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Abstract:
A large number of daily requests to exclude possible prothrombotic risk factors for COVID-19 vaccines were received. Our aim was to longitudinally evaluate coagulation profiles in a series of healthy subjects who received COVID-19 vaccination and assess hypercoagulability thereafter.
Volunteers awaiting a first or second dose of either the ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccine were enrolled. Venous samples were obtained at baseline (before the vaccine) and longitudinally 3±2 days (T1) and 10±2 days after the vaccine (T2). Global coagulation monitoring was assessed via platelet count, whole blood thromboelastometry and impedance aggregometry, plasma thrombin generation and anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies.
One hundred and twenty-two subjects were enrolled (61 [50%] ChAdOx1 and 61 BNT162b2). The ChAdOx1 cohort showed a slight but transient increase in thrombin generation (mainly endogenous thrombin potential [ETP] with thrombomodulin and ETP ratio) at T1, which promptly decreased at T2. In addition, the second dose of either vaccine was associated with increased thrombin peak, ETP with thrombomodulin and ETP ratio. At baseline, 3.2% of the ChAdOx1 cohort and 1.6% BNT162b2 cohort were positive for PF4/heparin antibodies with a stable titre through T1 and T2. No relevant differences were detected in platelet count and aggregation, or thromboelastometry parameters. No thrombotic or haemorrhagic events occurred.
We can confirm that no clinically meaningful hypercoagulability occurred after either vaccine, albeit keeping in mind that thrombin generation may increase in the first days after the second dose of either vaccine and after the first dose of the ChAdOx1 vaccine.
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Abstract

A large number of daily requests to exclude possible prothrombotic risk factors for COVID-19 vaccines were received. Our aim was to longitudinally evaluate coagulation profiles in a series of healthy subjects who received COVID-19 vaccination and assess hypercoagulability thereafter. Volunteers awaiting a first or second dose of either the ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccine were enrolled. Venous samples were obtained at baseline (before the vaccine) and longitudinally 3±2 days (T1) and 10±2 days after the vaccine (T2).
Global coagulation monitoring was assessed via platelet count, whole blood thromboelastometry and impedance aggregometry, plasma thrombin generation and anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies.

One hundred and twenty-two subjects were enrolled (61 [50%] ChAdOx1 and 61 BNT162b2). The ChAdOx1 cohort showed a slight but transient increase in thrombin generation (mainly endogenous thrombin potential [ETP] with thrombomodulin and ETP ratio) at T1, which promptly decreased at T2. In addition, the second dose of either vaccine was associated with increased thrombin peak, ETP with thrombomodulin and ETP ratio. At baseline, 3.2% of the ChAdOx1 cohort and 1.6% BNT162b2 cohort were positive for PF4/heparin antibodies with a stable titre through T1 and T2. No relevant differences were detected in platelet count and aggregation, or thromboelastometry parameters. No thrombotic or haemorrhagic events occurred.

We can confirm that no clinically meaningful hypercoagulability occurred after either vaccine, albeit keeping in mind that thrombin generation may increase in the first days after the second dose of either vaccine and after the first dose of the ChAdOx1 vaccine.
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Introduction
Over the past months, there have been several reports of infrequent thrombotic events associated with COVID-19 vaccines1-5. These thrombotic complications have been well defined within a new syndrome coined vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopaenia (VITT) characterized by thrombosis, in particular in the cerebral venous sinus or splanchnic veins6,7. However, this thrombotic complication linked to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines is extremely rare with an estimated incidence of 0.73 per 100,000 doses of the ChAdOx1 vaccine8. There have been a few reports of vaccine-associated thrombotic events after receiving mRNA vaccines as well 9-11.

Although rare, these reports raised profound concern among the general population worldwide12. Our outpatient clinics receive a large number of daily requests from healthy subjects for medical examinations to assess possible risk factors and contraindications for COVID-19 vaccines. Patients with thrombophilic conditions are even more concerned. To date, it remains unclear whether COVID-19 vaccines may induce a transient hypercoagulable state or increase hypercoagulability in subjects with a predisposition to thrombosis with mechanisms other than VITT. A recent observational pilot study by our group found no significant activation of fibrinogen-driven coagulation, plasma thrombin generation or clinically meaningful platelet aggregation after either ChAdOx1 or BNT162b213. However, no monitoring of coagulation parameters was done before and after the vaccine.

