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**ABSTRACT**
The course and pathogenesis of Graves’ disease and Graves’ ophthalmopathy are interdependent, influencing each other’s therapeutic choices. Multiple factors including geographic location, access to medical services, patient and physician preferences influence the management of these conditions. Graves’ disease is classically managed with one of three treatment options – antithyroid drugs, radioactive iodine, and thyroidectomy. In recent years, there has been a shift towards antithyroid drugs, including long term therapy with these agents, given the advantage of avoiding hypothyroidism and the apparent safety of this approach. In addition, new therapies are (slowly) emerging, focusing on immunomodulation. Technological advances are opening doors to non-pharmaceutical interventions that aim to deal with both structural thyroid abnormalities as well as biochemical abnormalities of hyperthyroidism. Graves’ ophthalmopathy management is guided by its activity and severity status, with treatment options including smoking cessation, control of hyperthyroidism, local eye measures, glucocorticoids, selenium, orbital radiotherapy, and surgery. In addition to these established treatment choices, new immunotherapy-based approaches are being tested. Some of them (tocilizumab and teprotumumab) are very promising but further evaluation is needed before we can establish their role in clinical care. Agents identified as beneficial in Graves’ disease management will likely be tested in Graves’ ophthalmopathy as well. In the coming years, our main clinical responsibility will be to find the proper balance between the benefits and potential risks of these incoming therapies, and to identify the subgroups of patients where this ratio is most likely to favor a safe and successful therapeutic outcome.

**Introduction and Methods**
Graves’ disease (GD) and Graves’ ophthalmopathy (GO) are seen together in ~30% of cases [1, 2], while the rest of the cases are metachronous, with GO usually preceding GD. The course of these entities is interdependent to a good extent, particularly the course of GO being clearly influenced by the control of GD. On the other hand, the choice of therapy for GD does depend on the state of GO. In this article, we aim to discuss current and potential future approaches to the therapy of these two entities. The therapeutic options discussed here are not an exhaustive list but rather include those with available or planned clinical trial testing and with some promising results based on our assessment. We separated the sections of future therapies for GD and GO though we acknowledge that some of the drugs tested for GD will likely be tried in GO if proved efficacious and vice versa, as long as their target relates to thyroid stimulating hormone receptor (TSHr) stimulating antibodies and T cells. Other therapies will be specific to one entity or the other, depending on where they interfere in the pathogenic cascade.

We searched PubMed for English language studies published over the last 5 years by using search terms “Graves” and “autoimmune hyperthyroidism”. This resulted in 1600 results out of which 190 pertained to GD and GO management. We also searched for clinical trials for therapies currently under investigation for GD and
GO, using the clinicaltrials.gov database. From these and their refer-
ences, we selected articles that added significantly to this field in
addition to articles published before 1/1/2013.

Graves’ Disease: Current Therapy

There are 3 established choices for the treatment of GD including
 radioactive iodine (RAI), antithyroid drugs (ATDs) and thyroidec-
tomy. We aim to discuss the typical approach to implementing
these choices and then we will discuss the different scenarios where
one may be preferred over the others for a particular patient (►Table 1).
Overall, the American Thyroid Association guidelines [3] have been noncommittal in their approach to selecting an in-
dividual therapy and leave this to the patient and their physician
after an assessment of individual preferences.

Radioactive iodine (Iodine-131)
History, trends, and choice considerations in GD

The thyroid follicular cells take up RAI, releasing beta particles that
damage the follicular cells thus reducing thyroid hormone levels.
In 1941, Dr. Saul Hertz administered a mixture of I-130/I-131 as
the first therapeutic dose of RAI to a patient with Graves’ hyperthy-
roidism at Massachusetts General Hospital. Since then, RAI’s use
increased and became the preferred treatment for clinical hyper-
thyroidism due to GD in the US [4, 5]. Over the last decade though,
there has been a decline in RAI utilization, which probably now ac-
counts for 1st line therapy in < 50 % of new GD cases, with a com-
ponsatory rise in ATD use [6]. A failure rate of 8 % has been report-
ed for RAI therapy in GD according to a recent study at Mayo Clinic [7].
RAI is preferred in certain subgroups that want definitive therapy
for GD and either favor nonsurgical management or are individu-
als with significant comorbidities, previous neck surgery or neck
radiation, or lack access to a high volume surgeon, all increasing
the surgical risk. RAI is also preferred in individuals that prefer non-
surgical management of GD and have a low likelihood of complete remission with ATDs like high TSHr antibody (TRAb), large goiter,
high ATD requirement or have contraindications to ATD or failure
of ATDs to achieve euthyroidism [3, 6]. Definite contraindications
for RAI are active and moderate-to-severe GO as RAI therapy in GD
is usually associated with an elevation in TRAb titer [8], pregnancy,
lactation, coexisting thyroid cancer, inability to adhere to radiation
safety guidelines, and women planning pregnancy within the next
6 months [3].

