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ABSTRACT
Background Recently introduced MRI techniques offer improved image quality and facilitate examinations of patients even when artefacts are expected. They pave the way for novel diagnostic imaging strategies in neuroradiology. These methods include improved 3D imaging, movement and metal artefact reduction techniques as well as Dixon techniques.

Methods Narrative review with an educational focus based on current literature research and practical experiences of different professions involved (physicians, MRI technologists/radiographers, physics/biomedical engineering). Different hardware manufacturers are considered.

Results and Conclusions 3D FLAIR is an example of a versatile 3D Turbo Spin Echo sequence with broad applicability in routine brain protocols. It facilitates detection of smaller lesions and more precise measurements for follow-up imaging. It also offers high sensitivity for extracerebral lesions. 3D techniques are increasingly adopted for imaging arterial vessel walls, cerebrospinal fluid spaces and peripheral nerves. Improved hybrid-radial acquisitions are available for movement artefact reduction in a broad application spectrum. Novel susceptibility artefact reduction techniques for targeted application supplement previously established metal artefact reduction sequences. Most of these techniques can be further adapted to achieve the desired diagnostic performances. Dixon techniques allow for homogeneous fat suppression in transition areas and calculation of different image contrasts based on a single acquisition.

Key points:
▪ 3D FLAIR can replace 2D FLAIR for most brain imaging applications and can be a cornerstone of more precise and more widely applicable protocols.
▪ Further 3D TSE sequences are increasingly replacing 2D TSE sequences for specific applications.
▪ Improvement of artefact reduction techniques increase the potential for effective diagnostic MRI exams despite movement or near metal implants.
▪ Dixon techniques facilitate homogeneous fat suppression and simultaneous acquisition of multiple contrasts.

Citation Format
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Methode Narrative Übersichtsarbeit mit Fortbildungs- schwerpunkt basierend auf aktueller Literaturrecherche und praktischen Erfahrungen verschiedener Berufsgruppen (ärztli- ches Personal, MTRA, MR-Physik/Technik) und mit Geräten unterschiedlicher Hersteller.


Introduction

New generations of equipment have enabled techniques in mag- netic resonance imaging (MRI) to achieve clinical application ma- turity. They are fundamentally changing current imaging strategies. These include 3D techniques, new acceleration methods, artefact reduction procedures as well as possible combinations thereof. This is also reflected in international consensus recommen- dations for common diseases [1–6]. As users, we would like to explain the technical background of these procedures in an understandable way and share experiences from clinical use. Therefore we are focusing on those MRI techniques that are currently in transition to, or are particularly suitable for, broad routine use. Please refer to specific literature for advanced imaging tech- niques which are reserved for special indications [7]. The second part of this review provides an outlook covering promising tech- niques that are still preclinical or at the beginning of clinical use. The first part describes 3D, Dixon and artefact reduction tech- niques; the second part mainly presents new acceleration tech- niques and applications for different body regions. We have sepa- rately identified suitable further review literature with a methodological focus that illustrates the techniques presented in more detail.

Techniques

3D Techniques

3D acquisition techniques refer to the acquisition of a volume data set with near isotropic resolution instead of individual slices.

While in conventional 2D multislice imaging, slices are excited individually and the slice thickness is typically much larger than the edge length of the pixels in the slice plane, a thick slab is excited during 3D imaging, which is resolved into individual slices by a second phase encoding. This allows much higher spatial resolu- tion in this third spatial direction; likewise isotropic voxels are possible [8]. 3D methods are suitable when lesions are to be measured reproducibly during their progression, when their positional relationship to neighboring structures is to be precisely deter- mined and when the distribution pattern of multiple lesions is to be characterized. They are thus a prerequisite for a structured follow-up of lesions independent of primary slice angulation and allow the employment of advanced image analysis techniques including image registration and artificial intelligence. In addition, they are used for intraoperative navigation, for example. They offer the advantage of multiplane reconstructions for visual diagnosis.

