Update on early thrombus removal for iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis
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ABSTRACT
Thrombus removal has for many years been attractive to investigate. The reasons were to relieve the acute symptoms with painful swelling and even to avoid tissue loss, but also with focus to decrease the incidence of late complications. Surgical procedures in the beginning and systemic thrombolysis years later were practiced in few dedicated centers. The breakthrough came 30 years ago in order to concentrate the lysis procedure directly into the thrombus material. This minimal invasive catheter-directed procedure was soon combined with stenting of the well-known iliac vein compression syndrome. Further development of this modality has taken place with some adjunctive mechanical devices primarily to minimize bleeding, which is the major concern of the methods. Less than ten RCT’s have been published over time, but conclusively supporting the rationale of early thrombus removal for iliofemoral DVT with reduction of PTS.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Introduction
Iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (DVT) has for many years invoked great attention for many reasons. Even not being the most frequent localization, the disease possesses risk for fatal pulmonary embolism (PE) and serious complications in the post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS). To avoid the latter there has been a persistent interest for methods to remove the thrombus material before damage destroys the vein wall irreversibly. The spectrum of these attempts has ranged from surgery, systemic and regional chemical thrombolysis in the past to endovenous catheter-based procedures in the last 2–3 decades.
This article will summarize on the thrombus characteristics, clinical manifestation and provide a review of the procedures for modern thrombus removal with plasminogen activating methods sometimes with mechanical adjunctive devices and modern surgical thrombectomy. Some historical development, but more important, the newest literature with big series and randomized controlled trials (RCT’s) will be reviewed in a chronologic order.

Definitions and distribution of iliofemoral DVT

According to mostly used definition the term iliofemoral DVT is synonymous with DVT in iliac and/or common femoral vein with or without DVT in additional veins. Table 1 (1). The hemodynamic consequence is blockage of the venous return from the femoral and/or the deep femoral vein resulting in severe acute signs and later developing of PTS in around 40–70 % of patients over time with worsening of quality of life (QOL) with venous claudication as a dominant finding, usually not identified in the Villalta score (2–5). The reason is less rate of recanalization of the iliac diseased vein due to the iliac vein compression syndrome typically on the left side, Fig. 2. A more or less permanent outflow obstruction is the result, because as many as 80 % will remain occluded thus 4-fold more frequent compared to the femoral vein with corresponding lower rate of PTS (6, 7). The pathophysiologic consequence of obstruction and/or reflux is venous hypertension, which can be measured directly as the ambulatory venous pressure or indirectly with a non-invasive method using plethysmography, both classical investigations in the venous field, most often used for research only.

A recent report on 1.338 patients, aged > 18 years, retrospectively analyzed from a single center cohort between 1994–2012...
having acute unilateral first-time DVT diagnosed with duplex ultrasound scanning (DUS), showed almost 40% with involvement of IVC-ilio-common femoral outflow tract. Only ⅓ of these patients had free popliteal vein and thereby optimal for endovenous thrombus removal according to the authors (8).

**Thrombus age and composition, clinical manifestation and imaging**

**Material, age and composition**

The age of thrombus is crucial to indicate a removal. The older the more difficult to remove and then the vein wall can be damaged. The initial clot of a thrombus is a mixture of red blood cells, fibrin and platelet aggregation producing P-selectins as the most important adhesion molecules accompanied of perivenous inflammation, pointing out that processes take place in the clot and the vein wall as well. At the same time intrinsic fibrinolysis with uPA and tPA is acting. The inflammatory process continues with influx of polymorph nuclear neutrophils, monocytes and collagen deposition in an interaction with thrombus resolution and at the same time breakdown of elastin and collagen in the vein wall making it inelastic. Furthermore, there is a recruitment of non-contractile muscle cells into a neo-intima and media layer. These very complex actions seem to occur within the time span of very few days and weeks with continuing remodeling changes (9). A detailed composition of the fibrin structure itself has also been investigated, and it seems that alterations in permeability in term of fibrin compactness might hinder thrombolysis, an aspect needed to be explored more in the future in correlation to outcome after catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDT) (10). Further discussion concerning ongoing post-thrombotic cellular processes lies without the scope of this chapter.

