Immediate vs. delayed toe-to-thumb transfer: Is the infection rate greater?
Sofortige versus verzögert Zehentransplantation: erhöht sich die Infektionsrate?
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Schlussfolgerung Die sofortige Zehentransplantation zeigte die gleichen Erfolgsraten wie die verzögerte. Zwischen den beiden Gruppen wurde kein statistisch signifikanter Unterschied im Infektionsrisiko festgestellt. Die Ergebnisse zeigten, dass die sofortige Rekonstruktion genauso sicher und zuverlässig war wie die verzögerte.

ABSTRACT
Background After loss of a thumb, the big toe is a possible donor site for reconstruction with wrap-around free flap and trimmed-toe transfer techniques. Early reconstructions seem to reduce the risk of post-operative infections, despite several studies that show different infection rates of the recipient site in immediate toe-to-hand transfer. The authors carried out a retrospective analysis of their experience in thumb reconstruction with big toe transfer and evaluated the results achieved...
Introduction

The thumb is unique for its movements of opposition and circumduction and provides almost 40% of hand function. Traumatic loss of the thumb is considered one of the most devastating impacts on hand function and every possible effort has to be made to reconstruct the lost thumb following trauma [1]. The great and the second toes are considered as possible donor sites for thumb reconstruction; however, the great toe is favored for its major grip strength, even if it presents some drawbacks due to its anatomic characteristics and increased donor-site morbidity. Wrap-around [2, 3] and trimmed-toe-transfers [4, 5] are used to overcome these problems. Whatever technique is chosen, the best timing to perform is still controversial. On the one hand, an early reconstruction allows a reduction in hospitalization and recovery time. On the other hand, a better definition of the injury zone is achieved with a delayed reconstruction that, according to some authors, seems to optimize the success of subsequent toe-to-hand transfer [6]. Furthermore, the terminology regarding the definition of reconstructive timing is very confusing in literature: “emergency,” “early,” and “delay” are terms used to identify the timing of the free flap, but they are all based on arbitrary definitions because different authors use various criteria. According to Lister et al. [7], the reconstruction was considered performed in emergency when wound coverage was achieved within 24 hours of injury. Conversely, in 1986, Godina [8] described the time of reconstruction as “early” when performed in less than 72 hours since injury, “delayed” when it occurred between 72 hours and 3 months and “late” if carried out after more than 3 months. Delayed reconstructions are frequently performed, but defer rehabilitation and functional recovery and enhance the risk of infections, including osteomyelitis [9]. Early reconstructions seem to reduce the risk of post-operative infections, free-flap failure, average hospitalization and healing time [10]. These outcomes regard, in particular, free flaps for lower limbs coverage, but they are not completely suitable for toe-to-hand-transfer. Indeed, several studies showed different infection rates of the recipient site in immediate toe-to-hand transfers [11].

The authors carried out a retrospective analysis on their experience in thumb reconstruction with the first toe transfer, evaluated the results achieved in both immediate and delayed reconstructions in terms of infection occurrence.

Patients and Methods

From 2000 to 2017, patients who presented cut, crush and avulsion injuries in the thumb were selected and 33 toe-to-thumb transfers were performed. Patients were divided into two groups: in group A, patients underwent immediate reconstruction, while in group B delayed reconstructions were performed. The two groups received identical antimicrobial prophylaxis. Reliability of the immediate or delayed reconstruction was compared in terms of flap survival, requirement for a secondary intention healing and, in particular, rate of infection.

Results

29 male and 4 female patients were treated. Toe-to-thumb transfers were performed in both groups: in group A, 8 wrap-around free flaps and 4 trimmed toe transfers; in group B, 11 wrap-around and 10 trimmed toe transfers. No flap loss occurred in either groups. No cases of infection were detected in the transferred toes.

