrated to potentiate DNA damaging effects of chemotherapeutic agents [110, 111], could be a promising target for metastatic PCCs/PGLs with cluster-1 mutations such as SDHB. In a very recent study in an allograft mouse model of SDHB-knockdown PCCs/PGLs, therapy with the PARP inhibitor olaparib sensitised PCC/PGL cells to temozolomide, suppressed metastatic allograft lesions and improved overall survival [112]. The NAD+/PARP pathway might be a crucial target in SDHB-mutated PCC/PGL. Combination therapy with olaparib and temozolomide could become a very promising approach for cluster-1 metastatic tumours.

**Immunotherapy**

PCC/PGL of the cluster-1 group grow under pseudohypoxic conditions and this potentially prevents them from being recognised by the immune system. The binding of programmed death-ligand
1 (PD-L1) to its receptor programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) on T cells delivers a signal that prevents T-cell-receptor-mediated activation of interleukin-2 production and T cell proliferation, and this may promote tumour growth. Pembrolizumab, an antibody that inhibits PD-1, is currently being investigated in a phase II clinical trial (NCT02721732) for the treatment of metastatic PCC/PGLs [20]. The study is still recruiting at the time of writing and, therefore, results are pending. It is clearly important to understand the effects of the molecular targeted agents on the immune system such that they do not have potentially antagonistic effects [113]. It will be also important to find out whether metastatic PCC/PGL, especially those that are very aggressive and are associated with an SDHB mutation, present with PD-1 and PD-L1 since our preliminary results did not detect either one in these tumours (K. Pacak, personal observation). Immunotherapy will be an important area of future research and novel therapeutic options in these patients, but should be investigated in experimental and other models before translation to patients with metastatic PCC/PGL.

**Conclusions**

▶ **Fig. 2** shows a flow chart comprising current and potential future therapeutic options for PCC/PGL. If curative surgery is not possible, CVD chemotherapy should be considered as first-line therapy in patients with metastatic rapidly growing SDHB-related PCC/PGL; this may be followed by temozolomide monotherapy. Metronomic temozolomide scheme might be beneficial in patients with pseudohypoxia-associated cluster-1 mutations and low MGMT tumour levels. $^{131}$I-MIBG therapy is currently recommended as first-line therapy for slowly-growing metastatic PCCs/PGLs. Preliminary data from a phase II clinical study with ultratrace iobenguan $^{131}$I with high specific activity look promising and may improve $^{131}$I-MIBG uptake and activity, and reduce side effects. However, it seems likely that PRRT based on SSTR2 expression of PCC/PGL may be superior to $^{131}$I-MIBG therapy and needs to be evaluated in prospective clinical trials. CEBRT or spinal stereotactic radiosurgery are therapy options for bone metastases. Molecular targeted therapies with anti-angiogenic tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as sunitinib and cabozantinib are being studied in prospective phase II clinical trials, and appear to be promising agents for patients with pseudohypoxia-associated cluster-1 and kinase signalling-associated cluster-2 mutations; mTORC1 inhibitor monotherapy seems to show little activity, but combination treatments look encouraging. Novel molecular targeted therapies such as HIF-2α inhibitors, focusing on a convergent target of many mutations, as well as PARP inhibitors interrupting the repair pathway in cluster-1 mutated PCCs/

**Fig. 2** Current and potential future therapeutic options for PCC/PGL
PGLs, seem to have the potential to open up the road for future therapy approaches. A prospective phase II clinical study on immunotherapy is ongoing. Cell line and in vivo studies suggest that molecular targeted combination treatments, possibly with currently available repurposed agents, may show the way to more effective treatment in the future. Finally, combined therapies using either radiotherapy or chemotherapy together with immunotherapy are on the horizon in many metastatic cancers, and such studies should also include metastatic PCC/PGL patients.
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