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Dietrich CF et al. European Federation of Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology (EFSUMB) created the “EFSUMB Pediatric Registry” (EFSUMB EPR) with the purpose of collecting data regarding the intravenous application of pediatric contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). The primary aim was to document the current clinical practice and usefulness of the technique and secondarily to assess CEUS safety in children. We issue the preliminary results of this database and examine the overall practice of CEUS in children in Europe.

**ZUSAMMENFASSUNG**

Die Europäische Ultraschallgesellschaft (EFSUMB) initiierte eine Datensammlung zum pädiatrischen kontrastverstärkten Ultraschall. Die Zielwerte waren es, für die intravenöse Untersuchungstechnik Anwendungsmöglichkeiten und Technik zu dokumentieren sowie das Sicherheitsprofil bei Kindern zu reflektieren. Wir präsentieren hiermit die Ergebnisse für die Anwendung des kontrastverstärkten Ultraschalls in Europa.

**Materials and Methods**

Ethics committee approval was requested and provided by the National Health Service (NHS, United Kingdom) Health Research Authority, via the South West Central Research Ethics Committee (European Pediatric Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound Database 16/SW/0042 IRAS Project ID 164664). The study was approved as a database profile, with permission to upload anonymized data, with appropriate guardianship, and to allow access to bona fide research requests. Funding included EFSUMB support for the database and data analysis. The database was accessed via the EFSUMB website (http://www.efsumb-data.org/v1/login.asp). Following login and entering of credentials, anonymized cases studies could be uploaded. Two nominees of EFSUMB provided the guardianship (CFD, PSS) of the data collection. A sequential ID number was assigned to each patient during data entry. No identifiable data was collected on the website. The database was secured with access the intravenous application of pediatric contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). The primary aim was to document the current clinical practice and usefulness of the technique and secondarily to assess CEUS safety in children. We issue the preliminary results of this database and examine the overall practice of CEUS in children in Europe.

**ABSTRACT**

The European Federation of Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology (EFSUMB) created the “EFSUMB Pediatric Registry” (EFSUMB EPR) with the purpose of collecting data regarding the intravenous application of pediatric contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). The primary aim was to document the current clinical practice and usefulness of the technique and secondarily to assess CEUS safety in children. We issue the preliminary results of this database and examine the overall practice of CEUS in children in Europe.

Introduction

Ultrasound (US) is the first-line, optimal imaging technique in children, with advantages of high diagnostic yield, a wide range of applications, bedside investigation, real-time nature of imaging and direct interaction with the patient and parents. The use of magnetic resonance (MR) and computed tomography (CT) imaging in children has significantly increased, often entailing the use of general anesthesia or conscious sedation to achieve optimal imaging quality [1, 2]. Sedation or general anesthesia presents issues for parent and patient anxiety, as the imaging examination is more elaborate [3, 4]. An increased morbidity is evidenced by an incidence of hypoxemia at 2.9% and an examination failure rate of 7% in children who undergo sedation for MR and CT imaging procedures [5, 6]. Conventional iodinated contrast agents in contrast-enhanced CT (CE-CT) and gadolinium agents in contrast-enhanced MR (CE-MR) imaging are associated with a risk for anaphylactoid reactions [7–9], and there are possible long-term adverse effects with gadolinium retention in body tissue (skin, bone, brain, liver) following CE-MR imaging [9–11]. With CE-CT imaging, the radiation-associated cancer risk in children has been widely deliberated leading to the conclusion that there is a need to reduce radiation exposure in children [12–17].

Since 2002, intravenous contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) has been performed in children, with the potential to meet indications normally necessitating CE-CT and CE-MR examinations [18, 19]. Intravascular use of ultrasound contrast agents (UCA) for the evaluation of vesicoureteral reflux in children was first approved for Levovist (Schering AG, Berlin, Germany) and approved in 2016 by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for SonoVue (Bracco SpA, Milan) [20]. However, intravenous UCA application remains off label in children in European countries [21–23] and is approved for intravenous use in the United States of America only for the evaluation of focal liver lesions [24]. Off-label use (and reimbursement) of any drug remains a challenge in pediatric practice in Europe because many drugs are not subject to randomized trials in children and as a consequence are not specifically licensed for pediatric use [25–27]. The
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Materials and Methods

