



Combination Foley Catheter–Oxytocin versus Oxytocin Alone following Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes

Helen B. Gomez Slagle, MD¹  Matthew K. Hoffman, MD, MPH²  Anthony C. Sciscione, DO^{2,3}
Marwan Ma'ayeh, MB, BCh^{2,3}

¹ Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Christiana Care Health System, Newark, Delaware

³ Department of Maternal–Fetal Medicine, Delaware Center for Maternal Fetal Medicine, Newark, Delaware

Address for correspondence Helen B. Gomez Slagle, MD, Department of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York 10032 (e-mail: Hbg2115@cumc.columbia.edu).

Am J Perinatol

Abstract

Objective The benefit of mechanical ripening agents following preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM) has not been established. We sought to compare the time to delivery in women who received transcervical Foley catheter plus oxytocin infusion versus oxytocin infusion alone in patients with unfavorable cervixes and PPRM.

Study Design This is a retrospective cohort study of patients presenting with PPRM of a live, singleton gestation between 24^{0/7} and 36^{6/7} weeks' gestation from January 2005 to October 2018 at a single, tertiary care institution. Patients with an unfavorable cervical examination (≤ 2 -cm dilation), no contraindication to labor and undergoing labor induction were analyzed. Time to delivery was analyzed using multivariable linear regression adjusting for cervical dilation at induction and nulliparity. Bivariate and multivariate analyses were used where appropriate.

Results A total of 260 participants were included: 109 who received a Foley catheter and oxytocin (Foley/oxytocin) and 151 who had oxytocin alone. Demographic characteristics were similar between the two groups. Unadjusted time to delivery was significantly shorter in the oxytocin only group (Foley/oxytocin: 20.35 hours vs. oxytocin alone: 14.7 hours, $p < 0.001$). No differences in length of labor were detected after adjusting for cervical dilation at induction and nulliparity ($p = 0.5$). The unadjusted rate of cesarean delivery was higher in the combination Foley/oxytocin group (Foley/oxytocin: 16.5% vs. oxytocin alone: 7.3%, $p = 0.03$), but no differences were found in the adjusted analysis ($p = 0.06$). There were no differences in clinical chorioamnionitis rates between the two groups (Foley/oxytocin: 8.3% vs. oxytocin alone: 9.3%, $p = 0.83$). Furthermore, no significant differences were found in maternal and neonatal outcomes between the two groups.

Conclusion In patients with PROM, the use of a transcervical Foley catheter in addition to oxytocin is not associated with a shorter time to delivery compared with oxytocin alone.

Keywords

- ▶ labor induction
- ▶ cervical Foley
- ▶ cervical ripening
- ▶ rupture of membranes
- ▶ PPRM

received
August 11, 2023
accepted
September 27, 2023
accepted manuscript online
October 4, 2023

© 2023. Thieme. All rights reserved.
Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc.,
333 Seventh Avenue, 18th Floor,
New York, NY 10001, USA

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2185-4102>.
ISSN 0735-1631.

Key Points

- Transcervical Foley catheter did not shorten length of labor in PPRM.
- Transcervical Foley catheter did not increase infection risk.
- Pitocin alone can be used in PPRM population.

Preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM) complicates 3% of pregnancies.¹ Intrauterine infection is a significant risk among patients with premature membrane rupture with an estimated incidence of 15 to 25%.² Moreover, preterm neonates have been well documented to have higher rates early-onset sepsis than term infants. Induction of labor is recommended between 34 and 36^{6/7} weeks' gestation following preterm membrane rupture, but the optimal induction method has not yet been established.^{3,4} Combination cervical ripening with mechanical and pharmacological agents shortens time to delivery compared with single-agent induction methods in term pregnancies with intact membranes.⁵⁻⁸ The Foley catheter, however, has a theoretical risk of introducing infection in ruptured membranes. While studies have examined balloon-related infectious morbidity in term membrane rupture,⁹⁻¹² infectious morbidity in preterm membrane rupture has not been established. Thus, the study objective was to evaluate effectiveness and safety of the balloon catheter for induction in preterm membrane rupture and determine whether balloon catheter for cervical ripening reduces time to delivery or cesarean section rate compared with oxytocin alone in this preterm population.

