Inverted “upside-down” esophageal fully-covered self-expanding metal stent is effective for temporary treatment of colorectal strictures: a pilot case series
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ABSTRACT
Background and study aims Placement of a fully-covered self-expanding metal stent (FC-SEMS) is a valid alternative for benign and malignant colorectal strictures treatment. Despite the reportedly high technical success rate, stenting is still challenging, due to high rates of adverse events. Migration still represents the main issue, although several anti-migration systems have been proposed.

Patients and methods Before insertion, esophageal Beta Niti-S FC-SEMSs were inverted and reloaded on the deployment device to invert the proximal and distal parts of the stent, with the largest end placed above the stricture to contrast peristaltic activity. The inverted “upside-down” Beta Niti-S FC-SEMS was placed in five consecutive patients: four pts with benign anastomotic stricture unresponsive to repeated balloon dilation and persisting for at least 10 weeks, and one with malignant rectal neoplasia. In five patients, six inverted FC-SEMSs were placed.

Results The modified FC-SEMS did not migrate in 5/6 cases (83%). Stent migration occurred in the neoplastic case, induced by neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The “upside-down” esophageal Beta Niti-S FC-SEMS stayed in place for an average of 6 weeks, achieving resolution of stricture in all patients but one, who required an additional stent placement, due to incomplete stricture resolution after 6 weeks.

Conclusion The inverted esophageal Beta Niti-S FC-SEMSs proved to be effective in treating colorectal stricture in all cases, with no spontaneous migration or significant side effects, and achieved resolution of strictures where a traditional approach, recommended by guidelines, failed.

Introduction
Stent placement has become a safe and effective treatment for benign and malignant colorectal strictures. Self-expanding metal stents (SEMSs) have been widely used for both palliation or as a bridge-to-surgery approach for neoplastic strictures, and as an alternative or addition to balloon dilation for non-neoplastic strictures.

For benign strictures, fully-covered stents (FC-SEMSs) should be used, because they offer several potential advantages over uncovered stents in terms of reduced tissue ingrowth and overgrowth and easy removal [1 – 3], but results are still debated [4, 5]. Despite technical success rates reaching 100% in some studies, adverse events (AEs) are reported in up to 41% of cases [6]. The most frequent AE with FC-SEMSs is spontaneous stent migration. Several attempts have been made to make the stent more stable through the stricture, either fitting it with anti-mi-
with water-soluble contrast medium were carried out in all patients before the procedure to assess the exact shape, length, and distance from the anus of the stricture, and presence of any leaks or fistulae within it.

All procedures were performed in an elective setting, by expert interventional endoscopists, with patients under deep propofol sedation with anaesthesiology assistance. Patients were fasted and prepared with cleansing enemas. The procedures were carried out under fluoroscopic and endoscopic guidance with the patients in a supine position. A flexible gastroScope (Pentax, Tokyo, Japan) was used in all cases to reach the stricture site. Before stent insertion, a water-soluble contrast agent was injected through the endoscope working channel to confirm the morphology, length and degree of the stricture, and to document any leaks or fistulae. Once the narrow tract was reached, a hydrophilic guidewire (Jagwire, Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, United States) was passed through the stricture into the colonic lumen, withdrawing the endoscope. Balloon dilation was not done either before or after stent deployment to reduce risk of stent migration and intraprocedural perforation [11, 12]. The “inverted” stent was then introduced over the wire, with the scope reinserted alongside it, choosing the size of the stent according to the length of the stricture and its distance from the anal verge. The length of the stent was calculated to ensure correct expansion above and below the stricture. The endoscope reinserted alongside the stent during the deployment phase enabled the operator to accurately check its placement and the exact distance from the anal verge in case of strictures close to the verge.

After stent deployment, contrast fluoroscopy was repeated to confirm correct stent deployment and expansion, closure of any leak(s), and rule out complications such as perforation. An abdominal plain X-ray was taken 24 hours after stent placement to check correct deployment and expansion of the stent. A full liquid diet was resumed the same day as the procedure and a low-fiber diet as soon as normalization of bowel transit had been verified. Analgesic drugs (paracetamol 1000mg up to three times a day) were given on patient demand. All patients were discharged the day after the procedure. Early discharge in case of leakage was possible when drainages had been inserted in the days before stent insertion.