►Table 1 Overview of current established treatment modalities for Graves’ disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment modality</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Role in GD</th>
<th>Adverse effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radioactive iodine</td>
<td>Destruction of thyroid follicular cells by beta particles leading to reduced thyroid hormone levels</td>
<td>Rapid control of hyperthyroidism, High efficacy with approximately 80 % cure (euthyroidism or hyperthyroidism) in most patients, No risk of surgery or anesthesia</td>
<td>Preferred first line therapy in the US until recently, especially preferred in surgical comorbidities, ATD contraindicated or failed, rapid control of hyperthyroidism, planning pregnancy &gt; 6 months later</td>
<td>Short-term adverse effects (radiation thyroiditis and sialadenitis) are rare and usually occur after high dose, Long term adverse effects are possibly thyroid, stomach and kidney cancer but there no clear evidence is not definitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithyroid drugs (Thionamides)</td>
<td>Interference with TPO thus inhibiting thyroid hormone synthesis PTU also blocks peripheral conversion of T4 to T3. Possible immunomodulatory effect</td>
<td>No hypothyroidism unless very high dosage, Does not worsen GO, No risk of surgery or anesthesia</td>
<td>Preferred as definitive therapy when high likelihood of remission (mild disease, small goiter, women, low or negative TRAb); pregnancy (PTU preferred in first trimester); when RAI and thyroidec tomy have more risk than benefit. Also for rapid control of hyperthyroidism prior to thyroidec tomy or RAI</td>
<td>Serious adverse effects include agranulocytosis and hepatotoxicity, which usually occur within the first 3–6 months of therapy, Small vessel vasculitis can occur after long term treatment, Allergic cutaneous reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total or near-total thyroidec tomy</td>
<td>Removal of source of thyroid hormone production</td>
<td>Rapid control of hyperthyroidism, Highest efficacy with 100 % success rate, Useful for large symptomatic goiter or coexistent thyroid cancer, Does not worsen GO</td>
<td>Preference in larger goiter with compressive symptoms, concomitant thyroid malignancy or hyperparathyroidism, moderate-to-severe GO, high TRAb</td>
<td>Transient or permanent hypoparathyroidism causing hypocalcemia, transient or permanent recurrent or superior laryngeal nerve injury, postoperative bleeding, and anesthesia complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic therapy</td>
<td>Antagonism of sympathetic response to elevated thyroid hormone levels</td>
<td>Rapid symptom control as sole therapy for mild hyperthyroidism or adjuvant.</td>
<td>Used in patients with significant symptoms especially tachycardia from hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>May worsen bronchospastic asthma, obstructive airway disease, or Raynaud’s disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carbimazole
Methimazole (in US)
Propylthiouracil (in Europe)
Accomplishing RAI therapy in GD
Prior to RAI administration, pregnancy should be ruled out. RAI can precipitate short-term worsening of hyperthyroidism through release of preformed thyroid hormone [9], with thyroid storm occurring in rare instances [10]. Hence, pre-therapy with β blockade should be considered for symptomatic patients, when free T4 is more than 2–3 times the upper limit of normal as well as for asymptomatic patients who are at increased risk of complications from worsening of hyperthyroidism (e.g., elderly or those with cardiac comorbidities). ATD pretreatment should also be considered in these patients noting that methimazole needs to be discontinued at least 3 days prior to RAI administration. Pretreatment with supersaturated potassium iodide (SSKI) may be an alternative to those allergic to ATDs. Patients should avoid foods or supplements containing excess iodine, and a low iodine diet is preferred for those with relatively low RAI uptake. A sufficient dose of RAI needs to be administered to render the patient hypothyroid, which usually is approximately 10–15 mCi [3]. This can be achieved either by administering a fixed dose or a dose calculated based on the thyroid size and its ability to take up RAI. A meta-analysis done in 2009 showed equally successful treatment outcomes with either approach but the studies had heterogeneity [11]. A recent study evaluating 120 GD patients found that at the end of 6 months, treatment failure was higher at 37.5 % in the calculated dose group (utilizing 160 microCi/g of thyroid tissue) versus 19.6 % in the fixed dose group, suggesting preference for the latter approach of RAI administration [12]. However, in our experience at Mayo Clinic with calculated dose of 200 microCi/gram of thyroid tissue we noted a success rate of 92 % [7], leading us to prefer this approach as opposed to fixed RAI dosing.

Post-RAI therapy management
Re-initiation of methimazole 3–7 days after RAI administration reduces free T4 [13], hence should be considered in those with increased risk of complications due to worsening hyperthyroidism. Continuation of ATDs during RAI administration is not recommended due to concern for reduced treatment efficacy. Radiation thyroiditis and sialadenitis are rare side effects usually associated with a high dose of RAI. As for long-term adverse effects, a recent meta-analysis found no increase in overall cancer risk, however a trend size and its ability to take up RAI. A meta-analysis done in 2009 showed equally successful treatment outcomes with either approach but the studies had heterogeneity [11]. A recent study evaluating 120 GD patients found that at the end of 6 months, treatment failure was higher at 37.5 % in the calculated dose group (utilizing 160 microCi/g of thyroid tissue) versus 19.6 % in the fixed dose group, suggesting preference for the latter approach of RAI administration [12]. However, in our experience at Mayo Clinic with calculated dose of 200 microCi/gram of thyroid tissue we noted a success rate of 92 % [7], leading us to prefer this approach as opposed to fixed RAI dosing.

Post-RAI therapy management
Re-initiation of methimazole 3–7 days after RAI administration reduces free T4 [13], hence should be considered in those with increased risk of complications due to worsening hyperthyroidism. Continuation of ATDs during RAI administration is not recommended due to concern for reduced treatment efficacy. Radiation thyroiditis and sialadenitis are rare side effects usually associated with a high dose of RAI. As for long-term adverse effects, a recent meta-analysis found no increase in overall cancer risk, however a trend size and its ability to take up RAI. A meta-analysis done in 2009 showed equally successful treatment outcomes with either approach but the studies had heterogeneity [11]. A recent study evaluating 120 GD patients found that at the end of 6 months, treatment failure was higher at 37.5 % in the calculated dose group (utilizing 160 microCi/g of thyroid tissue) versus 19.6 % in the fixed dose group, suggesting preference for the latter approach of RAI administration [12]. However, in our experience at Mayo Clinic with calculated dose of 200 microCi/gram of thyroid tissue we noted a success rate of 92 % [7], leading us to prefer this approach as opposed to fixed RAI dosing.

Thyrotoxicosis

Accomplishing thyroidectomy in GD
Hyperthyroidism should be controlled whenever possible by ATDs with or without beta blockade prior to thyroidectomy to minimize the surgical risk. In hyperthyroid individuals, the stress of surgery or anesthesia can precipitate thyroid storm although data from Japan [10] failed to identify any such event after thyroidectomy. Preoperative iodine use in the form of SSKI or Lugol’s solution administered 7 days before surgery decreases thyroid blood flow, vascularity and intraoperative blood loss during thyroidectomy [16]. Rapid preparation for emergent thyroidectomy can also be facilitated by the use of iopanoic acid (not available in US), dexamethasone or cholestyramine [17]. Preoperative assessment of calcium and vitamin D stores followed by replacement as necessary decreases the risk of postoperative hypocalcemia due to transient hyperparathyroidism [18]. Evaluation of calcium status is also useful to understand if silent hyperparathyroidism is present simultaneously and adjust surgical planning accordingly. For the best surgical outcome, it is desirable to use a high volume thyroid surgeon (one who performs > 25 thyroid surgeries per year) as this approach significantly minimizes the risk of complications [19]. The residual surgical risks include transient or permanent hypoparathyroidism causing hypocalcemia (0–12.4 %), transient or permanent recurrent or superior laryngeal nerve injury leading to vocal cord paralysis (0.9–2.8 %), neck hematoma (2.8 %), and anesthesia complications [7,20].

