Diagnostic utility of linked color imaging in the evaluation of colonic mucosal inflammation in ulcerative colitis: a pilot study
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Background and aims
Recent studies recommend histological mucosal healing of intestinal tissue as a treatment goal in ulcerative colitis (UC). Linked-color imaging (LCI) is a new endoscopy system that enhances the color differences of the gastrointestinal mucosa. We investigated the efficacy of LCI in the evaluation of intestinal activity, including the histological activity in UC.

Method
A total of 21 UC patients who were evaluated by the LASEREO system (FUJIFILM Co., Tokyo, Japan) were enrolled from August to December in 2016. All of the target points were observed by conventional white-light imaging (WLI) and LCI and biopsied from the region of interest in each view. We quantified the color tones of 73 biopsied points on WLI and LCI using the L*a*b* color value (WLI-L, WLI-a, WLI-b, and LCI-L, LCI-a, LCI-b). We then investigated the relationships among the L*a*b* color values, endoscopic findings, and histological healing.

Results
The average LCI-a and LCI-b values of patients with high mucosal activity disease were significantly higher than in those with mucosal healing (P<0.01), and only LCI-a was significantly correlated with the score for histological healing. With regard to the other color values (LCI-L and all WLI values), there were no statistically significant differences in terms of average color value and correlation between patients with high mucosal activity and those with mucosal healing. In addition, the difference in the average color value between LCI and WLI was 7.1 and 3.1, respectively.

Conclusion
LCI is more useful than WLI for the visualization and evaluation of mucosal inflammation in UC.

Introduction
Mucosal healing (MH) represents an important therapeutic goal for patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), as MH is a predictor of clinical remission, reduced colectomy rates, and an improved quality of life [1–3]. In recent clinical trials, endoscopic MH was defined as a Mayo endoscopic score (MES) of 0 or 1 with conventional white-light imaging (WLI) [4–6]. However, it was reported that patients with a MES of 1 had a higher risk of relapse than those with a score of 0, and the concept of MH should be limited to patients with a MES of 0. MH is usually diagnosed and confirmed based on endoscopic observation using WLI [7].

It has recently been reported that histological intestinal inflammation is a valuable therapeutic target [8–12]. In the diagnosis of histological activity in UC, multiple mucosal biopsies are performed, despite the invasiveness of the procedure, because it has been reported that endoscopic and histological ac-
tivity sometimes differ in patients with inflammatory bowel disease [13]. Thus, an endoscopic method is needed which can predict histological findings precisely with minimal invasion.

Image-enhanced endoscopy (IEE) facilitates the detection and characterization of colorectal neoplasms [14]. Recently, linked-color imaging (LCI), a color enhancement function of the LASEREO system, was developed as a new IEE system. This system enhances red and white areas making red areas appear redder, and white areas appear brighter. Thus, it is a useful tool for recognizing color differences in the mucosa, and facilitates the detection and recognition of colorectal neoplasms [15–19].

However, there are few reports on the utility of LCI in the assessment of histological intestinal inflammation in UC patients. If the mucosal inflammation of patients with UC could be evaluated by endoscopic observation using LCI, without tissue biopsy, this would reduce the invasiveness of diagnostic examinations in patients with UC. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the relationship between endoscopic MH and histological activity in UC patients as assessed using LCI.

Materials and methods

Patients’ characteristics

In total, 21 UC patients (8 female and 13 male; median age at diagnosis, 39.1 years) who underwent colonoscopy between August and December 2016 at Kagoshima University Hospital were enrolled in the present study. The background information on all 21 UC patients who were enrolled in the present study is summarized in Table 1. All patients were diagnosed with UC using established endoscopic, radiological, histological, and clinical criteria. Patients with severe ulcerative colitis, infectious colitis, including Clostridium difficile infection, or who had colorectal cancer, were excluded from the present study.