Materials and Methods

Screening and Recruitment

After approval from the institutional review board at Christiana Care Health Services (Newark, DE, CCC no.: 38169), we conducted a retrospective cohort study on all patients 18 years and older diagnosed with PPRM between 24^{0/7} and 36^{6/7} weeks' gestation from January 2005 to October 2018 at our academic tertiary care hospital. Women were included if they met the diagnosis of PPRM by clinical assessment and findings on exam including pooling of amniotic fluid, positive nitrazine test, visible ferns, and/or oligohydramnios on ultrasound, as described by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.¹¹ Gestational age was estimated using date of last menstruation, confirmed by sonogram per standard criteria.¹² For pregnancies conceived with artificial reproductive technology, the date of embryo transfer was used.

Patients with an unfavorable cervical examination (≤ 2 -cm dilation), no contraindication to labor and undergoing labor induction were analyzed. Individuals were excluded if they presented in active labor, had clinical or objective signs of intra-amniotic infection, had nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracing, or any other indication for immediate delivery. Pregnancies with fetal anomalies, multiple gestation, and unknown rupture time were excluded from the analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were calculated as median (interquartile range). The data were then tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and Student's *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test were then used as appropriate. Categorical variables were calculated as proportions and compared using the chi-square test. Time to delivery was analyzed using multivariable linear regression model with prespecified adjustment for cervical dilation at induction and nulliparity.

Results

A total of 260 participants were included: 109 in the combination Foley catheter and oxytocin group (Foley/oxytocin) and 151 in the oxytocin alone group. Demographic characteristics were similar between the two groups (–Table 1). Unadjusted time to delivery was significantly longer in the combination Foley/oxytocin group (Foley/oxytocin: 20.35 hours vs. oxytocin alone: 14.7 hours, $p < 0.001$, –Table 2). The unadjusted rate of cesarean delivery was higher in the combination Foley/oxytocin group (Foley/oxytocin: 16.5% vs. oxytocin alone: 7.3%, $p = 0.03$).

Statistical adjustments were made for a cervical dilation less than 1 cm at induction initiation and nulliparity; there was a trend toward higher rates of cesarean delivery in the combination Foley/oxytocin group (Foley/oxytocin: 16.5% vs. oxytocin alone: 7.3%, $p = 0.06$, –Table 2).

No differences in length of labor were detected after adjusting for cervical dilation at induction and nulliparity ($p = 0.5$). There were no differences in clinical chorioamnionitis rates between the two groups (Foley/oxytocin: 8.3% vs. oxytocin alone: 9.3%, $p = 0.83$). Furthermore, no significant differences were found in maternal and neonatal outcomes between the two groups (–Table 3). There were no significant differences in survival or neonatal outcomes. Rates of intraventricular hemorrhage and necrotizing enterocolitis did not differ between the two groups. Rate of neonatal deaths, sepsis, and Apgar at 5 minutes were similar between the two groups.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This study did not identify a difference in time to delivery between patients with PPRM who received a combination of oxytocin and a Foley catheter versus oxytocin alone. There were no differences in the cesarean delivery rate, chorioamnionitis rate, or the rate of adverse neonatal outcomes.

Table 1 Maternal and pregnancy characteristics by labor induction method

	Combination Foley, Pitocin (n = 109)	Pitocin only (n = 151)	p-Value
Maternal age (y), median, IQR	30.0 [25.0; 36.0]	30.9 [27.0; 35.0]	0.90
Black race, n (%)	26 (23.9)	47 (31.1)	0.21
Hispanic ethnicity, n (%)	20 (18.3)	26 (17.2)	0.87
Nulliparous, n (%)	68 (62.4)	64 (42.4)	0.002
Indication for induction			
≥34 weeks' gestation	103 (94.5)	141 (93.4)	0.22
Clinical chorioamnionitis	5 (4.6)	7 (4.6)	
NRFHT	1 (0.92)	3 (2.0)	
Cervical dilation ≤ 1 cm at Induction, n (%)	79 (72.5)	34 (22.5)	<0.001
Group B <i>Streptococcus</i> positive status, n (%)	13 (11.9)	27 (17.9)	0.22
Magnesium sulfate for fetal neuroprotection, n (%)	9 (8.3)	12 (7.9)	1.0
Betamethasone administration, n (%)	30 (27.5)	34 (22.5)	0.38
Tocolysis administration, n (%)	10 (9.2)	14 (9.3)	1.0
Maternal comorbidities, n (%)			
History of preterm birth	3 (2.8)	11 (7.3)	0.16
History of LEEP	1 (0.9)	1 (0.7)	1.0
History of short cervix	2 (1.8)	5 (3.3)	0.70
Gestational diabetes	11 (10.1)	9 (6.0)	0.24
Gestational hypertension	5 (4.6)	9 (6.0)	0.78
Asthma	11 (10.1)	24 (15.9)	0.20
Maternal thyroid disease	7 (6.4)	7 (4.6)	0.58
IVF pregnancy, n (%)	5 (4.6)	2 (1.3)	0.13
Tobacco use in pregnancy, n (%)	16 (14.7)	22 (14.6)	1.0