Technical success was defined as correct stent deployment and expansion across the stricture, with closure of any leak at the end of the procedure, and persistence of the stent in place for at least 3 weeks. Clinical success was defined as stricture relief with a good diameter, watertight closure of the leak, and persistent relief of symptoms at follow-up.

Early and late AEs, considered as undesirable episodes within 48 hours after the procedure and within 30 days, respectively, were recorded. Perforation and stent migration were considered major complications, while self-limiting bleeding, abdominal pain, and tenesmus were considered minor complications. Clinical outcome was assessed at a minimum of 3 weeks, follow-up.

The institutional ethics committee gave approval for stent modification; all patients gave written informed consent to the colorectal stent procedure and authorized use of data for scientific purposes.

Patients and methods

Consecutive patients with either benign refractory or malignant colorectal strictures were prospectively enrolled and treated with the modified “inverted” FC-SEMS in this 6-month pilot study. Strictures less than 5 cm from the anal verge were not considered eligible for stenting and were excluded. Benign strictures were considered refractory when they still persisted after five unsuccessful balloon dilation procedures up to 16 to 18 mm, carried out at 2-week intervals [8] and/or not treatable by endoscopic cutting of the margins [9, 10].

A computerized tomography (CT) scan and barium enema with water-soluble contrast medium were carried out in all patients before the procedure to assess the exact shape, length, and distance from the anus of the stricture, and presence of any leaks or fistulae within it.

All procedures were performed in an elective setting, by expert interventional endoscopists, with patients under deep propofol sedation with anaesthesiology assistance. Patients were fasted and prepared with cleansing enemas. The procedures were carried out under fluoroscopic and endoscopic guidance with the patients in a supine position. A flexible gastroScope (Pentax, Tokyo, Japan) was used in all cases to reach the stricture site. Before stent insertion, a water-soluble contrast agent was injected through the endoscope working channel to confirm the morphology, length and degree of the stricture, and to document any leaks or fistulae. Once the narrow tract was reached, a hydrophilic guidewire (Jagwire, Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, United States) was passed through the stricture into the colonic lumen, withdrawing the endoscope. Balloon dilation was not done either before or after stent deployment to reduce risk of stent migration and intraprocedural perforation [11, 12]. The “inverted” stent was then introduced over the wire, with the scope reinserted alongside it, choosing the size of the stent according to the length of the stricture and its distance from the anal verge. The length of the stent was calculated to ensure correct expansion above and below the stricture. The endoscope reinserted alongside the stent during the deployment phase enabled the operator to accurately check its placement and the exact distance from the anal verge in case of strictures close to the verge.

After stent deployment, contrast fluoroscopy was repeated to confirm correct stent deployment and expansion, closure of any leak(s), and rule out complications such as perforation. An abdominal plain X-ray was taken 24 hours after stent placement to check correct deployment and expansion of the stent. A full liquid diet was resumed the same day as the procedure and a low-fiber diet as soon as normalization of bowel transit had been verified. Analgesic drugs (paracetamol 1000mg up to three times a day) were given on patient demand. All patients were discharged the day after the procedure. Early discharge in case of leakage was possible when drainages had been inserted in the days before stent insertion.

Technical success was defined as correct stent deployment and expansion across the stricture, with closure of any leak at the end of the procedure, and persistence of the stent in place for at least 3 weeks. Clinical success was defined as stricture relief with a good diameter, watertight closure of the leak, and persistent relief of symptoms at follow-up.

Early and late AEs, considered as undesirable episodes within 48 hours after the procedure and within 30 days, respectively, were recorded. Perforation and stent migration were considered major complications, while self-limiting bleeding, abdominal pain, and tenesmus were considered minor complications. Clinical outcome was assessed at a minimum of 3 weeks, follow-up.

The institutional ethics committee gave approval for stent modification; all patients gave written informed consent to the colorectal stent procedure and authorized use of data for scientific purposes.
Results

Five patients were enrolled in this pilot study: four had a postsurgical anastomotic refractory stricture and one a malignant stricture. In all the patients, six inverted “upside-down” FC-SEMSs were placed. All patients were males, ranging in age from 35 to 80. Two of the four patients with benign postsurgical anastomotic stricture underwent left hemicolectomy for neoplasia, the third patient underwent sigmoidectomy for acute, complicated diverticulitis, and the fourth patient, an anterior resection of the rectum. Strictures were high-grade (residual lumen 7 mm or less) in all cases, sited from 5 to 15 cm from the anal verge. In two cases, we observed a 1-cm-long stenosis, in the other two cases, a 2-cm-long and in one case, the neoplastic one, a 4-cm-long stricture. One patient also presented with a concomitant fistula.