The aim of the present cohort study was to longitudinally evaluate coagulation profiles in a series of healthy subjects eligible for the BNT162b2 or the ChAdOx1 vaccine and assess hypercoagulability after the vaccination.

Methods
Study population and design

Volunteers awaiting a first or second dose of either the ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccine were enrolled. Exclusion criteria were: i) COVID-19 within the previous three months; ii) infection, hospitalization, surgery within the previous month; iii) pregnancy/postpartum; iv) ongoing anticoagulant therapy; v) active cancer (recent diagnosis or radio-chemotherapy) in the previous three months.

Venous samples were obtained at baseline (T0, at least 24-48 h before the vaccination) and longitudinally 3±2 days (T1) and 10±2 days after the vaccination (T2). All participants gave written informed consent and the protocol was approved by the national Institutional Ethical Committee INMI Lazzaro Spallanzani (Ref: 366 2020/2021). The study was conducted in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Laboratory tests

Volunteers underwent fasting venous sampling of 9 mL of blood into citrate-containing vacutainer tubes. Corn trypsin inhibitor was not used for the samples collection. Platelet-poor plasma (PPP) was prepared within 1 h by double centrifugation (2 x 10 min at 1500 g) at room temperature. Aliquots (1 mL) were immediately frozen and then stored at -80 °C. The following tests were performed:

- platelet count
- whole blood rotational thromboelastometry
- whole blood impedance aggregometry
- thrombin generation
- anti-PF4/heparin antibodies
Platelet count was obtained by an automated cell counter (CELL-DYN Emerald 22, Abbott, Rome, Italy).

Rotational thromboelastometry (ROTEM® Instrumentation Laboratory, Werfen, Milan, Italy) was based on the viscoelastic method. Upon activation by calcium, phospholipids and ellagic acid or tissue factor, clot formation is achieved and thereby decrease the rotational potential of a pin (i.e., viscoelastometry)\textsuperscript{14}. The increase in viscoelastic force is proportional to the capability of clot formation in intrinsic (INTEM), extrinsic coagulation (EXTEM) pathways and fibrinogen contribution to blood clot (FIBTEM). For EXTEM and INTEM tests, the following ROTEM® parameters were collected: clotting time (CT), clotting formation time (CFT), and maximum clot firmness (MCF)\textsuperscript{14,15}. Only MCF was collected for FIBTEM test.

Platelet aggregation (MULTIPLATE®, Roche Diagnostics, Milan, Italy) was based on the impedance method. Upon activation by different agonists, platelets adhere to the sensor wires and thereby increase the electrical resistance (i.e., impedance). The increase is proportional to the capability of platelets to aggregate on each wire. Results are expressed as Area Under the Curve (AUC, AU*min)\textsuperscript{16}. The higher the AUC value, the greater the capability of platelets to aggregate. In particular, platelets were stimulated with 3 different agonists: (1) thrombin receptor activating peptide-6 (TRAP-6) 32 μmol/L, which is the most potent platelet activator and stimulates platelet aggregation via the thrombin receptor PAR-1 (TRAP test-Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany); (2) ADP 6.5 μmol/L (ADP test-Roche Diagnostics GmbH); (3) arachidonic acid 500 μmol/L, which allows the evaluation of cyclooxygenase-dependent aggregation (ASPI test-Roche Diagnostics GmbH)\textsuperscript{14}.
Thromboelastometry and platelet aggregometry were performed in whole blood within 2 h of sample collection by trained members of the research team, as previously reported\(^{14,16}\).

