

# Mapping Robust Genetic Variants Associated with Exercise Responses

## Authors

Javier Alvarez-Romero<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Voisin<sup>1</sup>, Nir Eynon<sup>1,2</sup>, Danielle Hiam<sup>1</sup> 

## Affiliations

- 1 Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia
- 2 MCRI, Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Parkville, Australia

## Key words

trainability, aerobic exercise, exercise genetics, resistance exercise, SNPs, genetic variants

accepted 01.06.2020

published online 22.07.2020

## Bibliography

Int J Sports Med 2021; 42: 3–18

DOI 10.1055/a-1198-5496

ISSN 0172-4622

© 2020. Thieme. All rights reserved.

Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

## Correspondence

Dr. Nir Eynon  
 Institute of Sports Exercise and Active Living, Victoria University  
 8001 Melbourne, Australia  
 Tel.: +61 399195615, Fax: +61 399195615  
 Nir.Eynon@vu.edu.au

## ABSTRACT

This review summarised robust and consistent genetic variants associated with aerobic-related and resistance-related phenotypes. In total we highlight 12 SNPs and 7 SNPs that are robustly associated with variance in aerobic-related and resistance-related phenotypes respectively. To date, there is very little literature ascribed to understanding the interplay between genes and environmental factors and the development of physiological traits. We discuss future directions, including large-scale exercise studies to elucidate the functional relevance of the discovered genomic markers. This approach will allow more rigour and reproducible research in the field of exercise genomics.

## Introduction

Both aerobic and strength exercise training lower the incidence of many chronic diseases via a number of mechanisms, including increased skeletal muscle mitochondrial function [1], modulation of the sympathetic nervous and immune systems, and optimization of the neuroendocrine system [2]. These mechanisms act as buffers against chronic diseases, minimizing inflammatory state, and enhancing neuroplasticity and growth factor expression [3]. However, large inter-individual differences exist in the physiological responses to any given exercise training (also called “trainability”) [4, 5], and recently new statistical methods have been developed to properly isolate individual responses from random error [6]. Large trainability has been observed in many physical fitness parameters [7], including maximal oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>max) [8, 9], resting heart rate [9], exercise heart rate [9], aerobic threshold [10],

anaerobic threshold [9], resting muscle glycogen content, muscle enzyme activity [11], as well as muscle mass and strength [12, 13].

The heritable component of trainability is large, with genetics explaining 47% of the variance in VO<sub>2</sub> peak trainability, and around 52% in resistance variability [14]. The contribution of familial factors (genetics and environment) to trainability was demonstrated in the seminal HERITAGE family study [15]. This study indicated that VO<sub>2</sub>max was more variable between families than within families at baseline [16], and in response to exercise training [17], thus suggesting that DNA sequence variations could modulate exercise responses [4, 18]. Pinpointing the responsible gene variants could illuminate the fundamental mechanisms driving this heterogeneity in response to exercise training [18].

The genetic contribution to trainability has been investigated by two different approaches: candidate genes and genome-wide association (GWAS) study. The GWAS approach involves scanning

several hundred thousand (currently up to 5 million) DNA markers across the human genome to find genetic variations associated with a particular trait. One of the advantages of the GWAS approach is that it is unbiased and hypothesis-free. In contrast, candidate gene studies require knowledge of the trait of interest and is particularly useful to validate the functional impact of gene loci such as those identified by GWAS [19]. GWAS have demonstrated that trainability is polygenic (i. e., influenced by many genetic variants), and that people harbouring the same genotypes in specific gene variants respond more similarly to exercise training than people harbouring different genotypes [20–23]. These variants may modulate gene expression that is essential to the molecular adaptation to exercise training, since molecular processes mediate metabolism, angiogenesis, cardiac and skeletal myofibre hypertrophy, and other processes that lead to better fitness [24].

While many SNPs have been associated with exercise response and trainability. The vast majority of the genes previously identified have not been replicated [25]. Replication in an independent cohort is important as it increases the likelihood that results are true and reduces the number of false positives [26, 27]. In this review we summarised SNPs associated with both resistance and aerobic trainability and have been replicated in two independent cohorts. In addition, we have screened these SNPs with the goal of identifying SNPs at trainability-associated loci that may have functional relevance. Further, we discussed future directions of performing large-scale exercise studies to elucidate the functional relevance of the discovered genomic markers. This approach will allow more rigour and reproducible research in the field of exercise genomics.

## Materials and Methods

To provide a robust and comprehensive narrative review, a semi-structured search was performed (July 2019) to identify all studies relating to genetic variants and exercise trainability. Three electronic databases (PUBMED, MEDLINE and SCOPUS) were used to identify relevant articles using the following keywords “genes”, “genome”, “exercise”, “physical activity”, “aerobic capacity”, “resistance”, “strength”, “power”. We excluded studies where the sole focus was on populations with a diagnosed medical condition such type 2 diabetes mellitus, any inflammatory conditions, and cardiovascular disease. Articles were separated in two categories: genetic variants associated with either aerobic or resistance trainability (► **Tables 1** and ► **2**). This review was conducted in accordance with the IJSM’s ethical standards of the journal [28]

Finally, we selected SNPs that were classified as robust and separated them according to whether they were related to the aerobic trainability or resistance trainability. We chose this criteria as it reflects the reliability of the findings and increases the likelihood that there is true association of the SNP with trainability [27]. It also allows us to identify and summarise SNPs with biological relevance which is useful for researchers to ‘select’ candidate SNPs to identify causality and purpose of gene [29].

SNPs were considered robust if:

- 1) Consistent association with a given phenotype in at least two independent cohorts.

- 2) SNPs were shown to have functional relevance in an animal model or cell culture, with gene expression/DNA methylation Quantitative Trait Loci (QTLs) analysis or network, and enrichment analysis.

## Aerobic Trainability

Twin and family studies indicate that ~22–57 % of aerobic fitness variability between individuals can be explained by genetics and therefore plays an important role in the range of aerobic phenotypes observed in a population [30]. Here, we briefly describe some of the robust SNPs that have been associated with aerobic trainability, which means they were replicated in at least 2 independent cohorts and were shown to have functional relevance.

A bioinformatic analysis study conducted by Ghosh et al. found that the greatest number of SNPs were annotated to the PPAR signalling pathway suggesting its importance in  $VO_{2max}$  trainability [31]. As such the most widely studied genes within this pathway are the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (*PPARA*, *PPARG*, and *PPARD*) and their transcriptional coactivators (*PPARGC1A* and *PPARGC1B*). These genes have been linked to multiple aerobic phenotypes, including muscle morphology, aerobic capacity and endurance performance [32, 33]. *PPARD* is expressed predominantly in adipocytes and skeletal muscle where it promotes fatty acid oxidation [34]. In the HERITAGE family study, the rs2016520 SNP (C allele) located in *PPARD* was associated with reduced  $VO_{2max}$  and maximal power output after a 20 week endurance training intervention in African-Americans but not in Caucasians [35]. *In vitro* and animal studies show that the minor allele (C allele) in this SNP (rs2016520) results in higher *PPARD* transcriptional activity, which in turn promotes lipid accumulation and the alters normal regulation of lipid uptake and storage [34, 36, 37]. In a European cohort it was shown that the *PPARD* rs2267668 SNP was associated with  $VO_{2peak}$  and anaerobic threshold after a 9-month lifestyle intervention [38]. They then confirmed that in human primary cell lines that those carrying the minor allele at rs2267668 (G allele) were associated with lower mitochondrial activity, demonstrating a potential functional effect [38]. Taken together, *PPARD* locus may play a role in aerobic trainability, but larger cohorts of different ancestries and, more in depth functional studies to determine causal SNP are needed to confirm this.