Stricture had persisted in three patients for at least 10 weeks since the initial diagnosis and they had undergone five repeated unsuccessful balloon dilations at 2-week intervals. In one patient, balloon dilation was followed by FC-SEMS placement, which was unsuccessful because of stent spontaneous migration within 1 week.

A patient presented a concomitant fistula secondary to an abdominal abscess percutaneously drained. In that case, no pneumatic dilation was performed, whereas two FC-SEMSs were placed unsuccessful, with early spontaneous migration.

One patient had a rectal-sigmoid low-grade, 4-cm-long stricture due to locally advanced neoplasia, with the distal margin 15 cm from the anal verge. FC-SEMS placement was planned to permit neoadjuvant chemo-radiotherapy.

The inverted “upside-down” FC-SEMS was successfully deployed in all five patients with no early major and minor complications. One patient with a stricture close to the anal verge (5 cm) initially reported pain, which was responsive to analgesic therapy, and persistent tenesmus. In the patient with concomitant anastomotic stricture and fistula, percutaneous drainage diminished markedly 24 hours after stent placement.

At 3-week follow-up, stents were still in place and patent in all cases and the patients were free from symptoms.

In the patient with persisting tenesmus, the stent was definitively removed (after 3 weeks) and resolution of the stricture was observed (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4).

In the neoplastic patient, preoperative chemo-radiotherapy induced partial resolution of the stricture allowing spontaneous stent migration 2 weeks later. In two cases of post-anastomotic stricture, endoscopy at weeks 6 and 7 showed stenosis resolution after stent removal, with no need to place another stent. In the remaining case, the 6-week check showed incomplete resolution of the stricture after stent removal, so a further 3-week stenting was planned and after this additional period, the stricture had resolved. In the case with concomitant stricture and fistula, the fistula was closed. In the four cases with post-anastomotic strictures, endoscopy showed a hyperplastic tissue reaction with polypoid appearance at the cranial margin of the stent.

All patients underwent additional endoscopic check-ups 2 to 4 weeks after stent deployment, showing persistence of paten-
cy and reduction of hyperplastic tissue reactions, and were asymptomatic.

Overall, technical and clinical success was observed in all five patients; the FC-SEMS was in place for an average of 6 weeks (range 3 – 9). The inverted “upside-down” FC-SEMS achieved resolution of strictures and can represent an effective safe alternative to traditional approach, with balloon dilations, reducing hospital admissions and discomfort for the patient with troublesome colorectal strictures.

Data are summarized in Table 1.

Discussion

Management of benign refractory post-surgical anastomotic strictures and malignant colorectal strictures related to undergoing neoadjuvant therapy is still cumbersome. Anastomotic colorectal strictures are frequent after colorectal surgery, very likely caused by local ischemia, infection, or minimal anastomotic leakage [13]. Diverting stoma may further predispose to stricture formation because of the lack of endoluminal dilation by stool.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient age (yr)</th>
<th>Stricture etiology</th>
<th>Stricture length</th>
<th>Stricture grade</th>
<th>Previous failed treatments</th>
<th>Beta Niti-S FC-SEMS used (mm)</th>
<th>Technical success</th>
<th>Indwell time (weeks)</th>
<th>Clinical follow-up</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Postsurgical</td>
<td>1 cm</td>
<td>≤ 7 mm</td>
<td>Balloon Dilations (5 dilations)</td>
<td>22 × 100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stricture resolution</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Postsurgical</td>
<td>1 cm</td>
<td>≤ 7 mm</td>
<td>Balloon Dilations (5 dilations) and FC-SEMS placement</td>
<td>24 × 100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Stricture resolution</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Postsurgical</td>
<td>2 cm</td>
<td>≤ 7 mm</td>
<td>Balloon Dilations (5 dilations)</td>
<td>26 × 100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stricture resolution</td>
<td>Persistent tenesmus, resulting in early removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Postsurgical + fistula</td>
<td>2 cm</td>
<td>≤ 7 mm</td>
<td>2 FC-SEMS placements</td>
<td>24 × 100 26 × 100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Stricture and fistula resolution (A second stent was placed, due to incomplete stricture resolution after 6 weeks)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>≤ 7 mm</td>
<td>FC-SEMS placement</td>
<td>24 × 100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bridge-to-neoadjuvant therapy</td>
<td>Stent migration induced by response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

▶ Fig. 4 Endoscopic image after removal of an inverted “upside-down” SEMS.

