**EUS-guided gallbladder drainage: a learning curve modified by technical progress**
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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction** Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gallbladder drainage (EUS-GBD) is an efficacious and safe option for patients who cannot undergo cholecystectomy. It is a technically challenging procedure, requiring skills in EUS, and ERCP. The aim of this study was to define the learning curve for EUS-GBD.

**Patients and methods** Consecutive patients undergoing EUS-GBD by a single operator were included from a prospective registry over 5 years. Demographics, procedure information, post-procedure follow-up data, and information on adverse events were collected. Non-linear regression and CUSUM analyses were conducted for the learning curve. Clinical success was defined as resolution of cholecystitis post-procedure.

**Results** Forty-eight patients were included (58% male, mean age 76 years). Twenty patients (42%) had malignant cholecystitis. Most patients had lumen-apposing metal stents (LAMS) (15 mm, n = 29, 60%; 10 mm, n = 8, 17%). The remaining patients had FCSEMS (n = 9, 19%) or plastic stents alone (n = 2, 4%). Clinical success was achieved in 36 (86%) of patients. Of the remaining 12, 7 were lost to follow-up and 5 had persistent cholecystitis. 9 patients (19%) had adverse events including bleeding (n = 4), liver abscesses (n = 2), and hypotension. Two patients passed away post-procedure.

Median procedure time was 41 minutes (range 16–121 min), with the 41-minute time occurring during the 19th procedure. Procedure durations further reduced, with the last 10 procedures being 20 minutes or under (nonlinear regression p value P<0.0001).

**Conclusion** Endoscopists experienced in EUS-GBD are expected to achieve a reduction in procedure time over successive cases, with efficiency reached at 41 minutes and a learning rate of 19 cases. Continued improvement is demonstrated with additional experience.

---

**Introduction**
Surgery remains the gold standard for treatment of acute cholecystitis. However, in poor operative candidates, nonsurgical measures are required to prevent complications. Nonsurgical gallbladder decompression is traditionally achieved through percutaneous gallbladder drainage (PTGBD). However, PTGBD has been associated with significant complications including bile leak, peritonitis, bowel perforation, and catheter dislodge-
lenging procedure, requiring skills in EUS, fluoroscopy, and stent deployment. The learning curve of EUS-GBD is unknown. The aim of this study was to define the learning curve for EUS-GBD.

Patients and methods

Study overview

Consecutive patients undergoing EUS-GBD by a single operator were included from a prospective registry over 5 years. All patients who underwent EUS-GBD were included. Patients underwent EUS-GBD drainage if they were diagnosed with cholecystitis and deemed unfit for surgical cholecystectomy. Demographics, procedure information, post-procedure follow-up data, and information on adverse events (AE) were collected. Non-linear regression and CUSUM analyses were conducted for the learning curve.

Technical success was defined as successful placement of a decompressing stent into the gallbladder. Clinical success was defined as resolution of cholecystitis post-procedure. AEs were graded according to the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy lexicon severity grading system. All patients were followed while inpatients until resolution of cholecystitis system. When feasible, patients were followed in the outpatient office 4 to 6 weeks post-procedure and every 6 months thereafter or earlier if recurrent symptoms developed.

Procedural technique

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia, by an endoscopist experienced in therapeutic EUS and ERCP (MK) (over 1000 cases at a center doing >900 therapeutic EUS per year). Patients were given antibiotics peri-procedurally if they were not already on antibiotic therapy.

An echoendoscope (GF-UCT 180, Olympus, Center Valley, Pennsylvania, United States) was advanced into the stomach or duodenum. The gallbladder was identified endonographically. The gallbladder was accessed with a 19-gauge fine-needle aspiration needle after which a 0.035-inch guidewire was advanced through the needle into the gallbladder lumen. The needle was removed, and the fistulous tract was created with either a dilating balloon with/without a needle-knife cautery catheter or a cautery-enhanced stent delivery system. In some cases, the gallbladder was accessed directly with a cautery-enhanced stent delivery system without preceding wire access. A lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) (Axios, Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, United States), fully-covered self-expanding metal biliary stent (FCSEMS) (Viabi, Conmed, Utica, New York, United States) or plastic stent was then deployed with one end in the gallbladder lumen and the other end in the stomach or duodenum. If a LAMS was used, the lumen of the stent was dilated to the diameter of the stent using a dilating balloon. In cases where the stent was misdeployed with one flange outside of the desired lumen, a bridging stent was placed through the misdeployed stent as previously described by Tyberg et al. [21, 22].

Patients were monitored overnight post-procedure. Clear liquid diet was initiated as early as post-procedure day 1 when clinical course allowed.

Statistical analysis

Consecutive patients undergoing EUS-GBD drainage by a single operator were included for statistical analyses from a prospective registry over 5 years. Demographics, procedure information, post-procedure follow-up data, and information on AEs were collected. Non-linear regression and CUSUM analyses were conducted for the learning curve using Stata 15 (College Station, Texas). Clinical success was defined as resolution of cholecystitis post-procedure.

Results

Forty-eight patients were included (58% male, mean age 76). Twenty patients (42%) had malignant cholecystitis, the remainder had benign disease. Technical success was 100%. Most patients had LAMS placed (15 mm, n = 29, 60%; 10 mm, n = 8, 17%; total n = 37, 77%), 25 of which were cautery-enhanced. The remaining patients had FCSEMS (n = 9, 19%) or plastic stents alone (n = 2, 4%). One patient required placement of a bridging stent to achieve technical success. The majority of stents were transduodenal (n = 28, 58%), the remaining transgastric (n = 15, 31%) or transjejunal (n = 4, 8%). One patient had two stents placed from transgastric and transduodenal position during the same procedure. Table 1 summarizes characteristics of and clinical data on 48 patients who underwent EUS-GBD for management of cholecystitis.