Post-thyroidectomy management
Post-surgical monitoring should include serum calcium with or without intact parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels. Monitoring PTH levels in the immediate postoperative phase has the ability predict symptomatic hypocalcemia if PTH value is low [21] but normal PTH levels are not able to guarantee normocalcemia [22,23], thus suggesting that vitamin-D insufficiency is likely to be present in these cases. Treatment for hypocalcemia should start with oral calcium and calcitriol, and hydrochlorothiazide should be added in treatment-resistant cases while IV calcium therapy is reserved for se-
Antithyroid drugs

History and choice considerations in GD

ATDs or thionamides include Propylthiouracil (PTU), Methimazole (MMI, in the United States) and Carbimazole (in Europe). They entered clinical use in the 1940s. Their mechanism of action is inhibition of thyroid peroxidase (TPO) enzyme thus inhibiting thyroid hormone synthesis; in addition, PTU blocks the peripheral conversion of T4 to T3 [25]. ATDs are thought to have an additional immunomodulatory effect and are associated with decrease in TRAb levels in many patients [8, 26], ATDs are utilized as the main therapy for controlling hyperthyroidism with the goal of complete remission in a large percent of cases but they are also employed for rapid biochemical control prior to definitive therapy with RAI or thyroidectomy. They carry the advantage of avoiding long term hypothyroidism as opposed to RAI and thyroidectomy but have lower long term success rates than the other two options. ATDs are preferred in patients with a high likelihood of remission (mild disease, small goiter, women, low or negative TRAB), pregnancy, high surgical risk, inability to follow radiation safety regulations, previously operated or irradiated necks, lack of access to a high volume thyroid surgeon. They are absolutely contraindicated in the setting of previous major adverse reaction to another ATD [3]. Among the different ATDs, MMI has a longer duration of action; hence it is effective as daily dose in most cases as opposed to PTU which needs to be administered 2–3 times per day. MMI is usually preferred over PTU except during thyroid storm for rapid control of hyperthyroidism, allergy to MMI, and 1st trimester of pregnancy [3].

Accomplishing ATD therapy in GD

ATD dose should be adjusted to T4/T3 level, goiter size, and symptoms. The ATA task force [3] recommends MMI daily dosing of 5–10 mg if free T4 is 1–1.5 times the upper limit of normal, 10–20 mg if free T4 is 1.5–2 times the upper limit of normal, and 30–40 mg if free T4 is 2–3 times the upper limit of normal. Once the patient is euthyroid, the dose of MMI can usually be decreased by 30–50 % and biochemical testing repeated in 4–6 weeks. Once euthyroid levels are achieved with minimal dose, clinical and biochemical evaluation can be done at 3 month intervals for 12–18 months, which can be further increased to 6 month intervals in case of long term MMI (> 18 months) [3]. ATDs are sometimes combined with levothyroxine in what is called “block and replace”. This is a regimen that is not endorsed equally across the world but when employed, it is reserved for patients with frequent fluctuations between hypo- and hyperthyroidism. With this approach, a combination of fixed dose ATD (block) and levothyroxine (replace) is utilized to maintain euthyroidism. The main benefit of this regimen is the decreased need for laboratory testing and clinic visits, however it is not clear if there is any advantage towards the achievement of complete remission [27].

The usual duration of ATD therapy is 12–18 months, and at that time the TRAb titer is helpful for deciding ATD discontinuation because elevated TRAb is associated with relapse rates of 80–100 % and low or undetectable TRab with relapse rates of 20–30 % [8, 28]. Schott et al. demonstrated that all GD patients with 2nd generation TRAb > 6 IU/l and anti-TPO > 5000 IU/ml tested shortly after GD diagnosis had relapse of hyperthyroidism [29]. Patients are considered to be in remission if they remain euthyroid for 1 year after ATD discontinuation. Remission rates have been classically reported as 20–30 % in the US after 12–18 months of therapy; however, a recent study at Mayo Clinic showed remission rate of 52 % [7], which is close to that reported in Europe at 50–60 % after 5 years [30] and Japan at 68 % after 2 years of therapy [31]. If TRAb is elevated at 12–18 months, ATD can be continued or the patient considered for alternative definitive therapy with RAI or surgery. This is supported by studies demonstrating that 2nd generation TRAb level > 7.5 IU/l at the end of 12-month ATD therapy or > 3.85 IU/l at the end of 18-month ATD predicted relapse with a specificity of 96–97 % [28, 32]. Longer-term ATD therapy with MMI has gained traction in recent years. It is a reasonable therapy for younger patients with mild disease who are well controlled on low-dose ATD [33]. A meta-analysis by Azizi et al. that included studies done till 2011 demonstrated that i) long-term ATD treatment induced a remission rate of 57 %; ii) the rate of complications was 19.1 %, of which only 1.5 % were major complications; and iii) the annual remission rate for each year of treatment was 16 % [34]. A recent study by Villagelin et al. in 2015 further supported this concept by reporting that therapy with low dose MMI for 12–24 months was both efficient and safe with no major side effects, and also had a lower rate of persistent GO as compared to RAI [35]. A second course of ATD can also be considered for GD patients after the first relapse because the chance of remission is similar to the one after the first ATD treatment course [36]. The same authors found that the remission rate declines significantly after two relapses; suggesting long term low-dose ATD maintenance or ablative treatment should be discussed at that point [36].

Adverse effects of ATDs

Adverse effects have been reported in 17.3 % of those treated with ATDs, most commonly dysgeusia (4.4 %), rash (2.8 %), nausea/gastric distress (2.4 %), pruritus (1.6 %), and urticaria (1.2 %) [7]. Clinical monitoring is required for serious adverse effects including agranulocytosis and hepatotoxicity, which usually occur within the first 3–6 months of initiating ATDs. Fortunately, these are very rare thus laboratory testing is done only during febrile illness and at the onset of pharyngitis or symptoms of hepatocellular injury. Agranulocytosis is reported to be more common with PTU as compared to MMI [37] and more with higher dose of 30 mg of MMI as compared to 15 mg [38]. Genome wide association studies have identified certain HLA-B and HLA-DR polymorphisms linked to higher risk of ATD-associated agranulocytosis [39, 40]. Hepatotoxicity from MMI is usually cholestatic but hepatocellular disease may also occur [41]. In contrast, PTU can lead to fulminant hepatic necrosis [42], and a review of such cases and their outcome (frequently patients’ death or liver transplantation) in 2009 [43] led to the current preference for MMI as the 1st choice ATD for GD. However, recent investigations have challenged this pattern, with one study
showing no difference in rates of cholestasis with MMI and PTU [44] and another showing similar rates of liver failure with both [45]. It is recommended that ATDs be discontinued if transaminase levels reach >3 times the upper limit of normal or if levels elevated at the onset of therapy increase further [3]. ATD induced hepatotoxicity or preexisting hepatic dysfunction from hyperthyroidism [46] may lead to preference for RAI or thyroidectomy in these patients. Small vessel vasculitis has been reported to occur usually after long term ATD treatment [47], but can occur at varying times and after exposure to various doses of PTU with a median time to occurrence of 38 months [48]. This is usually managed by ATD discontinuation and corticosteroid administration. In case of allergic cutaneous reactions to MMI at the initial treatment for GD, one study reported that such patients can tolerate a low dose of MMI without adverse effects once euthyroidism has been restored [49].

