Postprandial Metabolism and Physical Activity in Asians: A Narrative Review
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ABSTRACT
The widespread benefits of physical activity in enhancing health and lowering the risk of non-communicable chronic diseases are well established across populations globally. Nevertheless, the prevalence of several lifestyle-related chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, varies markedly across countries and ethnicities. Direct ethnic comparative studies on the health benefits of physical activity are sparse and evidence-based physical activity guidelines are not ethnicity-specific. Indeed, physical activity guidelines in some Asian countries were developed primarily based on data from Western populations even though the magnitude of potential benefit may not be the same among different ethnic groups. Unfavorable diurnal perturbations in postprandial triglycerides and glucose are risk factors for cardiovascular disease. This narrative review summarizes differences in these risk factors primarily between individuals of Asian and white European descent but also within different Asian groups. Moreover, the variable effects of physical activity on mitigating risk factors among these ethnic groups are highlighted along with the underlying metabolic and hormonal factors that potentially account for these differences. Future ethnic comparative studies should include investigations in understudied ethnic groups, such as those of East Asian origin, given that the effectiveness of physical activity for ameliorating cardiovascular disease varies even among Asian groups.

Introduction
Triglycerides (TG) and glucose are the predominant elevated substrates in the circulation in the postprandial period. Evidence from prospective epidemiological studies has shown that postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and hyperglycemia are risk factors for cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women [1–4]. Given that most individuals consume several meals throughout the day, the postprandial state represents the usual metabolic state...
and regular postprandial metabolic disturbances may contribute to the progression of atherosclerosis over the lifespan [5, 6].

Postprandial triglyceridemia and glycemia can be defined as the rise in blood TG and glucose concentrations, respectively, observed after consumption of meal(s) or energy-containing beverages. A large and consistent body of evidence shows that an acute bout of aerobic exercise can ameliorate the rises in TG and glucose seen after meals in humans [7–11]. However, most of these studies have been conducted in populations of white European descent. Nevertheless, the available data indicates that physical activity also mitigates postprandial rises in TG and glucose in individuals from different Asian groups [12–15]. However, the threshold level of activity needed to lower TG and glucose may not be the same across all ethnic groups [16]. Although direct ethnic comparisons of postprandial TG and glucose responses to acute exercise are sparse, some studies highlight that the extent of improvement seen with a single bout of exercise is greater in South Asians than white Europeans [17–21]. Evidence also suggests that there are ethnic differences in factors associated with the regulation of postprandial TG and glucose metabolism including insulin sensitivity and pancreatic β-cell function [22], whole-body fat oxidation during exercise [23], and plasma lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity [24, 25]. It is conceivable that these factors, either alone or in combination, could contribute to explaining the variation in the extent of exercise-induced reductions in postprandial TG and glucose between ethnicities.

Examining differences in cardiovascular disease risk factors by ethnicity is important because current physical activity guidelines do not distinguish recommendations based on ethnicity [26–28]. Moreover, the optimal level of physical activity for prevention of cardiovascular disease among different ethnic groups has not been firmly established [29]. This narrative review summarizes differences in postprandial TG, glucose, and insulin metabolism primarily between Asians and white Europeans, but also among Asians compared with other ethnic groups where evidence is available. The variable effect of physical activity on postprandial metabolism is scrutinized among these ethnic groups and potential factors underpinning the ethnic-related differences are considered. The intention of this review is not to provide a systematic or exhaustive account of studies in this area. Rather, we aim to identify and evaluate the most relevant studies that compare the effect of physical activity on postprandial TG, glucose, and insulin with the objective of clarifying the existing knowledge and status of research in this area and identifying future important avenues of investigation.

Postprandial TG Metabolism in Asians

The prevalence of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes varies considerably across different countries and ethnicities [30, 31]. Evidence suggests that individuals of Asian descent, particularly those of South Asian ancestry, are at greater risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes compared with individuals of white European descent [32, 33]. Direct ethnic comparisons of postprandial metabolic responses are sparse, but available studies affirm that there are differences in postprandial TG responses primarily between South Asians and white Europeans (Tables 1 and 2). To the authors’ knowledge, only four previous studies have directly compared postprandial TG responses between South Asians and white Europeans using oral high-fat test meals [17, 18, 21, 34]. In three of these studies, elevated TG concentrations were observed in response to high-fat meals in young, healthy South Asians (Indian, Pakistani, and/or Sri Lankan descent) in the United Kingdom (UK) [17, 18] and in South Asians (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, or other (not specified) descent) in the UK who were older and overweight [21] compared with individuals of white European descent in the UK of a similar age and body mass index (BMI) range. Conversely, Cruz and colleagues [34] found no ethnic difference in postprandial TG concentrations when a high-fat test meal was provided to eight South Asian (Indian and Sri Lankan descent) and nine Northern European men and women aged 22–40 years residing in the UK who were classified as either normal weight or overweight. A possible explanation for this discrepancy may be the test meals consumed. Although the percentage energy from fat provided in the test meals was similar among the studies (52–57 % energy from fat), the total energy consumed (not stated in the study by Cruz and colleagues) may have differed. In addition, the differences in the main fat sources might partly contribute to this discrepancy among studies. For example, Arjunan and colleagues used dairy as the primary source of fat, which contains a high proportion of short and medium-chain fatty acids that are delivered directly to the liver via the portal vein [17, 18]. This contrasts with the longer-chain monounsaturated fatty acids found in macadamia nuts used by Cruz and colleagues, which are delivered directly into the circulation via the thoracic duct [34]. Differences in the type of fat used could affect partitioning of fatty acids between chylomicrons and very-low-density lipoproteins as well as the overall postprandial response [7, 35].

