Introduction

Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is the most commonly performed bariatric surgery in the world mainly due to favorable weight loss, comorbidity resolution, and a relatively simple technique [1]. Complications after LSG include leaks, bleeding, and strictures [2]. Leaks have been reported in the range of 1% to 2.9% of cases [3, 4] and carry considerable morbidity and mortality. A multidisciplinary approach is essential to avoid severe long-term morbidity. Endoscopic procedures are commonly preferred over more invasive surgical approaches and endoscopic septotomy has been proposed as an effective technique for chronic LSG leak treatment [5]. This relatively new procedure allows for fluid drainage from the abscess cavity into the stomach by dividing the septum that separate the abscess from the gastric lumen, thus equalizing cavity pressures and promoting secretion flow into the gastrointestinal tract. These changes result in eventual abscess cavity collapse and clinical resolution. The aim of this article is to report our experi-
ence with this advanced endoscopic technique with patients that have presented leaks after LSG.

**Patients and methods**

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained for this retrospective study. Inpatient admission records from January 2016 to December 2018 were queried to identify all patients with a diagnosis of post LSG leak and subsequent endoscopic septotomy. Patients were diagnosed according to symptoms, upper gastrointestinal contrast studies, and computed tomography (CT scan). Our algorithm for approaching suspected leaks after LSG is detailed in **Fig. 1**.

**Technique description**

As a precaution, we prefer to perform the operation in a full operating room under general anesthesia rather than an endoscopy suite. The patient is positioned supine and a front-viewing endoscope with a disposable distal attachment is used to intubate the esophagus in the standard fashion. A complete survey of the anatomy must be accomplished to evaluate the entire stomach in order to rule out distal strictures or axial deviation. The relationship of the leak orifice to the gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) is determined and documented. Previous imaging studies should be available to compare with the endoscopic findings for confirmation of anatomy and location of the leak. We routinely performed sleeve dilation to address any sleeve axis deviation and treat any possible strictures. Dilation is performed using a 30 mm achalasia balloon, insufflated to a pressure of 15 psi for 1 minute, and repeated on three occasions. The abscess cavity is then inspected and entered, if possible, for thorough irrigation. Loose tissue fragments should be removed and aspirated as needed carefully to minimize contamination while allowing for removal of necrotic tissue and any foreign bodies. A division of the septum dividing the gastric lumen and the abscess cavity is carried out using a Triangle Tip

**Fig. 1** Leak management algorithm. CT, computed tomography; UGI, upper gastrointestinal series; NPO: nothing by mouth; HD, hemodynamic; IR, interventional radiology; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; TG + EJ, total gastrectomy + esophagojejunostomy; RYGB, roux-en-y gastric bypass; GJL, lateral gastrojejunostomy.
Knife (Olympus, Japan) and electrosurgical energy. Division of the septum is considered complete when the entire abscess cavity communicates with the gastric lumen, thus allowing drainage of secretion into the lumen of the stomach. (▶ Fig. 2) An overview and technical description of the procedure is included for reference.

Nutritional support
Nutritional support in patients following an endoscopic septotomy procedure consists of TPN supplementation and advancing oral feeding as tolerated by the patient. This approach does not differ in patients with early, late or chronic leaks, as the septotomy is only performed when there is a well-defined, walled off perigastric abscess cavity, with no communication with the abdominal cavity.

Results
Five patients meeting inclusion criteria of leak after LSG and treatment with endoscopic septotomy were identified. All had their primary procedures performed at an outside hospital. Previous attempts at leak closure (surgical washout, drain placement, stents or over-the-scope clips placement) were unsuccessful. Three patients had reoperations and drain placement (one laparotomy and two laparoscopies), and two had percutaneous abscess drainage prior to transfer. Mean patient age was 51 years (range 40–69), mean body mass index at the time of septotomy was 40.5 kg/m² (range 30.8–50.7), and four patients were female. All patients presented due to abdominal pain. Mean time from LSG to leak presentation was 15 days (range 7–25), and all leaks were located in the upper third of the sleeve. Mean time from leak presentation to septotomy procedure was 61 days (range 21–110). Two patients were found to have a stricture at time of endoscopy; however, all patients were treated with sleeve dilation, with a particular emphasis on the incisura angularis, before septotomy using achalectasia balloons. Mean operative time was 79 minutes (range 55–125). No procedure-related morbidity or mortality occurred; however, one patient required repeat septotomy due to poor clinical improvement. Despite repeated intervention, the patient experienced treatment failure, ultimately resulting in total gastrectomy with esophagojejunostomy for definitive management. Mean follow-up for the remaining four patients was 14.25 months (range 6–26), and the average time for success (defined as fistula closure on endoscopic visualization) was 60.25 days (range 34–82) (▶ Fig. 3). Postoperative upper gastrointestinal series and computed tomography scans were used to confirm leak closure. At the time of reported follow-up, patient weight loss was not affected. All patients continued to lose weight as expected following a LSG. Patient data are further included in ▶ Table 1.

Discussion
Many factors have been associated with increased risk of leak after LSG [6]. Likewise, leaks can be classified according to the time of presentation as acute (within 7 days), early (within 1–6 weeks), late (after 6 weeks), and chronic (after 12 weeks) [7]. Treatment is primarily based on this classification. A systematic review, which included 4888 patients, described that 79% of leaks after LSG occurred after hospital discharge, more than ten days after index surgery [4]. In our cohort, all the patients were initially treated at the hospital where the index operation occurred, with either surgical or percutaneous drainage, stenting, and broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy. Although no standardized protocol has been adopted, there is consensus regarding the need to control leakage, establish drainage of the abscess cavity, and promote closure of the fistula. Non-opera-
Endoscopic septotomy for chronic fistulas should be offered whenever possible. Our group only resorts to surgery for patients in whom all endoscopic options have been exhausted. Moreover, leak treatment must also include optimal antibiotic therapy, nutritional support, and adequate drainage.