After routine bowel preparation with Moviprep that contained polyethylene glycol 3350, sodium sulfate, sodium chloride, potassium chloride, sodium ascorbate, and ascorbic acid, colonoscopy was performed by two experienced endoscopists. A total of 73 biopsied regions were analyzed in the present study (Table 1).

Each study participant underwent colonoscopy for the evaluation of treatment response, which included the documentation of endoscopic activity and MH, or as a surveillance procedure. Mayo endoscopic scores (MESs) of 0 or 1 were defined as inactive disease and MESs of 2 or 3 as active disease [12]. The present study was approved by the Kagoshima University Hospital Institutional Review Board, and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the patients who participated in the study.

Study design

Conventional colonoscopy was performed with an EC-L600ZW endoscope with the LASEREO system that consists of VP-4450HD processor and LL-4450 light source (FUJIFILM Co., Tokyo, Japan), which is capable of producing light suitable for WLI and LCI. Each study participant underwent colonoscopy. The endoscopic images with WLI and LCI were captured from the same position in a row before the biopsy. Then the biopsy was performed targeting the reddest site in the observed area while capturing the images during the biopsy for reference of the biopsied point. In each case, the MES was evaluated under WLI observation by two experienced endoscopists.

The endoscopic images obtained by WLI and LCI before the biopsy were analyzed using Photoshop CC (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, California, USA). To evaluate the color value, the region of interest (ROI) was determined targeting the reddest site in the observed area while capturing the images during the biopsy for reference of the biopsied point. In each case, the MES was evaluated under WLI observation by two experienced endoscopists.

The endoscopic images obtained by WLI and LCI before the biopsy were analyzed using Photoshop CC (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, California, USA). To evaluate the color value, the region of interest (ROI) was determined targeting the reddest site in the observed area while capturing the images during the biopsy for reference of the biopsied point. In each case, the MES was evaluated under WLI observation by two experienced endoscopists.
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Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the study patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
<td>13/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>47.4 ± 15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years</td>
<td>10.5 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of UC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive colitis</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-sided</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proctitis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of UC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapsing–remitting type</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic continuous type</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One attack only</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Aminosalicylic acid</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salazosulfapyridine</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological therapy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC, ulcerative colitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each study participant underwent colonoscopy. The endoscopic images with WLI and LCI were captured from the same position in a row before the biopsy. Then the biopsy was performed targeting the reddest site in the observed area while capturing the images during the biopsy for reference of the biopsied point. In each case, the MES was evaluated under WLI observation by two experienced endoscopists.

The endoscopic images obtained by WLI and LCI before the biopsy were analyzed using Photoshop CC (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, California, USA). To evaluate the color value, the region of interest (ROI) was determined targeting the reddest site in the observed area while capturing the images during the biopsy for reference of the biopsied point. In each case, the MES was evaluated under WLI observation by two experienced endoscopists.
The color difference (ΔE) between the average color of intestinal histological activity and of inactivity was calculated according to the following procedure by CIELAB: first, the averages of the L*, a* and b* color values of each lesion with histological mucosal activity, defined as L1, a1, b1, and inactivity, defined as L2, a2, b2, respectively, on WLI and LCI were calculated, then the ΔE between the lesion with histological mucosal activity and inactivity was represented using the following formula:

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{((L1 - L2)^2 + (a1 - a2)^2 + (b1 - b2)^2)/2}$$

ΔE was expressed according to the evaluation criterion of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) units of color difference (Table 2). ΔE was converted to NBS units using the following formula: NBS units = ΔE × 0.92 [22].

**Histological assessment**

The histological examinations of all biopsy specimens were performed by an experienced pathologist who was blinded to the patient’s endoscopic activity. The histological assessment was based on the Geboes index [23]. The scale included six grades: 0, structural change only; 1, chronic inflammation; 2, neutrophils in the lamina propria; 3, neutrophils in the epithelium; 4, crypt destruction; and 5, erosion or ulcers. A grade of 3 indicated the presence of neutrophils in the epithelium, which was representative of acute inflammation and a predictor of relapse [24]. MH was defined by a Geboes score ≤ 2.