The transcriptional co-activator *PPARGC1A* interacts with *PPARD* and regulates mitochondrial biogenesis, angiogenesis, lipolysis and adipogenesis [39]. Four candidate gene studies, predominantly in men, found consistent associations of rs8192678 within *PPARGC1A* and aerobic capacity in Europeans [38, 40–42]. While in the Han Chinese cohort another nearby SNP (rs6821591) was associated with  $VO_{2max}$  specifically, the G allele was associated with increased  $VO_{2max}$  compared to those carrying the A allele [43]. Work conducted in a Han Chinese cohort found that the *PPARGC1A* rs6821591 SNP had functional significance as gene expression was altered and this was dependent on genotype (A v G allele) with the G allele displaying increased PGC-1 $\alpha$  gene expression [44]. Overexpression of PGC-1 $\alpha$  in an animal model showed increased Type 1 fibres in muscles that are normally Type II fibre type dense and this induced increases in resistance to fatigue, inferring increased aerobic capacity [45]. These population-specific results indicate that it is the

► **Table 1** Gene variants associated with aerobic trainability.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/Country / ethnicity	Chromosome	Annotated gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+ / - / 0)	Intervention (if any)/exercise	Duration	Type of study
<b>Alves (2009)</b> [92]	N = 83	Males only	20–35 yrs	Brazil	17 1	ACE ATG	rs4340 rs699	ACE (0) VO <sub>2max</sub> TT (+) LVM	Moderate intensity endurance training	3 days/ week 16 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Bouchard 2011</b> [23]	N = 742	Males (N/A) and Females	17–65 yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian and African-American U.S.A	4 6 9 3 9 3 1 1 20 11 14 15 11 14 2 4 11 3 22 11 6	ACSL1 PRDM1 GRIN3A KCNH8 C9orf27 ZIC4 CAM1A1 RGS78 BIRC7 DBX1 DAAM1 NDN CXCR5 TTC6 LOC400950 LOC100289626 LOC100130460 NLGN1 MN1 CD44 ENPP3	rs6552828 rs10499043 rs1535628 rs4973706 rs12115454 rs11715829 rs884736 rs10921078 rs6090314 rs10500872 rs1956197 rs824205 rs7933007 rs12896790 rs4952535 rs2053896 rs2198009 rs2030398 rs738353 rs353625 rs10452621	(+) VO <sub>2max</sub>	Endurance training Moderate: at 55% HR first two weeks and intense: last 6 weeks 75% HR	20 weeks	GWAS
<b>Dionne (1991)</b> [93]	Males N = 46	Males only	17–29 yrs	Canada, USA	Mitochondria	MTND2 MTND5		MTN2 (-) VO <sub>2max</sub> MTND5 (+) VO <sub>2max</sub>	Endurance training at 85% of HRR	3–5 days/ week 20 weeks	Candidate gene
<b>Hautala et al. 2007</b> [35]	N = 478	Males (48.3%) and Females	17–65 yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian and African-American Canada, U.S.A	22	PPARD	rs2016520 rs2076167	African American only rs2016520 CC (-) VO <sub>2max</sub> , PPO rs2076167 (0)	Endurance training moderate 55% of VO <sub>2</sub> and absolute 75% of VO <sub>2</sub> intensity	20 weeks	Candidate gene
<b>He et al. 2008</b> [94]	N = 181	Males only	19 ± 1	Han Chinese	7 15	NRF-1 NRF-1 NRF-2	rs2402970 rs6949152 rs6949152	rs2402970 CC (+) VT, RE rs6949152 AA (+) VT, RE rs6949152 AA (+) VO <sub>2max</sub>	Endurance training 95% to 105% ventilatory threshold	18 weeks	Candidate gene

► Table 1 Continued.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/Country / ethnicity	Chromosome	Annotated gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+/-/0)	Intervention (if any)/exercise	Duration	Type of study
He et al. 2006 [95]	N=181	Males only	19±1	Han Chinese	11	HBB	rs10768683	C (+) RE	Endurance training 95% to 105% ventilatory threshold	18 weeks	Candidate gene
He et al. 2007 [96]	N=181	Males only	19±1	Han Chinese	15	NRF-2 NRF-2 NRF-2	rs12594956 rs8031031 rs7181866	ATG haplotype (+) RE	Endurance training 95% to 105% ventilatory threshold	18 weeks	Candidate gene
He et al. 2008 [43]	N=181	Males only	19±1	Han Chinese	4 4 4	PPARGC1A PPARGC1A PPARGC1A	rs17847357 rs8192678 rs6821591	rs17847357, rs8192678 (0) VO2max rs6821591 G (+) VO2max	Endurance training High intensity 95% to 105% HR	18 weeks	Candidate gene
He et al. 2010 [97]	N=181	Males only	19±1	Han Chinese	4 4 4 2 9	PPP3CA PPP3CA PPP3CA PPP3R1 PPP3R2	rs2850965 rs3804423 rs3804358 rs4671887 rs3739723	G (+) VO2max G (+) VO2max G (+) VO2max A (+) VO2max A (+) RE	Aerobic endurance 95% to 105% of ventilatory threshold	18 weeks	Candidate gene
He et al. 2010 [98]	N=181	Males only	19±1	Han Chinese	8 8 8 8	PPP3CC PPP3CC PPP3CC PPP3CC PPP3CC	rs1879793 rs1075534 rs7430 rs2461483 rs10108011	CC (+) SV AA (+) SV, CO GG (+) SV CC (+) SV GG (+) SV	Aerobic endurance 95% to 105% of ventilatory threshold	18 weeks	Candidate gene
Leon et al. 2004 [99]	N=766	Males (43%) and Females	17–65yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian and African-American U.S.A	19	APOE	E2, E3, E4	(0)VO2max	Endurance training Moderate: at 55% HR first two weeks and intense: last 6 weeks 75% HR	20 weeks	Candidate Gene
McKenzie 2011 [22]	N=109	Males (46.7%) and Females	50–75yrs	Caucasian U.S.A	14	AKT1	rs1130214	Men: GG (+) VO2max Females: (0)	Aerobic training moderate 50–70%	24 weeks	Candidate gene
McPhee et al 2011 [100]	N=58	Females only	Age 18–37yrs	Caucasian UK	14	HIF1A	rs11549465	T (+) VO2max	Aerobic 75–90% of HRmax	6 weeks	Candidate gene
Pickering et al. 2018 [42]	N=42	Males only	16–19yrs	European (UK)	4	PPARGC1A VEGF ADBR2 ADBR2 CRP	rs8192678 rs2010963 rs1042713 rs1042714 1205	Endurance genotype (+) Yo-Yo Test	Aerobic training moderate to intense	8 weeks	Candidate gene

► **Table 1** Continued.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/Country / ethnicity	Chromosome	Annotated gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+ / - / 0)	Intervention (if any)/exercise	Duration	Type of study
<b>Prior et al. 2003</b> [101]	N= 233	Males (39.3 %) and Females	50–75 yrs	Caucasian and African-American U.S.A	14	HIF1A	rs28708675 rs11549465	African American cohort: rs28708675 AA (+) VO2max Caucasian cohort: rs11549465 CC (+) VO2max	Aerobic training moderate 50–70 %	24 weeks	Candidate gene
<b>Prior et al. 2006</b> [102]	N= 146	Males (42 %) and Females	50–75 yrs	Caucasian and African-American U.S.A	6	VEGF	rs699947 rs1570360 rs2010963	AAG & CGC haplotypes (+) VO2max	Aerobic training moderate 50–70 %	24 weeks	Candidate gene
<b>Rankinen et al. 2000</b> [103]	N=472	Males (49 %) and Females	Age 17–65 yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian U.S.A	1	ATPIA2	Polymorphisms at exon 1 and 21–22	2α haplotype (+) VO2max and PP	Endurance training Moderate: at 55 % HR first two weeks and intense: last 6 weeks 75 % HR	20 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Rankinen et al. 2000</b> [104]	N=472	Males (48.7 %) and females	Age 17–65 yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian U.S.A	17	ACE ATG	rs4340 rs699	Males: ACE I/D (0) ATG M (+) reduced diastolic blood pressure. Females: ACE I/D (0) ATG M/T (0)	Endurance training Moderate: at 55 % HR first two weeks and intense: last 6 weeks 75 % HR	20 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Rico-Sanz et al. 2003</b> [105]	N= 779	Males (N/A) and Females	Age 17–65 yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian and African-American U.S.A	1	AMPD1	rs17602729	TT (-) VO2max	Endurance training Moderate: at 55 % HR first two weeks and intense: last 6 weeks 75 % HR	20 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Ring-Dimiriou et al. 2014</b> [40]	<b>N= 24</b>	Males only	45–65 yrs	Austria	4	PPARGC1A	rs8192678	GG (+) VO <sub>2peak</sub>	70–90% of Vo <sub>2peak</sub>	3 days/ week 10 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Rivera et al. 1997</b> [106]	N= 240	Males (47.5 %) and Females	17–65 yrs	HERITAGE study Caucasian and African-American U.S.A	19	CKMM	rs8111989	CC (-) VO2max	Endurance training Moderate: at 55 % HR first two weeks and intense: last 6 weeks 75 % HR	20 weeks	Candidate Gene