Thrombin generation (TG) was measured in PPP samples thawed in a 37 °C water bath for 2–3 min, using the automated and standardized ST Genesia® analyzer (Stago, Asnières sur Seine, France) and the STG®-ThromboScreen kit (Stago) according to the manufacturer’s instructions\(^{17,18}\). The STG®-ThromboScreen kit contains a mixture of phospholipid vesicles (4 μM) and a medium picomolar concentration (the exact concentration is not specified by the manufacturer) of human recombinant tissue factor (TF) with and without rabbit lung thrombomodulin (TM) as activator of the coagulation system. TM concentration is that required to decrease endogenous thrombin potential (ETP) by 50% in normal pool plasma. The test allows the activation of the protein C anticoagulant pathway, and thus the assessment of both arms of haemostasis. The kit also includes three levels of quality control (for low, normal and high TM resistance) and a reference plasma to normalize the results and minimize inter-assay variability. The calibration curve (generated by STG®-ThrombiCal) was run in parallel with STG®-FluoSet allowing a maximal fluorescence level determination and the calculation of a correction factor according to the optical characteristics of each aliquot of plasma assayed. Calibration curve, quality controls and reference plasma were run on each day of testing. TG was initiated by dispensing a solution of a thrombin fluorogenic substrate and calcium chloride (STG®-FluoStart). Analyses with and without TM were performed in duplicate. The following TG parameters were measured and analyzed: lag time, peak thrombin (peak height) and endogenous thrombin potential (ETP). Lag time and peak height variables were reported as normalized values and expressed
as ratio or percentage, respectively. ETP in the presence and absence of TM was provided as absolute value (nM*min). The ETP ratio obtained dividing the ETP with TM by the ETP without TM was also calculated. The ETP ratio reflects the “resistance” to the anticoagulant effect of protein C. In other words, the lower the ETP ratio, the better preserved the level and the function of protein C. Conversely, a higher ETP ratio means more severe protein C resistance and a potentially greater predisposition to thrombosis\textsuperscript{19}.

The presence of IgG antibodies against PF4/heparin was evaluated with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, Asserachrom\textsuperscript{®} HPIA-IgG, Stago). All absorbance values greater than the 10.5% of the absorbance value observed for the reference plasma (containing antibodies that are reactive to heparin-PF4 complexes) were considered positive, as instructed by the manufacturer. The absorbance of the reference plasma was 1.181 OD, thus normal values were ≤0.124 OD.

**Statistical analysis**

Qualitative data were described as frequencies and percentages. Quantitative data were described as median and interquartile range (IQR). Comparisons between dependent groups were performed using the Friedman test for quantitative variables and Fisher’s exact tests for frequencies. Comparisons between independent groups were performed using Kruskal–Wallis test. Associations between continuous variables were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation tests. Multiple linear regression analysis was run to assess significant association among coagulation parameters and clinical variables (i.e. age, gender, body mass index, vaccine dose (first vs. second), vaccine type, comorbidities, thrombophilia, hormonal therapy,
antiplatelet therapy). Statistical significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$. All analyses were completed using SPSS software version 26.0.

Results

One hundred and twenty-two subjects were enrolled: 61 (50%) received the ChAdOx1 vaccine and 61 (50%) the BNT162b2 vaccine. The clinical characteristics of the study population are reported in Table 1. A comparable number of subjects in each cohort received the first vaccine dose [42 (68%) ChAdOx1 vs. 36 (59%) BNT162b2, (p=ns)]. The two groups were also comparable as it relates to gender, body mass index, comorbidities, prevalence of known thrombophilia and ongoing combined oral contraceptive therapy (COC). The prevalence of systemic symptoms (i.e. fever, headache and myalgia/arthralgia/asthenia) was higher in the ChAdOx1 cohort, whereas a higher number of subjects in the BNT162b2 cohort lamented local pain at the injection site. No thrombotic or haemorrhagic events – including petechiae or subcutaneous haematomas - were observed throughout the longitudinal monitoring.

Platelet count

We detected a slight but significant decrease in platelet count at T1 after receiving the ChAdOx1 vaccine followed by a prompt increase at T2 ($198.9 \pm 49.2 \rightarrow 186.1 \pm 48.5 \rightarrow 216 \pm 51.3 \times 10^9/L; p<0.0001$). No significant difference in platelet count was detected after the BNT162b2 vaccine. Furthermore, no significant difference was detected between the two vaccine cohorts (Table 2). In a multiple regression analysis, although platelet count at T1 was significantly associated with anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies ($p=0.032$), it was not associated with vaccine type or dose (first vs. second). Importantly, the use of anti-platelet therapy (including
NSAIDs, serotonin reuptake inhibitors, and monoclonal antibodies) was not associated with the decrease of platelet count at T1 after ChAdOx1 vaccine.