Another possible explanation for the discrepancy among studies is that the percentage body fat was similar between the South Asian and white European individuals in the study of Cruz and colleagues (24.2 vs. 24.4 %, respectively) [34], whereas it was 1.4–1.7 times higher in the South Asians than white Europeans in the two studies of Arjunan and colleagues (23.0 ± 4.4 vs. 16.4 ± 4.2 %, mean ± SD, respectively [17]; and 21.7 ± 6.0 vs. 12.5 ± 4.6 %, respectively [18]). In addition, Arjunan and colleagues reported that in one study, the between-group difference in postprandial TG was no longer statistically significant after adjusting for age and body fat percentage [18]. It has been reported that adiposity, in particular visceral adipose tissue accumulation, is associated with impaired postprandial TG-rich lipoprotein clearance [36] and insulin resistance [37]. Compensatory hyperinsulinemia resulting from insulin resistance is thought to decrease skeletal muscle LPL, and this could therefore impair one of the major mechanisms for removal of TG from the circulation [38]. In addition, insulin resistance may exaggerate the postprandial TG response by inhibiting the normal suppressive action of insulin on hepatic very-low-density lipoprotein production [39]. The potential mechanisms via which postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and hyperglycemia are influenced by ethnicity are shown in Figure 1. Thus, it is possible that the elevated postprandial TG response exhibited by the South Asians in the studies of Arjunan and colleagues [17, 18] and Yates and colleagues [21] were linked with a degree of insulin resistance. In support of this notion, the South Asian individuals exhibited greater fasting and postprandial insulin concentrations and/or greater homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and insulin resistance index in these studies than their white Euro-
Table 1 Postprandial triglycerides, glucose, and insulin metabolism among different ethnic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Ethnic groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Test meal (s)</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hall et al. [23]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25 ± 6</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>OGTT</td>
<td>75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (SA)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27 ± 4</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p = 0.036)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin American (Colombian, Mexican, Brazilian) (LA)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M : 3 F</td>
<td>31 (25–35)</td>
<td>25 (21–27)</td>
<td>OFTT (breakfast)</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 20, 40, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420 and 480 minutes after breakfast</td>
<td>TG (Total and incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Glucose (Total and incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p &lt; 0.05 (SA &gt; WE and LA) Insulin (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p &lt; 0.05 (SA &gt; WE, p &lt; 0.05) (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian, Sri Lankan) (SA)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M : 3 F</td>
<td>29 (22–38)</td>
<td>23 (20–27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05) (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandalia et al. [40]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40 ± 9</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>OGTT</td>
<td>75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.02) Insulin (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p = 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian) (SA)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35 ± 9</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p = 0.02) Insulin (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p = 0.004)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Asian (Chinese) (EA)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M : 7 F</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>OCTT: 170 g white bread (including 75 g of available carbohydrate) Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p &lt; 0.001 (SEA &gt; WE, p &lt; 0.001; EA &gt; WE, p &lt; 0.05) Insulin (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p &lt; 0.001 (SEA &gt; WE, p &lt; 0.01; SA &gt; WE, p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arabic (A)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M : 4 F</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (tendency, p = 0.09) (Incremental peak value): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South East Asian (Thai, Vietnamese) (SEA)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M : 5 F</td>
<td>20 ± 3</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (trend, p = 0.09) (Incremental peak value): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Asian (Chinese) (EA)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M : 4 F</td>
<td>58 ± 2</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>OCTT: Mixed meal (368.5 kcal, carbohydrate 61.4 g, protein 7.4 g, and fat 8.9 g) Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (trend, p = 0.09) (Incremental peak value): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arabic (A)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M : 4 F</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (trend, p = 0.09) (Incremental peak value): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. [42]</td>
<td>White European (WE) with type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M : 5 F</td>
<td>68 ± 2</td>
<td>31 ± 1</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 240 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (tendency, p = 0.09) (Incremental peak value): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Asian (Chinese) (EA) with type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M : 4 F</td>
<td>58 ± 2</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 240 minutes after the meal</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (trend, p = 0.09) (Incremental peak value): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simper et al. [43]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M : 36 F</td>
<td>M: 23 ± 5</td>
<td>F: 24 ± 4</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution</td>
<td>OCTT: 50 g glucose solution Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Asian (Chinese) (EA)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>M : 32 F</td>
<td>M: 23 ± 3</td>
<td>F: 24 ± 3</td>
<td>OCTT</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1  Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Ethnic groups</th>
<th>n sex</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Test meal (s)</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kataoka et al. [44]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>15 M : 16 F</td>
<td>34 ± 8</td>
<td>26 ± 5</td>
<td>OGTT and OCTT Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution or rice</td>
<td>OGTT: 50 g glucose solution OCTT: Jasmine, Basmati, Brown, Doongara, and Parboiled rice (including 50 g of carbohydrate)</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (OGTT, p = 0.005; OCTT, p &lt; 0.001) (Glycemic index): Effect of ethnicity: EA &gt; WE (Jasmine, p = 0.033; Doongara, p = 0.045; Parboiled, p = 0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Asian (Chinese) (EA)</td>
<td>17 M : 15 F</td>
<td>33 ± 8</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution or rice</td>
<td>OGTT: 50 g glucose solution OCTT: Mixed cereal meal (including 50 g of available carbohydrate)</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: A &gt; WE (OGTT, p &lt; 0.001; OCTT, p &lt; 0.001) (Glycemic index): Effect of ethnicity: A &gt; WE (p = 0.045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venn et al. [45]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>3 M : 70 F</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>23 ±4</td>
<td>OGTT and OCTT Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution or the meal</td>
<td>OGTT: 50 g glucose solution OCTT: Mixed cereal meal (including 50 g of available carbohydrate)</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: A &gt; WE (OGTT, p &lt; 0.001; OCTT, p &lt; 0.001) (Glycemic index): Effect of ethnicity: A &gt; WE (p = 0.045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian (A)</td>
<td>4 M : 23 F</td>
<td>22 ±4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution or the meal</td>
<td>OGTT: 75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p = 0.03) Insulin (AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; WE (p = 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Chinese, Indian, Japanese, Vietnamese, Cambodian, Korean)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raji et al. [46]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>5 M : 7 F</td>
<td>35 ± 13</td>
<td>24 ±3</td>
<td>OGTT Blood sampling: fasting, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution</td>
<td>OGTT: 75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: WE &gt; EA (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian) (SA)</td>
<td>5 M : 7 F</td>
<td>34 ± 10</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution</td>
<td>OGTT: 75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: WE &gt; EA (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Møller et al. [47]</td>
<td>White European (Northern European) (WE)</td>
<td>29 M : 34 F</td>
<td>53 ± 7</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>OGTT Blood sampling: fasting, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240 and 300 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution</td>
<td>OGTT: 75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: WE &gt; EA (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Asian (Japanese) (EA)</td>
<td>21 M : 25 F</td>
<td>49 ± 7</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240 and 300 minutes after ingestion of glucose solution</td>
<td>OGTT: 75 g glucose solution</td>
<td>Glucose (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Postprandial maximal concentration): Effect of ethnicity: WE &gt; EA (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan et al. [48]</td>
<td>East Asian (Chinese) (EA)</td>
<td>25 M</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>OGTT and OCTT Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of rice</td>
<td>OGTT: 50 g glucose solution OCTT: Jasmine and Basmati rice (including 50 g of available carbohydrate)</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s (Glycemic index): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; EA and SEA (Glucose, p &lt; 0.001; Jasmine, p = 0.002; Basmati, p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South East Asian (Malay) (SEA)</td>
<td>25 M</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of rice</td>
<td>OGTT: 50 g glucose solution OCTT: Jasmine and Basmati rice (including 50 g of available carbohydrate)</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s (Glycemic index): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; EA and SEA (Glucose, p &lt; 0.001; Jasmine, p = 0.002; Basmati, p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian) (SA)</td>
<td>25 M</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after ingestion of rice</td>
<td>OGTT: 50 g glucose solution OCTT: Jasmine and Basmati rice (including 50 g of available carbohydrate)</td>
<td>Glucose (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s (Glycemic index): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Insulin (Incremental AUC): Effect of ethnicity: SA &gt; EA and SEA (Glucose, p &lt; 0.001; Jasmine, p = 0.002; Basmati, p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; BMI, body mass index; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; OCTT, oral carbohydrate tolerance test; TG, triglycerides; AUC, area under the curve; n.s., not significant. Age and BMI are expressed as means ± standard deviation or means range.
Table 2  Effects of physical activity on postprandial metabolism among different ethnic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Ethnic groups / Participants</th>
<th>n sex</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Exercise mode</th>
<th>Exercise intensity</th>
<th>Exercise duration</th>
<th>Test meal (s)</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arjunan et al. [17]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>10 M</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>Randomized 2 trials crossover design, 2-day model</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>70% of VO$_2$ max</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>OFFT for breakfast and lunch: (14.3 kcal/kg body mass for each meal) (57% fat, 32% carbohydrate, and 11% protein)</td>
<td>TG (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.001 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: p = 0.001 (Exercise &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): p = 0.026 SA (–22.6%) vs. WE (–9.9%) after Exercise compared with Control Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.037 (SA &lt; WE) Effect of trial: n.s. Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s. Insulin (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.012 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: n.s. Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian and Pakistani) (SA)</td>
<td>10 M</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 255, 270, 300, 360, 420, 480, and 540 minutes after the first test meal</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>50% of VO$_2$ max</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>OFFT for breakfast and lunch: (14.3 kcal/kg body mass for each meal) (57% fat, 32% carbohydrate, and 11% protein)</td>
<td>TG (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.02 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: p = 0.01 (Exercise &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s. Glucose (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.03 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: n.s. Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arjunan et al. [18]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>14 M</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>Randomized 2 trials crossover design, 2-day model</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>50% of VO$_2$ max</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>OFFT for breakfast and lunch: (14.3 kcal/kg body mass for each meal) (57% fat, 32% carbohydrate, and 11% protein)</td>
<td>TG (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.02 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: p = 0.01 (Exercise &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s. Glucose (Total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.03 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: n.s. Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan) (SA)</td>
<td>15 M</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 255, 270, 300, 360, 420, 480, and 540 minutes after the first test meal</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>50% of VO$_2$ max</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>OFFT for breakfast and lunch: (14.3 kcal/kg body mass for each meal) (57% fat, 32% carbohydrate, and 11% protein)</td>
<td>TG (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.02 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: p = 0.01 (Exercise &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s. Glucose (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: p = 0.03 (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: n.s. Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2