► Table 1 Continued.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/Country / ethnicity	Chromosome	Annotated gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+ / - / 0)	Intervention (if any)/exercise	Duration	Type of study
<b>Sonna et al. 2001</b> [107]	N = 147	Males (42.2%) and Female	Age 21.7 ± 3.6 yrs	USA: 57% Caucasians, 25% African-Americans, 14% Hispanics, 3% Asians, and 1% Native American	17	ACE	rs1799752	ACE I/D (0) VO <sub>2max</sub>	2 aerobic days and 2 strength training days per week	8 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Stefan et al. (2007)</b> [38]	N = 136	Males (46%) and Females	Age 19–67 yrs	Germany	22 22 22 22 4	PPARD PPARD PPARD PPARD PPARGC1A	rs2267668 rs6902123 rs2076167 rs1053049 rs8192678	rs2267668 G (-) AT, VO <sub>2peak</sub> rs6902123 (0) rs2076167 (0) rs1053049 (0) rs8192678 A (-) AT	Unsupervised: 3 h of moderate sports per week	9 months	Candidate Gene
<b>Steinbacher et al. 2015</b> [41]	N = 28	Males Only	50–69 yrs	Austria	4	PPARGC1A	rs8192678	AA (-) decreased fibre type 1 transformation	70–90% of VO <sub>2peak</sub>	3 days/ week 10 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Yoo et al. 2016</b> [108]	N = 79	Males (64.6%) and Females	Age 30–60 yrs	Korea	12 18 2 3 6 2 2	AMN1 CDH2 ASB3 SRGAP3 UST PJM2 KCNH7	rs11051548 rs2542729 rs1451462 rs13060995 rs6570913 rs11096663 rs12613181	(+) VO2 max (+) VO2 max (+) VO2 max (+) VO2 max (+) VO2 max (+) VO2 max (+) VO2 max	HIIT 60%–84% of VO2max	9 weeks	GWAS
<b>Yu et al. 2014</b> [109]	N = 360	Males (50%) and Females	Age 18–40 yrs	China	19	APOE	E2, E3, E4	E2/E3 (+) VO2max E3/E4 (+) VO2max	Aerobic 60%–85%	6 months	Candidate gene
<b>Zarebska et al. 2014</b> [110]	N = 66	Females only	Age 19–24 yrs	Caucasian Poland	11	GSTP1	rs1695	G (+) VO2max and VEmax	Aerobic training 50% to 70% of HRmax	12 weeks	Candidate gene
<b>Zhou et al. 2006</b> [111]	N = 102	Males Only	18.8 ± 0.9 yrs	China	19	CKMM	rs1803285	AG (-) RE	Distance running program 95–105% of VT	18 weeks	Candidate Gene

AT, Anaerobic Threshold; CO, Cardiac Output; VT, Ventilatory Threshold; RE, Running Economy; LVM, left ventricular mass; N/A, information not available; RP, Running Performance; SV, Stroke Volume.

► **Table 2** Gene variants associated with resistance trainability.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/ County of origin/ethnicity	Chromosome	Gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+/-/0)	Intervention	Duration	Type of study
<b>Ash (2016)</b> [65]	N = 602	Males (38.5%) and Females	Age 18–40 yrs	FAMuSS study: Predominately European-American Ancestry	5	NR3C1	rs10482614 rs10482616 rs4634384	Females: rs4634384 T (+) Hypertrophy Males: rs10482616 GG (+) MVC rs10482614 AA (+) MVC	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	12 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Charbonneau (2008)</b> [55]	N = 243	Males (35.3%) And Females	Age 50–85 yrs	U.S.A. Caucasian	17	ACE	rs1799752	Females: ACE (0) Males: ACE (0)	Knee Extension unilateral resistance program	10 weeks 3days/weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Clarkson (2005)</b> [66]	N = 602	Males (41%) and Females	Age 18–40 yrs	FAMuSS study: Predominately European-American Ancestry	11	ACTN3	rs1815739	Females: ACTN3 XX (+) Maximal dynamic strength (1RM). Males: ACTN3 (0)	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	12 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Delmonico (2007)</b> [112]	N = 157	Males (45.2%) and Females	Age = 50–85yrs	Caucasian USA	11	ACTN3	rs1815739	Females: ACTN3 RR (+) PP Males: ACTN3 (0)	Knee Extension unilateral resistance program	3days/week 10 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Erskine (2012)</b> [113]	N = 51	Males only	Age 20.3 ± 3.1 yrs	Caucasian UK	8	PTK2	rs7843014 rs7460	rs7843014 AA (+) Strength (MVC) rs7460 TT (+) Strength (MVC)	Knee Extension unilateral resistance program	3days/week 9 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Erskine (2013)</b> [61]	N = 51	Males only	Age 20.3 ± 3.1 yrs	Caucasian UK	17 11	ACE ACTN3	rs1799752 rs1815739	ACE (0) ACTN3 (0)	Knee Extension unilateral resistance program	3days/week 9 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Folland (2000)</b> [56]	N = 33	Males only	Age 18–30 yrs	UK	17	ACE	rs4646994	Isometric training: ACE DD/ID (+) Isometric strength (MVC) Dynamic training: ACE DD/ID (0)	Isometric Training Dynamic training	3days/week 9 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Giacaglia (2006)</b> [57]	N = 213	Males (N/A) and Females	Age > 60yrs	Predominately Males and Females of European-American Ancestry	17	ACE	rs4646994	ACE DD (+) strength (MVC)	Light resistance training	3days/week 18 months	Candidate Gene

► Table 2 Continued.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/ Country of origin/ethnicity	Chromo- some	Gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+ / - / 0)	Intervention	Duration	Type of study
<b>Harmon (2010)</b> [67]	N = 874	Male (41.1%) and Females	Age 18–40 yrs	FAMuSS study: Predominately European-American Ancestry	17 3	CCL2 CCR2	CCL2 (rs17652343), (rs1860189), (rs3917878), (rs2857654), (rs1024611), (rs1024610), (rs3760396), (rs2857656), (rs2857657), (rs4586), (rs13900) CCR2 (rs17141010), (rs768539), (rs3918358), (rs1799864), (rs1799865).	Females: CCL2 (0) and CCR2 (0) Males: CCL2 T (rs1024610) (+) Maximal isometric strength (MVC) Males and Females CCR2 (AA) rs3918358 and (TT) rs1799865 (+) Isometric strength (MVC)	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	2 days/week 12 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>He (2019)</b> [59]	N = 40	Females only	Age 53–66 yrs	Chinese, Beijing	17	ACE	rs4646994	ACE DD (+) Maximal isometric strength (MVC), muscle hypertrophy and grip strength	Whole body resistance training	3 days/week 8 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Hong (2014)</b> [74]	N = 83	Males only	Age 22.6 ± 1.4 yrs	South Korean	11	CNTF	rs1800169	CNTF G/A (0)	Resistance training of the upper extremi- ties	3 days/week 8 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Jamshidi et al. (2002)</b> [114]	N = 144	Males only	19.6 (2.4) yrs	UK	6	PPARA	rs425778	C (+) LV mass	Upper and lower body training program	10 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Jones (2006)</b> [13]	Study 1, N = 28. Study 2 N = 39	Males only	18–20 yrs	Caucasian UK	17 11	(Power- related polygenic risk score)	ACE D (rs1799752) ACTN3 (rs1815739) ADRB2 C (rs1042714) AGT C (rs699) IL-6 G/C (rs1800795) PPARA C (rs4253778) TRHR G (rs8192676) VDR A (rs1544410)	Power genotype (+) Power (CMJ) after high intensity resistance training but not low intensity resistance training.	Low intensity (~30% of 1 RM and high repetitions) and high-intensity training (~70% of 1 RM and low repetitions) resistance training	8 weeks of high or low resistance train- ing 1 to 2 days per week	Polygenic Score



