Diameter of surgical versus endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastrojejunostomy: that much wider after all is said and done?

Whereas retrospective literature confirms the comparable clinical success of endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastrojejunostomy (EUS-GJ) and surgical gastrojejunostomy (SGJ) [1,2], no head-to-head comparison exists of their caliber and long-term patency.

We present one case of a patient receiving both procedures, offering an unusual opportunity for direct comparison (▶Video 1).

A 52-year-old patient was diagnosed with gastric outlet obstruction owing to a duodenal B-cell lymphoma. EUS-GJ was performed using a 20-mm lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS), followed by 18-mm balloon dilation [3] (▶Fig. 1).

Upon disease remission with chemotherapy, a blind-ended bulb resulted from scarring of the stenotic duodenal tract. Although the EUS-GJ was wide and patent (▶Fig. 2), erosions were appearing on the jejunal side after 8 months (▶Fig. 2c) and an SGJ was proposed owing to the uncertainty of long-term patency of the EUS-GJ and a potentially wider caliber of the SGJ. Following surgery, gastrointestinal follow-through (▶Fig. 3) showed adequate flow through both anastomoses, but EUS-GJ seemed reduced in diameter 13 months after placement. LAMS extraction was planned, revealing significant granulation tissue overgrowth surrounding a stabilized fistula reduced in caliber (▶Fig. 3).

On that occasion, the SGJ caliber was evaluated. Although the surgeon created an almost 5-cm incision, a 20-mm balloon perfectly fitted the final SGJ (▶Fig. 4).

Indeed, SGJ requires a linear incision of stomach and jejunal walls and latero-lateral suturing of their inferior and superior margins [4]. This elliptic anastomosis will become round after maturation and scarring, with a smaller final circular diameter compared to the initial linear cut (▶Fig. 5).

Pending randomized data, this case suggests a comparable caliber of SGJ and EUS-GJ for a substantial part of their history, and therefore an assumed larger diameter should not be used as a reason to prefer SGJ. However, it also suggests that long-term LAMS friction may induce inflammatory responses deserving further elucidation, especially when advocating EUS-GJ use in benign disease.
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▶ Fig. 2 Endoscopic follow-up of the endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastrojejunostomy (EUS-GJ). a, b Follow-up at 4 months: wide and patent EUS-GJ, with normotrophic mucosa on the jejunal side. c Follow-up at 8 months: initial erosions were seen on the jejunal side of the anastomosis.

▶ Fig. 3 a Endoscopic follow-through showing adequate contrast flow through both anastomoses, although EUS-GJ diameter seemed slightly reduced after 13 months. b, c Endoscopy performed for lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) extraction 13 months after placement. b Endoscopic view of the LAMS reduced in caliber, not passable with a standard gastroscope. c After LAMS removal, significant granulation tissue overgrowth was visible surrounding a stabilized fistula reduced in caliber.
Fig. 5 Pictorial representation of a surgical gastrojejunostomy. Left) Procedure requires the approximation of stomach and jejunal loops, a linear incision of their walls, and a latero-laternal suturing of their inferior and superior margins. This creates an elliptic anastomosis, which turns round after maturation and scarring. As surface areas must remain unchanged, the diameter of the final circular anastomosis will be inferior to the surgically made linear cut. Because the area of an ellipse is $\pi * a * b$ (the 2 semi-axes of the ellipse) and the area of a circle is $\pi * r^2$ and the area cannot change during maturation, final radius of the circular anastomosis might be approximated to the square root of half the surgical linear incision.