3D Turbo Spin Echo (TSE)

The acquisition of a large volume with higher resolution is usually unrealistic when using “conventional” 3D TSE techniques due to a long measurement time and a high specific absorption rate (SAR). The reason for this in conventional TSE techniques [9] is the nu- merous 180° refocusing pulses. New modified 3D TSE techniques [8] address these problems by designing long echo trains (high turbo factor) with variable lower refocusing angles. The sequence of refocusing angles is chosen in such a way that the resulting image contrast is similar to that when using multiple 180° pulses. Contrast here is mainly based on stimulated echoes [8]. It is important to observe the contrast-related “effective” echo time when changing the sequence parameters [8]. In addition, these sequences use short, spatially non-selective high frequency pulses which allow a short echo interval, and thus enable the long echo trains required for the acquisition of a large volume in clinically acceptable measurement time [8]. In addition, these methods employ acceleration techniques such as optimized parallel ima-
ging [8] or compressed sensing (CS, see Part 2). Manufacturer designations of such techniques include CUBE, VIEW/VISTA and SPACE. Improvements in homogeneity and measurement time have increasingly established these modified 3D TSE techniques as routine clinical procedures, e.g., 3D Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR). Further reading: [8]

T2 FLAIR 3D
Technical background and potential advantages
FLAIR is the primary examination technique for the detection and follow-up of cerebral lesions, e.g., in multiple sclerosis [1–3]. The higher resolution and reformattability capability are the main advantages of 3D FLAIR over 2 D FLAIR for this application. The basic principle of the underlying 3D TSE sequences with variable refocusing angles is described in the previous section. Since 3D FLAIR techniques with low refocusing angle lead to pronounced flow-related signal cancellations (flow voids) [8] while at the same time are hardly affected by hypointense flow artefacts [10, 11], they can also be used more widely outside the brain parenchyma than 2 D FLAIR, partly even for the assessment of venous vessels (Fig. 1). 3D FLAIR sequences appear to be relatively less susceptible to motion artefacts compared to conventional 2D Cartesian TSE sequences. In this case, moderate motion effects do not lead to multiple contours or ghost images in phase encoding direction, but rather to a relatively small increase in overall image blur.

Combined with fat suppression, 3D FLAIR could achieve possible additional diagnostic information about extracranial or bony lesions in primary cerebral examination protocols without increasing measurement time. Thus, 3D FLAIR is suitable as a cross-indication replacement for 2 D FLAIR sequences in most cases, and contributes to the reduction of the number of indication-specific brain MRI examination protocols due to its independence from slice orientation. Further reading: [12]

Possible limitations
Limitations of 3D FLAIR compared to 2 D FLAIR have only been described in a few indications (e.g., detection of the so-called “ivy sign” in moyamoya disease [13]). Despite overall low susceptibility, motion artefacts can sometimes appear as signal fluctuations (Fig. 2), mimicking cortical lesions, for example. In 3 T, significant signal inhomogeneities may occur in older scanners due to B1 inhomogeneities [7], through which, for example, the temporal lobes appear differently bright. For example, this may affect the assessability of autoimmune encephalitis. To the best of our knowledge, there are no direct possibilities for the user to influence this by selecting measurement parameters. The respective implementation of the sequence can have an influence and, if necessary, a 2 D FLAIR is preferable to a 3D sequence.

Practical notes on application
Fig. 3 summarizes geometric aspects that can contribute to the avoidance of artefacts and the more efficient use of 3D FLAIR in practical routine use. The sequences provided by the manufacturers have a good contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) for many lesion types. Originally, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was often the determining factor for the presets. For example, while a sequence with a longer repetition time (TR) typically leads to a reduction in SNR due to the resulting further setting adjustments required, the CNR for lesions initially increases within a certain range [14, 15]. Therefore, for example, protocol recommendations for gliomas [4] call for a TR of 6000 to 10 000 ms. This is sometimes not compatible with acceptable measurement time in older implementations. When combined with newer acceleration techniques, these recommendations can be fully implemented. A suitable combination of repetition time (TR), (effective) echo time (TE) and inversion time (TI) is required to achieve complete suppression of the CSF signal [12]. Since no good heuristic exists for determining their appropriate ratio, it is recommended here to select these parameters based on different presets or published combinations (e.g., considering the field strength [14, 16]) instead of freely varying them. Since the subjective image impression of 3D FLAIR differs from that of 2 D FLAIR sequences, a familiarization phase is useful for reliable diagnosis.