**Thromboelastometry**

We detected a slight but significant shortening of clot formation time (CFT) at T2 after both ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 vaccines; the shortening was more pronounced in the former cohort (Table 3). No significant difference in other parameters [i.e. clotting time (CT) and maximum clot firmness (MCF)] was detected after vaccination and between the two vaccine cohorts.

**Whole blood platelet aggregometry**

A significant decrease in ADP- and ASPI-induced platelet aggregation was detected at T1 after the ChAdOx1 vaccine, then returning to baseline levels at T2 (Table 4). This phenomenon did not occur after the BNT162b2 vaccine. Moreover, no difference was observed between the two vaccine cohorts at all three time points. ADP- and ASPI-induced aggregation correlated significantly with platelet count (r=0.35 and 0.31, respectively). In a multiple variable analysis, the use of anti-platelet therapy (including NSAIDs, serotonin reuptake inhibitors, and monoclonal antibodies) was not associated with the decrease of ADP- and ASPI-induced aggregation at T1 after ChAdOx1 vaccine.

**Thrombin generation**

We detected a significant but transient increase in peak height, ETP with and without TM, and ETP ratio at T1 after the ChAdOx1 vaccine followed by a prompt return to baseline levels at T2 (Table 5). A similar pattern did not occur after the BNT162b2 vaccine. In a multiple regression analysis, the only parameters independently associated with thrombin peak height were vaccine dose (first vs. second) and COC
use at baseline and T1 (p=0.025 and 0.0001, respectively); only COC use remained significantly associated with peak height (p=0.0001) at T2. There was no association between peak height and type of vaccine. ETP was significantly associated only with COC use (p=0.001) but not with type of vaccine or dose. On the other hand, ETP with TM was significantly and independently associated with vaccine dose and COC use at baseline (p=0.004 and 0.0001, respectively); with vaccine dose, vaccine type (p=0.019) and COC use at T1; and only with COC use at T2. Similarly, ETP ratio was associated with vaccine dose and COC use at baseline (p=0.005 and 0.0001, respectively); with vaccine dose, vaccine type (p=0.001) and COC use at T1; and only with COC use at T2. These results indicate that the type of vaccine increases ETP ratio (by increasing ETP + TM) at T1; whereas vaccine dose (first vs. second) increases thrombin peak at baseline and T1, as well as ETP ratio (by increasing ETP + TM) at baseline and T1. Thrombin generation parameters remained unchanged ten days after the vaccination (T2).

Anti-PF4/heparin Antibody

Overall, anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies were detected in 19.6% (12/61) of the ChAdOx1 cohort and 11.4% (7/61) of the BNT162b2 cohort at baseline. However, stronger positivity was present in only 3.2% (2/61) of the ChAdOx1 cohort and 1.6% (1/61) of the BNT162b2 cohort at baseline, whereas the majority of antibody titres tested weak positive with the method used (Figure 1). Particularly, the median levels of anti-PF4 IgG antibodies of positive subjects were 0.136 [0.128-0.151] OD in the ChAdOx1 group and 0.141 [0.124-0.344] OD in the BNT162b2 group (p=ns) (normal values ≤0.124 OD). The antibody titre of positive subjects remained stable through T1 (median ChAdOx1 0.136 [0.130-0.148] OD; BNT162b2 0.135 [0.126-0.345] OD; p=ns) and T2 (median ChAdOx1 0.141 [0.134-0.150] OD; BNT162b2 0.138 [0.128-
Importantly, also the antibody titre of negative subjects remained stable through T1 and T2. Figure 1 reports the trend of anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies in the study population with the cut-off for positivity. In the multiple regression analysis, the vaccine dose (first vs. second) was not associated with the presence of antibodies at baseline. Platelet count was associated with detectable antibodies at T1 (p 0.032), whereas the vaccine type was not associated.