**Statistical analyses**

The results were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test, or Wilcoxon signed-rank test, or Jonckheere–Terpstra trend test, as appropriate. Correlation coefficients were calculated by a Spearman’s rank correlation analysis. The discriminatory power of each putative marker was described using the receiver operating characteristics area under the curve (ROC-AUC). Cutoff values were obtained from the ROC-AUC analysis. All statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS software program (version 15, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, United States). P values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

## Results

### Distribution of endoscopic and pathological activity

The distribution of endoscopic activity was as follows: MES 0 in 33 ROIs, MES 1 in 18 ROIs and MES 2 in 22 ROIs at the lesion. No cases of MES 3 were included, as patients with severe UC were excluded from this study. The distribution of histological activity was as follows: Geboes 1 in 7 ROIs, Geboes 2 in 35 ROIs, Geboes 3 in 16 ROIs, Geboes 4 in 9 ROIs, and Geboes 5 in 6 ROIs. The relationships between the L*, a* and b* color values on WLI or LCI and the Geboes score were investigated. The WLI-L, WLI-a, WLI-b and LCI-L, LCI-a, LCI-b values were indicated as L*, a* and b* color values on WLI and LCI, respectively. The Jonckheere–Terpstra trend test showed that the color values of LCI-a, LCI-b, and WLI-b tended to be significantly higher when the Geboes score was also high (P = 0.003, 0.03, and 0.01, respectively) (Fig. 2). No significant differences were observed between the Geboes score and the WLI-L, WLI-a, or LCI-L color values. Furthermore, only the LCI-a color value was significantly correlated with the Geboes score using Spearman’s rank correlation analysis (r = 0.36, P < 0.01). No significant differences were observed between the Geboes score and the WLI-L, WLI-a, or LCI-L color values using Spearman’s rank correlation analysis.

### Comparison of the L*, a* and b* color values of WLI or LCI in patients with UC

The average WLI-L, WLI-a, WLI-b, LCI-L, LCI-a, and LCI-b color values in UC patients with mucosal activity were 52.4, 40.6, 40.9, 57.5, 29.1, and 23.4, respectively. The average WLI-L, WLI-a, WLI-b, LCI-L, LCI-a, and LCI-b color values in UC patients with MH were 52.5, 38.7, 38.2, 56.9, 23.0, and 18.8, respectively. The differences in the L*, a* and b* color values on WLI or LCI according to mucosal inflammation were analyzed. The average LCI-a and LCI-b values of patients with high mucosal activity were statistically significantly higher than those of patients with MH, while there was no significant difference in the other color values between patients with high mucosal activity and those with MH (Fig. 3).

The color difference (ΔE) between the average color of intestinal histological activity and of inactivity was 3.1 on WLI and 7.1 on LCI, which was a statistically significant difference (P <

---

**Table 2** Evaluation criteria of color difference, based on the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NBS unit</th>
<th>Evaluation criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 0.5</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 – 1.5</td>
<td>Slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 – 3.0</td>
<td>Noticeable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 – 6.0</td>
<td>Appreciable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 – 12.0</td>
<td>Much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0 –</td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The assessment of the color difference-based NBS unit indicated that it was “much” with LCI, whereas it was “appreciable” with WLI (▶Table 2).