3 In some instances trade names are provided in this article for user orientation because there is no uniform non-proprietary name concept for MR techniques as in pharmacology. In contrast to other abbreviations, acronyms which primarily have the character of a product or proper name are not listed here for better readability. Some of these are trademarks of the respective manufacturers. The naming also partly reflects the practical experiences of the authors. In particular, designation is not intended to give preference to any specific manufacturer and its implementations, nor to infringe upon any corresponding trademark rights.
Examples of further 3D TSE techniques

The high flow void susceptibility of 3D TSE sequences can be used in a targeted manner with a reduced refocusing angle, and thus capture certain flow phenomena with T2-weighted sequences [17]. However, T2-weighted 3D TSE sequences without CSF suppression have not yet been accepted as a full-fledged substitute for 2D T2 sequences of the brain. They exhibit altered parenchymal contrast due to the long echo trains and magnetization transfer effects of the refocusing pulses [8]. They are also susceptible to truncation artefacts [18]. T2 TSE sequences are commonly used for visual classification of anatomical details and assessment of lesion morphology for which a high in-plane resolution can be advantageous, as primarily offered by 2D sequences.

T1-weighted fat-suppressed TSE 3D sequences have recently become the standard for vessel wall imaging [19, 20] and have increasingly replaced 2D “black blood” techniques. This is based on the pronounced flow void of these sequences [8]. Although initially described at 7.0 T and 3.0 T [19], based on the authors’ experience image quality can also be achieved with current 1.5 T devices which exceed the informative capability of comparable 2D techniques (for example parameters see Online Table 1). Our experience shows that basic settings do not always meet diagnostic requirements. Special attention should be paid to a resolution in the sub-millimeter range (if necessary with the aid of compressed sensing) [21–24] and sufficient suppression of flowing blood (if necessary with additional techniques such as a prepulse [25] and/or lowering of the refocusing angle [8, 19]). Further reading: [19] These T1-weighted sequences have a good contrast-to-noise ratio for barrier-disrupted lesions when imaging the brain parenchyma. Nevertheless, these sequences can also provide good co-assessment of extracranial structures [26]. However, artefacts sometimes occur due to slow flow in superficial veins [19] and field strength-dependent differences in image impression.

3D Gradient Echo

3D gradient echo techniques have been in routine clinical use for some time and will therefore not be discussed in depth here. Examples include T1-weighted sequences with inversion prepulse (e.g. MPRAGE [27]) and susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI) [28, 29]. Due to higher sensitivity and specificity, SWI has largely replaced T2*-weighted 2D sequences for brain imaging for appropriate indications. In this case, we recommend reconstruction of phase images [29] for a more specific assessment. Further references for SWI: [29]. Innovations in 3D gradient-echo sequences have arisen most recently from the combination possibilities with Dixon [30] and newer acceleration techniques [31, 32].

