Expanding rather than closing the wound can rescue the endoscopic procedure when massive bleeding occurs during endoscopic submucosal dissection

Bleeding is a challenging complication during endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) [1]. Some bleeding during gastric ESD is hard to control, with a few papers having discussed techniques to control the bleeding [2, 3]. Electrocoagulation and hemoclips are available tools. The idea of expanding the surgical field is common for surgeons; however, the concept of building the working field to get better visualization by expanding the wound is rarely discussed in the field of endoscopic hemostasis.

We present the case of a patient who had a 5-cm wide-based protruding adenomatous polyp on the greater curvature of the upper body of the stomach and was undergoing gastric ESD (Fig.1). After performing ESD for 18 minutes, we accidentally cut an artery and caused spurt bleeding. We tried electrocoagulation with the Coagrasper (Olympus, Japan) and soft coagulation 80W (ESG100; Olympus) for 30 minutes, but this failed to achieve hemostasis (Fig.2a). The primary reason for this failure to control the bleeding was that the artery could not be seen as the lesion, gastric folds, and clots covered the endoscopic therapeutic field.

We then performed piecemeal endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) to remove the lesion; however, it was still hard to locate the artery. We faced a choice between closing the wound with hemoclips or expanding the wound to get a better field. We decided not to close the wound because the artery might not be clamped precisely. We therefore performed hot snare resection of the adjacent mucosa a total of 12 times before it was possible for us to locate the bleeding vessel. This allowed the bleeding artery to be seen and the bleeding was then controlled by precisely applying electrocoagulation (Fig.2 b; Video 1).

This case demonstrates that expanding the field can help us to locate a bleeding vessel and resolve the bleeding caused by ESD, thereby rescuing the endoscopic procedure.
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Video 1 Expansion not closure of a wound is used to rescue the endoscopic procedure when massive bleeding occurred during endoscopic submucosal dissection.