A Comparison of Optical Biometers Used in Children for Myopia Control

Ein Vergleich zwischen optischen Biometern im Einsatz bei Kindern zur Überwachung der Myopieprogression
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ABSTRACT

Purpose To assess the reproducibility (i.e., inter-device reliability) of the biometers Topcon MYAH, Oculus Myopia Master, and Haag-Streit Lenstar LS900 with the Carl Zeiss IOL-Master 700 and the intra-subject repeatability in myopic children in order to reliably detect axial growth for myopia management.

Methods Twenty-two myopic children (11.1 ± 2.4 yr) with a spherical equivalent of −3.53 ± 2.35 D were examined with each of the biometers to assess axial length (AL) and corneal parameters (steepK, flatK, meanK, vectors J0, J45), and 16 of these children agreed to a second round of measurements. Reproducibility of the first measurements between the IOL-Master and every other biometer was assessed employing a Bland-Altman approach and paired Student’s t-test. Repeatability was assessed as intra-subject standard deviation and was used to estimate the minimum time interval required between two AL measurements to reliably detect axial growth of an eye of at least 0.1 mm/year.

Results Repeatability for AL measurements was as follows: IOLMaster: 0.05 mm, Myopia Master: 0.06 mm, Myah: 0.06 mm, Lenstar: 0.04 mm; the respective minimal time interval for axial growth assessment in myopia management was estimated as 5.6, 6.6, 6.7, and 5.0 months, respectively. Best reproducibility of the AL measurement was found between IOLMaster and Lenstar [95% Limits of Agreement (LoA) for reproducibility −0.06 to 0.02]. As regards to the measured means, Lenstar gave measures of AL that were longer than with the IOLMaster by 0.02 mm (p < 0.001). Myopia Master measures of meanK were significantly lower (by 0.21 D with p < 0.001) than the values from the IOLMaster. As for J0, all biometers deviated significantly from IOLMaster measurements (p < 0.05).

Conclusion Generally good agreement was observed between all the biometers. When assessing myopia progression in children, a time frame of at least 6 months between the AL measurements is advisable in order to reliably determine any deviation from a normal growth pattern.


**Introduction**

Biometer devices for the assessment of eye biometry have a long-standing use in the power calculation for intraocular lenses in mostly middle-aged or elderly patients who undergo cataract surgery or refractive lens exchange [1, 2]. More recently, biometers have become important tools for ophthalmologists that focus on myopia control management in children and adolescents [3, 4]. For myopia control management, it is important to detect any deviation from a normal growth pattern in a child’s eye as early and as safely as possible and to start the appropriate treatment.

Myopia can be triggered by behavioral and environmental factors [5]. It usually first develops in childhood between the ages 5 and 10 [6] (often called school myopia). It is contemplated that every child’s eye that has a normal growth pattern of a developing eye will eventually approach the state of emmetropia in adulthood. Any axial length (AL) growth that is in excess of this normal growth will cause axial myopia and, if not reduced to normal, lead to the condition of progressive myopia [7]. Treatment options that have been proven to be effective by randomized clinical trials (RCTs) are low-dose atropine [8] and vision aids such as multifocal contact lenses [9], orthokeratology lenses [10], and spectacle lenses having included lens segments [11, 12]. Myopia control management uses the therapeutic tools with the aim to decrease the excessive axial growth to approach at a growth rate that is typical for children of the same age who become or stay emmetropic [3, 4, 13]. Thus, one essential element for proper myopia control management is the assessment of AL growth rate [14] through the measurement of AL (mm) at two points of time. The AL growth rate is then calculated at the time of the second measurement and referenced to a 1-year period to give numbers in mm growth per year (mm/yr). For a good assessment of AL growth, the availability of a reliable and stable AL measurement is key.