**Clinical manifestation**

There are typical signs for an iliofemoral DVT. The pain often begins in the back and then moves distally when the extremity becomes swollen from the groin whereas the other way around, with crural pain extending in a proximal direction, is less frequent. The condition is called phlegmasia alba dolens. The leg is pale with milky appearance and painful. The pain is due to both the venous dilatation and inflammatory response. The acute patient is often hamp ered in walking with contraction around the hip and needs to be bedridden. The extremity is not circulatory threatened, because there is some venous return mainly from the superficial and collateral systems. The clinical outcome is much worse if the entire axial, superficial and collateral veins are thrombosed now identical with phlegmasia cerulea dolens. The extremity is extreme painful, bluish with congestion and often includes crural compartment syndrome, which can develop into total micro-arterial collapse with peripheral gangrene and tissue loss. This type of patient is in a very time-sensitive situation, because the situation can develop over few hours. The condition can be seen even in otherwise healthy persons, but more often in patients with cancer or with severe hypercoagulable diseases. This condition is not necessarily preceded by the alba appearance.

In the prospective TULIPA registry with 135 patients having DVT a crural swelling > 3 cm larger than the asymptomatic leg (HR 2.94; 95% CI 1.20–7.20) remained predictive for PTS at 3 years follow-up, showing how important this sign is and why it is obligatory in any PTS score (11).

**Imaging**

The golden diagnostic standard is duplex ultrasound scanning (DUS) with B-mode imaging and Doppler aided or not with color flow assessment typical in cross-sectional images with the patient in different lying positions to ensure the findings. The compression maneuver is the primary test to be done. A fully occluding fresh
thrombus enlarges the vein and hinder the vein to collapse under probe-compression. A partly thrombosed vein acts different: the vein can be compressed in some degree around the thrombus, but the thrombus is still impossible to compress. The acute clot structure is echoluent (black), but changes after few days into more and more echogenic grey color due to the fibro-cellular resolution and reorganization. Some data have looked at the movement in the interface between the clot and vein wall. After in average 11–12 days this interface does seem “fixed”, meaning a stage with irreversible vein wall damage might occur (6). Subsequently, the thrombus shrinks, fragmentizes and recanalizes. A routine DUS is often only looking at the popliteal and the groin region. However, with more and more knowledge on the different outcome depending of the precise DVT level and extension it is recommended to visualize the DVT in the entire length. In this way, it is more relevant for the clinician to predict a prognostic estimate for the patient with DVT and inform about the risk for future PTS development.

DUS might be difficult and insufficient in obese patient especially in the abdominal region. MRV and CTV are therefore relevant substitutes in the diagnostic armamentarium, also in situations with suspicion of tumor-like processes.

Methods for thrombus removal

Thrombus removal has been known for many years, initially as a surgical procedure known 50–60 years back first described in Germany. Many years should go before minimal invasive procedures were introduced. In 1991 the first case of catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDT) was published from US (12). A rapid development in the last 20 years has followed with instrumentation and radiographically improved equipment to refine the procedures. Adjunctive devices hoping to speed up the treatment time named pharmacomechanical thrombolysis (PMT or PCDT) and new dedicated venous stents have been introduced.

Lysis and contraindications

Thrombolysis is achieved by infusion containing components of a plasminogen activating agent to produce plasmin in combination with heparin (unfractionated heparin or LMWH weight-adjusted in therapeutic levels) in a volume of saline (Fig. 1). Plasminogen activators to be used are either urokinase (median 110,000 units/hour) or (r)tPA (median 0.6 mg/hour), acting equally sufficient according thrombus resolution and bleeding rates but with a tendency of shorter treatment time with tPA (13). Today, rtPA is the only agent available in many countries and given in doses from 0.5 mg/hour to 1.2 mg/hour, and the infusion volume varies from 20 ml to 120 ml (4, 14). The infusion can be continuous or intermittent as pulse-spray via a catheter with multiple side-holes and tip occlusion. The latter is advantageously combined with high infusion volume to “imitate” a kind of mechanical effect on the thrombus material, also shown from the Copenhagen experience, to be more sufficient than continuous infusion (14).

Coming to this stage of different guidewires and sheaths to penetrate the thrombosed vein segments, lies beyond this chapter. The most important exclusion criteria to thrombolysis are listed in Table 2 (1).

Some protocols have contained a daily maximum as well of total dosage maximum of tPA or urokinase to reduce bleeding complications. However, this principle might counteract the criteria for a satisfactory rate of thrombus removal before stopping the infusion. More than 50% thrombus removal has been mostly accepted, whereas 90% has been the threshold in the Copenhagen experience without maximum of rtPA and also used as threshold in the ATTRACT trial (4, 14, 15). In this context three important papers including 246 cases have to be mentioned stating that residual post procedural thrombus and lack of patency at 6 months is mostly predictive for worse PTS outcome (16, 17, 18).