Ethics committee approval was requested and provided by the National Health Service (NHS, United Kingdom) Health Research Authority, via the South West Central Research Ethics Committee (European Pediatric Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound Database 16/SW/0042 IRAS Project ID 164664). The study was approved as a database profile, with permission to upload anonymized data, with appropriate guardianship, and to allow access to bona fide research requests. Funding included EFSUMB support for the database and data analysis. The database was accessed via the EFSUMB website (http://www.efsumb-data.org/v1/login.asp). Following login and entering of credentials, anonymized cases studies could be uploaded. Two nominees of EFSUMB provided the guardianship (CFD, PSS) of the data collection. A sequential ID number was assigned to each patient during data entry. No identifiable data was collected on the website. The database was secured with access...
for uploading cases only. Access for research to the full database was possible with written requests to the guardians.

The database was advertised widely within the US imaging community of Europe via the EFSUMB website and at a number of US scientific meetings. Individuals contributed blinded pediatric CEUS cases following a set format of information ► Supplementary Table 1. The collected data included: the examined organ, the clinical indication, the UCA dose, the final diagnosis and any adverse incidents. The primary aim of the EFSUMB EPR was to ascertain current applications of pediatric CEUS in European clinical practice. A further aim was to gather information about any adverse events and record any safety issues.

Analysis of the clinical data was from one time point (May 2020), and all information entered into the database at this time point was analyzed. The safety data was evaluated at a time point of September 2020. The drafting and publication of the report followed the stipulation of the EFSUMB policy on guidelines and position reports [30].

Results

A total of 60 cases were excluded as being outside the scope of the database purpose: endocavitary examinations (n = 7) and > 18 years (n = 53). A total of 1463 children entered by 19 European centers up to May 2020 were suitable for the primary clinical analysis, and 1676 suitable for the secondary safety analysis were entered up to September 2020 (► Supplementary Table 2). All recorded intravenous CEUS examinations were performed using SonoVue (Bracco SpA, Milan) and considered for safety analysis.

Ultrasound Contrast Agent (SonoVue) Dose

A single injection was used in 1309/1463 (89.5 %), while 154/1463 (10.5 %) required multiple injections during a single CEUS examination.

The current recommended dosages vary and include (a) 0.1 mL of SonoVue for each year of age or (b) standard single dose of 0.1 mL, 0.5 mL, 1 mL, 1.2 mL, 2.4 mL or 4.8 mL of SonoVue. Some authors prefer 0.1 mL SonoVue for each year of age. The recommendation of the FDA for the dose of SonoVue/Luma-son in children is based on body weight: 0.03 mL/kg as an intravenous injection, up to a maximum of 2.4 mL per injection [24]. A commonly used dosing scheme is 0.6 mL for children aged 0–6 years, 1.2 mL for those between 6 and 12 and 2.4 mL for those older than 12 years.

The dosage recorded in the EFSUMB EPR varied from 0.1 to 4.8 mL, and up to three injections in a single examination were administered. In the majority (1309/1463, 89.5 %), a single dose achieved adequate quality of examination, resulting in a shorter time of examination. Factors determining the required dose of the UCA included the age and weight of the patient, organ under examination and ultrasound equipment UCA sensitivity (e.g., manufacturer, software, transducer frequency).

The dose of SonoVue for a technically adequate diagnostic study was also assessed. In 277/1463 (18.9 %), a dose of <1.0 mL was administered, where the average patient age was 4.6 years. A dose of 1–1.8 mL was used in 585/1463 (40.0 %) with an average age of 10.2 years. In 352/1463 (24.1 %), 2.0–2.4 mL of SonoVue was given, with an average age of 14 years. Finally, 4.8 mL of Sono-Vue was administered in 41/1463 (2.8 %) with an average age of 14.2 years. The age range for all doses was 1–17 years. Data was incomplete in 208/1463 (14.2 %). This confirms that physicians abide by dosing recommendations but variable doses were administered based on particular requirements.