Effects of physical activity on postprandial metabolism among different ethnic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Ethnic groups / Participants</th>
<th>n sex</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Exercise mode</th>
<th>Exercise intensity</th>
<th>Exercise duration</th>
<th>Test meal (s)</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henson et al. †</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63.6 ± 13.6 ** (25–79)</td>
<td>27.8 ± 4.9 ** (19.6–44.6)</td>
<td>Randomized 3 trials crossover design, 1-day model</td>
<td>(b) Standing</td>
<td>(c) Walking or Arm cycling</td>
<td>(b) Accumulating 60 minutes</td>
<td>Mixed meal for breakfast and lunch:</td>
<td>Glucose (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of trial: p &lt; 0.001 (Physical Activity &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (SA)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Participants consumed breakfast and lunch and underwent 3 trials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insulin (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of trial: p &lt; 0.001 (Physical Activity &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargeant et al.</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>6 M : 7 F</td>
<td>68 *</td>
<td>29 *</td>
<td>Randomized 3 trials crossover design, 1-day model</td>
<td>(b) Walking</td>
<td>(c) Self-paced at 90% gradient of V̇O₂ peak</td>
<td>(b) Accumulating 25 minutes</td>
<td>Mixed meal for breakfast and lunch:</td>
<td>SA (–41.1 %) vs. WE (–19.4 %) after Interval Exercise compared with Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insulin (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Effect of trial: p &lt; 0.001 (Interval and Continuous Exercise &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity × trial): p = 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Interval Exercise: 10 × 1 minute active intervals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA (–41.1 %) vs. WE (–19.4 %) after Interval Exercise compared with Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Continuous Exercise: 30 minutes continuous walking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA (–32.6 %) vs. WE (–13.0 %) after Continuous Exercise compared with Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood sampling: fasting, 30, 60, 120, and 180 minutes after breakfast and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lunch 30, 60, 120, and 210 minutes after lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2