**Discussion**

Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) is a rare but severe immunological reaction to the adenoviral vector-based COVID-19 vaccines. Extreme activation of platelets and the coagulation system confer a high risk of death from venous or arterial thrombosis, or secondary haemorrhage. The VITT-associated pathophysiology is rather peculiar and dissimilar to that observed in the development of venous or arterial thrombosis due to more common prothrombotic conditions such as congenital or acquired thrombophilia. The spectre of severe thrombosis as a possible complication of COVID-19 vaccines raised great concern among the general population worldwide, with particular regard to individuals with previous thrombotic complications or thrombophilic conditions. We therefore aimed to allay fears related to thrombotic risk linked to COVID-19 vaccines among clinicians and the population by conducting a longitudinal cohort study using global coagulation assays. A previous pilot study showed that neither adenoviral vector-based nor mRNA-based vaccines resulted in significant changes in thromboelastometry and thrombin generation parameters. We performed the following tests before and after the vaccine: coagulation monitoring, platelet count and anti-PF4/heparin antibody titres.
Our main finding was that the adenoviral vector-based ChAdOx1 vaccine appears to slightly but transiently increase thrombin generation mainly in the ETP with TM and ETP ratio parameters 48 hours after the vaccine followed by a sharp decrease at T2. The second dose of either vaccine is associated with increased thrombin peak, ETP with TM and ETP ratio. No thrombotic events occurred in our population, thus indicating that the transient increase in thrombin generation bears no pathological ramifications. This may stem from the transient inflammatory reaction associated with the ChAdOx1 vaccine and, more generally, with the second dose of either vaccine. A higher prevalence of subjects who received the ChAdOx1 vaccine reported fever, myalgia/arthralgia/asthenia and use of NSAIDs as compared to the BNT162b2 vaccine. This may stem from a more pronounced inflammatory reaction in the former. However, as per the nature of a not blinded observational study, the comparison of such mild side effects may not be so reliable. None of the transient thrombin generation alterations were associated with the presence of thrombophilia.

In addition, the increased peak height associated with dose (first vs. second) as well as the increase in ETP with TM and ETP ratio associated with dose and vaccine type were independent from COC use\textsuperscript{23}. Our findings on platelet count and platelet aggregation warrant a separate discussion. We detected a slight but significant decrease in platelet count alongside a reduction in platelet aggregation 48 hours after the ChAdOx1 vaccine. The results were confirmed even after removing patients undergoing NSAIDs or any antiplatelet therapy. The slight reduction of platelet function appeared to be associated only with the platelet count. However, both platelet count and aggregation remained within the reference range and did not differ significantly between the two vaccine cohorts.
Thromboelastometry profiles did not reveal any clinically meaningful change after either vaccine. We observed a significant shortening of clot formation time (CFT) in INTEM and EXTEM 10 days after both vaccines, though CFT remained within the normal range. No difference in the clot stability (MCF) was detected after either vaccine.

Finally, we detected low titres of anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies before the vaccine which remained unchanged after the vaccine. No seroconversion was detected in subjects tested negative before the vaccine. The antibody titre was associated with platelet count 48 hours after the vaccine but independently of the vaccine type. The frequency of positive anti-PF4/heparin IgG in our study appears higher than observed in healthy population. However, we detected a comparable proportion of positive subjects in both the vaccine groups and the antibody titre was low according to the manufacturer cut-off, thus only a similar weak positivity at baseline and no increase in the titre after either vaccination was detected. It has been shown that the specificity of ELISA assays for anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies is quite a bit low (74–86%, depending in part on the assay manufacturer), accounting for a high rate of false positives, also depending on patient population. Our population include also a proportion of subjects with autoimmune diseases, who have a higher likelihood for testing positive because of possible cross-reactivity. Our results appear to be in contrast with a recent study that detected non-platelet-activating anti-PF4 antibodies in 67% of vaccinated individuals on day 22 following the first dose of the ChAdOx1 vaccine, whereas none of the recipients had detectable anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies before the vaccine. Furthermore, anti-PF4 antibodies were also detected after the BNT162b2 vaccine. In the study by Thiele et al. 7 out of 11 samples tested already positive for anti-PF4/polyanion IgG before vaccination, while four showed
“seroconversion” after vaccination with both vaccines. Importantly, all the aforementioned studies reported only non-platelet-activating PF4 antibodies in vaccinated individuals. We may therefore conclude that while no PF4/heparin antibodies induced by the vaccines were detected, the latter did not cause an increase in antibody titre even in non-specific carriers. Thus, there is no clinical indication to screen for PF4/heparin IgG antibodies asymptomatic individuals before and after vaccination.