► Table 2 Continued.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/ County of origin/ethnicity	Chromo- some	Gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+/- 0)	Intervention	Duration	Type of study
<b>Keogh (2015)</b> [115]	N = 58	Males (31%) and Females	Age 69.8 ± 5.3	New Zealand (European ancestry)	17	ACE UCP2	rs4646994 rs7109266	ACE ID (0) UCP2 GG (+) Lower body strength (8ft Up and Go time)	Resistance training light to moderate intensity	2 days/week, 12 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Kostek (2005)</b> [116]	N = 67	Males (47.7%) and females	50–85 yrs	U.S.A Caucasian	12	IGF1	IGF1 192	IGF1 192/192 + 192/- (+) dynamic (1RM) muscle strength	Unilateral resistance program	10 weeks 3 days/wk	Candidate Gene
<b>Li (2014)</b> [117]	N = 94	Males only	Age 18–22 years	Han Chinese	2	MTSN	rs1805086 rs1805065	MTSN KR (+) Hypertrophy in Biceps and Quadriceps MTSN AT + TT (+) Hypertrophy in Biceps	Arm and Leg resistance training	3–4 days/ wk 8 weeks	Candidate Gene
<b>Pereira (2013)</b> [58]	N = 139	Females only	Age 65.5 (8.2)	Portugal, Caucasian	17 11	ACE ACTN3	rs1799752 rs1815739	ACE D/D (+) maximal dynamic strength 1RM, power (CMJ), functional capacity (STS) ACTN3 RR (+) maximal dynamic strength (1RM), power (CMJ), functional capacity (STS)	High-speed power training	12 weeks 3 days/week	Candidate Gene
<b>Pescatello (2006)</b> [60]	N = 631	Males (42%) and females	Age 18–40 yrs	FAMUSS study: Predominately European-Ameri- can Ancestry	17	ACE	rs4646994	Trained Arm Post Intervention: ACE II/ ID (+) Maximal Isometric strength (MVC) Untrained Arm Post Intervention: ACE DD/ID (+) maximal dynamic strength (1RM), muscle size (CSA of Type II fibres).	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	12 weeks, 2 days/week	Candidate Gene
<b>Pistilli (2008)</b> [70]	N = 748	Males (40.2%) and Females	18–40 yrs	Caucasian	10	IL15RA	rs2296135	rs2296135 CC (+) MVC	RT program	12 weeks 2 days/week	Candidate gene
<b>Reichman (2004)</b> [71]	N = 153	Males (49.6%) and Females	Aged 18–31 years	Predominantly European-Ameri- can Ancestry	10	IL15RA	rs3136617 rs3136618 rs2296135	rs3136617 C (+) muscle hypertrophy rs2296135 C (+) muscle hypertrophy	Whole body resistance training @75% of 1RM	10 weeks, 3 days/week	Candidate Gene
<b>Sprouse (2014)</b> [68]	N = 874	Males (50%) and females	Age: 18–40 years	FAMUSS study: Predominately European-Ameri- can Ancestry	8	SLC30A8	rs13266634	Females: SCL30A8 (0) Males: SCL30A8(0)	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	Acute and 12-week intervention	Candidate Gene

► Table 2 Continued.

Author, Date	Sample Size	Sex (% Males)	Age	Ancestry/ County of origin/ethnicity	Chromo- some	Gene	Variant	Genotype and training response (+ / - / 0)	Intervention	Duration	Type of study
<b>Thomis (2004)</b> [63]	N = 57	Males only	22.4 (3.7) yrs	Flemish Brabant, Belgium	17 2	ACE MTSN	rs4646994 rs1805086 rs1805065	ACE (I/D) (0) strength, isometric and concentric torque or arm muscle cross- sectional area MTSN: Unable to be determined	High resistance training program	10 weeks, 3 days/week	Candidate Gene
<b>Walsh (2009)</b> [73]	N = 745	Males (40%) and Females	Age 18–40 yrs	FAMuSS study: Predominately European-American Ancestry	11	CNTF	rs1800169	Females: CNTF GG (+) isometric (MVC) and dynamic (1RM) muscle strength Males: CNTF (0)	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	12 weeks, 2 days/wk	Candidate Gene
<b>Walsh (2012)</b> [69]	N = 560	Males (N/A) and Females	Age 18–40 yrs	FAMuSS study: Predominately European-American Ancestry	1	LEP LEPR	rs2167270 rs1137100 rs1137101 rs1805096 rs8179183	LEP (GG/GA) rs2167270 (+) Muscle hypertrophy LEPR (0)	Upper arm, Unilateral resistance program	12 weeks, 2 days/wk	Candidate Gene

1RM, one maximal repetition; CMJ, counter movement jump; CSA, cross sectional area; LVM, Left ventricle mass; MVC, maximal voluntary contraction; N/A, information not available; RT, resistance training; STS, sit to stand test.

*PPARGC1A* locus itself, rather than individual SNPs located within that locus, may be important for trainability [43, 46].

Currently 26 SNPs associated with  $VO_{2max}$  trainability were identified in a GWAS and were validated in 2 separate cohorts (detailed in ► **Table 2**) [23]. They accounted for 49 % of  $VO_{2max}$  trainability and were able to classify responders and non-responders [23, 47]. Whether these SNPs are directly involved in gene function or regulation of genes is the next step to validate these findings. The most robust is the SNP rs6552828 located near the *ACSL1* gene which was the strongest predictor (~6 %) of aerobic trainability ( $VO_{2max}$ ) [23]. It has subsequently been validated in a bioinformatics pathway analysis and found to be strongly correlated to the aerobic electron transport chain phenotype and the PPAR signalling pathway providing a robust candidate gene in  $VO_{2max}$  trainability [31]. *ACSL1* regulates lipid metabolism by facilitating the transport of long chain fatty acids into the mitochondria and is an essential step in fatty acid oxidation [48]. Timmons et al. integrated RNA profiles with genetic variants and found the following genes *CD44*, and *DAAM1*, also discovered in the Bouchard et al. GWAS, were associated with gene expression changes [49]. Gene expression of *CD44* was up-regulated in response to endurance training [49] and was strongly associated with phenotypic terms associated with aerobic exercise such as: cardiovascular physiological processes, muscle contraction, physical fitness and aerobic electron transport chain [31] indicating that this gene and any alterations to its function (i. e. via SNPs) may play an important role in aerobic trainability. While these genes certainly provide robust genes, there are still limitations in determining the causality of these particular SNPs in the molecular mechanisms affecting aerobic trainability.

Many candidate gene and GWAS studies have been conducted and this review highlights the large collection of candidate genes that have been associated with aerobic trainability. Only 12 SNPs have been robustly associated with aerobic trainability (► **Table 3**) meaning that have been validated in at least 2 independent cohorts and were shown to have some functional relevance. Subsequent studies should focus on understanding the functional role of the SNPs that have been replicated as this review highlights the lack of

understanding of the molecular mechanism and limits our understanding of aerobic trainability.