Symptomatic therapy
Symptomatic therapy is used in all patients with significant symptoms from hyperthyroidism. Beta adrenergic blocking drugs are used to control the sympathetic response to elevated thyroid hormone levels. These include propranolol, atenolol, metoprolol, nadolol, and the intravenous esmolol. Of these, atenolol and metoprolol have relative beta-1 selectivity, hence should be preferred in those with quiescent bronchospastic asthma, mild obstructive airway disease or Raynaud’s phenomenon in whom heart rate control is essential. Calcium channel blockers can be used in patients who do not tolerate beta adrenergic blocking drugs [3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment modality</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Role in GD</th>
<th>Adverse effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potassium iodide</td>
<td>Reduces iodine organification and thyroid hormone secretion</td>
<td>No concern for adverse effects from other definitive options</td>
<td>Adjunct to ATDs for moderate to severe hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>Mouth irritation and bad taste from Lugol’s iodine solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supersaturated potassium iodide (SSKI)</td>
<td>Reduces thyroid vascularity and intraoperative blood loss when used prior to thyroidectomy</td>
<td>Useful when there is contraindication or allergy to ATDs</td>
<td>Prior to thyroidectomy for GD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lugol’s iodine solution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No strong evidence to support its use as sole therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>Blocks release of thyroid hormone</td>
<td>Rapid but transient control of hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>Adjunct to ATD and RAI for GD</td>
<td>Anhydremia, diabetes insipidus, skin abnormalities, neurologic side-effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmapheresis</td>
<td>Clears thyroid hormones, cytokines, deiodinase enzyme, and Graves’ antibodies</td>
<td>Rapid but transient control of thyrotoxicosis</td>
<td>Temporizing measure for rapid control of thyrotoxicosis prior to thyroidectomy</td>
<td>Transfusion reaction, citrate-related nausea and vomiting, vasovagal or hypertensive reactions, respiratory distress, and tetany or seizure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile acid sequestrants</td>
<td>Bind to thyroid hormones in enterohepatic circulation thus increasing their clearance</td>
<td>Additional control of thyrotoxicosis by a mechanism different than definitive therapies</td>
<td>Adjunct to ATD for severe hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>Bloating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestyramine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For rapid control of hyperthyroidism in preparation for thyroidectomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>Inhibit T4 to T3 conversion by inhibiting deiodinase</td>
<td>Rapid control of thyrotoxicosis</td>
<td>Adjunct in severe hyperthyroidism or thyroid storm</td>
<td>Dose and duration dependent adverse effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For rapid control of hyperthyroidism prior to thyroidectomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trends in established therapy choices
Many factors including clinical features as well as patient preferences need to be considered when choosing a therapy for GD, and preference for one option over the other exists in different parts of the world. According to surveys comparing clinical practice patterns in the management of GD in US and Europe, first line treatment was ATD in Europe as compared to RAI in US. Both areas were similar in terms of managing GO risk by adding steroid prophylaxis when RAI treatment was selected in the presence of mild Graves’ orbitopathy and/or associated risk factors for its occurrence/exacerbation; and PTU being the preferred ATD in pregnancy in the first trimester and MMI in the second and third trimesters [4,5]. However, analysis of administrative claims data throughout the United States from 2004 to 2013 showed that RAI as the first line therapy for GD has been declining and ATD use has been increasing [6].

Rarely employed therapeutic choices

Selenium
The use of selenium in GD has been proposed given the presence of reactive oxygen species in the thyroid and the known antioxidant effect that selenium provides. While some studies with a mixture of antioxidants found beneficial results on biochemical parameters [50], studies looking directly at the benefit of adding selenium to MMI had mixed results [51–54]. One such study [53] showed a positive result in terms of biochemical control of hyperthyroidism while two RCTs did not show any benefit for improving hyperthyroidism in the short term [52] and response or recurrence rates in GD [54]. This discrepancy might be related to the different level
of selenium sufficiency in the populations studied. Given the availability of other effective therapies for GD, we don’t support the use of selenium for GD outside of research protocols.

Lithium
Lithium blocks the release of thyroid hormone but has prominent side effects. It can be considered as a temporizing or adjuvant therapy for hyperthyroidism. Treatment with lithium prevents serum thyroid hormone increase after ATD withdrawal and RAI therapy in GD [55, 56], and it has also been used to control hyperthyroidism when ATD is not tolerated [57]. However, due to risk of arrhythmia, diabetes insipidus, skin abnormalities and multiple neurologic side effects, lithium treatment is rarely employed.

Potassium iodide
Potassium iodide acutely lowers thyroid hormone levels by reducing hormone secretion and inhibiting iodide organification; the current paradigm suggests that patients’ will escape this acute inhibition therefore its main use is short term, mainly as adjuvant before thyroidectomy as discussed in the thyroidectomy section. It has been tested as adjunct to ATD in an RCT whose results showed that MMI 15 mg and inorganic iodine 38 mg/day resulted in higher percentage of biochemical euthyroidism and lower frequency of adverse effects as compared to MMI 30 mg/day [58]. Low dose potassium iodide has been used to control mild hyperthyroidism after RAI. It has also been used as the sole therapy in patients with mild hyperthyroidism that are intolerant to ATDs and have a contraindication to RAI or surgery [59].

Plasmapheresis or therapeutic plasma exchange
Plasmapheresis works by eliminating large molecular substances, including protein-bound thyroid hormones, from the plasma through extracorporeal blood purification technique [60]. This is employed in cases of severe thyrotoxicosis when rapid correction of thyroid hormone excess is required, usually in preparation for thyroidectomy. While reportedly it can also clear various cytokines, deiodinase enzymes and TSHr antibodies [61]; there are no data to support its impact on GO and pretibial myxedema, and its cost and potential side-effects need to be carefully considered.

Bile acid sequestrants
Bile acid sequestrants like cholestyramine bind to thyroid hormones in the enterohepatic circulation, removing them from circulation. They can be used as adjunct to ATDs or for rapid control of hyperthyroidism in preparation for thyroidectomy [17]. Bloating and the need for multiple daily doses are their main limiting factors.

Corticosteroids
Corticosteroids inhibit T4 to T3 conversion by inhibiting deiodinase type 2 [62], hence are useful for rapid control of thyrotoxicosis. They are employed as an adjunct to therapy in thyroid storm or prior to urgent thyroidectomy for uncontrolled thyrotoxicosis. Significantly high doses are required for this effect thus the typical approach involves administration of 300 mg of hydrocortisone IV load followed by 100 mg IV every 8 h.

