To date, there have been no reported perforations with cold snare polypectomy (CSP). Therefore, possible signs of damage in the muscular layer remain unknown. We report the first cases of perforation using cold snare polypectomy, when two polyps <20 mm in size were removed. Thus, we are able to describe a new sign, the “bubble sign,” for checking the integrity of the submucosal and muscular layer, that can help to detect potential injury in the colonic wall when cold snare polypectomy is performed.

CSP is now a highly recommended procedure for treatment of sessile adenomas up to 10 mm in size [1]. Indeed, a recent comparative study shows better results for CSP compared with hot snare polypectomy in terms of safety [2], with no perforations related to CSP described to date.

After CSP, the post-polypectomy site is irrigated with a waterjet. Because the submucosal layer has been preserved, the creation of a cushion is observed. We have called this the “bubble sign” (▶Fig. 1). However, when the submucosal and muscular layer have been disrupted, this cushion is missing.

We present two cases of perforation with CSP (10-mm 0-Ia and 15-mm 0-IIb polyps) where a snare was used that was not specifically designed for CSP (13-mm hexagonal Captivator; Boston Scientific). In both cases no bubble sign was noticed (▶Fig. 2). Fortunately, both cases were successfully managed by endoscopic clipping (Resolution Clips; Boston Scientific). The patients were admitted for observation and discharged 24 hours later without any adverse event.

To date, these are the first reported cases of perforation related to CSP. In fact, in two recent published meta-analyses, which included more than 1000 CSP cases, no perforations were noted [3,4]. However, these events have allowed us to point out the usefulness of the bubble sign in assessing potential injury in the muscular layer.

▶Fig. 1 Cold snare polypectomy (CSP) with no perforation. a, c Colonic sites after CSP. b, d “Bubble sign” after irrigation by waterjet.

▶Fig. 2 Cold snare polypectomy (CSP) with perforation. a, c Colonic sites after CSP. b, d No “bubble sign” is seen after irrigation by waterjet.
Regarding the mechanism that led to the perforations, we consider two main factors: first the tension in the colon wall when the snare was closed might not have been appropriate (because of insufficient colonic distension), and secondly, a snare not specifically designed for CSP was used for both procedures. Although CSP is an extremely safe procedure, these findings lead us to keep in mind that there is an urgent need to standardize the technique, as well as the recommendation to use snares specifically designed to perform it.
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