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ABSTRACT
This document is the updated 2019 revision of the EFSUMB Clinically Safety Statement. A Safety Statement has been published by EFSUMB annually since 1994 by the Safety Committee (ECMUS) of the federation. The text is deliberately brief and gives a concise overview of safety in the use of diagnostic ultrasound.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

General Information
Diagnostic ultrasound has been widely used in clinical medicine for many years with no proven deleterious effects. Biological effects (such as localized pulmonary capillary bleeding) have been reported in mammalian systems at diagnostically relevant exposures, but the clinical significance of such effects is not fully known [1]. Consequently, diagnostic ultrasound can be considered safe only if used prudently.

Ultrasound examinations should be performed only by competent personnel who are trained and updated on safety matters.

It is important that ultrasound devices are CE- (European Conformity) approved and appropriately maintained. The range of clinical applications is becoming wider, the number of patients undergoing ultrasound examinations is increasing and new techniques with higher acoustic output levels and new pulse emission sequences are being introduced. It is therefore essential to maintain vigilance to ensure the continued safe use of ultrasound.
Ultrasound produces heating, pressure changes and mechanical disturbances in tissue. Diagnostic levels of ultrasound are capable of producing temperature rises that may be hazardous to sensitive organs and the embryo/fetus. Biological effects of non-thermal origin have been reported in animals [2], but to date, no such effects have been demonstrated in humans, except when a microbubble contrast agent is present.

The ALARA-Principle

The Thermal Index (TI) is an on-screen guide for the user regarding the potential for tissue heating. The Mechanical Index (MI) is an on-screen guide with respect to the likelihood and magnitude of non-thermal effects. Users should remain aware of both indices while scanning, especially when changing scan modes, and should adjust the machine controls to keep them as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA principle) without compromising the diagnostic value of the examination [3]. Where low values cannot be achieved, examination times should be kept as short as possible.

Adapt your Pre-sets

The scanner pre-sets should be set so that the default power for a given examination is at the minimum level necessary. In obstetric applications, this default power should result in a TI no higher than 0.7. The output should be increased during the examination only if this is necessary to produce a satisfactory diagnostic result. Some modes are more likely than others to produce significant acoustic outputs, and when these modes are used, particular care should be taken to regularly check the TI and MI Indices.

Doppler mode

Spectral pulse-wave Doppler and Doppler imaging modes (color flow imaging and power Doppler imaging), in particular, can produce more tissue heating and hence higher thermal indices, as can B-mode techniques involving coded transmissions. During scanning, the ALARA principle should be followed [5, 6].

Elastography mode

In general, a longer acoustical pulse sequence is needed in elastographic mode (but not in conventional strain imaging) than for B-mode imaging. Since the algorithms used to calculate TI for most imaging modes are not appropriate for elastography, it should be noted that the TI and MI displayed for these applications may represent an underestimate of the temperature rises and mechanical effects to be expected. In acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI), the temperature has its maximum at the focus, and significant temperature rises may occur if bone lies in the beam. The scanning times should be kept short, especially when exposing vulnerable tissues [7].

Available safety information during clinical scanning

The embryo/fetus in early pregnancy is known to be particularly sensitive. In view of this and the fact that very little information is currently available regarding possible subtle biological effects of diagnostic levels of ultrasound on the developing human embryo or fetus, care should be taken to limit the exposure time and the TI and MI indices to the minimum commensurate with an acceptable clinical assessment, particularly when the TI exceeds 0.7. In this case the exposure time has to be reduced and only TIs less than 3.0 are permitted.

Based on scientific evidence of ultrasound-induced biological effects to date, there is no reason to withhold diagnostic scanning during pregnancy, provided it is medically indicated and is used prudently by fully trained operators. This includes routine scanning of pregnant women.

Exposure of sensitive organs in the case of Doppler or Cardiotocography

Temperature rises are likely to be greatest at bone surfaces and adjacent soft tissues. With increasing mineralization of fetal bones, the possibility of heating sensitive tissues such as brain, eye and spinal cord increases. The TI value has to be monitored during these scans and must be less than 1.0. The exposure time has to be reduced if the lung or intestine is scanned at MI values above 0.3. Extra vigilance is advised when scanning such critical fetal structures at any stage in pregnancy and the ALARA principle should be applied.

However, Doppler ultrasound examinations should not be used routinely in the first trimester of pregnancy. The power levels used for fetal heart rate monitoring (cardiotocography – CTG) are sufficiently low, so that the use of this modality is not contraindicated on safety grounds, even when it is to be used for extended periods [4, 8].

Harmonic imaging mode

Tissue harmonic imaging mode can sometimes involve higher MI values. Users should be aware of the MI value displayed during scanning.

3D/4D mode

Three-dimensional imaging does not introduce any additional safety considerations, particularly if there are significant pauses during scanning to study or manipulate the reconstructed images. However, four-dimensional (real-time three-dimensional) scanning involves continuous exposure, and users should guard against the temptation to prolong examination times unduly in an effort to improve the recorded image sequence beyond that which is necessary for diagnostic purposes.

Ultrasound Exposure During Pregnancy

Three-dimensional imaging does not introduce any additional safety considerations, particularly if there are significant pauses during scanning to study or manipulate the reconstructed images. However, four-dimensional (real-time three-dimensional) scanning involves continuous exposure, and users should guard against the temptation to prolong examination times unduly in an effort to improve the recorded image sequence beyond that which is necessary for diagnostic purposes.
Safety Considerations when Scanning Neonates or the Eye

Particular care should be taken to reduce the risk of thermal and non-thermal effects during cardiac, pulmonal and cranial investigations of neonates. When scanning the neonatal brain, the TI should never exceed 3.0 and the duration of ultrasound exposure if TI is > 0.7 should be restricted. However, there is experimental evidence that transducer self-heating can lead to a significant temperature rise at the skin surface, and so scanning times and exposure levels should be kept as low as possible [9].

In ophthalmological applications the TI could greatly underestimate the actual temperature rise in the eye because of the inappropriate underlying tissue model. Therefore, scanning has to be done with very low exposure levels compared to other diagnostic investigations. To reduce the risk of bioeffects, it is prudent to use TI < 1, and MI < 0.23 for eye scanning [10].

Safety information concerning Ultrasound Contrast Agents

These usually take the form of stable gas-filled microbubbles, which can potentially produce cavitation or microstreaming, the risk of which increases with the MI, scanning time and lower frequency. Data from small animal models suggest that microvascular damage or rupture is possible. Caution should be considered for the use of ultrasound contrast agents in tissues where damage to the microvasculature could have serious clinical implications, such as the brain and the eye [10–12]. As in all diagnostic ultrasound procedures, the MI and TI should be continually checked and kept as low as possible.

It is possible to induce premature ventricular contractions in contrast-enhanced echocardiography when using high MI values and end-systolic triggering. Users should take appropriate precautions in these circumstances.

The use of contrast agents is still off-label in pregnancy, renal assessment and in intravenous application in pediatric patients. Specifically for the assessment of focal liver lesions in pediatrics, the application of a contrast agent has been approved [13, 14]. Intravenous and intracavity application of ultrasound contrast agents should be avoided 24 hours prior to extra-corporeal shock wave therapy [15, 16]. Since a rare allergic response to these agents has been seen (1:10 000, [14]), it is recommended that an “allergy kit” be readily available at all times during these procedures.

Current safety statements are available on EFSUMB’s website, while only a few have been published in Ultraschall in der Medizin/European Journal of Ultrasound or in the EFSUMB Newsletter [17, 18].
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