## Resistance Trainability

Muscular strength and power show a heritability estimated around 52 % [14]. Skeletal muscle strength is defined as the force produced by muscle contraction. A variety of measures have been investigated, including muscle strength, maximal voluntary contraction (MVC), 1 repetition maximum (1RM) and handgrip strength. While the production of skeletal muscle power is defined as how much force can be produced and the velocity at which it is produced. The production of power can be measured at the by undertaking tests such as Wingate's, counter movement jumps (CMJ) and vertical jumps (VJ).

The *ACE I/D* and *ACTN3 R/X* SNPs are two of the most extensively studied gene loci. We have chosen not to discuss *ACTN3* here as it has recently been reviewed in detail by Del Coso et al. [50] and instead focus on the *ACE I/D* SNP. The *ACE* gene encodes the angiotensin-converting enzyme that is a central component of the renin-angiotensin-system [51]. The *ACE I/D* results in either an insertion (I) or deletion (D) of a 287-basepair region in intron 16 of the gene [52] and can alter the levels of ACE in the blood [52]. It has recently been shown that the polymorphism can manipulate the activity of the C- and N-terminal domain in the enzyme [53]. Further, exercise can decrease the enzyme activity in the C-terminal domain and increase the activity in the N-terminal domain which results in improved blood flow and proliferation of red blood cells [53]. It is thought that the I allele confers enhanced endurance performance while the D allele is thought to confer increased muscle power and strength [54]. The D allele was consistently shown across 6 separate candidate gene studies to be associated with greater gains in strength after resistance training and this was consistent across sex and age [55–60]. While the literature is consistent regarding muscular strength, the association with muscular power is less convincing [55, 61–63]. The D allele in *ACE* was associated with CMJ in older females after a 12-week power training program [58] and in young males after a high intensity training program [13]. However, it was the I allele in *ACE* that was associated with a higher

► **Table 3** Robust SNPs associated with aerobic or resistance trainability.

Aerobic trainability			Resistance trainability		
SNP	Nearest gene	Beneficial allele	SNP	Nearest gene	Beneficial allele
rs6552828	<i>ACSL1</i>	G	rs4646994 *	<i>ACE</i>	D
rs699	<i>AGT</i>	T	rs1799752 *	<i>ACE</i>	D
rs6090314	<i>BIRC</i>	A	rs4340 *	<i>ACE</i>	D
rs12580476	<i>C12orf36</i>	TBC	rs13447447 *	<i>ACE</i>	D
rs884736	<i>CAMTA1</i>	G	rs1815739	<i>ACTN3</i>	R
rs353625	<i>CD44</i>	TBC	rs2296135	<i>IL15 RA</i>	C
rs1956197	<i>DAAM1</i>	G	rs4253778	<i>PPARA</i>	C
rs17117533	<i>NDN</i>	A			
rs8192678	<i>PPARGC1A</i>	G			
rs10921078	<i>RGS18</i>	A			
rs7531957	<i>RYR2</i>	TBC			
rs11715829	<i>ZIC4</i>	G			

TBC, Allele to be confirmed; \* Linkage Disequilibrium above 80 % according to ensemble LD calculator.

baseline VJ at baseline in males and females [62]. Another two studies did not find any association between the *ACE* I/D and skeletal muscle power at baseline or in response to resistance training [61, 63]. *ACE* provides a robust candidate gene for explaining variation in muscular strength but not muscular power suggesting that this gene loci may only explain some of the inter-individual resistance variability dependent on type of resistance exercise.

Many of the candidate genes in resistance trainability came from a large multi-centre trial (FAMuSS) which aimed to identify nonsynonymous SNPs with functional effects on muscle power and strength [64]. These include: *Glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1)*[65], *alpha-actinin 3 (ACTN3)*[66], *Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2)*[67], *Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 Receptor (CCR2)*[67], *ACE*[60], *Solute carrier family 30 (zinc transporter), member eight gene (SLC30A8)*[68], *Leptin (LEP)* and *Leptin receptor (LEPR)*[69]. The FAMuSS study was conducted in young (18–40 years old) males (N = 247) and females (N = 355) of predominantly European-American ancestry. Participants underwent a 12-week unilateral resistance program consisting of upper arm exercises in the non-dominant arm [60]. Only *IL-15RA*, *ACTN3* and *ACE* from this series of studies were replicated in separate cohorts and have functional relevance. In the *IL-15RA* locus the rs2296135 SNP was associated gains in muscular strength and replicated in two different studies in cohort of European ancestry [70, 71]. When the gene *IL-15RA* is knocked down in an animal model it altered the contractile properties and fatigability in skeletal muscle fibres [72]. While the locus is important it not yet clear which SNPs is responsible for altering the function of *IL-15RA* protein. Although SNPs within *CCL2*, *CCR2* and *CNTF* have not been replicated they interestingly showed sex-specific associations with muscle strength. *CNTF* polymorphisms were associated with strength gains only in females [73], which was subsequently confirmed in a South Korean cohort [74]. SNPs in *CCL2* and *CCR2* were associated strength gains in males only [67]. This indicates potential sex-specific differences in the genetic architecture of complex traits and should be incorporated into study design [75, 76]. In addition *PTK2*, *CNTF*, *IL-6*, *PPARA* and *VDR* candidate genes have been replicated with functional relevance [13, 73].

In total 7 SNPs (► **Table 3**) were robustly associated with resistance variability. While there are plethora of candidate gene studies no GWAS have been conducted that specifically focuses on resistance trainability.

### Functional Validation

We have identified 12 SNPs and 7 SNPs that are robustly associated with variance in aerobic and resistance trainability respectively. The next steps are to a) identify the causal SNP, b) annotate the casual SNP to the correct gene and then c) to establish the functional relevance of the gene [47]. The overall evidence from literature connecting causal genes to trainability is relatively low [31]. If we hope to identify the casual variants or genes it is vital that we begin to integrate “omic” technologies from the genome and epigenome to transcriptome to proteome and metabolome which can capture a complete picture of complex human traits such as aerobic and resistance trainability [77, 78].

There have been attempts to associate molecular pathways or “molecular phenotypes” with physiological phenotypes of aerobic

and resistance trainability [79–81]. Sarzynski et al. applied this systems biology approach by combining the 21 SNP identified in a GWAS from the HERITAGE study cohort (► **Table 2**) [15, 23] and examined the joint contributions of these SNPs to exercise response [47]. This approach identified potential pathways in calcium signalling, energy sensing and partitioning, mitochondrial biogenesis, angiogenesis, immune functions, and regulation of autophagy and apoptosis, providing important pathways that can be investigated more closely [47]. Another integrative approach is expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) analysis that leverages gene loci identified from GWAS and integrate these with gene expression data to identify differential gene expression levels to try and uncover the ‘molecular phenotype’ that lead to these variations in exercise response [82, 83]. Willems et al. identified the rs6565586 SNP in *ACTG1* as a strong candidate gene in inter-individual variability in the resistance-related phenotype (hand grip strength) and correlated this with a lower expression of mRNA in skeletal muscle. *ACTG1* encodes Actin Gamma 1 and is involved in the structure and function of skeletal muscle fibres. Interestingly, in a knock out mouse model, animals displayed overt muscle weakness [84]. This type of analysis presented an ideal candidate gene to begin understanding the molecular mechanisms in human skeletal muscle.

To establish causality of genetic variants in aerobic and resistance trainability the field needs to move forward beyond association analysis. The type of follow-up experiment will depend on the location of SNP within the gene. For SNPs within coding regions ideally experiments are performed to study the effect of the SNP has on protein structure and function. For SNPs within in non-coding regions it more difficult to determine as they may not directly affect a gene but alter/regulate transcription factors and mediate alterations in genes this way [77]. However, with the introduction of the large epigenetic database ENCODE (Encyclopaedia of DNA elements) we can now identify the transcription factor association, chromatin structure and histone modification of target genes [85] and more recently enhancers providing candidate gene targets for follow up analysis [86]. With the discovery of CRISPR Cas-9 genome-editing tool in 2012 [87], this has paved the way for establishing causality of SNPs and the functional effects of them. This has been used to great effect for establishing causal genes implicated in insulin resistance whereby they were able to determine the casual effect of 12 candidate genes that had previously been identified in a GWAS [88]. To date no experiments have been conducted using this gene-editing tool to establish the function and causality of candidate genes of trainability beyond association analysis.