With the introduction of new biometers that are specifically designed for AL measurement in myopia management [Myopia Master, Oculus Optikgeräte, Wetzlar, Germany; by partial coherence interferometry (PCI), Myah, Topcon, Tokyo, Japan; by optical low-coherence interferometry (OLCI), and novel software tools for myopia management on the Lenstar LS900 (Haag-Streit), Koeniz, Switzerland; by optical low-coherence reflectometry (OLCR)], the measurement of AL in children is about to become the key method for optometrists and ophthalmologists who are dedicated to myopia management [15, 16]. Any optical biometry holds the advantage that it is independent of pupil size and accommodation, as an AL measurement can be performed before and after cycloplegia, leading to the same results [17]. Yet, the IOLMaster 700 [Carl Zeiss Meditec, Oberkochen, Germany; by swept-source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT)] remains the standard instrument for biometry for ophthalmologists concerned with cataract surgery or refractive surgery. One problem may arise from the fact that there are different biometers around, which even employ different technologies of biometry. Children who are about to enter myopia management are sometimes called for a second opinion by a different optometrist or ophthalmologist who may then employ a different optical biometer. It is thus important to know whether there is a good agreement between the different types of biometers. Pedersen and colleagues [18] have looked at this before but tested adult subjects instead of children. Furthermore, as the assessment of the axial growth rate becomes more important, it is important to know the minimum time interval between two measurements to calculate the current axial growth rate, giving a minimum axial growth to be reliably detected. Repeated measurements on the same subject will inevitably vary...
around the true value because of the measurement error. On the assumption that the standard deviation (SD) between repeated measurements is the same for all subjects [19], we can measure the size of the measurement error for repeated measurements, i.e., the intra-subject SD or repeatability.

The present work thus aimed to analyze the repeatability and reproducibility of biometric data obtained with the IOLMaster 700, Myopia Master, Myah, and Lenstar LS900.

**Methods**

This retrospective analysis included a total of 44 eyes of 22 myopic children who were scheduled for a routine ophthalmological examination at our clinic between June 2022 and August 2022. To assess the repeatability of the biometers, a subset of 16 subjects who agreed to a second measurement on at least one of the biometers was used. Patients with ocular pathologies other than refractive and/or axial myopia were excluded in this evaluation.

**Instruments and measurements**

The AL (in mm), steepK, flatK, and meanK values (each in D) were obtained using IOLMaster, Lenstar, Myopia Master, and Myah. To minimize inter-operator variation, all measurements were performed by the same optometrist (A. M.) in a dim lit (15 lx) room. The measurements were performed at all the biometers, IOLMaster, Myopia Master, Myah, and Lenstar, before the full ophthalmic exam. Both eyes of each subject were included in the evaluation and the biometric measurements of each eye were considered as independent. All eyes (n = 44) were measured with the IOLMaster at least once. This biometer was chosen as the reference for the comparison and to assess reproducibility (see Table 1). For both, the first and second measurement, the order of biometers was randomized.

### Analysis of the data

Data analysis was performed using Python (Python Software Foundation, Wilmington, DE, USA). For reproducibility of the measurements (i.e., inter-device reliability), the graphical method described by Bland and Altman was adopted [20]. To measure the size of the measurement error of each biometer in our young cohort, we calculated the intra-subject SD based on the two consecutive AL measurements for each child. To assess repeatability, the difference between two (consecutive) measurements for the same subject and the true AL is expected to be less than SQR(2)*1.96*SD or 2.77*SD for 95% of pairs of observations (intra-subject repeatability) [19]. We calculated the minimum interval of time that should lie between two AL measurements based on the respective intra-subject repeatability found for each biometer. A paired Student’s t-test was used to compare the first and the second measurement of meanK, J0, J45, and AL and to compare the first measurements of the Myopia Master, Myah, and Lenstar with the IOLMaster.

**Corneal power**

K for the steep (steepK) and flat (flatK) corneal radius R was calculated using the following equation:

\[
K = \frac{n'}{R} - n
\]

where \(n'\) is the refractive index of the cornea of 1.332 and \(n\) is the refractive index of air with 1.