Effects of physical activity on postprandial metabolism among different ethnic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Ethnic groups / Participants</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Exercise mode</th>
<th>Exercise intensity</th>
<th>Exercise duration</th>
<th>Test meal (s)</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yates et al. [21]</td>
<td>White European (WE)</td>
<td>30 M</td>
<td>71 *</td>
<td>27 *</td>
<td>Randomized 3 trials crossover design, 1-day model</td>
<td>(b) Standing</td>
<td>Self-paced (walking)</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>Mixed meal for breakfast and lunch: (33 kcal/kg body mass for each meal)</td>
<td>TG (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: $p = 0.002$ (SA &gt; WE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, or other (not specified)) (SA)</td>
<td>30 M</td>
<td>69 *</td>
<td>27 *</td>
<td>Participants consumed breakfast and lunch and underwent 3 trials</td>
<td>(c) Walking</td>
<td>Accumulating 60 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: n.s. Effect of trial: $p &lt; 0.001$ (Walking &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity x trial): n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Sitting: continuous sitting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insulin (Time-averaged total AUC): Effect of ethnicity: $p = 0.003$ (SA &gt; WE) Effect of trial: $p &lt; 0.001$ (Walking &lt; Control) Interaction (ethnicity x trial): $p = 0.029$ SA (–26.8 %) vs. WE (–18.9 %) after Walking compared with Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; BMI, body mass index; VO₂ max, maximal oxygen consumption; VO₂ peak, peak oxygen consumption; OFFT, oral fat tolerance test; TG, triglycerides; AUC, area under the curve; n.s., not significant. Age and BMI are expressed as means ± standard deviation. † Data were combined from four similarly designed studies. * Median. ** Mean ± standard deviation across the four included studies (range).
South Asians (Indian descent) had greater postprandial glucose and insulin responses to oral glucose and oral carbohydrate tolerance tests among Chinese, Malay, and Indian men of similar age and BMI in Singapore [48]. Indians had greater postprandial insulin excursions than Chinese and Malays, even after adjustment for percentage body fat or waist circumference whereas there were no differences in postprandial glucose concentrations among the three groups. The authors speculated that the greater insulin response observed in Indians represented a compensatory response to maintain normoglycemia in the presence of greater insulin resistance. The hypothesis that maintenance of normoglycemia was more challenging in Indians was supported by the higher surrogate indicators of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and β-cell function (homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-β)) in this ethnic group compared with Chinese and Malays [48].

The most likely explanations for these ethnic differences in postprandial glucose and insulin – and also TG – are impaired insulin sensitivity and pancreatic β-cell function mediated mainly by visceral adipose tissue accumulation and also potentially lower skeletal muscle mass (details in “Insulin secretion and sensitivity”).