Stenting

The indication for stenting of persistent iliac obstruction after fulfilled lysis varies as well. Mostly used threshold for stenting is > 50% remaining obstruction in the CaVenT trial and the ATTRACT trial and in the Copenhagen experience only 10–15% remaining obstruction was accepted without stenting (14, 15, 19). Ballooning alone is insufficient because the vein will recoil. The Wallstent, originally constructed for arterial disease, is the most used stent until

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute contraindications</th>
<th>Relative contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active internal bleeding or disseminated intravascular coagulation</td>
<td>Major surgery, obstetrical delivery, organ biopsy, major trauma, or cataract surgery (&lt;7–10 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent cerebrovascular events or intracranial trauma (&lt;3 months)</td>
<td>Intracranial or spinal tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute contraindication to anticoagulation</td>
<td>Uncontrolled hypertension: systolic BP &gt; 180 mmHg, diastolic BP &gt; 110 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cooperative patients</td>
<td>Major gastrointestinal bleeding or internal eye surgery (&lt;3 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serious allergic reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Known right-to-left cardiac or pulmonary shunt or left heart thrombus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe dyspnea or severe acute medical illness precluding safe procedure performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspicion for infected venous thrombus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal failure (estimated GFR &lt; 60 mL/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy or lactation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe hepatic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacterial endocarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetic hemorrhagic retinopathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Contraindications for endovenous thrombus removal with CDT/PMT/PCDT (1).
recently, whereas publications now include the new venous designed stents intended to be more flexible with high radial force. We have no evidence to tell us about advantages. Immediate intraprocedural evaluation of lysis before stenting is performed by multiplane venograms. The use of intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is questioned for this category of patients like stenting for symptomatic patients with non-thrombotic iliac venous lesions (NIVL) or venogram for intraprocedural evaluation and to decide continuing thrombolysis (20).

Monitoring

It is important to monitor the thrombolysis procedure. However, there are no standards for this. Imaging with daily multiplane venograms can definitely qualify the progress of thrombus resolution using the threshold for satisfactory result as mentioned above. The Copenhagen experience has used level of D-dimer for guiding as well. The fresher the thrombus is, the faster the increases and the higher is the concentration. What matters more is to stop the lytic infusion when normalized concentration is achieved. Sometimes normal venograms have appeared but still with elevated D-dimer, which resulted in further infusion (Fig. 1). We have not published specifically on D-dimer measurements as the principle was within our protocol (21). One publication exists trying to correlate D-dimer with outcome (22). D-dimer > 18.4 ug/ml at 12 hours after onset was predictive for inferior patency. Another lesson was to avoid stenting in the femoral segment.

The first RCT on CDT versus anticoagulation (AC) for iliofemoral DVT was in an Egyptian paper from 2002 with almost 20 patients in each group and 6 months of follow-up (26). Highly significant difference in iliofemoral patency was found in favor of CDT.

Ultrasound enhanced catheter-directed thrombolysis (EKOS) has been introduced to supplement CDT to increase permeability by emitting ultrasound energy along the catheter into the thrombus material in order to achieve higher in-thrombus concentration of the lytic drug. The method has not shown benefit compared to CDT, see below (27).

Pharmacomechanical catheter-directed thrombolysis (PCDT)

During the following years different adjunctive mechanical procedures were presented, which might shorten the treatment time for lysis in order to minimize the amount of tPA and thereby bleeding. A secondary vision has undoubtedly been a wish for a shorter stay in ICU, while many countries due to medical laws and local hospital directions have decided to have this category of patients under tight observation. The overall background for acting with new devices was mainly to fragmentize thrombus thus making lysis easier. Early results actually did show shorter treatment time, but we are missing long-term results. The most used principle nowadays is with Angiojet (called rheolytic thrombectomy) as a method suitable for lytic infusion and suction/aspiration via the same catheter. The method has shown advantage (PEARL study) in time shortening the procedure with 73 % treated within 24 hours in a 32-center study (US and Europe) with 329 patients and stent rate of 35 % (28).

Thrombus aspiration

Aspiration (manual) with a syringe as a single principle is attractive. However, often the procedures is performed in combination with lysis afterwards. The most important rapport is from Turkey 2012 (29). A total of 148 patients with acute or subacute iliofemoral DVT revealed patency of 80 % at 3 years follow-up and stent rate of 67 %; additional CDT was supplied in 27 %. A RCT with 21 patients in each group with iliofemoral DVT treated with thrombus aspiration versus AC showed benefit of aspiration after 1 year according to patency and a modified Villalta score (30).