Graves’ disease management in pregnancy
Graves’ disease affects approximately 0.1 % of pregnancies and carries a substantial risk of adverse effects in mother and child, especially if it is inadequately treated [63]. Ideally, thyrotoxic women should be rendered stably euthyroid before attempting pregnancy [64]. Both MMI and PTU are associated with teratogenesis. MMI-associated birth defects occur at a rate of 3–4 % (e.g., choanal atresia, esophageal atresia, aplasia cutis) especially if the fetus is exposed during gestational weeks 6–10 [65]. The risk of birth defects with PTU is 2–3 % though these are less severe (e.g., renal cysts, preauricular and branchial sinus or fistula) [65, 66]. Therefore, ATDs should be ideally avoided in the first trimester of pregnancy. This is feasible if hyperthyroidism is very mild, however ATDs are the mainstay of treatment for clinically-significant GD during pregnancy. When necessary, PTU is generally favored due to lower risk of severe birth defects. In the 2nd and 3rd trimester, given the risk of severe hepatotoxicity with PTU the recommendations have been focused on reverting to the use of MMI but there is an ongoing debate about the potential for dysthyroidism during the conversion process. Regardless of the choice of agent, ATDs should be administered at the lowest effective dose targeting maternal serum free T4 or total T4 at the upper limit or moderately above the reference range [64]. Thyroid hormones should be monitored every 4 weeks to guide dose adjustment and hopefully therapy discontinuation, which tends to occur in the 2nd and 3rd trimester. TRAb should be measured at diagnosis and, if elevated, repeated at 18–22 weeks and again at 30–34 weeks of gestation [3] to guide the assessment and management of potential fetal thyrotoxicosis. TRAb should be monitored in the same manner even if the mother has been treated with RAI or surgery prior to pregnancy. If despite the above measures, severe hyperthyroidism persists and thyroidectomy is required, it is optimally performed during the second trimester [64]. Post-partum the risk for GD recurrence is increased, however these patients are more prone to undergo remission after a second course of MMI treatment, thus warranting a conservative therapeutic approach [67].

When GD is diagnosed prior to pregnancy and the patient is taking MMI, it should be switched to PTU or withdrawn as soon as pregnancy is confirmed. The risk of relapse after ATD withdrawal in early pregnancy is high in those treated for a short period (< 6 months), who have suppressed TSH, who require > 5–10 mg of MMI per day, who have GO or a large goiter, and those with high TRAb [64]. When this risk is considered high, then instead of ATD withdrawal, therapy should be switched to PTU during the first trimester. TRAb should be monitored in the same manner as mentioned above even if the mother has been treated with RAI or surgery prior to pregnancy.

Graves’ Disease: Potential Future Options

Immunotherapy
Over the last few years there have been a number of attempts at revamping the approach to GD by targeting the immune system.

Rituximab
Rituximab, a monoclonal antibody targeting the CD20 complex on B cells has been employed in a clinical trial with 20 patients treated
with MMI for 4 months and then assigned in a non-randomized manner to observation vs use of rituximab per the lymphoma protocol [68, 69]. Four out of 10 patients in the rituximab group remained in remission compared with none in the control group. Due to its low efficacy, high cost and risk of side-effects, the authors did not advocate its use in GD. No further reports have been published on this approach.

**CFZ533**

Another trial that has been registered on clinicaltrials.gov for GD studied the use of a new biological agent, CFZ533. This agent is an Fc-silenced fully human anti-human CD40 monoclonal antibody, incapable of B cell depletion but a potent inhibitor of CD40 pathway activation. By affecting CD40–CD154 interactions that occur between antigen-presenting cells and T cells, it is presumed to modulate humoral immunity, germinal center formation, affinity maturation and memory B cell development. The trial registered (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02713256) was a phase 2 trial whose primary outcome was the percent of patients that normalized TSH at 3 months of therapy. The results of the trial have not been announced yet. A measure of the promising nature of this immunotherapy is reflected in the fact that this agent is also being tested in renal transplant graft survival, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren’s syndrome and severe myasthenia gravis.

**ATX-GD-59**

The pervasive problem with these approaches is that the modulation of the immune system is non-specific and the risk of infections is always a concern. Therefore, a better approach would be to target the specific immune reaction that is pathologic. This can be achieved by targeting regulatory T cells (Tregs) that are activated by antigens just like the rest of T cells but whose main role is to suppress an immune response against the antigen they’ve learned to recognize. Such an approach has been pursued by the investigators testing ATX-GD-59 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02973802). This approach is expected to operate akin to a tolerogenic vaccine for GD by eliminating from the vaccine mixture the adjuvants, the typical stimuliants of the immune system that are included in classical vaccine formulations. In this case, the investigators used a mixture of three peptides aiming to generate Tregs that are activated by TSHr and thus suppress immune responses against this antigen. The trial is a phase 1 study to assess the safety and biological activity of this agent in patients with GD not currently treated with antithyroid therapy. The protocol allows for open label dose titration and describes intradermal injection on 10 occasions, each two weeks apart. The trial started on September 2016, it has just been completed (February 2018) and the results are expected to be released in the coming months.

**Treg therapy**

Another promising approach is that of cell based therapy looking at the role of Tregs in suppressing unwanted immune responses. The plan is to collect these cells from patients, then “train” them to recognize the autoantigen involved in the particular pathophysiology affecting that individual (i.e., TSHr in this case) and then re-administering the cells to the patient with the expectation that they will now be able to suppress the immune response against the autoantigen they have just been exposed to. The best approach to “train” the Tregs in the lab is the focus of current work. The use of a chimeric antigen receptor has been tested for this purpose in oncological research and is now under study for Treg manipulation [70].

**K1-70**

It would seem that blocking the TSHr from the stimulating activity of antibodies would be the most effective way of controlling the hyperthyroidism caused by GD. To that end, a TSHr antagonist called K1-70 has been developed [71]. This is a human monoclonal IgG autoantibody that when administered to rats caused a dose dependent decrease in thyroid hormone levels and was also able to cancel the stimulatory effect of the stimulating monoclonal autoantibody M22 IgG on the rats’ thyroid function [72]. It is presently undergoing clinical evaluation in a phase 1 multicenter trial in UK (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02904330), which is expected to be completed in the first half of 2020.

**Ultrasound based therapies**

**High intensity focused ultrasound**

High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) is a proposed therapeutic modality relying heavily on physics as opposed to immunobiology. This experimental approach involves the application of a high-energy focused ultrasound beam for thermal tissue ablation within a targeted zone. Its use has been reported in benign thyroid nodules [73] and a trial in relapsed GD was recently completed (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02685514). The investigators Lang et al. postulate that the heat energy generated from HIFU could be used to ablate the thyroid parenchyma, making this approach the non-radioactive equivalent of RAI therapy.

**Radiofrequency ablation**

Over the last decade, radiofrequency ablation (RFA) has been found to be a well-tolerated and effective method of inducing shrinkage in benign thyroid nodules by inducing tissue necrosis and fibrosis. The energy, converted into heat at tissue level, is delivered through a special ablation electrode under ultrasound guidance. The shrinkage has led to improvement in both compressive and esthetic concerns related to large thyroid nodules. In addition, this approach has been tested in toxic adenomas for control of thyroid levels. Repeated trials, mainly in South Korea and Italy, have found the rate of euthyroidism post-therapy around 50% with the majority of other cases having a decrease in ATD therapy [74–76]. Obviously, these therapies will have to undergo further evaluations before they can be employed as part of the established armamentarium for hyperthyroidism. With respect to ultrasound based therapies, the barriers will likely be availability of technology as well as the specialist expertise for performing these therapies in a safe and effective manner. Currently, only one US center has reported its experience with RFA technology for benign thyroid disease [77].

