Liver disease during pregnancy and the postpartum period is a diagnostic conundrum for clinicians due to an extensive broad differential diagnosis. Approximately 3% of women are affected by some form of liver disease during pregnancy. Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is one important cause that is a challenging diagnosis due to the lack of objective testing, incomplete knowledge of mechanism, and variety of offending agents. The most common causes of DILI in pregnancy include analgesics, antihypertensive medications (methyl-dopa and hydralazine), antimicrobials (nitrofurantoin and minocycline), antiretroviral agents, anticholinergics, and propylthiouracil. A combination of genetic predisposition and environmental factors related to drug metabolism causes a complex cascade of cellular events leading to liver injury. Withdrawal of the offending agent is the primary management leading to spontaneous resolution.

Labetalol is a selective α- and nonselective β-adrenergic antagonist and is one of the first-line treatments for preeclampsia. It has an excellent safety profile and efficacy compared with hydralazine and calcium channel blockers in the treatment of hypertension (HTN) in pregnancy. Common side effects include orthostatic hypotension, dizziness, bradycardia, nausea, and diarrhea. In the literature, the majority of DILI from labetalol are in nonpregnant individuals that resulted in mild–moderate transaminitis (up to 8%). Rarely DILI has been reported in pregnancy. Chronic liver
injury occurs in approximately 17% of individuals experienc-
ing DILI; however, according to LiverTox, no cases of chronic
DILI have ever been reported related to labetalol use.2,6,7

We present a rare case of pregnancy-related DILI from
labetalol resulting in chronic hepatitis.

Case

A 34-year-old G3P2012 presented 3 weeks postpartum
for preeclampsia with severe features. She previously under-
went an uncomplicated primary cesarean section for persis-
tent category 2 tracings after an induction of labor for
oligohydramnios at 39\(^{5/7}\) weeks. Intrapartum she developed
gestational HTN with normal liver enzymes. She was dis-
charged on postpartum day (PPD) 2. Her medical history
included an antinuclear antibody (ANA) titer 1:640 and skin
biopsy of rash on her back for lupus workup that was
negative. No prior history of liver disease. There was no
alcohol or tobacco use. Allergies included shellfish (nausea
and vomiting).

She presented to her 1-week postpartum visit with blood
pressure (BP) of 140/80 and repeat laboratory tests were
significant for an aspartate transaminase/alanine transami-
nase (AST/ALT) 45/33 U/L (normal, 7–37 U/L and 10–49 U/L,
respectively). She then presented to the emergency room on
PPD 19 after home nursing visit reported an elevated BP of
160/100. She reported headache and dizziness and was
found to have severe range BPs requiring a total of 140 mg
of intravenous (IV) labetalol and 20 mg of IV hydralazine. She
was diagnosed with preeclampsia with severe features,
received 24 hours of magnesium sulfate, and achieved ade-
quate BP control. Her laboratory tests were significant for:
normal complete blood count (CBC), AST/ALT 42/28 U/L, and
normal protein/creatinine 162.9 mg/g (0–100 mg/g). She was
discharged home after a 3-day hospital stay with labetalol
300 mg twice daily.

She developed chronic HTN postpartum and her primary
care provider (PCP) increased her labetalol to 400 mg twice
daily 3 months postpartum. She returned to her PCP after
another 1 month and was prescribed hydralazine 50 mg
twice daily due to persistent elevated BPs. However, the
patient reported never taking this medication and was only
on her prescribed labetalol at this time. She had not previ-
ously traveled, had sick contacts, or took any herbal supple-
ments. Outpatient laboratory tests showed persistent
elevated AST/ALT 38/47 and normal total bilirubin (TB)
0.3 mg/dL (normal, 0.3–1.2 mg/dL). A nephrology consult
and renal ultrasound (US) were ordered, but patient did
not follow-up.