Surgical thrombectomy

Surgical approach for iliofemoral thrombosis is an invasive procedure from the groin in general anesthesia. The procedure is well investigated in Hälsingborg more than 20 years ago with the classical RCT of surgery versus anticoagulation including a total of 58 patients with acute iliofemoral DVT. After a substantial loss of patients, a 10-year follow-up consisted of 13 patients in the surgical group and 17 patients in the control group. Patency was better in the surgical group: 83 % versus 41 %; p < 0.05, with tendency of less reflux. It is worthwhile to mention that the thrombectomy...
procedure was without any ballooning or stenting but with a flow stimulating a-v fistula created in the groin (31). A German center with great experience has published important results with surgical thrombectomy in 83 patients with iliofemoral DVT in 2010 (32). In the last patients of the series, the procedure was added with distally infused rtPA via a foot vein. All had an a-v fistula and stent rate was 27%. Life-table analysis showed patency of 75% after 5 years with moderate PTS rate of 20%.

Recent literature with RCT’s and experience from big series since 2010

The Copenhagen one-center experience with CDT for acute iliofemoral DVT was presented 2011 with 109 patients (31 years, range 15–58) including 111 extremities (33). The lytic infusion mostly given as pulse-spray consisted of 1.2 mg rtPA and weight-adjusted heparin in 120 ml saline per hour via popliteal vein access. Stent rate was 59%. Median follow-up was 71 months. The cumulative rate of patent and reflux-free veins at 6 years was 87.5%. PTS developed in 18 patients (16.5%) and of those, initial thrombolysis was successful in 13. PTS was associated with worse QOL, although only a few patients developed PTS. Patients with patent veins and sufficient valves have higher QOL scores than patients with reflux and occluded veins.

The Norwegian CaVenT study was the first great constructed randomized controlled trial with 93 patients with proximal DVT treated with CDT versus 108 patients treated with AC involving 4 centers in the region of Oslo with recruitment from 20 hospitals (19). Patients aged 18–75 years with first-time DVT within 3 weeks from symptom onset were enrolled. Previous surgery, trauma <3 months and short time immobilization counted for half of risk factors. CDT was used with continuous infusion of rtPA with a maximum of 20 mg per 24 hours for maximum 96 hours. It showed up in the initial venogram that 10% actually had previous DVT, and half of the patients did not have iliac DVT involvement. AC treatment and compression stockings were recommended for the entire follow-up period. Stent rate was 17% and even ballooning alone were performed.

At the first evaluation after 24 months, 12 patients were lost to follow-up. The results showed that 37 patients allocated in CDT group presented with PTS (41.1%, 95% CI 31.5–51.4) compared with 55 (55.6%, 95% CI 45.7–65.0) in the control group (p = 0.047). The difference in PTS corresponds to an absolute risk reduction of 14.4% (95% CI 0.2–27.9), and the number needed to treat was 7 (95% CI 4–502). Iliofemoral patency after 6 months was reported in 58 patients after CDT (65.9%, 95% CI 55.5–75.0) versus 45 control patients (47.4%, 37.6–57.3, p = 0.012).

The 5-year results from the CaVenT study were published in 2016 with 87 patients available for CDT and 89 patients available for AC at follow-up (4). Still 37 patients (43%; 95% CI 33–53) allocated to catheter-directed thrombolysis presented post-thrombotic syndrome, compared with 63 (71%; 95% CI 61–79) allocated to the control group (p < 0.0001), corresponding to an absolute risk reduction of 28% (95% CI 14–42) and a number needed to treat of 4 (95% CI 2–7). Quality-of-life scores did not differ between the treatment groups. The interpretation now concluded that additional catheter-directed thrombolysis resulted in a persistent and increased clinical benefit with CDT.