**Graves’ Ophthalmopathy: Current Therapy**

After confirmation of GO diagnosis, smoking cessation, restoration of euthyroidism and initiation of local protective measures should...
be instituted in all cases. In parallel, there should be an assessment of disease activity [through Clinical Activity Score (CAS)], severity and presence of sight-threatening manifestations. If dysthyroid optic neuropathy (DON) or corneal ulceration are present, then GO is considered sight-threatening. For DON, treatment starts with intravenous (IV) glucocorticoids (GCs) though some centers proceed straight to orbital decompensation. In cases of corneal ulceration, priority is given to local measures to improve globe coverage and healing. If DON is absent, further strategies are employed based on disease activity (CAS) and severity. For mild active disease, observation or selenium are the next steps, but occasionally GCs are employed if quality of life (QoL) is significantly impaired or if the disease progresses. For moderate-to-severe and active disease, IV GCs are the standard first treatment choice while orbital radiotherapy can be added to control diplopia, and orbital decompensation considered if disease progresses. For mild and inactive disease, local measures are enough. Once moderate-to-severe disease becomes inactive, rehabilitative surgery is usually required after there has been evidence of disease stability for at least 3 months [78, 79].

**Selenium**

The effect of selenium treatment in GO is achieved mainly due to its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant nature. A 6 month selenium supplementation can be used for all mild active cases of GO with a relatively short duration [78]. This is based on a multicenter placebo-controlled RCT where 6 months of sodium selenite (100 μg twice daily, corresponding to 93.6 μg of elemental selenium/day) showed improvement in QoL and overall ocular involvement at 6 months compared to the placebo group (overall ocular improvement 61 vs. 36%) and improvement was maintained at 12 months, after selenium withdrawal; in addition, the rate of progression of GO to more severe forms after intervention was significantly lower in the selenium group and the side-effect profile of this antioxidant mineral was extremely benign [91].

**Glucocorticoids**

Oral glucocorticoids (GCs) prevent GO development or progression following RAI treatment [86], hence are recommended to be administered as prophylaxis for mild active GO when treated with RAI when there are risk factors for GO deterioration but can be considered even when these risk factors are absent if there is no strong contraindication to their use. The European Group for Graves’ Orbitopathy (EUGOGO) guidelines recommend that oral prednisolone prophylaxis, starting with a daily dose of 0.3–0.5 mg prednisone/kg body weight, be given in RAI treated patients at high risk of progression or de novo development of GO, and lower-dose prednisone (0.2–0.3 mg/kg) can be used in low risk patients [78]. Oral GCs can also be used in combination with other therapies discussed below when there is partial or no response to IV GCs in moderate-to-severe and active GO.

Intravenous (IV) GCs are the first line of treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO as they are more effective than oral GCs in this group with a response rate of 80% for IV GCs compared to 50% for oral GCs [92]. This is preferably given IV as 12-weekly infusions that are more effective than daily infusions [93]. The currently used total dose is 4.5 g of methylprednisolone, with the higher dosage of 7.5 g being slightly more effective albeit with greater toxicity [94]. Bartalena et al. showed that those who deteriorate at 6 weeks after IV GCs are unlikely to benefit from continuing IV GCs as 63% and 53% remained in the same GO category at 12 and 24 weeks respectively [95]. In the same study, the patients who were unresponsive at 6 weeks eventually improved in 28% of cases for composite GO index, 58% for CAS and 52% for quality of life, suggesting that this group still has a significant possibility of improvement later [95]. Accordingly, IV GCs may be continued if the patient tolerates, and in some cases a second round of methylprednisolone has been utilized with notable benefits though one has to be aware that increasing total GC dose increases the risk of adverse effects [96]. The cumulative dose of methylprednisolone should not exceed 8 gram [94, 97], and it is essential to monitor these patients for potential adverse effects of GCs (liver dysfunction, metabolic changes, cardiac and cerebrovascular complications). Therapy should be withdrawn when the risk of adverse effects outweighs the benefits. In that case, an alternative strategy is to stop IV GCs and switch to a second-line treatment such as orbital decompression if required urgently; a combination of oral GCs and orbital radiotherapy; or enrollment in clinical trials for investigational therapies.

**Review**

Intravenous (IV) GCs are the first line of treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO. For DON, treatment starts with oral GCs [92]. This is preferably given IV as 12-weekly infusions that are more effective than daily infusions [93]. The currently used total dose is 4.5 g of methylprednisolone, with the higher dosage of 7.5 g being slightly more effective albeit with greater toxicity [94]. Bartalena et al. showed that those who deteriorate at 6 weeks after IV GCs are unlikely to benefit from continuing IV GCs as 63% and 53% remained in the same GO category at 12 and 24 weeks respectively [95]. In the same study, the patients who were unresponsive at 6 weeks eventually improved in 28% of cases for composite GO index, 58% for CAS and 52% for quality of life, suggesting that this group still has a significant possibility of improvement later [95]. Accordingly, IV GCs may be continued if the patient tolerates, and in some cases a second round of methylprednisolone has been utilized with notable benefits though one has to be aware that increasing total GC dose increases the risk of adverse effects [96]. The cumulative dose of methylprednisolone should not exceed 8 gram [94, 97], and it is essential to monitor these patients for potential adverse effects of GCs (liver dysfunction, metabolic changes, cardiac and cerebrovascular complications). Therapy should be withdrawn when the risk of adverse effects outweighs the benefits. In that case, an alternative strategy is to stop IV GCs and switch to a second-line treatment such as orbital decompression if required urgently; a combination of oral GCs and orbital radiotherapy; or enrollment in clinical trials for investigational therapies.
Orbital radiotherapy
While not all studies have found this therapy beneficial, a systematic review on the topic [98] has identified orbital radiation to be effective in improving diplopia and GO severity [99, 100], and also in potentiating the effect of oral GCs [101]. It has overall a good safety record though radiation retinopathy remains a risk in these patients, particularly for diabetics which should therefore not be considered for this approach [102]. Technical details are essential in this area, and for a more in depth review we recommend the publications by Hahn et al. [103] and Matthiesen et al. [104].

Cyclosporine
Cyclosporine is a mainstay drug in transplantation medicine. Its mechanism of action relies on blocking IL-2 secretion from T cells through inhibition of calcineurin. After enthusiastic results in case series, a couple studies have documented that this agent is not effective as monotherapy in GO; however in combination with oral GCs, it has been shown to be more effective than either treatment alone in moderate-to-severe and active GO in terms of better ocular outcome and lower recurrence of GO [105, 106]. The most common adverse effects related to cyclosporine are dose-dependent liver and renal toxicities, gingival hyperplasia, non-significant increase in serum creatinine levels [106], and transient rise in blood pressure [105]. Hence, cyclosporine in combination with oral GCs can be considered as the next step when there is partial or no response to IV GCs in moderate-to-severe and active GO.