Conclusion

For toe-to-thumb transfer, there are published reports of a wide range of infection rates of the recipient site. The authors compared their results in terms of infection rate between immediate reconstruction, group A, and delayed reconstruction, group B. Immediate toe-to-thumb transfer showed equal success rates to delayed transfer. No statistically significant difference in risk of infection between the two groups was found. Results showed that the immediate reconstruction was as safe and reliable as the delayed one.

Patients and Methods

From 2000 to 2017, toe-to-thumb transfers were performed for avulsion or crush trauma on 33 patients: 29 males and 4 females, aged between 17 and 52 years.

Only cut, crush and avulsion injuries were included in the study; degloving injuries were excluded.

Patients were divided into two groups. Group A consisted of 12 patients with thumb amputation treated within 48 hours after injury with toe transfer, and patients with failure of primary replantation attempts who underwent toe transfer at the same time of removal of the necrotic thumb (Fig. 1). Group B consisted of 21 patients with delayed reconstruction. This group included patients who underwent first ray reconstruction with toe transfer after definitive soft tissue healing of amputation stump (Fig. 2).

In order to compare homogeneous groups of patients, the authors included in the study only patients who underwent toe-to-thumb transfer, excluding those treated with other reconstruction techniques (i.e., pollicization).

Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. Patients understood and accepted the risks of operative failure, donor site morbidity and functional limitations of the transferred toe.

Toe-to-thumb transfer was performed with two techniques: wrap-around free flap and trimmed toe-to-thumb transfer. In Group A an immediate extensive debridement with removal of all potentially necrotic tissues of the amputated stump was performed before starting transfer harvesting; it was then washed with an antiseptic solution (Sodium Hypochlorite 0.06% solution). Osteosynthesis was achieved by Kirschner wires pinning in both groups. Antimicrobial prophylaxis protocol was identical in the two groups: intravenous cefazolin 3 × 1 g (if patient’s weight < 80 kg) or 3 × 2 g (if patient’s weight > 80 kg) was administered from presentation in the emergency room, up to 24 hours after surgery.

In the decision taking, the authors referred to the classification of the level of thumb amputation and opted for Merle classifica-
tion [12] (1991) which identifies 7 levels. Patients classified at levels from 1 to 4 were included in the present study.

Authors are strongly convinced of the advantages of the immediate toe-to-thumb transfer. For this reason, this option has been proposed to all the patients with acute amputative injury of the thumb with no indication for replantation. Immediate toe transfer was also proposed to all the patients with failure of thumb replantation at the moment of the removal of the necrotic stump. Patients of Group B were previously treated in other hospitals and they arrived to Authors’ center after soft tissue healing of the amputation stump.

The reliability of the immediate or delayed reconstruction in case of thumb amputation is based on the analysis of patients’ records for flap survival, requirement of a secondary procedure and, in particular, of rate of infection.

Results

In this retrospective study, 29 male patients and 4 female patients were treated; in Group A, “immediate reconstruction”, we performed wrap-around in 8 patients and trimmed toe transfer in 4 cases; in Group B, “delayed reconstruction”, we performed 11 wrap-around and 10 trimmed toe transfers.

No flap loss occurred in both groups. Eight surgical wound dehiscences occurred at donor site, all healed without further surgery.

No patient was hospitalized again for complications and no antibiotic therapy was needed for post-operative infection occurred at recipient site.

Secondary procedures were performed in 2 cases in group A and in 5 cases in group B for cosmetic refinements at more than 1 year after transfer.

No cases of infection were detected in the transferred toes.

One case of wound infection with wound dehiscence occurred at the donor site in a Group B trimmed toe patient (Table 1).

It was resolved by a second intention healing with Hydrofiber Technology dressing, a soft and absorbable material containing ionic silver, that transforms into a gel on contact with wound fluid (Fig. 3).
Table 1 Summary of results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A Immediate reconstruction</th>
<th>Group B Delayed reconstruction</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. patients</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>11/1</td>
<td>18/3</td>
<td>29/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrap-around technique</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmed-toe-transfer technique</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap failure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary procedure</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection recipient site</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection donor site</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 a Traumatic amputation of thumb at level 1. b Control at 11 years

Fig. 3 a Donor site skin necrosis. b Control after 12 weeks
Discussion

Surgical site infection is the most common complication that surgeons have to face [13]. In order to prevent this problem, prophylactic antibiotics are widely applied, even if their selection, timing and duration of administration represent topics of many debates in literature [14].