▶ Table 1 Patient characteristics and study data
Benign strictures are mainly treated initially with balloon dilation [8, 14, 15]. This treatment, troublesome for the patient and with short-term relief, generally requires multiple sessions (up to five) and repeated hospital admissions over several weeks, with increasing costs. Moreover, dilation cannot be done in cases of concomitant leaks or fistulæ. Making multiple shallow incisions in the stricture to break down the membranous circular scar is a valid alternative to balloon dilation [9, 10], but it is a high-risk maneuver in case of strictures longer than 1 to 2 cm.

In case of endoscopic failure or stricture recurrence, the remaining treatment options are placement of SEMS or revisional surgery. Revisional surgery may be very difficult on account of adhesions, local inflammation, and stricture location (in most cases, in the lower rectum); for these reasons, today it is not considered the first alternative. Therefore, SEMS placement, applying a constant radial force to the stricture for a longer time, appears to be the only realistic alternative for managing refractory strictures, even if complication and re-obstruction rates are not negligible (up to 12% and 14%, respectively) [16, 17].

Uncovered SEMSs have been proposed as a palliative option in patients with malignant disease or who are unfit for surgery. These stents are also associated with a high rate of complications, mainly perforation, which has been reported in about 12% of cases [3].

Partially covered SEMSs (PC-SEMSs) need to be removed within a short period, 2 or 3 weeks, not enough to resolve the stricture, which results in need for further additional stenting. Reports show a high incidence of complications when removing these stents, such as severe bleeding and perforation [18], or the need to deploy a FC-SEMS for some days to remove both stents (the so-called “stent-in-stent” technique) [19].

FC-SEMSs and biodegradable polymer stents are the best options for temporary stenting. Stents made of biodegradable materials seem to overcome the shortcomings of the FC-SEMS, especially spontaneous migration; they do not require any removal procedure, and have been proved effective in the treatment of colorectal strictures [20], although a retrospective analysis documented a high risk of migration and a clinical success in fewer than 50% of patients [21]. Furthermore, these stents are expensive, may induce a marked mucosal hyperplastic reaction all along their length, and cannot be used if there are leaks or fistulæ. FC-SEMSs are less expensive than biodegradable ones, they can be left in place for a longer period, and they are easily removed. Hyperplastic tissue reactions occur only at the proximal margin of the stent. However, FC-SEMSs have a very high rate of spontaneous migration in the colon-rectum (up to 40% of cases) [6], due to peristaltic activity and stent shape, especially in patients with benign or non-infiltrating strictures, even when a bumper-shaped SEMS is used. To avoid risk of spontaneous migration, we inverted the proximal and distal parts of an esophageal Beta Niti-S FC-SEMS upside down and reloaded it on the deployment device, so as to have the largest end above the stricture when introducing the stent through the rectum, and assessed the efficacy of this custom-made device in treatment of four benign refractory anastomotic colorectal strictures and one neoplastic stricture scheduled for neoadjuvant therapy. The rationale for use of the inverted FC-SEMS in a neoplastic low-grade stricture (residual lumen up to 12 mm) was to allow the patient to undergo chemotherapy without risk of occlusion and permitting spontaneous migration of the stent in case of response to therapy, with reduction of the stricture.

The inverted “upside-down” esophageal Beta Niti-S FC-SEMS proved effective in all these cases. It remained in place for up to 7 weeks after insertion in anastomotic strictures, with resolution of the strictures and closure of the fistula, while in the cancer patient it migrated spontaneously after resolution of the stricture by chemotherapy, 5 weeks after insertion. Stent removal was easy and without complications in all cases.

This preliminary experience showed that, in patients with refractory anastomotic strictures, a FC-SEMS with the characteristics obtained by inverting the esophageal Beta Niti-S FC-SEMS appeared to be a better and less expensive option than the currently available FC-SEMSs for colorectal stenting. We are now awaiting a new FC-SEMS specifically designed for colorectal strictures, with a cranial margin large enough to contrast peristaltic activity and prevent spontaneous migration. Although our data refer to a pilot study, the results permit us to propose use of this stent after three unsuccessful balloon dilation attempts, to shorten the time between occurrence of the stricture and its resolution.
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