Clinical Applications

The organs examined included: liver (911/1463, 62.3 %), spleen (191/1463, 13.0 %), kidney (147/1463, 10.0 %), gastrointestinal tract (51/1463, 3.9 %), testis (35/1463, 2.4 %) and chest (25/1463, 1.7 %). Less frequently reported uses included superficial structures (23/1463, 1.6 %), adrenal gland (20/1463, 1.5 %), gallbladder (7/1463, 0.5 %), pancreas (11/1463, 0.8 %), urogenital pelvis (20/1463, 1.4 %), vessels (6/1463, 0.4 %) and head and neck (8/1463, 0.5 %). Overall, CEUS was regarded as useful in 1242/1463 (84.9 %) applications. ► Supplementary Table 3 summarizes the clinical applications.

Liver

79.6 % of liver applications were for focal liver lesion (FLL) characterization and 20.4 % for trauma assessment, similar to a questionnaire-based survey [28]. The diagnostic purpose in most cases was to confirm the benign or malignant nature of an FLL and if benign, to further characterize the nature of the benign abnormality.

Spleen

In the spleen, 53.3 % of the cases were performed for trauma and 46.7 % were performed for lesion characterization. The characterization of splenic focal lesions is established in the literature, where the presence of a focal lesion, although rare, is most often benign.

Kidney

Renal applications were performed for lesion characterization (73.6 %) and for assessment of renal trauma (26.4 %). This included diagnosis and follow-up of complicated infection (e.g., abscess), cystic masses and complicated cysts, renal trauma, infarction, cortical necrosis, transplants and tumor vascularity [31–33].

Chest

Both inflammatory and oncologic conditions have been investigated using CEUS, with the inflammatory conditions accounting for 67.7 % and oncologic conditions for the remainder. Lung CEUS was mainly used to differentiate consolidated lung from cavitating pneumonia and lung abscess formation in children [34].

Liver and kidney transplantation

The application of CEUS in children following transplantation is likely to be of equal value compared with the adult population [35–38]. Issues addressed included vascular patency, areas of organ necrosis, assessment of new focal liver or renal lesions and the assessment of post-operative complications (e.g., fluid collections).
Adrenal gland

89.0% of adrenal examinations were performed for lesion characterization. 11.0% were examined for trauma. Adrenal CEUS has been used to differentiate hemorrhage and cystic areas from neoplasms and to characterize the enhancement pattern of tumors in adults, but rarely in children [39, 40].

Gastrointestinal tract

Gastrointestinal system examinations were divided between solitary lesion characterization (47.0%) and diffuse disease assessment (53.0%). The investigated solitary lesions included lymphangioma, polyps, and mesenteric fibroma. A CEUS examination was commonly used to investigate abscesses in gastrointestinal disorders but was also used to differentiate between active and quiescent inflammatory bowel disease and to evaluate the outcome of therapeutic strategies [41, 42].

Testis

A total of 35 cases of testicular CEUS were included. 20.0% were performed for the diagnosis of spermatic cord torsion and/or segmental infarction, 8.0% for the evaluation of complicated inflammatory conditions (e.g., epididymo-orchitis) and 64.0% for focal lesion characterization. CEUS was valuable for the confident establishment of benign nature of epidermoid cysts [43].

Head and neck

A total of eight head and neck cases were included. All were performed to characterize a focal lesion. In three (37.5%) cases, CEUS was not deemed diagnostically useful. The reported abnormalities included lymphoma, abscess, and a giant cell tumor.

Safety Considerations

No side effects related to the intravenous administration of the UCA, SonoVue, were reported in 1657/1676 (98.9%). 19 children (1.1%) experienced adverse reactions (> Supplementary Table 4).

In a 16-year-old girl 1/1657 (0.06%) (Patient 14), a hypervascularization attack reported prior to injection was considered a nocebo side effect [44, 45]. In three patients (Patients 4, 5 and 6), mild symptoms occurred 4–8 hours after the examination procedure but were unlikely attributable to the UCA since it is eliminated within minutes from the body.