Postprandial Glucose and Insulin Metabolism in Asians

Available studies that examine differences in postprandial glucose and insulin responses, using oral glucose or carbohydrate tolerance tests (Table 1), typically report greater postprandial glucose [40–46] or insulin responses [23, 40, 41, 46] in Asians compared with white Europeans. Some studies comparing East Asians (Chinese) with those of white European descent observed that the postprandial glucose incremental area under the curve was greater in ethnic Chinese than people of white European descent despite no statistical difference in fasting blood glucose concentrations [42–44]. However, this apparent ethnic difference is not supported universally with another study in East Asians (Japanese) reporting no difference in postprandial maximal glucose concentrations between Japanese individuals living in Japan and white European individuals living in Denmark [47]. A study by Dickinson and colleagues examined differences in postprandial glucose and insulin concentrations among young, healthy men and women from five different ethnic groups (white European, South-East Asian, East Asian, South Asian, and Arabic) in Australia who were matched for age, BMI, waist circumference, and birth weight [41]. The authors found that South-East Asians (Thai and Vietnamese) and East Asians (Chinese) had greater postprandial glucose concentrations than people of white European descent. Moreover, the insulin incremental area under the curve after an oral carbohydrate tolerance test was 2.7 times higher in the South Asians (Indian) and 2.4 times higher in the South East Asians than in people of white European descent. In agreement with this observation, three other studies conducted in the UK or the United States of America (USA) have shown that South Asians (Indian descent) had greater postprandial glucose and insulin responses to an oral glucose tolerance test than people of white European descent of a similar age range, BMI, and fasting glucose concentration [23, 40, 46].

While consistent evidence shows that Asians exhibit greater postprandial glucose and insulin responses than white Europeans, ethnic differences are apparent in these outcomes even among Asian populations. Tan and colleagues compared postprandial glucose and insulin responses to oral glucose and oral carbohydrate tolerance tests among Chinese, Malay, and Indian men of similar age and BMI in Singapore [48]. Indians had greater postprandial insulin excursions than Chinese and Malays, even after adjustment for percentage body fat or waist circumference whereas there were no differences in postprandial glucose concentrations among the three groups. The authors speculated that the greater insulin response observed in Indians represented a compensatory response to maintain normoglycemia in the presence of greater insulin resistance. The hypothesis that maintenance of normoglycemia was more challenging in Indians was supported by the higher surrogate indicators of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and β-cell function (homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-β)) in this ethnic group compared with Chinese and Malays [48].

The most likely explanations for these ethnic differences in postprandial glucose and insulin – and also TG – are impaired insulin sensitivity and pancreatic β-cell function mediated mainly by visceral adipose tissue accumulation and also potentially lower skeletal muscle mass (details in “Insulin secretion and sensitivity”).

Effects of Physical Activity on Postprandial Metabolism among different Ethnic Groups

To the authors’ knowledge, only five previous studies have directly compared postprandial TG, glucose, and insulin responses to acute exercise bouts between Asians and white Europeans in the UK, in all cases with individuals of South Asian origin [17–21] (Table 2). In one study in which Arjunan and colleagues examined running for 60 minutes at 70 % of maximal oxygen uptake (VO2 max), there was a greater reduction in the postprandial TG response to high-fat meals in South Asians (Indian and Pakistani descent) (−22.6 %) than white Europeans (−9.9 %) despite the South Asians expending less energy during exercise (3313 ± 623 vs. 3901 ± 473 kJ, respectively) [17]. However, there were no ethnicity-trial interactions for postprandial glucose and insulin responses. Interestingly, the same group of researchers found no difference in the magnitude of reduction in postprandial TG concentrations between South Asians (Indian, Pakistani, and Sri Lankan descent) and white Europeans after 60 minutes of brisk walking at 50 % of VO2 max (−8.0 vs. −9.9 %, respectively) [18]. Furthermore, both groups experienced comparable exercise-induced reductions in postprandial insulin concentrations and postprandial glucose responses were similar irrespective of trial or ethnicity [18]. The reason for the contrasting findings between studies was unclear, but the authors hypothesized that South Asians may require a higher intensity of exercise and/or exercise-induced energy expenditure in order to maximize the reductions in postprandial TG seen acutely with exercise. Yates and colleagues showed that the magnitude of reduction in postprandial insulin in response to breaking up prolonged sitting with 5-minute bouts of self-paced light-intensity walking every...
30 minutes (accumulating 60 minutes in total) was greater in South Asians (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, or other (not specified) descent) than white Europeans living in the UK after adjusting for fasting insulin concentrations or insulin resistance (−26.8 vs. −18.9 %, respectively) [21]. In line with this finding, Henson and colleagues combined the data from four similarly designed randomized acute cross-over studies [21, 49–51], all of which included South Asians and white Europeans in the UK. They found that breaking up prolonged sitting with short bouts of light-intensity walking or upper-body exercise (arm ergometry) (5 minutes every 30 minutes) was more effective for reducing postprandial South Asians than white Europeans (−23.5 vs. −9.3 %, respectively) [19]. Recently, Sargeant and colleagues also reported that the magnitude of reduction in postprandial insulin concentrations in response to interval and continuous exercise was greater in South Asians (Indian, Pakistani, and Bangladeshi descent) than white Europeans in the UK (interval exercise −41.1 vs. −19.4 %, respectively; continuous exercise −32.6 vs. −13.0 %, respectively) [20]. In contrast, no ethnicity-trial interactions for postprandial TG or glucose concentrations were apparent in these three studies [19–21].