There is still much work to do before personalised exercise prescription (both in a clinical and elite athlete setting) can be based on an individual’s genetics. However, there are concerted efforts taking place to make this possible such as the Athlome Project Consortium and the Gene SMART (Skeletal Muscle Response to Training), recently launched with the aim of uncovering the genetic variation underlying athletic performance, adaptation to exercise training, and exercise-related musculoskeletal injuries [89, 90]. These, and other initiatives will allow for population-based approach to understand the role of genes and environmental factors contributing to the complex exercise response phenotype [91].

This review summarised robust genetic variants that have been associated with aerobic and resistance trainability. To date, there is very little literature ascribed to understanding the interplay between genes and environmental factors and the development of physiological traits. Therefore, much work remains to identify causal variants and functional relevance of genes associated with aerobic and resistance trainability.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Bishop DJ, Granata C, Eynon N. Can we optimise the exercise training prescription to maximise improvements in mitochondria function and content? *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2014; 1840 1266–1275
- [2] Wilson MG, Ellison GM, Cable NT. Basic science behind the cardiovascular benefits of exercise. *Br J Sports Med* 2016; 50: 93–99
- [3] Silverman MN, Deuster PA. Biological mechanisms underlying the role of physical fitness in health and resilience. *Interface Focus* 2014; 4: 20140040
- [4] Bouchard C. Human adaptability may have a genetic basis. In: Landry (Ed). *Health Risk Estimation, Risk Reduction and Health Promotion. Proceedings of the 18th annual meeting of the Society of Prospective Medicine Ottawa: Canadian Public Health Association; 1983: 463–476*
- [5] Bouchard C. DNA sequence variations contribute to variability in fitness and trainability. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2019; 51: 1781–1785
- [6] Voisin S, Jacques M, Lucia A et al. Statistical considerations for exercise protocols aimed at measuring trainability. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2019; 47: 37–45
- [7] Mann TN, Lamberts RP, Lambert MI. High responders and low responders: Factors associated with individual variation in response to standardized training. *Sports Med* 2014; 44: 1113–1124
- [8] Kohrt WM, Malley MT, Coggan AR et al. Effects of gender, age, and fitness level on response of VO<sub>2</sub>max to training in 60–71 yr olds. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1991; 71: 2004–2011
- [9] Scharhag-Rosenberger F, Walitzek S, Kindermann W et al. Differences in adaptations to 1 year of aerobic endurance training: Individual patterns of nonresponse. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2012; 22: 113–118
- [10] McPhee JS, Williams AG, Degens H et al. Inter-individual variability in adaptation of the leg muscles following a standardised endurance training programme in young women. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 109: 1111–1118
- [11] McPhee JS, Williams AG, Perez-Schindler J et al. Variability in the magnitude of response of metabolic enzymes reveals patterns of co-ordinated expression following endurance training in women. *Exp Physiol* 2011; 96: 699–707
- [12] Ahtiainen JP, Walker S, Peltonen H et al. Heterogeneity in resistance training-induced muscle strength and mass responses in men and women of different ages. *Age (Dordr)* 2016; 38: 10
- [13] Jones N, Kiely J, Suraci B et al. A genetic-based algorithm for personalized resistance training. *Biol Sport* 2016; 33: 117–126
- [14] Zempo H, Miyamoto-Mikami E, Kikuchi N et al. Heritability estimates of muscle strength-related phenotypes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2017; 27: 1537–1546
- [15] Bouchard C, Leon AS, Rao DC et al. The HERITAGE family study. Aims, design, and measurement protocol. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1995; 27: 721–729
- [16] Bouchard C, Daw EW, Rice T et al. Familial resemblance for VO<sub>2</sub>max in the sedentary state: the HERITAGE family study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998; 30: 252–258
- [17] Bouchard C, An P, Rice T et al. Familial aggregation of VO<sub>2</sub>max response to exercise training: Results from the HERITAGE Family Study. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1999; 87: 1003–1008
- [18] Bouchard C, Rankinen T, Timmons JA. Genomics and genetics in the biology of adaptation to exercise, in *comprehensive physiology. Compr Physiol* 2011; 1(3): 1603–1648
- [19] Ahmetov I, Kulemin N, Popov D et al. Genome-wide association study identifies three novel genetic markers associated with elite endurance performance. *Biol Sport* 2015; 32: 3–9
- [20] Leońska-Duniec A, Jastrzębski Z, Jażdżewska A et al. Leptin and leptin receptor genes are associated with obesity-related traits changes in response to aerobic training program. *J Strength Cond Res* 2018; 32: 1036–1044
- [21] Miotto PM, Holloway GP. Exercise-induced reductions in mitochondrial ADP sensitivity contribute to the induction of gene expression and mitochondrial biogenesis through enhanced mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> emission. *Mitochondrion* 2019; 46: 116–122
- [22] McKenzie JA, Witkowski S, Ludlow AT et al. AKT1 G205T genotype influences obesity-related metabolic phenotypes and their responses to aerobic exercise training in older Caucasians. *Exp Physiol* 2011; 96: 338–347
- [23] Bouchard C, Sarzynski MA, Rice TK et al. Genomic predictors of the maximal O<sub>2</sub> uptake response to standardized exercise training programs. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2011; 110: 1160–1170
- [24] Soci UPR, Melo SFS, Gomes JLP et al. Exercise training and epigenetic regulation: multilevel modification and regulation of gene expression. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2017; 1000: 281–322
- [25] Cagnin S, Chemello F, Ahmetov II. Genes and response to aerobic training. In: Barh D and Ahmetov II, (Eds). *Sports, Exercise, and Nutritional Genomics: Current Status and Future Directions* Elsevier Academic Press; London, UK: 2019: 169–188
- [26] Huffman JE. Examining the current standards for genetic discovery and replication in the era of mega-biobanks. *Nat Commun* 2018; 9: 5054
- [27] Chanock SJ, Manolio T, Boehnke M et al. Replicating genotype–phenotype associations. *Nature* 2007; 447: 655–660
- [28] Harriss DJ, MacSween A, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research: 2020 update. *Int J Sports Med* 2019; 40: 813–817
- [29] Tam V, Patel N, Turcotte M et al. Benefits and limitations of genome-wide association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* 2019; 20: 467–484
- [30] Zadro JR, Shirley D, Andrade TB et al. the beneficial effects of physical activity: Is It Down to Your Genes? A systematic review and meta-analysis of twin and family studies. *Sports Med Open* 2017; 3: 4
- [31] Ghosh S, Vivar JC, Sarzynski MA et al. Integrative pathway analysis of a genome-wide association study of VO<sub>2</sub>max response to exercise training. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2013; 115: 1343–1359
- [32] Petr M, Stastny P, Zajac A et al. The role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and their transcriptional coactivators gene variations in human trainability: A systematic review. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018; 19: 1472
- [33] Franks PW, Barroso I, Luan J et al. PGC-1alpha genotype modifies the association of volitional energy expenditure with VO<sub>2</sub>max. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003; 35: 1998–2004

