Multipolar traction with adjustable force increases procedure speed during endoscopic submucosal dissection: the A-TRACT-4 traction device

A major barrier to the more widespread use of endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) remains the long-lasting duration of the procedure compared to piecemeal endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR). Several devices and techniques have been described to speed and ease the procedure [1], and traction strategies are implemented more and more to improve efficiency of the dissection phase. We previously described the use of a traction device (the A-TRACT-2), the first adjustable endoscopic traction system with two anchoring points. Here we describe the use and benefits of the A-TRACT-4, its affiliate device that provides increasing multi-traction via four anchoring points.

We report here the case of a 63-year-old patient with a 12.5×9-cm granular laterally spreading tumor of the cecum. After circumferential incision, four clips fixed the device to the four cardinal points of the lesion. We then attached another clip to affix the rubber band to the opposite wall (▶ Fig. 1, Video 1) and dissection started with appropriate traction. After one third of the lesion was cut, traction began to decrease (▶ Fig. 2), and we tightened the device to bring both anchoring points of the device closer to each other and to the rubber band in order to reestablish optimal traction (▶ Fig. 3). The submucosal exposure was ideal through the end of the procedure (▶ Fig. 4). Traction was increased by retensioning the device, thereby stretching the submucosa and making ESD more efficient (more fibers cut with each knife strike). This technique allowed a curative R0 resection of the lesion in only 65 minutes (speed 136 mm²/min). This fast dissection speed was unmatched in our 3500-lesion prospective database (Limoges, Rennes, and Lyon). This was the thirteenth time the A-TRACT was used in a human patient. For reference purposes, Yamamoto et al. [2] reported an average speed of 23.5 mm²/min using the pocket technique, and Bordillon et al. [3] reported a speed of 39.1 mm²/min using a double clip and line system.

Using speed with an experienced operator as a surrogate marker for ease of the procedure, we can hypothesize that this device could greatly facilitate the intervention and narrow the difference in duration between piecemeal EMR and ESD. This device seems attractive especially for less experienced operators and for use in difficult locations.
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Fig. 2 After one third of the lesion is cut, traction begins to decrease.

Fig. 3 Tightening the device brings both the anchoring points of the device closer to each other and to the rubber band in order to reestablish optimal traction.

Fig. 4 Optimal traction is achieved.