The potential for exercise to augment postprandial metabolic health to a greater extent in South Asians may have been due to the elevated TG and insulin total area under the curve, which in the resting (control) trials were 1.7 times and 1.3–2.8 times higher, respectively, in the South Asians [17–21]. Arjunan and colleagues argued that higher control concentrations provide a greater potential for reductions to occur. However, it is important to note that the TG and insulin total area under the curve in the exercise trials in the South Asians were still 1.3 times and 1.1–2.3 times higher, respectively, than the control trial values in the white Europeans. These results are consistent with a cross-sectional study conducted by Celis-Morales and colleagues who observed that South Asians (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Sri Lankan descent) in the UK may need to undertake greater levels of habitual physical activity than white Europeans in the UK to confer a similar cardiometabolic risk profile, but that the benefits for a given increase in physical activity may be greater in South Asians [16]. The reasons for the ethnic differences in postprandial metabolic responses to acute exercise are not fully understood, but differences in LPL activity [24, 25] and substrate oxidation during exercise may be potential explanations [23] (details in "Lipoprotein lipase activity" and "Substrate oxidation during exercise").

Insulin Sensitivity and Secretion

Insulin sensitivity and secretion are key regulators of postprandial TG and glucose responses [52–54]. Evidence supports differences in insulin sensitivity and pancreatic β-cell function between Asians and white Europeans even with normal glucose tolerance. For example, Indian infants who were born in India have been shown to have higher insulin concentrations (and higher subcutaneous fat and leptin) than white European infants born in the UK despite having a lower body weight [55]. Studies have also shown that adolescent South Asians [56], young adult South, East, and South-East Asians [41], and middle-aged adult South and East Asians [57–62] are more insulin-resistant based on HOMA-IR and the insulin sensitivity index than those of white European descent of a similar age range. Several interventions using the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp to measure insulin sensitivity in vivo provide further support for the notion that South, East, and South-East Asians appear more insulin-resistant than people of white European descent across the lifespan from adolescence to mid-adulthood [40, 41, 46, 56, 63, 64].

For insulin secretion, Asians have been found to have inherent pancreatic β-cell dysfunction. For example, Fukushima and colleagues reported that Japanese individuals had lower early- and late-phase insulin responses at all stages of glucose intolerance [65]. Indeed, the ability of the β-cells to respond to even small increases in insulin resistance may be impaired in Asians. In Japanese men with normal glucose tolerance, a lower insulin sensitivity index (a surrogate measure of whole-body insulin sensitivity [66]) was observed alongside a lower insulinogenic index (a surrogate indicator of first-phase insulin secretion to a glucose load) in those with a higher BMI (> 27.5 kg · m⁻²) [67]. The authors speculated that the β-cells may not compensate sufficiently for insulin resistance in these individuals, which could contribute to the development of glucose intolerance and type 2 diabetes [67]. Supporting these observations, one systematic review and meta-analysis reported that β-cell dysfunction based on the acute insulin response to glucose was least favorable in East Asians followed in order by white Europeans and those of African descent [22]. Evidence has also shown that East Asians (Japanese and Chinese descent) in the USA have impaired β-cell function based on HOMA-β compared with those of white European descent in the USA [60]. Impaired pancreatic β-cell function in Asians may be more readily promoted by aging or β-cell exhaustion due to continuous insulin resistance in the course of exposure to features of modern lifestyles such as high caloric diets and physical inactivity [68].

Although Asians have impaired insulin sensitivity and β-cell function compared with white Europeans, it is important to recognize that Asians are not a homogeneous group even though the term is often used to encompass a wide range of ethnic backgrounds across a single region that is genetically diverse and has substantial differences in the extent of environmental exposures. Among Asian groups, South Asians are consistently found to be the most insulin-resistant whereas East Asians are the most insulin-sensitive [58, 61, 62, 69, 70]. Furthermore, South Asians (Indian descent) have better β-cell function than East (Chinese descent) and South-East (Malay descent) Asians [70]. Initially, impaired insulin sensitivity may be compensated by an increased β-cell response [71]. Thus, the higher β-cell response in South Asians may indicate impaired insulin sensitivity compared with other ethnic groups. This is important as progression to type 2 diabetes is thought to occur when β-cells fail to compensate sufficiently for the reduction in insulin sensitivity. In contrast, the pathophysiological progression to type 2 diabetes in East Asians may differ from that of South Asians and is characterized primarily by β-cell dysfunction [72].

Body fat distribution is likely to play an important role in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. Studies report that surrogate (i.e., waist circumference, truncal skinfold thickness) and direct (i.e., dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry) measures of adiposity are inversely associated with insulin sensitivity [34, 40, 56]. Of these adiposity measurements, visceral adipose tissue accumulation is strongly associated with lower insulin sensitivity. South and East Asians generally have greater visceral adipose tissue and liver fat
accumulation than those of white European descent at any given age, sex, and BMI [40, 73–75]. However, several studies also report that ethnic differences in insulin sensitivity exist even after adjustment for indices of adiposity (BMI, total body fat, truncal skinfold thickness, and visceral abdominal fat area) as potential confounders [40, 57]. These results imply that other biological factors (i.e., adiponectin concentrations, secretion of incretin hormones) [59, 61, 76] and lifestyle behaviors (i.e., dietary habits, physical activity levels) may also contribute to ethnic differences in insulin sensitivity.