Orbital surgery
There are a number of surgical interventions that are employed in GO individuals. It is important to remember that their main role is rehabilitative; hence they should be performed in the inactive phase of the disease. However, in rapidly progressive active disease of at least moderate severity where immunomodulatory therapy has failed, it is sometimes appropriate to consider orbital decompression. Orbital decompression is occasionally used as first-line therapy for DON but most commonly in the cases that have failed IV GCs. The effect of orbital decompression has been shown also on the molecular level, with high hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) expression in orbital fat samples of patients retrieved during orbital decompression [107]. When decompression surgery is done for rehabilitative purposes, or if it is decided that it is not needed in that particular case, extraocular muscle surgery is the next step, and eyelid correction surgery comes last during the rehabilitative phase of therapy. Restorative eye surgery should be performed in the inactive stage of GO, in order to prevent further deterioration post-surgery. The specifics of these surgeries are not the focus of this review but a number of good chapters and reviews are readily available [108–111].

Azathioprine
Azathioprine is an immuno-suppressive and anti-proliferative drug that has been used as a steroid sparing agent in other conditions. It has not demonstrated benefit as monotherapy in GO [112]. When used in combination with glucocorticoids, post-hoc analysis of an RCT demonstrated that those who completed treatment with azathioprine had improved clinical outcome at 48 weeks [113]. This suggests a potential role of azathioprine for prevent long term GO relapse of after steroids are discontinued.

Botulinum toxin injection
Due to its ability to interfere with muscle contractions, botulinum toxin A has been studied in GO with the aim of decreasing the upper eyelid retraction. A few small studies [114–116] have reported on that outcome which seems to be positive but of small clinical magnitude and short in duration.

Graves’ Ophthalmopathy: Potential Future Options
Over the past decade, a number of new treatment options have been explored for GO therapy. The vast majority of them have focused on immunomodulatory therapy, mainly alone but occasionally combined with orbital radiotherapy or thyroidectomy. Given the autoimmune nature of the disease, we will focus mainly on the immunomodulatory interventions which are more likely to influence disease pathogenesis as well as to further educate us on the evolving paradigm of GO development. It is noteworthy that GO is mild in majority of cases, and only 5% of these patients have moderate-to-severe disease [117] that would be a potential target for systemic immunotherapy. Attempts at reversing this degree of disease are described below, while the management of mild disease is mainly includes controlling risk factors, aggressive lubrication and selenium in active GO. One has to be aware of reporting bias in favor of positive studies, thus a number of therapies might appear extremely rewarding in the early phases of evaluation only to have the results dampened significantly as more controlled studies and multicenter trials take place.

Rituximab
Rituximab (RTX) is a humanized chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against the CD20 complex present on the surface of B cells. It induces a transient depletion of these cells, from pre-B lymphocytes to mature B cells, thus making it useful in B-cell lymphomas. The expectation in autoimmune diseases is that it might impact pathogenic antibody production along with minimizing B cells ability for antigen presentation and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines. It is currently approved for clinical use in rheumatoid arthritis and ANCA vasculitis. With respect to GO, it has been tested in a number of open label series [118] with encouraging results, and this has led to completion of 2 randomized clinical trials (RCT). One single-blind trial compared RTX with IV GCs in active and moderate-to-severe GO and concluded that it is a disease modifying drug as it inactivated GO in 100% and led to improvement in QOL in 38–62% of patients at 24 weeks [119]. In contrast, a double-blind RCT that compared RTX to placebo in the same type of GO population found that the drug did not perform better than its comparator; more so, the rate of adverse events with RTX was not negligible being reported in 26/28 treated patients [120]. Particularly notable was the development of DON as well as minor infections. This indicates that rituximab might have a propensity to lead to DON; hence patients at high risk for this outcome should not be offered
this approach. The suggested mechanism for this process might be a rapid increase in orbital edema due to massive lysis of intra-orbital B cells [121]. Based on available data, it is best to consider re-testing RTX in a multicenter RCT targeting therapy-refractory active and moderate-to-severe GO that is < 12 months in duration and at relatively low risk for DON, in order to define the role that this agent can play in GO management.

**Tocilizumab**

Tocilizumab (TCZ) is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against the IL-6 receptor which has been explored in a few open label series as well as a placebo-controlled RCT. The rationale for the use of this agent relates to the significant increase in IL-6 levels in the active phase of GO in response to infiltration of orbits with activated T-helper-1 cells. The subsequent release of cytokines by these cells leads to increased glycosaminoglycan production and adipogenesis, along with potentiation of the inflammatory cascade in the contained orbital environment. The largest case series is that of Perez-Moreiras JV et al. [122]. They treated 18 patients and reported impressive results with impact on both disease activity (mean CAS decrease was 5.9 points) and disease severity with a mean reduction in proptosis of 3.9 mm in 13/18 patients, and improvement in extraocular motility in 15/18 patients. The decrease in TSI by 76 % was also remarkable while all this was achieved without out development of any severe side-effects. All these patients had previously failed methylprednisolone therapy and some patients were treated more than a year after onset of GO, so it appears that this agent has the potential to rescue such patients if these results are confirmed. Despite these impressive results, the TCZ placebo-controlled RCT (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01297699) completed more than a year ago has not published its results, raising some doubts about the reproducibility of these results.

**Teprotumumab**

In recent years, there has been accumulating evidence that insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1r) plays a role in GO pathophysiology [123, 124]. While the presence of IGF1r autoantibodies remains debated [123, 125], it is apparent that stimulating or blocking IGF1r is able to modulate the response triggered by the stimulation of TSHr, and it appears that the two pathways can augment or inhibit each other in their intracellular signaling. Therefore, it is no surprise that a drug able to block the IGF1r was tried in GO therapy. Teprotumumab is such a compound and was developed for cancer therapy but did not prove sufficiently effective in that area. It is an IGF1r blocking antibody that blocks the action of both IGF-1 and TSH in fibrocytes [126]. It was tested in a multicenter fashion for the treatment of active and moderate-to-severe GO [123]. This double-masked trial randomized patients to active drug versus placebo and enrolled 88 patients that were evaluated based on a primary outcome of ≥ 2 points reduction in CAS and a reduction in proptosis of ≥ 2 mm at 24 weeks (8 infusions every 3 weeks). The results of the intervention were noted rapidly (mostly between 6 and 12 weeks) and impacted both disease activity, with a decrease in CAS of 3.4 points (± 0.2 SD), and disease severity with a reduction in proptosis of 2.5 mm (± 0.2 SD). These are the most impressive results noted with a pharmacological agent in GO in an RCT, almost similar to the results obtained from one wall orbital decompression [127]. Overall, there were 69 % of patients that reached the combined primary outcome in the teprotumumab group versus 20 % in the placebo group (p < 0.001). Additionally, benefit was noted regarding diplopia and quality of life. Hyperglycemia was the only consistently noted adverse event in the teprotumumab group. Diarrhea and mental confusion were the only 2 serious adverse events described as possibly related to teprotumumab. Given these overall impressive findings, a 2nd RCT is currently underway, with the primary outcome being reduction in proptosis. This trial is expected to be completed in June 2020.