**Diagnostic utility of the L*, a* and b* color values on WLI or LCI and the Geboes score of intestinal histological activity**

The WLI-a, WLI-b, LCI-a, and LCI-b color values were investigated to evaluate their utility in distinguishing between histological mucosal activity and inactivity. The ROC-AUCs for WLI-a and WLI-b did not differ to a statistically significant extent ($P = 0.27$ and $P = 0.06$, respectively). In contrast, the ROC-AUCs for LCI-a and LCI-b were 0.67 and 0.66, respectively ($P = 0.01$ and $P = 0.02$) (▶Fig. 4). The ROC-AUC analysis revealed that an LCI-a of 23.2 and an LCI-b of 19.9 were the optimum cutoff values for discriminating between the histologically active mucosa and histological MH (sensitivity, 74.2%; specificity, 57.1%; positive predictive value, 56.1%; negative predictive value, 75%; accuracy, 64.4%; LCI-a and LCI-b had exactly the same values). In addition, the ROC-AUC analysis revealed that an WLI-a of 38.8 and an WLI-b of 38.5 were the optimum cutoff values for discriminating between the histologically active mucosa and histological MH. The sensitivity of WLI-a and WLI-b were 64.5% and 71.0%; specificity, 57.1% and 54.8%; positive predictive value, 52.6% and 53.7%; negative predictive value, 68.6% and 71.9%; accuracy, 60.2% and 61.7%, respectively (▶Table 3).

**Discussion**

This is the first study to investigate the correlation between the color values detected by the L*, a* and b* color values on WLI and LCI and histological assessment based on the Geboes index in patients with UC. The findings of endoscopic observations by LCI-a and LCI-b showed a significant difference between mucosal inflammation and non-inflammation, and LCI-a was significantly correlated with the histological mucosal inflammation score. The color difference between inflammation and non-inflammation was more than twice the average difference for LCI compared with WLI. Therefore, LCI would be more useful than WLI for inflammatory diagnosis of UC.

The endoscopic color values observed by LCI were correlated with microscopic/histological mucosal activity. We evaluated the color difference between pathological inflammation and remission. Since microscopic/histological mucosal activity is reported to be a predictor of relapse in UC patients [8 – 12], the endoscopic color difference on LCI may be used to diagnose mucosal activity in addition to a biopsy.
Recent clinical trials of endoscopic criteria have used the Mayo endoscopic score (MES), which is easy to apply in clinical trials and clinical practice. The Mayo endoscopic scores are defined as follows: MES 0, normal mucosa or inactive disease; MES 1, mild activity (erythema, decreased vascular pattern, mild friability); MES 2, moderate activity (marked erythema, lack of vascular pattern, friability, erosions); MES 3, severe activity (spontaneous bleeding, large ulcerations). Conventional endoscopy with WLI is normally performed to evaluate intestinal activity in UC. However, the findings of conventional endoscopy using WLI do not always correlate with the histological findings [25, 26]. Indeed, in this study, 28% of patients with MES 1 showed histological activity (data not shown) (Fig. 3). Thus, the MES, as determined by WLI, was insufficient for the diagnosis of histological activity, especially with regard to the diagnosis of mild inflammatory activity [12]. LCI observation can emphasize redness (i.e., reddish areas indicate erythema), the color difference presumably increasing between active and nonactive lesions, and it is easy to recognize lesions showing erythema. We therefore focused on the relationship between the colors observed by endoscopy using LCI and tissue inflammation.

Uchiyama et al. recently reported that LCI could be used both to evaluate colonic mucosal inflammation and predict the outcome in UC patients. They classified endoscopic findings on LCI based on redness with or without visible vessels and reported that the endoscopic LCI classification was correlated with the LCI index [27]. We also investigated the color values of the images using CIELAB, which is designed to approximate human perception [20]. It was reported that the color of mucosa on WLI and LCI consists of two dimensions between a positive a* value, indicated in red, and a positive b* value, indicated in yellow [21]. The observation of LCI-a and LCI-b emphasized the redness and yellowness of the lesion and highlighted the areas of inflammation in this study. The color difference, including LCI-a and LCI-b, under LCI observation was significantly higher than that under WLI. We therefore demonstrated that LCI observation was useful for detecting and visualizing mucosal inflammation.