Results from the large scale and long awaited ATTRACT trial were published in the beginning of 2017 (15). The use of pharmacomechanical catheter-directed thrombolysis (PCDT) for proximal deep vein thrombosis was challenged in a randomized study with 336 patients in the thrombus removal group versus 355 in the group treated with best medical therapy (anticoagulation + stockings) alone. Participation included 56 centers in US. The trial did stratify into iliofemoral DVT and femoropopliteal DVT but the power calculation was done for all the enrolled patients hypothesizing reduction of PTS from 30% in the control group to 20% in the PCDT group. The main outcome in intention-to-treat analysis showed no difference in post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS) after 24 months with Villalta score of >4: 47% in the PCDT and 48% in the control group (risk ratio, 0.96; 95% CI 0.82 to 1.11; p = 0.56). However, moderate-to-severe PTS was more likely in the control group with Villalta score >9: 18% of patients in the PCDT group versus 24% of those in the control group (risk ratio, 0.73; 95% CI 0.54 to 0.98; P = 0.04). Major bleeding was observed more frequently with PCDT within 10 days (1.7% vs. 0.3% of patients, p = 0.049), but no difference in recurrent venous thromboembolism was found over the 24-month follow-up period. The QOL improvement from baseline to 24 months did not differ between the two groups. The analysis of the femoral and iliofemoral cohorts as a single group has been one of the major reasons, that the trial was criticized.

A new publication from the ATTRACT study has now shed more light on the trial with an analysis of the subgroup with iliofemoral disease alone with 196 patients in the PCDT group and 195 patients in the control group (34). These analyses are acknowledged by the authors to be limited by a less substantial power to detect differences in outcome compared to the overall trial and a substantial loss of patients to follow-up.

The outcome still indicates that there is no difference in PTS assessed by Villalta score >4 between the thrombus removal group with PCDT and the control group: 49% versus 51% respectively (RR 95; 95% CI 0.78–1.15; p = 0.59). However, a difference was found in patients with moderate-to-severe PTS in favor of PCDT (Villalta scale >9 or ulcer) 18% versus 28% (RR 0.65; 95% CI 0.45–0.94, p = 0.021) and likewise concerning severe PTS (Villalta scale >14 or ulcer) 8.7% versus 15% (RR 0.57; 95% CI 0.32–1.01, p = 0.048) as in the main study. Another speculation is highlighted by the stronger significant difference between the groups if VCSS is used as the primary outcome measure compared to Villalta scoring (VCSS >7 was 6.6% versus 14% in favor of PCDT (RR 0.46; 95% CI 0.24–0.87, p = 0.013). From baseline and through 24 months, PCDT led to greater improvement in venous disease specific QOL (p = 0.029), but not in generic QOL (p = 0.21). Finally, and importantly, no difference was found concerning major bleeding and recurrent DVT between the two groups.

A lower rate of PTS was published in two later trials. In one of them, interestingly, CDT was tested in 22 patients versus 23 patients with ultrasound enhanced thrombolysis for acute iliofemoral DVT in 2017, mentioned above (27). PTS scored by Villalta after 1 year was 17% and 5% respectively (p = 0.47) with stent rate of 80% in each group. In univariate linear regression analysis, the following baseline characteristics showed a significant association.
with total Villalta score at 12 months: age (p = 0.021), presence of varicose veins (P < 0.001) and prior DVT (P = 0.001).

The second trial was published in 2018: one group with surgical thrombectomy in 40 patients with acute iliofemoral DVT was compared to CDT (including some patients with PCDT) in 31 patients aged 18 to 75 years mostly with symptom duration less than 2 weeks (35). No difference was found in PTS, scored with Villalta, 15 % and 13 % patients respectively after 2 years. Stent rate was not given, but stent insertion was more frequent for residual thrombosis in the surgical group. Significantly, more major bleeding was found in the CDT group, which also had a longer hospital stay.

The last paper to present is the non-randomized observational cohort study from Copenhagen (2017) with 203 limbs in 191 patients aged 14–74 years with iliofemoral DVT treated with CDT (14). Median follow-up was 5 years (range: 1 month – 14.3 years). The stent rate was 52 %. Mostly women and left side was affected. The study concentrated on demographics and techniques during treatment being factors with possible influence on outcome. Fifty predefined variables were kept in a database, of which 17 covariates were chosen being clinically and technically most relevant: gender, age, side, stenting, number of stents, caval atresia, caval filter, caval extension of thrombus, thrombus extension below the inguinal ligament, treatment duration, use of pulse-spray or continuous infusion, coagulopathy, child birth after initial thrombosis, use of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) or heparin, symptoms < 2 weeks and > 2 weeks, lifelong anticoagulation, underlying chronic post-thrombotic (subclinical previous DVT) lesions. Six variables were excluded after using non-parametric test and Kaplan-Meier analysis and log rank test having absence or poor relation with outcome. The remaining 11 variables were included in a multivariate time dependent Cox proportional hazard model. The conclusion was that symptom duration less than 2 weeks, pulse-spray infusion technique and no previous DVT did result in better long-term results. The cumulative rate of patients with deep patent veins without reflux at 7 years was 79 %. Age, gender, side, IVC atresia, stenting, and lysis duration did not affect outcome. Concerning the stent rate, it had to be interpreted as stent insertions were done sufficiently leaving no significant May-Thurner lesion untreated.