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; IVF, in vitro fertilization; LEEP, loop electrosurgical excision procedure; NRFHT, nonreassuring fetal heart tracing.

Table 2 Labor outcome by labor induction method

	Combination Foley, Pitocin (n = 109)	Pitocin only (n = 151)	Unadjusted p-value	Adjusted p-value
Cesarean delivery, n (%)	18 (16.5)	11 (7.3)	0.03	0.06
Operative delivery, n (%)	4 (3.7)	4 (2.6)	0.72	–
Unadjusted time to delivery, h, median, IQR	20.35 [12.4; 26.3]	14.7 [7.51; 21.34]	<0.001	0.23
Clinical intra-amniotic infection and inflammation, n (%)	9 (8.3)	14 (9.3)	0.83	–
Suspected placental abruption, n (%)	5 (4.6)	7 (4.6)	1.0	–
Endometritis, n (%)	2 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	0.18	–
Wound infection, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	–	–

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.

Table 3 Neonatal outcomes by labor induction method

	Combination Foley, Pitocin (n = 109)	Pitocin only (n = 151)	p-Value
Composite neonatal morbidity ^a	75 (68.8)	97 (64.2)	0.51
Birth weight (kg) median, IQR	2.31 [2.17; 2.79]	2.35 [2.25; 2.85]	0.22
Apgar score <7 at 5 min, n (%)	6 (5.5)	9 (6.0)	1.0
Female infant, n (%)	46 (42.2)	66 (43.7)	0.90
Infant death	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0.42
NICU admission	74 (67.9)	96 (63.6)	0.51
NICU length of stay	9.3 [9.0; 9.0]	8.3 [9.0; 9.0]	0.28
Intraventricular hemorrhage	2 (1.8)	2 (1.3)	1.0
Necrotizing enterocolitis	–	–	
Culture proven–presumed neonatal sepsis	5 (4.6)	11 (7.3)	0.44

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

^aComposite of neonatal death, NICU admission, Apgar < 7 at minute 5, necrotizing enterocolitis, intraventricular hemorrhage, sepsis.

Notes: Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated. Categorical variables are compared with chi-square and Fisher's exact tests and continuous variables are compared with Kruskal–Wallis tests, unless otherwise indicated.

Results in Context

The trials aimed at determining the optimal delivery timing in PPRM do not study optimal methods of labor induction. The PPROMEXIL trial¹² did not compare prostaglandins and oxytocin, and subsequent follow-up trials^{13,14} did not clearly specify the induction methods used. Among the various trials and meta-analyses comparing methods of cervical ripening, none have studied patients with preterm membrane rupture.

Amorosa et al compared oxytocin alone with a combination of a Foley catheter and oxytocin,¹¹ among patients ≥ 34 weeks with premature membrane rupture. Compared with oxytocin alone, the Foley catheter and oxytocin immediately after the membrane rupture diagnosis did not reduce the time from induction to birth; both groups also had similar caesarean rates. However, a total of 15 patients were 34^{0/7} to 36^{6/7}; the majority of patients recruited had PROM at term.

Mackeen et al included over 40 patients with PROM at ≥ 34 weeks and found that the use of a transcervical Foley catheter in addition to oxytocin does not shorten the time to delivery compared with oxytocin alone; however, they did find an increased incidence of chorioamnionitis in the cohort who had a Foley catheter.¹⁵ Currently, there are no data to support evidence-based recommendations regarding the method of choice for labor induction following preterm membrane rupture.