She presented to the urgent care 6 months postpartum for
general malaise, scleral icterus, and nausea and mild right
upper quadrant pain. She attributed this to her labetalol
medication and self-discontinued. Outpatient laboratory tests
were remarkable for a normal CBC, AST/ALT 1,294/1,589 U/L,
alkaline phosphatase (Alk Phos) 229 U/L (normal, 42–98 U/L),
TB 8.4 mg/dL, amylase 145 U/L (normal, 28–100 U/L), lipase
33 U/L (normal, 6–51 U/L), and negative hepatitis (A, B, C) panel
(► Fig. 1). Direct bilirubin was 7.7 mg/dL (normal, 0–0.3 mg/dL)

Fig. 1  LFTs and total bilirubin laboratory trends associated with labetalol use. LFTs normalized approximately 15 months after self-
discontinuation of labetalol at her subsequent pregnancy at 34 weeks’ gestation. ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; LFTs, liver function tests.
and indirect was 2.8 mg/dL (0.1–1.0 mg/dL). She was subsequently admitted for further evaluation with medicine, gastroenterology, and nutrition. She had a negative urine toxicology and salicylates screen.

Autoimmune workup was unremarkable: rheumatoid factor, anticondylipin antibody (AB) immunoglobulin (Ig) G and IgM, antitooths-stranded DNA, antismooth muscle A IgG, antimitochondrial M2 AB, F-actin AB IgG, beta-2-glycoprotein IgG and IgM, C3 87 mg/dL (normal, 90–180 mg/dL) and normal C4. She previously had an elevated ANA titer 1:640. Cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), coronavirus disease 2019, and T-spot were negative. Alpha-1 antitrypsin and ceruloplasmin were negative. Iron studies showed iron 125 μg/dL (normal, 40–170 μg/dL), total iron-binding capacity 324 μg/dL (normal 250–450 μg/dL), iron satuations 39% (normal, 15–55%), and ferritin 439 ng/mL (normal, 10–291 ng/mL). Coagulation studies showed mildly elevated prothrombin time 15 seconds (normal, 10.2–12.9 seconds), international normalized ratio 1.3 (normal, 0.9–1.1), and activated partial thromboplastin time 37.2 seconds (normal, 25.1–36.5 seconds). Abdominal US demonstrated a normal liver with two small hemangiomas. Doppler US showed hypoechoic liver parenchyma, slightly elevated resistive index of the hepatic artery, and normal major vessels of the liver and spleen.

Labetalol dosage was reduced from 400 mg twice daily to 100 mg twice daily due to suspicion of DILI, though patient did not take this. Due to patient childcare needs, she was discharged home with outpatient follow-up. Primary team suspected possible autoimmune etiology due to previous positive ANA screen. She was discharged on labetalol 200 mg twice daily and declined other anti-HTN medications, though patient reports not taking labetalol.

An outpatient liver biopsy was performed. Biopsy showed portal inflammation with neutrophils, lymphocytes, and scattered eosinophils. There was no significant cholestasis or steatosis. A trichrome stain demonstrated portal and perisinusoidal fibrosis and reticulin stain showed normal hepatocyte architecture. There was evidence of both acute and chronic inflammation. CMV and EBV stain were negative. A cluster of differentiation 128 immunohistochemical stain showed scattered plasma cells in portal areas. Given the lack of autoimmune and infectious etiologies, and presence of eosinophils, this was most consistent with labetalol-induced hepatotoxicity.

One-and-a-half weeks later, her liver enzymes decreased to AST/ALT 695/744 U/L and then 2 weeks later, AST/ALT 69/87 associated with self-discontinuing labetalol. Her liver enzymes significantly improved, but never normalized over the next 7 months. Subsequently, she became pregnant and resulted in worsening liver enzymes ALT 341 U/L. Fortunately, her liver enzymes decreased at 21 weeks’ gestation AST/ALT 68/79 U/L and ultimately normalized at 34 weeks’ gestation. She presented at 35 weeks for preterm labor and underwent a repeat cesarean section for category 2 tracing. She developed postpartum severe preeclampsia and received nifedipine with adequate BP control.

**Discussion**

Labetalol is one of the first-line antihypertensive medications to treat HTN in pregnancy and overall has an excellent safety profile. However, labetalol also has the highest risk of DILI among beta-antagonists causing mild–moderate transaminitis in up to 8% of patients. The specific mechanism remains unknown; however, it is thought to be due to a metabolic idiosyncratic disposition that follows a hepatocellular pattern of injury with a latency period of 3 months (time of initiating drug to DILI) and resolves after 1 month. Idiosyncratic reactions occur less commonly, have a varied presentation and less consistent dosage relationship, and only affects susceptible individuals. Obstetricians should be aware of the potential for hepatotoxicity as it is one of the most commonly used antihypertensive medications.