With the remaining 15 patients, post-injection hypersensitivity reactions were categorized as mild (6/1657; 0.4%), moderate (5/1657; 0.3%), and severe (4/1657; 0.2%) on a clinical severity grading system. The overall reaction rate was estimated at 0.9% [46]. Most mild hypersensitivity reactions symptoms have been previously described in the SonoVue/Lumason “Summary of Product Characteristic” (SPC), e.g. tachycardia, erythema, nausea, vomiting, headache, and hypotension [47]. However, a previously unreported “stupor-like” reaction in two cases (Patients 17 and 18) was observed. In both of these cases, classified as mild hypersensitivity reactions, a noticeable abrupt “calming down” of the patient without other evident physiological changes was seen. In addition, a slow response to commands was observed in the case of an older child (Patient 17).

There were five hypersensitivity reactions categorized as moderate with an onset from 50 seconds to 15 minutes and a need for drug administration (Patients 2, 7, 11, 12 and 13). The four hypersensitivity reactions graded as severe showed a rapid onset from 43 to 180 seconds (Patients 1, 3, 9 and 10) with loss of consciousness in two children. All severe hypersensitivity reactions were successfully treated with full recovery. No case of death was recorded.

Discussion

The EFSUMB EPR has provided a ‘snapshot’ of the current situation in European countries with regards to the application of CEUS in the diagnosis and management of pediatric patients. The usefulness of the technique is demonstrated by the extensive practice despite the “off-label” applications of intravenous UCA in pediatric patients [48]. Intravenous application of SonoVue/Lumason is licensed for the evaluation of FLL in the United States of America, but not in Europe [24]. This has not deterred European investigators who have investigated many organs with CEUS and indicates that practitioners are mindful of the usefulness of CEUS examination, which is a real-time, child-friendly, repeatable examination without issues associated with radiation. The position of a US examination within imaging for the pediatric patient is established and the addition of a CEUS examination further improves diagnostic capabilities [21, 49]. The previous literature using CEUS in children demonstrates this usefulness and also indicates the “boldness” of many practitioners in establishing this technique [50–60].

The safety of CEUS has been well documented in adult patients [61, 62], and there have been some reports of the safety in children, assessing smaller numbers, with a similar safety profile as that seen in adults [53, 63–66]. The EFSUMB guidelines and position papers on CEUS have reviewed all available evidence and indicated that CEUS is a safe and effective examination in adults [67–69]. The series of Mao et al. [66], specifically investigating pediatric patients, reports an adverse reaction rate of 6/312 (1.92%), compared with a reaction rate of 0.9% in the current study.

The contraindications documented by manufacturers in adults apply to children and include a history of known hypersensitivity to the active substance, right-to-left cardiac shunts (the FDA has recently removed this contraindication for intravenous use of Lumason), severe pulmonary hypertension and uncontrolled systemic hypertension [70]. The safety profile for SonoVue in adults suggests an overall rate of adverse events that is comparable to that of the administration of contrast media used in MR imaging and considerably lower than that of iodinated contrast media.
used in CT imaging [8, 61, 62, 71]. However, all precautions for the management of adverse reactions should be in place before using a UCA in a child, and the examination is best performed in a dedicated hospital-based department, with expertise available to manage any reactions.

The anaphylactic reaction reported in a 4-year-old boy (Supplementary Table 4, Patient 3) was investigated for allergy after the incident, revealing the child’s sensitivity to polyethylene glycol (PEG), a bio-inert, thermoelastin linear hydrophilic polymer with the ability to increase stability and prolong in vivo circulation time of the liposomes. The vial of the Sonovue/Lumason in addition to the sulfur hexafluoride gas contains PEG 4000, distearylphosphatidylcholine (DSPC), dipalmitoylphosphatidylglycerol sodium (DPPG-Na) and palmitic acid. Following reconstitution, the suspension of PEGylated sulfur hexafluoride microspheres is composed of sulfur hexafluoride gas in the core surrounded by an outer shell monolayer of phospholipids consisting of DSPC and DPPG-Na with palmitic acid as a stabilizer. All of these substances can be considered as a potential trigger of the hypersensitivity reaction referred to as complement activation-related pseudo allergy (CARPA), which is classified as a non-IgE-mediated pseudo allergy caused by the activation of the complement system [72–74]. With this mechanism, complement is activated in both the classic and alternative pathways, resulting in an increase in C3a and C5a anaphylatoxins that trigger mast cells and basophils for a secretory response underlying hypersensitivity reactions. Again, this emphasizes the need to perform a CEUS examination in a location that has access to resuscitation equipment and medical expertise support for resuscitation of the pediatric patient [18, 69]. The results from the current patient profile from the EFSUMB EPR confirms the safety profile of the UCA, Sonovue, in children.