Lipoprotein Lipase Activity

Lipoprotein lipase is a key enzyme in the removal of TG from the blood stream. This enzyme is bound at the capillary endothelium of several tissues, such as adipose tissue and skeletal muscle [77, 78]. The main function of LPL is to catalyze the hydrolysis of TG packaged in the core of circulating TG-rich lipoproteins, including chylomicrons and very-low-density lipoproteins. The fatty acids liberated by the action of LPL are taken into adipose tissue and skeletal muscle for storage or oxidation as an energy substrate. There is strong evidence that post-heparin plasma LPL correlates positively with fasting TG concentrations [24, 79] and the clearance of postprandial TG [80]. The activity of adipose tissue LPL is mainly upregulated in response to insulin secretion after consumption of a meal whereas LPL activity of skeletal muscle is mainly upregulated in response to exercise [81, 82]. Studies directly comparing LPL mass and/or activity between different ethnic groups are limited to comparisons between individuals of white European and African descent [24, 25, 79, 83, 84]. We are unaware of any studies that have directly compared LPL mass and/or activity between Asians and other ethnic groups. Friday and colleagues and Bower and colleagues examined postprandial TG responses in relation to LPL mRNA and protein mass in adipose tissue and post-heparin plasma LPL activity in white European and African descent men [24] and women [25] in the USA. These studies found that the clearance rate of TG from the circulation after a high-fat meal was higher in individuals of African descent than white European descent. This greater TG clearance in those of African descent was accompanied by a greater LPL protein mass in subcutaneous adipose tissue and higher post-heparin plasma LPL activity compared with those of white European descent. These results suggest the pathway for TG clearance from the circulation after meal intake appears more efficient in individuals of African descent than white European descent, most likely due to an elevated LPL mass and activity.

Although no studies have compared LPL mass and activity directly between Asians and those of white European descent, some studies have investigated ethnic variation in the frequency of LPL gene mutations and polymorphisms related to TG concentrations in the circulation [85–88]. The -93G/T mutation on the LPL gene has previously been reported as having a favorable TG-lowering effect by increasing LPL gene expression [87]. It has been shown that there are marked differences in the frequency of the -93G/T mutation between white Europeans, Africans, and Asians [86, 87]. The frequency of the -93G allele was lowest in East Asians (0%), was rare in both South Asians (2.9–4.4%) and white Europeans (2.2–3.4%), but was the predominant mutation in individuals of African descent (40.7–76.4%) [86–88]. In accordance with -93G/T mutation, the frequency of D9N mutation, asparagine for aspartic acid change at residue 9 on the LPL gene (D9N) in relation to the TG-raising effect [89] has been shown to be positively associated with -93G/T mutation [85, 88]. Again, this mutation was lowest in Asians (0% in Chinese and Indians), intermediate in the white Europeans (1.7–2.8%) and greatest in those of African descent (11.0–12.4%). Whether these ethnic variations in the frequency of LPL gene mutations contribute to the ethnic differences in postprandial TG concentrations has not been established. However, the effect of LPL gene mutations on the magnitude of TG concentrations has been confirmed in studies of single ethnicity or mixed ethnicity involving Black South Africans, African Americans, Hispanics, and white Europeans. Specifically, individuals with the -93G/9D haplotype (-93G is the rare type allele for -93G/T mutation, 9D is the wild type allele for D9N) (i.e., TG/DD or GC/DD) exhibited 19–28% lower fasting TG concentrations [85, 86] and/or 31–32% lower postprandial TG concentrations [85, 87] than those with the -93T/9D haplotype (i.e., TT/DD). This TG-lowering effect associated with the -93G allele is thought to be due to greater promoter activity of the LPL gene [87].

In contrast to these findings, Hall and colleagues found no ethnic variation in the frequencies of five common LPL gene mutations related to circulating TG levels (-93T/G, D9N, N291S, S447X, and the Hind III) [85–87, 90–92] in individuals of South Asian, white European, and African descent [88]. However, no meaningful association of these mutations was observed with fasting TG concentrations. Collectively, ethnic variation in LPL mass and/or activity may contribute to the ethnic differences in the magnitude of the postprandial TG response between Asians and white Europeans, but experimental work is required to confirm this assertion. This should include studies comparing the association between LPL and postprandial TG metabolism among Asians and other ethnic groups to develop a better understanding of the potential mechanism(s) underscoring ethnic variation in postprandial TG metabolism.

Substrate Oxidation during Exercise

As stated earlier, insulin sensitivity is a key factor that influences postprandial TG and glucose metabolism with several studies demonstrating that adiposity, particularly visceral adipose tissue accumulation, strongly contributes to impaired insulin sensitivity [34, 40, 56, 58]. However, adiposity per se may not be the only determinant for impaired insulin sensitivity as differences among groups remain even after adjusting for indices of adiposity as confounding measurements [40, 57]. It is possible that differences in skeletal muscle substrate oxidation may play a role. Maximal oxygen uptake, an index of oxidative capacity at the whole-body level, is closely associated with skeletal muscle lipid oxidative capacity [23]. Cardiorespiratory fitness and skeletal muscle oxidative capacity contribute to insulin sensitivity [93, 94] partly due to intramyocellular lipids, such as diacylglycerol and ceramide, activating signaling pathways that may induce insulin resistance in skeletal muscle [95]. Hall and colleagues found that healthy young South Asian men in the UK had 19–23% lower VO2max and ~40% lower fat oxidation rates during a sub-maximal exercise test than white European men of a similar age and BMI range in the UK. They also showed that the same men had a lower insulin sensitivity index and lower
skeletal muscle Ser473 phosphorylation of protein kinase B, a key insulin signaling protein, than white European men even after adjusting for age, BMI, and fat mass. In addition, VO₂ max and fat oxidation rates during a sub-maximal exercise test were positively correlated with the insulin sensitivity index, and with skeletal muscle protein kinase B Ser473 phosphorylation even after adjusting for age, BMI, fat mass, and physical activity. The regression slopes of these relationships did not differ between South Asians and white Europeans. Therefore, the difference in insulin sensitivity among the groups was no longer apparent after adjusting for VO₂ max or for fat oxidation rates [23].