Artefact Reduction Techniques

Radial Sampling Techniques

Technical background and potential advantages

Techniques to reduce motion artefacts in 2D sequences are widely used clinically under names such as PROPELLER [33], Multi-VANE, JET, RADAR, and BLADE [34–39]. They are based on the acquisition of Cartesian-acquired segments of k-space with only a few phase encoding steps where the segments are arranged like spokes of a wheel (radial-Cartesian trajectory hybrids). The center of the k-space is captured by each spoke. Analysis of the difference between the averaged signals and the individual signals of each spoke in the center enables correction of movements in the slice plane, including both translation and rotation, and to a certain extent also movements in the slice direction [33]. Artefacts in sequences with radial scanning, e.g., due to residual aliasing, often appear in the form of radially arranged stripes. This image impression is also caused by “gridding”, i.e. the projection of the radially recorded points in k-space onto the points of a Cartesian matrix, which is then Fourier-transformed to obtain the image. Such artefacts [39, 40] are usually perceived as less disturbing for the findings than motion artefacts with purely Cartesian sampling. Recently, motion corrections have been improved by newer iterative methods [41]. The basic principle of combining partial radial sequences with motion correction has now been applied to T1-weighted 3D gradient echo sequences. Examples include techniques such as StarVIBE [42–45] and radial eTHRIVE [46]. In our experience, robust and low-artefact image quality can be achieved with these sequences over many patients. Further reading: [39]

Possible limitations

With respect to comparable purely Cartesian techniques, the spatial resolution achievable in realistic measurement time is lower.
T1-weighted radial Cartesian sequences exhibit somewhat lower contrast than comparable purely Cartesian acquisition techniques when assessed visually, especially for gadolinium-enhancing structures.

**Practical notes on application**

The number of spokes in the k-space is an important factor influencing image quality. If too few are chosen, the artefacts mentioned above increase significantly. By varying the number of spokes, it is possible to moderately influence the measurement time and SNR in the sense of non-integer averaging, so that for these sequences primarily the number of spokes should be modified instead of the number of averages. Artefacts also arise from signal contributions from outside the field of view (FOV). Coil elements predominantly located outside the FOV should therefore be deactivated [47]. Transverse slice orientation offers potential advantages.

**Reduction of metal and other susceptibility artefacts**

**Technical background and potential advantages**

Metallic implants result in pronounced local magnetic field inhomogeneities. As a consequence – among other effects – the linear relationship between precession frequency and spatial position as the basis of location encoding is disturbed. In addition to full signal cancellations, this results in spatial distortions as well as relat-
ed signal loss and signal accumulation, i.e. dark areas with bright edges. These distortions occur both within the slice in the readout direction and perpendicular to the slice plane [48, 49]. Well-established metal artefact reduction methods (see ▶ Fig. 4) include the use of a high receiver bandwidth (corresponding to a low water fat shift, depending on the manufacturer); high resolution (including thin slices), preference for TSE sequences with short echo spacing and parallel imaging; if possible, lower field strength, use of less susceptible fat saturation techniques such as Short Tau Inversion Recovery (STIR) and Dixon if necessary, and possibly rotation of the FOV and phase encoding direction to influence the direction of maximum artefact expansion [48, 50, 51]. These principles were first extended to include specific sequences that reduce susceptibility artefacts within the slice by view angle tilting (VAT, e.g., O-MAR or to some extent in the context of WARP). In this case, an additional gradient is switched in the slice selection direction during the readout. This compensates for distortions that have occurred during slice selection [48, 52]. Furthermore, in recent years, multispectral techniques have been established for clinical application, which additionally reduce artefacts from slice to slice [48–51]. In slice-encoding for metal artefact correction (SEMAC) (▶ Fig. 5), usually combined with VAT, additional location coding is performed in the direction of the slice stack by using phase encoding. Consequently spatial errors due to the distorted slice profile can be corrected during image reconstruction [48, 53]. During multiacquisition with variable resonance image combination (MAVRIC), multiple three-dimensional TSE data sets are acquired with discrete shifts in transmit and receive frequencies, from which a complete image is assembled in the course of reconstruction [54]. It should also be mentioned that multi-shot techniques (e.g., RESOLVE) can reduce susceptibility artefacts in diffusion-weighted imaging [55]. However, unlike the previously mentioned techniques, the reduced susceptibility of multi-shot DWI is based only on shortened echo trains compared to single-shot techniques and not on actual correction or suppression of susceptibility artefacts. This offers a selection of well-implemented sequences for most body regions. Further reading: [48, 49]

Possible limitations

Metal artefact reduction sequences exhibit significantly increased measurement time, decreased SNR [48], and increased specific absorption rate (SAR) [49]. It should be noted that the increased SAR for critical implants increases the risk of excessive heating of the implant. However, the prolongation of measurement time can be compensated with modern acceleration techniques, e.g., CS for SEMAC [56] and MAVRIC [57] and simultaneous multislice imaging for RESOLVE DWI [58]. VAT creates a blur in the readout direction [48]. Blurring with specific metal artefact reduction has been cited as an argument to use predominantly only conventional artefact reduction modifications for high-resolution peripheral MR neurography [59] if this can sufficiently reduce the extent of the artefacts.