Clinical success was achieved in 35 patients (72%). Of the remaining 13 patients, seven were lost to follow-up. Four patients had persistent cholecystitis symptoms, all of whom passed away from comorbidities of metastatic cancer or cardiac disease. Two patients passed away post-procedure prior to resolution of symptoms (see below). Mean follow-up time was 5.4 months (range 0 – 45). During the follow-up period, six patients required reintervention for stent occlusion or migration with repeat endoscopy or surgical cholecystectomy. Two had their stents occluded with food/debris but had recovered enough to become surgical candidates and went for surgical cholecystectomy, three had their stents occluded with food/debris and required repeat stenting due to the obstruction, and one had a migrated stent that was replaced.

Nine patients (19%) had AEs, seven moderate and two fatal. Four patients had post-procedure bleeding managed by repeat endoscopy with packing of plastic stents and in one patient embolization by interventional radiology. Two patients had liver abscesses managed with antibiotics (n = 1) or percutaneous drainage (n = 1) and one patient had hypotension immediately post-procedure requiring re-look endoscopy without additional intervention. Two post-procedure deaths occurred, one due to respiratory failure immediately post-extubation and one due to septic shock with peritonitis due to a perforated GB wall. That patient was subsequently diagnosed with gangrenous gallbladder. The AEs in our study occurred evenly throughout the learning curve.
Median procedure time was 41 minutes (range 16–121 min). The CUSUM chart (Fig.1) shows that the 41-minute duration was achieved at the 19th procedure, hence indicating efficiency. Procedure durations further reduced with the last 10 procedures being 20 minutes or under (nonlinear regression p-value: \( P < 0.0001 \)) (Fig.2) indicating continued improvement with experience.

### Discussion

EUS-GBD has emerged as a safe and efficacious option for patients who are unable to undergo surgical cholecystectomy. Published literature has demonstrated impressive safety and efficacy of the procedure [6–8, 10], as well as comparable and in some cases improved efficacy and safety over percutaneous cholecystostomy [9, 23]. However, EUS-GBD is technically challenging and requires skill in multiple endoscopic modalities such as therapeutic EUS, wire manipulation, and stent deployment.

The learning curve for EUS-GBD remains unknown. Previous literature on a comparable procedure demonstrated that the number of AEs associated with EUS-guided biliary drainage is inversely proportional to the learning curve [24]. Similarly, Oh et al. noted that procedure times and AEs reduce after 24 cases and stabilized after 33 cases for endoscopic ultrasound-guided hepatogastrostomy (EUS-HGS) [25]. Intuitively, increasing EUS-GBD experience could be expected to similarly correlate with decreased procedure times and improved safety. In our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>( n=48 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>76.2 years (13.9 STD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender – male</td>
<td>28/48 (58 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1: Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>( n=48 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>76.2 years (13.9 STD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender – male</td>
<td>28/48 (58 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indication for EUS-GLB:

- Malignant cholecystitis: 20 (42 %)
- Benign cholecystitis: 28 (58 %)

Technical Success: 48/48 (100 %)

Approach:

- Transgastric: 15 (31 %)
- Transduodenal: 28 (58 %)
- Transjejunal: 4 (8 %)
- Transgastric + transduodenal: 1 (2 %)

Stent placement:

- LAMS – 15 mm: 29 (60 %)
- LAMS – 10 mm: 8 (17 %)
- Cautery-enhanced LAMS: 25 (52 %)
- FCSEMS: 9 (19 %)
- Plastic: 2 (4 %)
- Bridging stents: 1 (2 %)

Adverse events:

- Bleeding: 4
- Hepatic abscess: 2
- Hypotension in PACU: 1
- Peritonitis (death): 1
- Respiratory failure (death): 4

Clinical success: 35/48 (72 %)

Procedure time (mins): 41.1 min

Reintervention required: 6 (12.5 %)

Mean follow-up time (months): 5.4

EUS-GLB, endoscopic ultrasound-guided gallbladder drainage; LAMS, lumen-apposing metal stent; FCSEMS, fully-covered self-expanding metal stent; PACU, post-anesthesia care unit
study, efficiency was reached at 41 minutes with a learning rate of 19 cases which is similar to aforementioned findings.

The AEs in our study occurred evenly throughout the learning curve. This could suggest that safety does not necessarily correlate with operator experience, but more likely is due to the fact that the AEs were more related to patients’ underlying comorbidities than to operator performance. The two deaths seen in our study were the result of an anesthesia complication (mucous plugging post-extubation with subsequent respiratory arrest) and a perforated gallbladder that was present prior to endoscopic intervention.

Limitations of the study include the fact that all procedures were performed by a single operator with a large therapeutic EUS experience, meaning the results of this study are not applicable to endoscopists without the same level of experience. Moreover, additional learning curves from other expert endoscopists are needed to verify these findings. Another limitation is introduction of new technology throughout the study duration, most significantly introduction of the cautery-enhanced LAMS. This stent allowed for faster procedure times by negating the need for needle puncture and fistulous tract dilation and/or cautery with separate catheters.

Conclusion

In conclusion, defining the learning curve for novel therapeutic procedures is important. From our data, endoscopists experienced in therapeutic EUS can be expected to achieve a reduction in procedure time of EUS-GBD over successive cases, with efficiency reached at 41 minutes and a learning rate of 19 cases. Continued improvement was also noted with additional experience. AEs may be more related to patient comorbidities than procedural technique. Additional studies are required for further validation and exploration of these results.
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