Endoscopic characterization of rectal neuroendocrine tumors with virtual chromoendoscopy: differences between benign and malignant lesions

Most rectal neuroendocrine tumors (rNETs) are small (≤ 20 mm) and incidentally discovered at a localized stage during endoscopic procedures for unrelated indications [1]. Endoscopically, localized rNET, as presented here in a first example, is usually a small unique yellowish submucosal nodule, embedded in the rectal wall, with round shape pit pattern, type I on Kudo classification, and invisible vessels, as described by Sano as type I (▶ Fig. 1a–c). Histologic analysis of such lesions shows them to be grade 1 of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification, without muscular or lymphovascular invasion; they have an excellent prognosis with negligible risk of local and distant recurrence (▶ Fig. 1d) [1].

We report here a rare case of an invasive rNET in a 59-year-old patient that was resected by endoscopic submucosal dissection after multidisciplinary discussion in order to evaluate its invasion degree and its metastatic potential.

Its endoscopic appearance was different from localized rNETs, showing mucosal ulceration owing to a large smooth nodule, as previously described in gastric G2 NETs [2]. The size of the lesion was approximately 15 mm. Narrow-band imaging (NBI) with dual-focus magnification showed an absence of pit pattern with large amorphous areas, what is described in Kudo’s classification as a Vn pit pattern [3]. The vascular pattern was irregular, composed of meshed capillary vessels with blind ending, and some avascular areas, consistent with the type IIb pattern of Sano’s classification [4] (▶ Fig. 2a–c). The tumor background appeared whitish between the large irregular vessels. Histologic analysis found grade 2 of the WHO classification (Ki67 % of 16.8 %), muscular involvement, with vascular and lymphatic invasion (▶ Fig. 2d). The deep resection margin was invaded because of muscular invasion. A computed tomography (CT) scan...
demonstrated liver metastasis during further evaluation. This case illustrates the importance of macroscopic analysis, giving the example of the differences in endoscopic appearance between non-invasive and invasive rNETs, along with the corresponding histologic features (▶Video 1).
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