The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) provides diagnosis and treatment guidelines for DILI. The most important evaluation is a complete and thorough medical history as well as ruling out other etiologies as DILI is a diagnosis of exclusion. The differential diagnosis is broad and includes acute viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), acute Budd–Chiari’s syndrome, Wilson’s disease, biliary obstruction, and DILI. Imaging such as an abdominal US is useful to evaluate for infiltrative process and to exclude biliary tract pathology. The Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method is a useful clinical diagnostic tool in evaluation of DILI. Our patient had an R factor of 15.7 (indicating hepatocellular injury) and scored 9 indicating a highly probable cause. In addition, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases and the National Library of Medicine developed LiverTox, a free and helpful online DILI resource that provides an up-to-date and unbiased medical database for clinicians and patients.

A review of the literature revealed few case reports of DILI from labetalol occurring during pregnancy. In two of these cases, withdrawal of labetalol led to the resolution of transaminitis over several weeks. In more severe cases, combination of labetalol and methyldopa resulted in acute liver failure (ALF) and liver cirrhosis at 27 weeks’ gestation. The most recent case involved a 37-year-old patient at 13 weeks who presented with ALF and required emergency liver transplantation. Similarly, our patient had a significant decline in liver enzymes approximately one-and-a-half weeks after self-discontinuing labetalol. However, she developed chronic DILI that persisted for more than 12 months and resolved at 34 weeks’ gestation in her subsequent pregnancy. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of chronic DILI due to labetalol.

The role of liver biopsy remains controversial as it is not necessary for the diagnosis of DILI. Per the ACG guidelines, a liver biopsy is indicated in our patient case as AIH remained a diagnosis of exclusion. Furthermore, the liver biopsy was negative for autoimmune and infectious etiologies. The biopsy showed scattered plasma cells in portal areas. Given the lack of autoimmune and infectious etiologies, and presence of eosinophils, this was most consistent with labetalol-induced hepatotoxicity.
Fig. 2 Normal liver biopsy with trichrome stain at \( \times 100 \).

Fig. 3 (A) Trichrome stain at \( \times 40 \) magnification. Inflamed liver parenchyma with perisinusoidal fibrosis and portal tract fibrosis. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain at \( \times 100 \) magnification showing inflamed liver core. There is significant inflammation of the portal tract and interface hepatitis in the liver parenchyma. (C) H&E stain at \( \times 400 \) magnification. Inflamed portal tract consists of lymphocytes, neutrophils, and eosinophils. These inflammatory cells spill out of the portal tract to the surrounding liver parenchyma. Some necrotic hepatocytes are seen. (D) H&E stain at \( \times 600 \) magnification. Eosinophils and neutrophils are more clearly seen. Lipofuscin pigment accumulation over time in aging cells.
literature have shown that early ALT response to corticosteroids may help distinguish idiosyncratic DILI from AIH. In addition, chronic DILI could have also persisted due to the hyperestrogenic state in her subsequent pregnancy.

Liver biopsies from labetalol hepatotoxicity generally show scattered lymphocytes with variable degrees of necrosis and apoptosis. Patients with an infectious or autoimmune etiology show more significant lymphocytic infiltration with positive viral stains. A normal liver biopsy is shown in Fig. 3. Our patient’s pathology showed a mix of acute and chronic inflammation with interface hepatitis and subsequent portal tract fibrosis (Fig. 3). There was no evidence of cholestasis or steatohepatitis on pathology. Interestingly, the presence of eosinophils does provide some evidence of a component of immunoallergic etiology in addition to idiosyncratic predisposition. Overall, the lack of necrosis, significant fibrosis, ductular reaction, and presence of eosinophils is associated with a better outcome. A collaborative effort between the pathologist and medical team is critical.

This case highlights the importance and need for increased awareness of DILI from labetalol use in pregnancy. A comprehensive metabolic panel should be obtained for baseline evaluation prior to starting labetalol. A high index of suspicion is necessary as cases of ALF, liver cirrhosis, and emergency liver transplantation have been reported in pregnancy. This is the first reported case of chronic DILI from labetalol use.

**Conclusion**

DILI secondary to labetalol is a rare cause of liver disease in pregnancy and can lead to chronic DILI. DILI should be included on the differential diagnoses in the evaluation of liver injury in pregnancy.
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