Endoscopic stents have been proven an effective treatment option for acute or early leaks with success rates of 84–94% [8]. Most of these devices are covered or partially covered metal and plastic stents designed for use in the esophagus and colon and repurposed for use in gastric leak treatment. Migration has been reported up to 60% and can result in severe morbidity [9, 10]. Mega stents have also been reported as an effective option for leak with the advantage that they can exclude the entire staple line [11]. Specifically designed bariatric stent can also address migration, but these are not currently available in the United States [12, 13]. Stenting of chronic leaks is much less effective with varied success rates ranging from 19% to 81% [14, 15]. Endoscopic closure of leaks with over-the-scope clip systems can be successful up to 86% of the time, but success rates are much lower in cases of chronic leaks due to difficulty approximating fibrous tissue [16]. We believe that the same limitations apply to novel endoscopic suturing devices. Ultimately, when endoscopic modalities have failed, revisional surgery with conversion to gastric bypass, gastrojejunal lateral anastomosis, or total gastrectomy with esophageojjunostomy may be warranted [17]. Surgical interventions are not without associated complications and sequelae that may introduce increased risks over potential benefits [17–19].

Intraluminal pressure of the stomach increases after LSG [20] and can lead to a pressure gradient favoring flow through the fistula or leak into the abscess cavity, thus leading to a vicious cycle further preventing closure. Septum division allows for equalization of stomach and abscess cavity pressures, which when combined with aggressive sleeve dilatation, flow can be redirected towards the distal portion of the sleeve [21]. Endoscopic internal drainage has been proven effective in a prior series using double plastic pigtail catheters to connect the abscess cavity and the lumen of the stomach [22]. Endoscopic septotomy for leaks after LSG was first described by Campos et al. in 2007, borrowing from diverticulotomy principles used in treatment of Zenker’s diverticulae [23, 24]. This technique has been further applied to treatment of leaks after gastric bypass and biliopancreatic diversion in addition to LSG [25].

In this report, we show our experience with endoscopic septotomy for treatment of patients with late and chronic leaks after LSG, as well as one early leak, with a success of 80% (4/5). Septotomy was an option for the patient with an early leak because of a well-defined abscess wall, even though it was early in the clinical course. One patient did not respond to endoscopic treatment and needed a total gastrectomy for definitive management of the leak. In this patient, we believe that endoscopic septotomy failed because of inability to relieve the distal sleeve stricture, despite multiple attempts at dilation using an achalasia balloon. Without resolving the distal stricture, intraluminal sleeve pressures remained high, which did not favor abscess drainage into the cavity and eventual resolution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Time to leak (days)</th>
<th>Leak location</th>
<th>Stricture</th>
<th>Septotomy</th>
<th>Operative time (min)</th>
<th>Morbidity</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>Additional procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Upper third</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Upper third</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Upper third</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Upper third</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Re-septotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Upper third</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Patient who due to septotomy and treatment failure underwent to total gastrectomy.
formed septotomies in nine patients after LSG, being successful in 100% with just one perforation due to concomitant dilatation. In 2016, Campos et al [27] defined their technique using argon plasma coagulation and Needle-Knife (Boston Scientific, Massachusetts, United States) for septum section in a female patient 60 days after LSG with resolution after two sessions. Mahadev et al [28] showed one of the most significant experiences in a multicenter study completed in the United States. The authors performed nine septotomies, using either Needle-Knife or argon plasma beam, with 66 % of resolution, and no adverse events related to the septotomy. Of note, this is the only publication which reports average procedure duration time of 87.2 minutes, similar to our average time of 79 minutes. Shnell et al [29] reported 10 patients with late/chronic leaks after LSG. Septotomy was performed using argon plasma coagulation plus balloon dilatation in eight patients. The two remaining patients were treated using through-the-scope dilatation of the fistula up to 20 mm to achieve septum obliteration with success. Authors did not have any significant complications or adverse events related to the septotomy procedure. Details of the three largest series are summarized in ▶ Table 2.

Currently, only argon plasma and Needle-Knife have been reported as cutting devices to perform septotomy, and due to inflammation, the septum is prone to bleeding. Endoscopists should use the device and hemostatic technology with which they feel most comfortable. In our experience, we prefer to use the Triangle Tip Knife instead of argon plasma and we have not had experienced any bleeding issues [5]. Of note, other authors perform selective dilatation of the sleeve only in cases where a stricture is identified. In our cohort, only two strictures were endoscopically confirmed, but still dilate all our cases in order to achieve maximum distal gastric patency for optimal drainage. This aggressive strategy may partly contribute to our 80 % single-session success rate.

The limitations of this study include its small sample size and its retrospective methodology. Owing to the current low incidence of leak after LSG, developing prospective randomized-controlled studies could be challenging to design and carry out.

## Conclusion

Endoscopic septotomy is a practical and effective approach for patients with early, late, and chronic leaks after LSG when the perigastric cavity and fistulous tract are well established. Endoscopic interventions may lead to avoiding high morbidity surgical procedures. Aggressive endoscopic sleeve dilation allows for improved drainage of the cavity and a greater chance for success.
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