- [34] Wang YX, Zhang CL, Yu RT et al. Regulation of muscle fiber type and running endurance by PPARdelta. *PLoS Biol* 2004; 2: e294
- [35] Hautala AJ, Leon AS, Skinner JS et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\delta$  polymorphisms are associated with physical performance and plasma lipids: The HERITAGE Family Study. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2007; 292: H2498–H2505
- [36] Skogsberg J, Kannisto K, Cassel TN et al. Evidence that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta influences cholesterol metabolism in men. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2003; 23: 637–643
- [37] Karpe F, Ehrenborg EE. PPARdelta in humans: Genetic and pharmacological evidence for a significant metabolic function. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2009; 20: 333–336
- [38] Stefan N, Thamer C, Staiger H et al. Genetic variations in PPAR and PPARGC1A determine mitochondrial function and change in aerobic physical fitness and insulin sensitivity during lifestyle intervention. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007; 92: 1827–1833
- [39] Franks PW, Christophi CA, Jablonski KA et al. Common variation at PPARGC1A/B and change in body composition and metabolic traits following preventive interventions: The Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetologia* 2014; 57: 485–490
- [40] Ring-Dimitriou S, Kedenko L, Kedenko I et al. Does Genetic Variation in PPARGC1A Affect Exercise-Induced Changes in Ventilatory Thresholds and Metabolic Syndrome? *J Exerc Physiol Online* 2014; 17: 1–18
- [41] Steinbacher P, Feichtinger RG, Kedenko L et al. The single nucleotide polymorphism Gly482Ser in the PGC-1 $\alpha$  gene impairs exercise-induced slow-twitch muscle fibre transformation in humans. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0123881
- [42] Pickering C, Kiely J, Suraci B et al. The magnitude of Yo-Yo test improvements following an aerobic training intervention are associated with total genotype score. *PLoS One* 2018; 13: e0207597
- [43] He Z, Hu Y, Feng L et al. Is there an association between PPARGC1A genotypes and endurance capacity in Chinese men? *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2008; 18: 195–204
- [44] He ZH, Hu Y, Li Y-C et al. PGC-related gene variants and elite endurance athletic status in a Chinese cohort: a functional study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015; 25: 184–195
- [45] Lin J, Wu H, Tarr PT et al. Transcriptional co-activator PGC-1  $\alpha$  drives the formation of slow-twitch muscle fibres. *Nature* 2002; 418: 797–801
- [46] Huang T, Shu Y, Cai YD. Genetic differences among ethnic groups. *BMC Genomics* 2015; 16: 1093
- [47] Sarzynski MA, Ghosh S, Bouchard C. Genomic and transcriptomic predictors of response levels to endurance exercise training. *J Physiol* 2017; 595: 2931–2939
- [48] Lobo S, Wiczler BM, Bernlohr DA. Functional analysis of long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase 1 in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *J Biol Chem* 2009; 284: 18347–18356
- [49] Timmons JA, Knudsen S, Rankinen T et al. Using molecular classification to predict gains in maximal aerobic capacity following endurance exercise training in humans. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2010; 108: 1487–1496
- [50] Del Coso J, Hiam D, Houweling P et al. More than a 'speed gene': ACTN3 R577X genotype, trainability, muscle damage, and the risk for injuries. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2019; 119: 49–60
- [51] Sparks MA, Crowley SD, Gurley SB et al. Classical Renin-Angiotensin system in kidney physiology. *Compr Physiol* 2014; 4: 1201–1228
- [52] Rigat B, Hubert C, Alhenc-Gelas F et al. An insertion/deletion polymorphism in the angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene accounting for half the variance of serum enzyme levels. *J Clin Invest* 1990; 86: 1343–1346
- [53] Alves CR, Fernandes T, Lemos JR Jr et al. Aerobic exercise training differentially affects ACE C- and N-domain activities in humans: Interactions with ACE I/D polymorphism and association with vascular reactivity. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst* 2018; 19: 1470320318761725
- [54] Ma F, Yang Y, Li X et al. The association of sport performance with ACE and ACTN3 genetic polymorphisms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e54685
- [55] Charbonneau DE, Hanson ED, Ludlow AT et al. ACE genotype and the muscle hypertrophic and strength responses to strength training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008; 40: 677–683
- [56] Folland J, Leach B, Little T et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype affects the response of human skeletal muscle to functional overload. *Exp Physiol* 2000; 85: 575–579
- [57] Giaccaglia V, Nicklas B, Kritchevsky S et al. Interaction between angiotensin converting enzyme insertion/deletion genotype and exercise training on knee extensor strength in older individuals. *Int J Sports Med* 2008; 29: 40–44
- [58] Pereira A, Costa AM, Izquierdo M et al. ACE I/D and ACTN3 R/X polymorphisms as potential factors in modulating exercise-related phenotypes in older women in response to a muscle power training stimuli. *Age (Dordr)* 2013; 35: 1949–1959
- [59] He L, Zhang X, Lv Y et al. Effects of 8 weeks of moderate-intensity resistance training on muscle changes in postmenopausal women with different angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphisms of interest. *Menopause* 2019; 26: 899–905
- [60] Pescatello LS, Kostek MA, Gordish-Dressman H et al. ACE ID genotype and the muscle strength and size response to unilateral resistance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006; 38: 1074–1081
- [61] Erskine RM, Williams AG, Jones DA et al. The individual and combined influence of ACE and ACTN3 genotypes on muscle phenotypes before and after strength training. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2014; 24: 642–648
- [62] Ginevičiene V, Pranculis A, Jakaitienė A et al. Genetic variation of the human ACE and ACTN3 genes and their association with functional muscle properties in Lithuanian elite athletes. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2011; 47: 284–290
- [63] Thomis MA, Huygens W, Heuninckx S et al. Exploration of myostatin polymorphisms and the angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion genotype in responses of human muscle to strength training. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2004; 92: 267–274
- [64] Pescatello LS, Devaney JM, Hubal MJ et al. Highlights from the functional single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with human muscle size and strength or FAMuSS study. *Biomed Res Int* 2013; 2013: 643575
- [65] Ash GI, Kostek MA, Lee H et al. Glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1) variants associate with the muscle strength and size response to resistance training. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0148112
- [66] Clarkson PM, Devaney JM, Gordish-Dressman H et al. ACTN3 genotype is associated with increases in muscle strength in response to resistance training in women. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2005; 99: 154–163
- [67] Harmon BT, Orkunoglu-Suer EF, Adham K et al. CCL2 and CCR2 variants are associated with skeletal muscle strength and change in strength with resistance training. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2010; 109: 1779–1785
- [68] Sprouse C, Gordish-Dressman H, Orkunoglu-Suer EF et al. SLC30A8 nonsynonymous variant is associated with recovery following exercise and skeletal muscle size and strength. *Diabetes* 2014; 63: 363–368