**Intravenous immunoglobulin**

This had promising initial results but was abandoned due to difficult logistics and uncertainty [128]. A trial reported good results [129] yet over the last 2 decades no additional reports have surfaced.

**TNF-alpha inhibition**

There are 2 blockers of TNF-alpha action that have been used in GO, namely etanercept (brand name Enbrel) and adalimumab (brand name Humira). They are being considered due to the evidence that TNF alpha cytokine production is increased early in GO pathogenesis given the dominant Th1 response at that disease stage. Both agents have been reported to have benefit in the inflammatory manifestations of GO (6/10 patients responded to etanercept [130] and 5/10 responded to adalimumab [131]) yet no consistent benefits were noted in disease severity. This is interesting as TNF alpha mRNA expression is fairly similar between active and inactive GO cases. At this point, there does not seem to be any registered trial exploring any of the TNF alpha blockers in a randomized fashion.

**Mycophenolate mofetil**

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is a selective immunosuppressant used widely in many autoimmune diseases. Its mechanism of action relates to inhibition of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase thus depleting guanosine nucleotides from target cells, preferentially T and B cells. This leads to the desired immune suppression. This approach was tested in active and moderate-to-severe GO patients in an RCT where overall clinical improvement was reported in 93 % of those treated with MMF versus 71 % in the GC group in [132]. In addition the MMF group had significantly higher rate and magnitude of improvement in the rate of diplopia and degree of proptosis than patients treated with glucocorticoids at the 24th week (90.4 % and 68.8 % improved, respectively) [132], suggesting that compared with GC treatment, MMF may be more effective and safer for patients with active and moderate-to-severe GO. A second trial has then tested the possible additive effect between MMF and glucocorticoids. In this trial Kahaly et al. [133] compared MMF plus IV GC versus IV GC alone and found that at 12 weeks the two treatments were not different in their overall rate of response, neither was the relapse rate at 24 and 36 weeks. However, on post-hoc analysis classifying patients into 3 ordered categories, a benefit was detected for the combinations therapy at both 24 and 36 weeks. Side-effects were more numerous in the combination group but none led to discontinuation from the trial.
Overall, these data are somewhat puzzling with significantly different response rate between the MMF arms in the 2 trials, much higher in the MMF alone intervention trial. None of the trials was double-blind, the single intervention trial not having any blinding listed while the combination MMF + GC trial being only single blind, with the patients and treating endocrinologists aware of trial assignment while the evaluating ophthalmologists was masked to the randomization. Ideally, a multicenter trial evaluating the impact of MMF in a double blind manner in comparison with placebo would be carried out in the future to understand the potential for efficacy with this agent.

Thyroidectomy

Removal of the thyroid gland has been proposed for a number of years as a modality of improving GO by eliminating the major source of the antigenic stimulation and thus hopefully decreasing TRAb. The work by Laurberg at al. [8] has supported the concept that TRAb titers decline fairly soon after thyroidectomy but a surgical study [134] looking at the impact of various surgical approaches on GO outcome failed to find that total thyroidectomy is more advantageous than subtotal thyroidectomy in this respect, thus arguing that the amount of thyroid tissue (i.e., degree of antigenic stimulation) might be less consequential than expected. Along the same line, early work by Tallstedt et al. [83] and Marcocci et al. [135] identified ATD and thyroidectomy as relatively identical regarding the risk of new or worse GO, while a higher risk for this outcome was noted after RAI therapy (33%). More recently, a case-control study by Meyer et al. [136] suggested that thyroidectomy performed in cases with low likelihood of achieving remission on ATD therapy has the potential to lead to earlier inactivation of GO and a more significant decline in CAS than ATD therapy. Even better results were obtained in a series reported by De Bellis et al. [87] which identified improvements in both severity and activity with thyroidectomy compared to ATD therapy. Whether addition of RAI therapy post thyroidectomy might improve GO outcome has also been tested, and a long term follow-up [137] suggests that while it might shorten the time to best GO outcome, the use of additional therapies for GO and the end result were the same between the groups. Taken together, these results do not support thyroidectomy as a preferred therapy for GD cases complicated with GO. Rather, they suggest that in moderate-to-severe and active GO cases where the likelihood of complete GD remission on ATD therapy is low, it is reasonable to consider thyroidectomy as long as available surgical expertise decreases the risk of operative complications.

Therapy for dysthyroid optic neuropathy

DON is a sight-threatening manifestation of GO, that is managed with IV methylprednisolone followed by decompressive surgery in resistant cases. However, that may not lead to 100% response rate; hence a number of case reports have described experience with other immunomodulatory agents in such cases. A TNF α-blocker, infliximab, has been employed in one such case and noted positive results [138]. TCZ was used in 2 DON cases: one DON case was included in the initial cohort reported by Moreiras-Perez et al. [122] and another one was reported by Pascual-Camps et al. [139] very recently. In both cases, TCZ therapy was deemed successful. RTX has been employed in a few cases of DON, and it has been associated with transient [119] or sustained DON development in a few cases [120, 140, 141]. While in others, RTX has reported led to improvement in DON [118]. We believe that this agent can pose significant risk for this category of patients and for those with high propensity to progress to DON; hence it should be avoided in these patients. It is likely that advances in this area will follow the overall approach in GO since the small number of DON cases (5% of cases of moderate-to-severe GO) make an RCT dedicated specifically to DON impractical.

Conclusions

Current therapeutic preferences in GD are shifting towards ATD. There is very strong evidence that long term ATD therapy is a safe and effective option for select GD subgroups. In addition, new GD therapies are (slowly) emerging, focusing on immunomodulation. The advances in technology are also opening doors to non-pharmaceutical interventions that aim to deal with both structural abnormalities posed by nodules as well as with biochemical abnormalities of hyperthyroidism.

In the treatment of GO, a number of new approaches (immunotherapy) and some old pathways (thyroidectomy) are being tested and retested, and so far the most promising data seem to be reported in the tocilizumab and teprotumumab trials. It will also be likely that agents identified as beneficial in GD management will be tested in GO as well. A number of these trials have not been double-blind in their design, hence it is pertinent to consider that a subjective measurement may be part of the outcome in many of them. It is also noteworthy that the trials including placebo as one of the arms reported consistent improvement in that group as well. This demonstrates the importance of considering the natural history of the disease when designing clinical trials for GO.

In the coming years, our main clinical responsibility will be to find the proper balance between the benefits and potential risks of these incoming therapies, and to identify the subgroups of patients where this ratio is most likely to favor a safe and successful therapeutic outcome.
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