Strengths and Limitations

A strength of our study is large number of diverse participants with an unfavorable cervix at the time of induction. A weakness of our study is that the retrospective analysis may introduce selection bias. However, demographic characteristics were similar between the two groups. This was also a single-center study, and thus, the results may not be generalizable to other centers with different labor management practices and/or labor outcomes.

Conclusion

In patients with PROM, the use of a transcervical Foley catheter in addition to oxytocin is not associated with a shorter time to delivery compared with oxytocin alone. However, the use of a Foley catheter is not associated with an increased risk of chorioamnionitis. A randomized prospective study is needed to confirm these findings.

Condensation

The use of a transcervical Foley catheter in addition to oxytocin is not associated with a shorter time to delivery compared with oxytocin alone in patients with preterm membrane rupture.

Note

The Poster Presentation of this study was held at the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine's 43rd Annual Pregnancy Meeting, February 6 to 11, 2023.

Funding

None.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Lorthe E, Ancel P-Y, Torchin H, et al. Impact of latency duration on the prognosis of preterm infants after preterm premature rupture of membranes at 24 to 32 weeks' gestation: a national population-based cohort study. *J Pediatr* 2017;182:47–52.e2
- Kuba K, Bernstein PS. ACOG practice bulletin no. 188: prelabor rupture of membranes. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;131(06):1163–1164
- Schmitz T, Sentilhes L, Lorthe E, et al. [Preterm premature rupture of membranes: CNGOF guidelines for clinical practice - Short version]. *Gynécol Obstét Fertil Séniol* 2018;46(12):998–1003
- Delorme P, Garabedian C. Modalities of birth in case of uncomplicated preterm premature rupture of membranes: CNGOF Preterm

- Premature Rupture of Membranes Guidelines [in French]. *Gynécologie Obstétrique Fertilité* 2018;46(12):1068–1075
- 5 Levine LD, Downes KL, Elovitz MA, Parry S, Sammel MD, Srinivas SK. Mechanical and pharmacologic methods of labor induction: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;128(06):1357–1364
 - 6 Alfirevic Z, Keeney E, Dowswell T, et al. Methods to induce labour: a systematic review, network meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis. *BJOG* 2016;123(09):1462–1470
 - 7 Wang H, Hong S, Liu Y, Duan Y, Yin H. Controlled-release dinoprostone insert versus Foley catheter for labor induction: a meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;29(14):2382–2388
 - 8 McMaster K, Sanchez-Ramos L, Kaunitz AM. Evaluation of a transcervical foley catheter as a source of infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015;126(03):539–551
 - 9 Kruit H, Tihtonen K, Raudaskoski T, et al. Foley catheter or oral misoprostol for induction of labor in women with term premature rupture of membranes: a randomized multicenter trial. *Am J Perinatol* 2016;33(09):866–872
 - 10 Cabrera IB, Quiñones JN, Durie D, Rust J, Smulian JC, Scorza WE. Use of intracervical balloons and chorioamnionitis in term premature rupture of membranes. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;29(06):967–971
 - 11 Amorosa JMH, Stone J, Factor SH, Booker W, Newland M, Bianco A. A randomized trial of foley bulb for labor induction in premature rupture of membranes in nulliparas (FLIP). *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2017;217(03):360.e1–360.e7
 - 12 van der Ham DP, Vijgen SM, Nijhuis JG, et al; PPRMEXIL trial group. Induction of labor versus expectant management in women with preterm prelabor rupture of membranes between 34 and 37 weeks: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med* 2012;9(04):e1001208
 - 13 van der Ham DP, van der Heyden JL, Opmeer BC, et al. Management of late-preterm premature rupture of membranes: the PPRMEXIL-2 trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2012;207(04):276.e1–276.e10
 - 14 Morris JM, Roberts CL, Bowen JR, et al; PPRMOT Collaboration. Immediate delivery compared with expectant management after preterm pre-labour rupture of the membranes close to term (PPROMT trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;387(10017):444–452
 - 15 Mackeen AD, Durie DE, Lin M, et al. Foley plus oxytocin compared with oxytocin for induction after membrane rupture: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;131(01):4–11