- [69] Walsh S, Haddad CJ, Kostek MA et al. Leptin and leptin receptor genetic variants associate with habitual physical activity and the arm body composition response to resistance training. *Gene* 2012; 510: 66–70
- [70] Pistilli EE, Devaney JM, Gordish-Dressman H et al. Interleukin-15 and interleukin-15R alpha SNPs and associations with muscle, bone, and predictors of the metabolic syndrome. *Cytokine* 2008; 43: 45–53
- [71] Riechman SE, Balasekaran G, Roth SM et al. Association of interleukin-15 protein and interleukin-15 receptor genetic variation with resistance exercise training responses. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2004; 97: 2214–2219
- [72] Loro E, Bisetto S, Khurana TS. Mitochondrial ultrastructural adaptations in fast muscles of mice lacking IL15RA. *J Cell Sci* 2018; 131
- [73] Walsh S, Kelsey BK, Angelopoulos T] et al. CNTF 1357 G -> A polymorphism and the muscle strength response to resistance training. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2009; 107: 1235–1240
- [74] Hong AR, Hong SM, Shin YA. Effects of resistance training on muscle strength, endurance, and motor unit according to ciliary neurotrophic factor polymorphism in male college students. *J Sports Sci Med* 2014; 13: 680–688
- [75] Khrantsova EA, Davis LK, Stranger BE. The role of sex in the genomics of human complex traits. *Nat Rev Genet* 2019; 20: 173–190
- [76] Landen S, Voisin S, Craig JM et al. Genetic and epigenetic sex-specific adaptations to endurance exercise. *Epigenetics* 2019; 14: 523–535
- [77] Karczewski KJ, Snyder MP. Integrative omics for health and disease. *Nat Rev Genet* 2018; 19: 299–310
- [78] Sun YV, Hu YJ. Integrative Analysis of Multi-omics Data for Discovery and Functional Studies of Complex Human Diseases. *Adv Genet* 2016; 93: 147–190
- [79] Battle A, Khan Z, Wang SH et al. Genomic variation. Impact of regulatory variation from RNA to protein. *Science* 2015; 347: 664–667
- [80] Veyrieras JB, Kudravalli S, Kim SY et al. High-resolution mapping of expression-QTLs yields insight into human gene regulation. *PLoS Genet* 2008; 4: e1000214
- [81] Taylor DL, Jackson AU, Narisu N et al. Integrative analysis of gene expression, DNA methylation, physiological traits, and genetic variation in human skeletal muscle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2019; 116: 10883–10888
- [82] Nicolae DL, Gamazon E, Zhang W et al. Trait-associated SNPs are more likely to be eQTLs: Annotation to enhance discovery from GWAS. *PLoS Genet* 2010; 6: e1000888
- [83] Keildson S, Fadista J, Ladenvall C et al. Expression of phosphofructokinase in skeletal muscle is influenced by genetic variation and associated with insulin sensitivity. *Diabetes* 2014; 63: 1154–1165
- [84] Sonnemann KJ, Fitzsimons DP, Patel JR et al. Cytoplasmic gamma-actin is not required for skeletal muscle development but its absence leads to a progressive myopathy. *Dev Cell* 2006; 11: 387–397
- [85] Dunham I, Kundaje A, Aldred SF et al. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* 2012; 489: 57–74
- [86] Fishilevich S, Nudel R, Rappaport N et al. GeneHancer: Genome-wide integration of enhancers and target genes in GeneCards. *Database (Oxford)* 2017; 2017: bax028
- [87] Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I et al. A Programmable Dual-RNA-Guided DNA Endonuclease in Adaptive Bacterial Immunity. *Science* 2012; 337: 816–821
- [88] Chen Z, Yu H, Shi X et al. Functional screening of candidate causal genes for insulin resistance in human preadipocytes and adipocytes. *Circ Res* 2020; 126: 330–346
- [89] Wang G, Tanaka M, Eynon N et al. The future of genomic research in athletic performance and adaptation to training. *Med Sport Sci* 2016; 61: 55–67
- [90] Yan X, Eynon N, Papadimitriou ID et al. The gene SMART study: Method, study design, and preliminary findings. *BMC Genomics* 2017; 18 (Suppl 8): 821
- [91] Baliga NS, Björkegren JLM, Boeke JD et al. The State of Systems Genetics in 2017. *Cell Syst* 2017; 4: 7–15
- [92] Alves GB, Oliveira EM, Alves CR et al. Influence of angiotensinogen and angiotensin-converting enzyme polymorphisms on cardiac hypertrophy and improvement on maximal aerobic capacity caused by exercise training. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2009; 16: 487–492
- [93] Dionne FT, Turcotte L, Thibault MC et al. Mitochondrial DNA sequence polymorphism, VO<sub>2</sub>max, and response to endurance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1991; 23: 177–185
- [94] He Z, Hu Y, Feng L et al. NRF-1 genotypes and endurance exercise capacity in young Chinese men. *Br J Sports Med* 2008; 42: 361–366
- [95] He Z, Hu Y, Feng L et al. Polymorphisms in the HBB gene relate to individual cardiorespiratory adaptation in response to endurance training. *Br J Sports Med* 2006; 40: 998–1002
- [96] He Z, Hu Y, Feng L et al. NRF2 genotype improves endurance capacity in response to training. *Int J Sports Med* 2007; 28: 717–721
- [97] He Z-H, Hu Y, Wang H-Y et al. Are calcineurin genes associated with endurance phenotype traits? *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 109: 359–369
- [98] He ZH, Hu Y, Li Y-C et al. Polymorphisms in the calcineurin genes are associated with the training responsiveness of cardiac phenotypes in Chinese young adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 110: 761–767
- [99] Leon AS, Togashi K, Rankinen T et al. Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism with blood lipids and maximal oxygen uptake in the sedentary state and after exercise training in the HERITAGE family study. *Metabolism* 2004; 53: 108–116
- [100] McPhee JS, Perez-Schindler J, Degens H et al. HIF1A P582S gene association with endurance training responses in young women. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2011; 111: 2339–2347
- [101] Prior SJ, Hagberg JM, Phares DA et al. Sequence variation in hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1A): Association with maximal oxygen consumption. *Physiol Genomics* 2003; 15: 20–26
- [102] Prior SJ, Hagberg JM, Paton CM et al. DNA sequence variation in the promoter region of the VEGF gene impacts VEGF gene expression and maximal oxygen consumption. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2006; 290: H1848–H1855
- [103] Rankinen T, Pérusse L, Borecki I et al. The Na K ATPase 2 gene and trainability of cardiorespiratory endurance: The HERITAGE Family Study. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2000; 88: 346–351
- [104] Rankinen T, Gagnon J, Pérusse L et al. AGT M235T and ACE ID polymorphisms and exercise blood pressure in the HERITAGE Family Study. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2000; 279: 368–374
- [105] Rico-Sanz J, Rankinen T, Joannis DR et al. Associations between cardiorespiratory responses to exercise and the C34T AMPD1 gene polymorphism in the HERITAGE Family study. *Physiol Genomics* 2003; 14: 161–166
- [106] Rivera MA, Dionne FT, Simoneau JS et al. Muscle-specific creatine kinase gene polymorphism and VO<sub>2</sub>max in the HERITAGE Family Study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1997; 29: 1311–1317
- [107] Sonna LA, Sharp MA, Knapik JJ et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype and physical performance during US Army basic training. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2001; 91: 1355–1363
- [108] Yoo J, Kim B-H, Kim S-H et al. Genetic polymorphisms to predict gains in maximal O<sub>2</sub> uptake and knee peak torque after a high intensity training program in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2016; 116: 947–957

- [109] Yu B, Chen W, Wang R et al. Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism with maximal oxygen uptake after exercise training: a study of Chinese young adult. *Lipids Health Dis* 2014; 13: 40
- [110] Zarebska A, Jastrzebski Z, Kaczmarczyk M et al. The Gstp1 C.313a>G polymorphism modulates the cardiorespiratory response to aerobic training. *Biol Sport* 2014; 31: 261–266
- [111] Zhou DQ, Hu Y, Liu G et al. Muscle-specific creatine kinase gene polymorphism and running economy responses to an 18-week 5000-m training programme. *Br J Sports Med* 2006; 40: 988–991
- [112] Delmonico MJ, Kostek MC, Doldo NA et al. Alpha-actinin-3 (ACTN3) R577X polymorphism influences knee extensor peak power response to strength training in older men and women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007; 62: 206–212
- [113] Erskine RM, Williams AG, Jones DA et al. Do PTK2 gene polymorphisms contribute to the interindividual variability in muscle strength and the response to resistance training? A preliminary report. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2012; 112: 1329–1334
- [114] Jamshidi Y, Montgomery HE, Hense H-W et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha gene regulates left ventricular growth in response to exercise and hypertension. *Circulation* 2002; 105: 950–955
- [115] Keogh JW, Palmer BR, Taylor D et al. ACE and UCP2 gene polymorphisms and their association with baseline and exercise-related changes in the functional performance of older adults. *PeerJ* 2015; 3: e980
- [116] Kostek MC, Delmonico MJ, Reichel JB et al. Muscle strength response to strength training is influenced by insulin-like growth factor 1 genotype in older adults. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2005; 98: 2147–2154
- [117] Li X, Wang S-J, Tan SC et al. The A55T and K153R polymorphisms of MSTN gene are associated with the strength training-induced muscle hypertrophy among Han Chinese men. *J Sports Sci* 2014; 32: 883–891