We would be remiss if we did not mention some of the limitations of our study. Particularly, the number of subjects included is small and this may partly alter the results because of the lack of power. However, in a previous observational pilot study including 190 subjects after the vaccination, we found no difference in the coagulation profile vs. a non-vaccinated healthy population. Moreover, given the small sample size, the multiple regression analysis could be impaired. Finally, we did not perform a functional, platelet-activation assay in order to clarify the platelet-activating capacity of anti-PF4 antibodies in subjects tested positive or weak positive with the ELISA immunologic assay.

Overall, our findings suggest that: i) no clinically meaningful hypercoagulability and increase in platelet aggregation occurs after either vaccine; ii) increased thrombin generation (peak and ETP ratio) may occur after the second dose of either vaccine (baseline and 48 h after); iii) transient increase in thrombin generation (EPT ratio) occurs 48 h after the ChAdOx1 vaccine; iv) subjects taking combined oral contraceptives show an independent and stable increase in thrombin generation; v) high-titre positivity for PF4/heparin antibodies do not occur commonly following vaccination and asymptomatic individuals with (weak) positivity do not increase their titre after vaccination.
These results allow us to fully reassure individuals referred to our Centre for an assessment of the prothrombotic risk associated with COVID-19 vaccines. In particular, there is no need for coagulation and platelet monitoring after vaccination in individuals asymptomatic for thrombotic or haemorrhagic events.

What is known on this topic:

- The path-mechanism of vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopaenia has been defined
- Thrombotic complications associated to COVID-19 vaccination are rare but raised concern in the general population
- There is no indication to assess hypercoagulability before COVID-19 vaccination

What does this paper add:

- No increase thromboelastometry and platelet aggregation occurs after ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccine
- Increased thrombin generation may occur after the second dose of either vaccine
- People taking oral contraceptives or with autoimmune diseases can be reassured on the lack of vaccine-driven hypercoagulability
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**Figure 1. Trend of PF4/heparin IgG antibodies in the study population**

A. subjects vaccinated with ChAdOx1; B. subjects vaccinated with BNT162b2.

Values of OD >0.124 units were considered positive; gray shaded area refers to negative values (≤0.124 OD).

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ChAdOx1</th>
<th>BNT162b2</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of subjects</strong></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First dose – n (%)</strong></td>
<td>42 (68)</td>
<td>36 (59)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age - years</strong></td>
<td>51 ± 12</td>
<td>48 ± 17</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender – Female n (%)</strong></td>
<td>47 (77.0)</td>
<td>41 (67.2)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platelet count T0</td>
<td>Platelet count T1</td>
<td>Platelet count T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ChAdOx1</strong></td>
<td>198.9 ± 49.2</td>
<td>186.1 ± 48.5</td>
<td>216.0 ± 51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BNT162b2</strong></td>
<td>200.0 ± 54</td>
<td>200.9 ± 51.2</td>
<td>208.5 ± 50.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as mean and standard deviation or frequencies.

† 1 Raynaud syndrome, 4 Hashimoto's thyroiditis; †† 7 Hashimoto's thyroiditis, 1 Sjögren's syndrome, 1 psoriasis, 1 spondyloarthritis, 2 immune thrombocytopenia, 1 Crohn's disease.

*2 heterozygous prothrombin G20210A mutation, 1 heterozygous factor V Leiden, 1 proteins S deficiency, 2 homozygous MTHFR, 1 antiphospholipid antibody syndrome; **6 heterozygous factor V Leiden, 1 heterozygous prothrombin G20210A mutation, 1 combined heterozygous (prothrombin G20210A mutation and factor V Leiden).

BMI: body mass index; COC: combined oral contraceptives; NSAIDs: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; MTHFR: methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase.