---

**Table 1** Mean values and SD of the repeated measurements performed with all biometers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>1st Measurement (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>2nd Measurement (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOLMaster 700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24.58 ± 1.15</td>
<td>24.58 ± 1.15</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meanK (D)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43.79 ± 1.50</td>
<td>43.81 ± 1.45</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0 (D)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J45 (D)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia Master</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.61 ± 1.10</td>
<td>24.61 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meanK (D)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>43.11 ± 1.20</td>
<td>43.14 ± 1.29</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0 (D)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J45 (D)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.44 ± 1.18</td>
<td>24.44 ± 1.18</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meanK (D)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43.60 ± 1.52</td>
<td>43.58 ± 1.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0 (D)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J45 (D)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0 ± 0.20</td>
<td>−0.02 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenstar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24.56 ± 1.13</td>
<td>24.56 ± 1.13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meanK (D)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43.55 ± 1.48</td>
<td>43.55 ± 1.47</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0 (D)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J45 (D)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>−0.01 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the vectorial analysis, the corneal astigmatism was converted from the cylindrical notation to power vector notation by applying a Fourier transformation using the following equations [21]:

\[
J_0 = -\frac{C}{Z} \times \cos (2\alpha)
\]

\[
J_{45} = -\frac{C}{Z} \times \sin (2\alpha)
\]

where \(C\) is the negative cylindrical power calculated from steep \(K\) and flat \(K\) values and \(\alpha\) is the cylindrical axis. \(J_0\) refers to cylinder power set at orthogonally 90° and 180° meridians, representing Cartesian astigmatism. Positive values of \(J_0\) indicate a greater refractive power and increased curvature along the vertical meridian than along the horizontal. \(J_{45}\) refers to a cross-cylinder set at 45° and 135°, representing oblique astigmatism.

**Ethics**

This study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital Jena (No.: 2019/1520) in accordance with national law and under the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki in its latest revision. Informed consent was obtained from all participating children and both their parents.

**Results**

The 22 myopic children had a mean age of 11.28 ± 2.4 yr [95% confidence interval (CI) 10.28 to 12.29 yr]; their mean spherical equivalent (SE) was \(-3.53 ± 2.36\ D\) (95% CI \(-4.52\) to \(-2.55\ D\)).

**Repeatability of the biometers**

**Table 1** gives an overview of the first and second measurements for the corresponding parameters collected (AL, meanK, \(J_0\), \(J_{45}\)), where \(n\) gives the number of eyes, as not all eyes were measured twice with each biometer. Paired Student’s t-test did not show any significant difference between the measurements.

The intra-subject repeatability of AL measurements and the minimum time interval that should lie between two consecutive AL measurements with the according 95% (CI) for different axial growth rates to be reliably detectable are given in **Table 2**.

**Reproducibility of the biometers**

**Table 3** gives an overview of the measured parameters of all eyes that were measured at least once with all of the biometers.

AL measurements with the according 95% (CI) for different requirements of reliability, i.e., detection limits, are given in **Table 2**.
Regarding the AL measurement, Myopia Master and Myah were in close agreement with the IOLMaster, except for Lenstar, which significantly deviated from the IOLMaster by 0.02 mm (p < 0.001). In the assessment of corneal power (meanK), only Myopia Master deviated from the IOLMaster by 0.21 D (95% CI: −0.36 D to 0.78 D), on average (p < 0.001). The vector assessments (J0, J45) did not deviate from each other to a clinically relevant degree, i.e., differences were less than 0.10 D.

Fig. 1 shows the Bland-Altman plots for reproducibility of the Myopia Master, Myah, and LenstarLS900 with the IOLMaster 700. The solid line represents the mean difference and dashed lines, the lower and upper limits of agreement from −1.96 SD to +1.96 SD, with values shown in Table 4.

Discussion
To monitor myopia progression in young children and adolescents, it is well established to assess the refractive status of the
eye. Recently, the biometric measurement of the AL of the eye and the corneal curvature became the more important means [14]. Biometric and refractive measures taken together also allow for a differentiation between mere refractive and axial myopia [22]. In this study, we analyzed four optical biometry devices with the same myopic children to see whether there were clinically significant differences in the outcomes of the measurements that might lead to confusion or deviating interpretations regarding the current status and progression of the child’s myopia. A reliable measurement of AL and assessment of the AL growth rate from two consecutive AL measurements are required for a proper evaluation of a current or future therapeutic intervention that aims to reduce excessive axial growth and thus reduces or prevents further myopic progression.