Practical notes on application

Due to the limitations mentioned above, these sequences should not be used across the board for metallic implants in the study area. Rather, individual sequences of these types can be used selectively to assess details in the immediate area of influence of the artefacts.

Dixon Techniques

Technical background and potential advantages

In particular, Dixon techniques (e.g., Dixon, mDixon, IDEAL, Flex, or WFOP) offer relatively homogeneous fat suppression and acquisition of fat-saturated and non-fat-saturated images in a single acquisition. They can be combined with different sequence types (e.g. TSE or gradient echo, 2D and 3D) and weightings (e.g., T1, T2, PD) and thus used for different targets [30].
Although Dixon techniques were developed as early as the 1980s and 1990s [60] and have been refined several times [61–63], they have only found their way into clinical use with newer hardware and software. They use the property that fat and water protons precess at a slightly different frequency [30, 63], so that in-phase and opposed-phase conditions exist depending on the TE. In Dixon techniques, two (or more) partial measurements are made with different echo times. During image reconstruction, pure fat (“F”) and water (“W”) images can be calculated from this in addition to the in-phase and opposed-phase images. Thus, fat suppression here does not occur as a primary saturation, but by post-processing [30]. Further reading: [30]

Possible limitations
The expected artefacts differ from other fat suppression techniques. A so-called “fat-water swap” is typical: during the computational separation of water and fat signals, it can happen that instead of the water image in image sections or the entire image, the fat signals are actually displayed and vice versa [30, 64]. A partial swap may follow anatomical structures and may then be relatively difficult to detect, most likely with the aid of all calculated image series (Fig. 6). Dixon techniques in their pure form are highly susceptible to inhomogeneities of the main magnetic field. However, further developments that determine the present properties of Dixon techniques make them in the end just less susceptible to such magnetic field inhomogeneities [63]. Compared with conventional TSE sequences, Dixon TSE techniques take slightly longer or have slightly worse SNR [30], but can be a very good compromise.

Practical notes on application
Since the individual images to be calculated are typically selected prior to the measurement, it may be useful to calculate all conceivable images from the data, but to maintain clarity, only use the images in the PACS that are primarily relevant for the findings (e.g., in-phase and water image). The Dixon technique can be combined with different sequences and weightings. Consequently, image contrast varies greatly with Dixon techniques. Also, artefacts that occur are usually more due to the base sequence than to the Dixon module.

Dixon techniques are most suitable for anatomic transition areas (e.g., neck/thorax [65–67]) and regions adjacent to air-containing spaces (e.g., orbit [26]). They are also relatively robust around metallic implants, although STIR remains superior here [30]. However, STIR fat suppression is based on the short T1 time of fat and therefore can also suppress fat-free lesions after contrast uptake [68], in contrast, fat suppression using the Dixon technique has the advantage that it is based on the frequency difference between water and fat and is independent of T1. T2-weighted Dixon techniques with 2D TSE sequences can replace STIR in many cases while exhibitting better SNR [30].

Conclusions
3D techniques allow the creation of multiplanar reconstructions and improve the detectability of small lesions. They are a prerequisite for structured measurements including automated evaluation procedures. In particular, newer 3D TSE techniques such as 3D FLAIR and 3D T1w TSE for vessel wall imaging are now suitable for routine clinical use. Methods for reducing motion and metal artefacts are subject to continuous development. Dixon techniques allow homogeneous fat suppression. Further techniques and implications for different parts of the nervous system will be discussed in a second part of this article.
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