**General comments**

It appears clearly, that conflicting results from all these studies do exist. The inclusion criteria vary concerning length of symptom duration, previous DVT, amount of lysis and rate of stenting. Furthermore, the methods of thrombus removal are quite different and thresholds for satisfactory lysis and need for stenting are different. A recently published paper, looking at the group with stents, does predict incomplete lysis (< 50 %) and stenting below the ligament as strong predictors for PTS (36). This implies the importance of using a sufficient thrombus removal technique during any procedure, which has been highlighted above. It also justifies that the results are given as patency as well with Villalta scoring and QOL assessment.

The use of IVC filters in combination with early thrombus removal has only been touched in this article. Also, the use of this protective device varies a lot. If used temporally the indication mostly has been the visualization of a flagellating thrombus into the IVC itself (19, 37). Thrombus removal as such does not stimulate to PE. No peri-procedural PE was found in 69 patients treated with CDT, PCDT or thrombectomy, stent-rate 75 %, based on symptoms with following lung perfusion/ventilation scintigraphy or lung spiral CT (38).

Major bleeding was found to be below the threshold mentioned above with a tendency to be more frequent than observed with AC in all the studies. However, in the latest work from the ATTRACT investigators no difference was seen, 1.5 % vs 0.5 % (34). In this context it is also important to inform of no reported death in the last 10 years.

Even in the major recently published ATTRACT study it is impossible to conclude on the best method to achieve patency. This is in strong contrast to the area of varicose vein treatment. No paper in this area does combine different techniques as much as performed in the ATTRACT study. Furthermore, no literature exists to inform of optionally superiority of the new venous designed stents between each other or versus Wallstent.

It has to be mentioned about the positive utility of intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC) during CDT shown in an RCT with less than 15 patients with iliofemoral DVT in each group with and without IPC on the foot and calf during the entire procedure in ward and the operating theatre. Significant less PTS was found in the group with IPC based on greater rate of lysis (39). This principle has been used from the beginning in the Copenhagen experience as one of the very few centers.

Finally, the post-procedural anticoagulation regime has in many of the mentioned trials been recommended for 1–2 years but with a rather low compliance. However, no consensus exists, but the area has lately been questioned (40). Research has recently shown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thrombus removal type</th>
<th>Control group/Comparison group</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>PTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lindow 2010 (32)</td>
<td>Surgical thrombectomy</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>60 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broholm 2011 (33)</td>
<td>CDT pulse-spray</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>72 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haig 2016 (17)</td>
<td>CDT continuous</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>60 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engelberger 2017 (27)</td>
<td>CDT US-enhanced</td>
<td>CDT</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues 2017 (35)</td>
<td>Surgical thrombectomy</td>
<td>CDT</td>
<td>24 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comerota 2019 (34)</td>
<td>Endovenous removal</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>24 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = moderate-severe PTS, ns = non-significant.
no difference in patency and clinical outcome after 3–12 months vs longer duration with AC in stented patients after CDT, as well as no difference between vitamin K-antagonists and rivaroxaban for the first 3 months period of treatment (41, 42).

Many reviews have been published. One of the latest illustrates clearly the problems (43). Even the title is catheter-directed thrombolysis, yet the publication does include the ATTRACT trial with the different techniques included in the study. No doubt that the coming guidelines has to shift from recommendations for each specific thrombus removal technique to a broad term of just: early endovenous thrombus removal. The term: early thrombus removal includes surgical thrombectomy. Some important studies on thrombus removal in the last 10 years are listed in Table 3.

Conclusion

The main conclusion is therefore to talk about early thrombus removal as a term instead of any specific modality to be the best option. Obviously, it seems reasonable to offer the most minimal procedure to treat iliofemoral DVT within the first 2 weeks of onset. In a situation where bleeding for sure will be a consequence, then aspiration or even surgical procedure is to prefer. Stenting is mandatory for any persistent iliac obstruction. Removing as much thrombus material as possible and relevant stenting is recommended for optimal prevention of PTS. Use of IVC filters is not advisable, but should be retrievable if inserted in selected cases. The procedures have to be centralized in a close cooperation between interventionists, vascular surgeons and hematological expertise with knowledge on thrombosis and hemostasis. European guidelines for treatment of venous thrombosis will be published later this year under the auspices of European Society of Vascular Surgery.
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