Previous comparisons of biometers were mostly published on the parameters of IOL power prediction for use in cataract surgery. Jeon et al. evaluated the agreement between ocular biometry outcomes in 112 eyes of patients undergoing cataract surgery measured by the IOLMaster and Lenstar and found high agreement with narrow 95% LoA [23]. A comparison of the Myah, Pentacam AXL, and IOLMaster in myopic children was performed by Sabur and Takes [24]. Rauscher et al. evaluated the feasibility and repeatability of Lenstar biometry measurements in a pediatric population and found that repeatability improved with age [25]. Ye et al. evaluated the accuracy of the Myopia Master in terms of AL, keratometry, and refractive measurement in children with ametropia and concluded that this three-in-one device provides the desired values with high efficiency and accuracy [26].

In our analysis, all biometers showed good repeatability in AL measurement, with values ranging between 0.02 to 0.06 mm. Any AL measured with the Lenstar was, on average, longer by 0.02 mm compared to the AL measured by the IOLMaster ($p < 0.001$). This is considered a small offset between the devices and would become relevant only if both devices are used to assess AL and axial growth in one subject. As long as the same device is used for the longitudinal analysis of the same subjects in follow-up visits, the observed offset is of no importance. Our findings on the differences between the IOLMaster and Lenstar go along with the study of Jeon et al. [23], who found in a subgroup analysis that Lenstar measures a longer AL than IOLMaster only in longer (rather myopic) eyes and described that Lenstar may be more influenced by the media factor since it uses the principle of reflectometry through the medium of the object. The IOLMaster uses a 1050 nm wavelength laser, where the Lenstar uses an 820 nm super luminescent diode laser. The difference in the transmission of the wavelength due to the turbidity of the medium and the error caused by the increase in the length of the measurement object are combined [23]. The largest variance between AL measurements was found when comparing IOLMaster and Myopia Master (95% LoA = 0.06 to 0.08 mm).

While AL is the primary biometric determinant of an eye’s refractive error, the dimension, curvature, and refractive index of each individual ocular structure contribute to the refractive state [27]. Here, the software of the Myopia Master also holds a tool to analyze which part of the eye differs from an age-dependent Gullstrand eye and to tell whether a child’s myopia is either caused by a high refractive power of the cornea or lens, or rather mostly or exclusively by an increased axial elongation of the eye bulb [28]. Regarding lens thickness, Jos et al. showed that the onset of myopia can be delayed by a decrease in the central thickness of the lens [29]. However, as this segment of the eye was only analyzed with the Lenstar and IOLMaster, it was not further evaluated with regard to repeatability and reproducibility in this study. Regarding anterior corneal power prediction, no statistically significant difference was found between the first and second measurement with the same biomter. When comparing the measurements with the IOLMaster, the Myopia Master measures meanK significantly lower by a mean of 0.21 D. This was also described by Pedersen et al., who found that the mean corneal curvature was significantly flatter when measured with the Myopia Master than with the IOLMaster in a cohort of subjects between the ages of 19 to 41 years [18]. The IOLMaster uses a telecentric method to measure the curvature of the cornea by projecting a light source with 18 points in a distance of 1.5, 2.4, and 3.2 mm from the center of the cornea [30], where the Myopia Master uses four equally spaced points and a ring projected onto the cornea to measure the central corneal curvature [31]. It is likely that these differences in measurement methods have caused a slight difference in the keratometry results.

The normal growth pattern of a 16-year-old child shows an axial elongation of less than 0.05 mm/year [15]. According to our results, this axial growth rate can only be reliably detected, i.e., at a probability of 95%, if the two measurements will be about 10 months (e.g., for Lenstar) to 13.4 months (e.g., for Myah) in time apart from each other. In other words, if the two measurements are less than this time interval apart, the assessment of the axial growth rate will not be sufficiently reliable. From Table 2, one can also draw, for each biomter employed, how far two consecutive AL measurements must be apart to reliably detect a certain change in AL growth. For example, a 6-year-old myopic
CONCLUSION BOX

Already known:

- Myopia onset and progression can be described as a deviation from a normal eye growth pattern.
- It is recommended to use the same biometer in follow-up visits when monitoring AL growth in children.

Newly described:

- The intra-subject repeatability of AL measurements in children is comparable to the repeatability in adults.
- In myopia control management, children’s individual axial eye growth should be monitored in a time interval not shorter than 6 months.
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