Antibiotic resistance is spreading all over the world and its threat has been announced by The World Health Organization (WHO) [15]. For this reason, specific antimicrobial regimens are suggested by different guidelines, applied in several hospitals in various countries [16].

Indeed, data extracted from literature about toe-to-thumb transfer are similar in terms of vascular complications and survival rate, but show differences in infection rate of the recipient site.

Various reports registered different infection rates: from 6.5% for immediate procedure against 0.7% for delayed one [6], to 4% vs 3.1% as Woo SH [17] et al study showed, to 0.5% in a further study by the same authors [18] and 1 out of 6 cases reported by Ray EC [19].

The terminology regarding the definition of reconstruction timing reported in literature is also quite confusing. The authors considered immediate reconstruction when toe-to-hand transplantation was performed within 48 hours after injury and, in case of failed replantation at the necrotic thumb removal, while for delayed transfer they referred to the first ray reconstruction performed after the definitive soft tissue healing of the amputation stump.

The authors compared their results in terms of infection rate between the two groups. No infection has been reported in the transferred toes; one case of donor site infection with wound dehiscence was detected in a Group B trimmed toe. However, this evidence was not statistically significant.

Safety of immediate microsurgical reconstruction has been widely discussed, in terms of potential failure and infection risks.

Indeed, a recent metanalysis [20] investigated on the timing for microsurgical reconstruction of lower limbs. Results obtained in early and delayed surgeries were compared and there was no evidence of worse outcomes in early reconstructions.

On the basis of the authors’ experience, immediate and delayed toe-to-thumb reconstructions did not show significant differences in terms of infection.

Discrepancies between our results and a higher infection rate reported by other studies, such as the one by Yim and Wei [11], can be explained by the different timing of the immediate procedures performed up to 7 days after injury, and that even multi-digital amputations were included in the study.

Nowadays, controversies about timing are still alive. In the authors’ opinion, the immediate procedure has the advantage of being more practical, especially during dissection of the neurovascular pedicle, due to the absence of scarring and fibrotic adherences; it allows to avoid hand extensive dissection for the presence of an open wound and, obviously, minimize the duration of recovery and rehabilitation.

In most cases, an immediate reconstruction can be safely achieved and is strongly recommended [21].

In Authors’ practice also, immediate thumb reconstruction in non replantable cases is the preferred approach. One of the main problems is represented by patient’s compliance and psychological acceptance, because the patient is asked to take an important decision in a few hours after a major injury. For this reason, an exhaustive informed consent is mandatory before surgery.

However, this is not a mandatory indication in every case of thumb amputation and does not mean that the delayed procedure should be abandoned. Indeed, a better definition of the injury zone is achieved with a delayed reconstruction that, according to some authors, seems to optimize the success of subsequent toe-to-hand transplantation [11].

CONCLUSION

Data reported in literature about infection rate in toe-to-thumb transfer are different and the procedure timing is also controversial. Even its definition is still a topic of debate. The authors adopted the terms “immediate” and “delayed” reconstruction and compared the results of their experience after performing 33 wrap-around and trimmed-toe transfers.

Immediate toe transfer showed equal success rates as the delayed one in terms of survival, complications, and secondary revisions. No statistically significant differences in risk of infection among the two groups have been reported. Results of the present study showed that the immediate reconstruction was as safe and reliable as the delayed one; when possible, it should be considered in the decision making on thumb amputations management.

According to the data reported in literature and the outcomes of this study, the authors assume that shorter time between injury and extensive debridement associated to early toe-to-thumb transfer are related to a minor exposure of the amputation stump and, consequently, to lower risks of infection.
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