Table 2. Platelet count in the study population
### Table 3. Thromboelastometry parameters in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p between groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ChAdOx1</td>
<td>181 (169.7-198)</td>
<td>182 (163-197)</td>
<td>179 (166-188)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>181 (171-196)</td>
<td>181 (165-190)</td>
<td>178 (170-193)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNT162b2</td>
<td>62 (57-70)</td>
<td>61 (57-67)</td>
<td>51 (55-66)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>66 (60-75)</td>
<td>64.5 (58-70)</td>
<td>59.5 (65-68)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT – sec</td>
<td>INTEM</td>
<td>EXTEM</td>
<td>INTEM</td>
<td>CFT</td>
<td>EXTEM</td>
<td>INTEM</td>
<td>EXTEM</td>
<td>MCF</td>
<td>EXTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74 (61.7-84.2)</td>
<td>82 (68.7-97)</td>
<td>69 (63.5-88.2)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>76 (65.7-83)</td>
<td>75.5 (65-89)</td>
<td>72 (63.5-85)</td>
<td>71 (63.5-79)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61 (69.75-94)</td>
<td>76 (65.7-83)</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>85 (76-99.5)</td>
<td>82.5 (71-97.5)</td>
<td>81 (75-90)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEM</td>
<td>161 (57-65)</td>
<td>62 (59-65)</td>
<td>62 (59-65)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>61.5 (57-63.5)</td>
<td>62 (58-64)</td>
<td>62 (59-64)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTEM</td>
<td>64 (61-68)</td>
<td>62 (60-67)</td>
<td>65 (62-68)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>64 (60.5-66)</td>
<td>64 (62-67)</td>
<td>64 (62-67)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIBTEM</td>
<td>15 (13-18)</td>
<td>17 (15-18.2)</td>
<td>16 (13.7-19.2)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>16 (13-18)</td>
<td>16 (14-20)</td>
<td>16 (13.5-20)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT: Clotting time; CFT: Clot formation time; MCF: maximum clot firmness

Reference ranges: INTEM CT 100-240 sec; INTEM CFT 30-110 sec; INTEM MCF 50-72 mm; EXTEM CT 38-79 sec; EXTEM CFT 34-159 sec; EXTEM MCF 50-72 mm; FIBTEM MCF 9-25 mm.

### Table 4. Platelet aggregometry data in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p between groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>ChAdOx1</th>
<th>BNT162b2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADP - AUC</td>
<td>81 (64.5-91)</td>
<td>B8 (52-90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP - AUC</td>
<td>71 (57.5-85)</td>
<td>B7 (47.2-80.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAP - AUC</td>
<td>108 (99.5-127)</td>
<td>108 (93-120.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC: area under the curve

Reference ranges: ADP 38-85 AUC; ASPI 39-79 AUC; TRAP (thrombin receptor activating peptide) 69-117 AUC.

Table 5. Thrombin generation parameters in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p between groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine</td>
<td>ChAdOx1</td>
<td>BNT162b2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag time – ratio</td>
<td>1.19 (1.05-1.32)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.04-1.33)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.05-1.37)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>1.18 (1.07-1.36)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.11-1.41)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.07-1.39)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak height - %</td>
<td>107 (80.7-128)</td>
<td>111 (88.1-129)</td>
<td>106 (78.1-124)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>95.5 (81.8-115)</td>
<td>97.7 (82.9-113)</td>
<td>93.4 (79.7-116)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETP- nM*min</td>
<td>1320 (1177-1535)</td>
<td>1410 (1210-1524)</td>
<td>1363 (1148-1486)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>1333 (1146-1453)</td>
<td>1300 (1178-1459)</td>
<td>1301 (1153-1444)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETP + TM – nM*min</td>
<td>558 (376-818)</td>
<td>704 (475-885)</td>
<td>632 (390-830)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>506 (373-689)</td>
<td>569 (395-749)</td>
<td>528 (470-670)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETP ratio</td>
<td>0.40 (0.32-0.57)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.36-0.59)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.36-0.56)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.39 (0.33-0.49)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.33-0.53)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.34-0.47)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ETP: endogenous thrombin potential; TM: thrombomodulin.

Reference ranges: Lag time 0.87-1.59 ratio; peak height 102-273%; ETP 925.5-1526 nM*min; ETP + TM 227-801 nM*min
NB: Reference ranges were determined in 54 healthy adults of both sexes (M:F=1:1), mean age 42.4±18.1 years, mean BMI 26.5±3.2 kg/m², with no personal or family history of thrombosis or bleeding disorders who were taking no medications, including oral contraceptives.
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