Previous studies have reported the utility of endoscopic observation using LCI in screening for gastrointestinal tumors, as this approach increases the discriminatory power of the red color tone [22, 28–30]. In the present study, LCI was also able to...
showed mild correlation with the pathological diagnosis, the LCI-a diagnosis of mucosal inflammation at the ROI only is therefore needed. In addition, since the accuracy of the point; another tool able to analyze the color value of a large more, evaluation of the ROI was only performed for a single to predict mucosal inflammation during colonoscopy. Further-
the system could immediately show the color values of the ROI colonoscopy for clinical application. It would be more useful if
the system could immediately show the color values of the ROI

to improve the visibility of inflammation in the red region of the colonic mucosa in patients with UC and provided brighter images, allowing for both the lesion and the background to be visualized more clearly than with other IEE systems such as narrow-band imaging or blue laser imaging, in which the background appears dark. For these reasons, LCI is suitable for use in screening for mucosal inflammation.

Many histological scores for assessing disease activity in UC have been described since the 1950s, although none have been fully validated. The Geboes score is commonly used as a histological index of disease activity in UC. This index assesses the following features: architectural change, lamina propria neutrophils and eosinophils, neutrophils in epithelium, crypt destruction, and erosion or ulceration. Given that a previous study reported this score to be the best validated of all available scores [31], we evaluated the mucosal inflammation of biop-
sied tissue using the Geboes score.

We showed that the endoscopic color value on LCI was cor-
related with microscopic/histological mucosal activity; as such, LCI would be useful not only for the detection of inflammation but also for the characterization of mucosal inflammation. However, the color value of the ROI was only calculated after colonoscopy for clinical application. It would be more useful if the system could immediately show the color values of the ROI to predict mucosal inflammation during colonoscopy. Furthermore, evaluation of the ROI was only performed for a single point; another tool able to analyze the color value of a large area is therefore needed. In addition, since the accuracy of the LCI-a diagnosis of mucosal inflammation at the ROI only showed mild correlation with the pathological diagnosis, the LCI diagnosis cannot completely replace pathological diagnosis at present. Therefore, a new classification system using LCI is needed as an alternative to existing classification systems using conventional WLI.

Only the color value examination findings by WLI or LCI showed a low diagnosis rate. We have found that, even in patients without UC, the color value of the colonic mucosa-based endoscopic findings showed individual variations regardless of whether mucosal inflammation was present or not. As a result, individual differences in mucosal color was one of the factors giving increased color values. Therefore, there are limitations when attempting to diagnose mucosal inflammation based on the absolute color values alone. However, since a correlation was observed between the color value of LCI-a and the degree of pathological inflammation, the color value is therefore considered to be an indicator for comprehensively distinguishing mucosal inflammation. The color value by LCI was significantly correlated with mucosal inflammation compared to WLI. It would also be useful if such a system based on the combination of color values by LCI and other parameters, such as any visible vessels, or ulceration lesions similar to the Mayo classification, could diagnose mucosal activity with high accuracy. It therefore appears to be promising to compare the findings of LCI ob-
servations with those of conventional WLI observations.

The present study has several limitations. Although this was a prospective study, it was performed at a single center as a pi-
lot study, and the population was relatively small. It will be dif-
cult to perform evaluations based on the L*, a* and b* color values in cases with ulcerative lesions that may be coated with purulent mucus or have inflammatory polyps. In addition, the L*, a* and b* color values changed slightly depending on the observation distance. The color value of the ROI could not be obtained quickly during endoscopic examination; thus, a sys-
tem must be established in the future to obtain the color value of the ROI. Finally, because the ROI was a tiny spot, the color value was affected by the color of small structures, such as ca-
pillaries at the mucosal surface and partially hidden vessels in the submucosal layer; we should therefore investigate the method to decide the suitable ROI which is able to estimate mucosal inflammation most efficiently.

In conclusion, we investigated the relationship between his-
tological activity and color value using LCI and WLI. The LCI-a and LCI-b color values were useful parameters for diagnosing histological mucosal activity, and LCI observation was useful for the visualization and evaluation of mucosal inflammation in UC.
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