In contrast to whole-body oxidation, Hall and colleagues showed that South Asians in the UK exhibited greater expression of skeletal muscle genes involved in oxidative and lipid metabolism than white Europeans in the UK [23]. In addition, expected positive correlations were seen between skeletal muscle gene expression and fat oxidation rates during exercise in white Europeans; however, negative correlations were observed in South Asians. These findings are consistent with those of Nair and colleagues who reported that South Asian (Indian descent) men in the USA, irrespective of their diabetic status, had greater skeletal muscle expression of genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation and the citrate cycle than those of white European descent of similar age and BMI range in the USA [96]. Moreover, the capacity for mitochondrial adenosine triphosphate production was higher in South Asians than those of white European descent, although South Asians were substantially more insulin-resistant [96]. Collectively, these data indicate that reduced oxidative capacity and fatty acid utilization at the whole-body level are key features of the impaired insulin sensitivity observed in South Asians irrespective of mitochondrial function and skeletal muscle gene expression of oxidative and lipid metabolism. Impaired fat oxidation during exercise in South Asians likely represents a defect in substrate delivery to muscle. South Asian (India, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, or Pakistan descent) men living in the UK have impaired endothelial function [97] and reduced nitric oxide bioavailability both at rest and during exercise [98]. This might reflect a compensatory adaptation within muscle in response to impaired microvascular perfusion leading to reduced fuel delivery.

A previous systematic review identified several genetic variants associated with cardiorespiratory trainability after aerobic exercise training interventions (e.g., genes associated with muscular sub-systems, electrolyte balance, lipid metabolism, oxidative phosphorylation and energy production, and oxygen delivery) [99]. While these findings appear exciting, it is uncertain whether these genetic variants contribute to differences in the metabolic benefits of physical activity – specifically postprandial metabolism in this instance – among ethnic groups. Previous studies examining the role of genetic variants as potential predictors of the exercise training response have focused primarily on white European populations (74.5% white European) [99]. Further exploration across ethnicities is required to identify genetic variants that can potentially affect fitness adaptations to exercise training.

Future Directions
Given that direct ethnic comparisons of the health benefits of physical activity are sparse, and that evidence-based physical activity guidelines are not ethnicity-specific [26–28], more research in all Asian populations, including those that have been studied more frequently, is needed to expand the evidence base. It is also important to recognize that Asians are not a homogeneous group and the metabolic health responses to physical activity in South Asian individuals addressed in this review may not be representative of other Asian groups because the effectiveness of physical activity on some risk markers for cardiovascular disease may vary. Future ethnic comparative studies should include investigations in understudied ethnic groups such as those of East Asian origin [100]. The importance of diversifying ethnic-based research to East Asian populations is underscored by the upward trajectory in adverse coronary heart disease risk factors including dyslipidemia, diabetes, and obesity apparent in these populations in recent years [101, 102]. This rationale is strengthened further by evidence demonstrating that levels of physical inactivity are high in high-income East Asian countries as well as in European countries [103].

There is also a distinct lack of research in women, particularly in examining the efficacy of exercise to augment postprandial metabolic health, highlighting the need for research efforts to extend ethnic-focused investigations to both sexes. Future studies are also required to disentangle the independent effects of ethnicity and adiposity/insulin resistance on the various postprandial outcomes both with and without an exercise stimulus. Further investigations into LPL mass and/or activity and substrate oxidation in Asian groups may help to elucidate potential mechanism(s) responsible for ethnic differences in postprandial metabolism. Finally, the extant exercise-based literature has primarily been restricted to studies implementing single bouts of exercise; therefore, studying ethnic differences in postprandial metabolic responses to chronic exercise protocols is required before the longer-term implications for chronic disease risk can be established.

Conclusion
This narrative review summarizes the effects of physical activity on postprandial TG, glucose, and insulin metabolism between Asians and white Europeans and highlights some of the known differences within Asian groups. Available studies demonstrate greater postprandial TG, glucose, and insulin responses to meals in Asians than white Europeans, with some evidence that South Asians may exhibit greater exercise-induced reductions in postprandial insulin, and possibly TG, than white Europeans. The most likely explanation for these ethnic differences is impaired insulin sensitivity mediated by visceral adipose tissue accumulation and impaired pancreatic β-cell function more readily promoted by modern lifestyles including high calorie diets and physical inactivity in Asians. In addition, differences in LPL mass and/or activity or substrate oxidation may contribute to ethnic differences in postprandial TG metabolism; however, further research is required before firm conclusions can be drawn. An improved understanding of the postprandial metabolic health benefits of physical activity across ethnic groups may help to optimize lifestyle-based approaches that diminish the future risk of chronic diseases in those ethnicities with an underlying predisposition.
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