Characterization of FLL in children is the most commonly reported application of pediatric intravenous CEUS in the EFSUMB EPR. Previous studies have reported evaluation of FLL in children, confirming the feasibility, safety and high diagnostic accuracy of CEUS for the characterization of FLL similar to CT and MR imaging [51, 54, 64, 75]. The information from the EFSUMB EPR database indicates that the investigators are applying the adult patient pattern of CEUS findings when evaluating the characteristics of a pediatric FLL, particularly assessing the potential for malignancy, observing washout as the marker for malignancy. In addition, benign lesions are being assessed based on adult dynamics of the liver enhancement phases for differentiating between different benign FLLs [76]. Current literature pertaining to the assessment of FLLs is nearly exclusively adult-based, and validation in the pediatric population is needed [77, 78].

CEUS is a reliable tool in the diagnosis and follow-up of solid organ injuries in adults and is developing into a useful imaging strategy in children [60, 79–81]. Pediatric patients who sustain low to moderate energy traumatic events often require an initial CECT to identify the site of injury, and can be followed up with targeted CEUS at the site of solid organ injury [60]. This allows for repeated examinations without the need for CE-CT and the consequently increased radiation dose. The better spatial and temporal resolution of the CEUS examination is likely to identify complications such as a pseudoaneurysm more readily than CE-CT [60, 82].

Diagnosis and follow-up of splenic, hepatic, renal and pancreatic trauma in children is often possible using CEUS. The recommendations for CEUS in trauma are detailed in the EFSUMB guidelines and are as follows: (a) in hemodynamically stable patients with isolated, low or moderate energy blunt abdominal trauma; (b) in patients with indeterminate or normal CECT findings; (c) in the follow-up of traumatic injuries that are managed conservatively in order to ensure resolution of the lesions or detect any associated complications, including pseudoaneurysm formation [18, 69]. The EFSUMB EPR database confirms that this is the accepted practice, with CEUS becoming an established follow-up imaging technique in children.

Other applications were varied, with applications in niche areas such as the testis and the neck used in a few children. Application in renal disease follows that in adults, with emphasis on the appearances of solid and cystic changes. Application in the assessment of inflammatory bowel disease was noted and may well develop into a particularly useful technique in children, thereby avoiding life-long MR and CT imaging [83].

There are a number of limitations to this report. Firstly, the data contribution procedure is optional and not mandatory for all fields, meaning that in some cases the information is not provided by the contributor, thus hindering accurate analysis of some aspects of the database. Secondly, there is no detailed information regarding the exact perfusion characteristics of lesions on CEUS. This would have made data entry more problematic and time-consuming. In general, the interpretation and assessment of the data is rather subjective, for example when it comes to the assessment of whether CEUS was helpful or not. Finally, there is often no histologic confirmation, hindering robust analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of CEUS. The strength of the database lies in the reporting of any adverse events, as this was diligently undertaken, and the overall incidence is likely an accurate representation in this cohort of patients and remains low. However, it is acknowledged that much larger numbers are needed to fully establish the safety of CEUS in children.

The present assessment and the EFSUMB EPR is important as the database documents the safety, efficiency, and accuracy of CEUS in pediatric applications. Furthermore, the EFSUMB EPR serves as a “think tank” where everyone can share experiences, thereby building a body of evidence and evaluating new CEUS applications as they arise. The EFSUMB EPR acts as a “radar” for the detection and documentation of adverse UCA reactions. The EFSUMB EPR has strengthened the impression of a good safety profile of UCA in children. The results of the EFSUMB EPR also give insight into the daily use of CEUS in Europe and the probable growth in the number of practitioners performing this imaging technique [84].
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