The Importance of QuantiFERON Gold Plus Test for the Diagnosis of Presumed Ocular Tuberculosis

Die Bedeutung des QuantiFERON Gold Plus-Tests für die Diagnose der vermuteten Augentuberkulose

Background

In 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimated 10.6 million new cases of active tuberculosis (TB) [1]. A TB infection results from the inhalation of small droplets contaminated with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). Within 4 to 12 weeks after inhalation, a local tissue response and, in some cases, a hematogenous dissemination of Mtb occurs. Although the lung is the primary site of infection and pulmonary TB can be easily diagnosed by chest X-ray, extrapulmonary manifestations are not uncommon. After primary infection, patients may completely clear the Mtb, develop TB disease, or enter a latent stage (latent tuberculosis infection, LTBI) [2]. Reactivation of TB may occur without systemic symptoms and in extrapulmonary localization. In LTBI and in some extrapulmonary reactivations, diagnosis relies on indirect methods that assess the presence of sensitized lymphocytes capable of releasing cytokines when stimulated by mycobacterial antigens [3].

Ocular TB poses a challenge for ophthalmologists, often being diagnosed in the absence of pulmonary TB [4]. Its clinical presentation is diverse, requiring adherence to diagnostic criteria such as those outlined by the Standardisation of Uveitis Nomenclature (SUN) [5]. Various ocular findings can be attributed to a tubercular etiology, including tubercular anterior uveitis, intermediate uveitis, panuveitis, retinal vasculitis, serpiginous-like choroiditis, tuberculosis, multifocal choroiditis, acute posterior multifocal placoid pigment epitheliopathy, and the lesser-known variant, relentless placoid chorioretinitis, an unusual variant of serpiginous chorioretinitis [6].

Multiple diagnostic tests are available to support the identification of ocular TB, each with specific advantages and limitations. The tuberculin skin test (TST) employs the purified protein derivative (PPD) as an antigen, measuring cellular immunity to PPD antigens, reflecting exposure to Mtb or other mycobacteria. Notably, prior vaccination with Mycobacterium bovis (BCG), can influence TST results [7]. While the TST has been a cornerstone of clinical practice, it has some limitations. Its sensitivity is likely comparable to that of the interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA), but its specificity is notably lower [8–10].

The aim of this paper is to focus on the potential for false-negative results in TB screening tests, particularly in patients receiving immunosuppressive drugs.

History and Signs

A 16-year-old woman from Algeria sought medical attention for persistent blurred vision in her right eye (RE) since June 2022. Ophthalmic examination revealed six distinct white, round lesions at the posterior pole, as confirmed by retinal fluorescein angiography, and the diagnosis of multifocal choroiditis was posed. A comprehensive panel of serological tests for infectious and autoimmune etiologies, including toxoplasmosis, TPHA, VDRL, ASLO, HIV, HBV, and HCV, returned negative results. Additional investigations, encompassing angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), C-reactive protein, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate, gave normal values.

Given the context of an initial negative result in a 2-unit TST utilizing PPD antigens, and normal findings on chest CT scan, cerebral, and orbital angio-MRI, the patient was diagnosed with unilateral idiopathic multifocal choroiditis. Initial management was three consecutive infusions of 500 mg/day of methylprednisolone, followed by a tapering regimen of oral prednisone at 1 mg/kg/day with gradual reduction by 5 mg every 2 weeks.

Over a 7-month period, the patient experienced two relapses despite the maintenance of corticosteroid therapy. Retinal fluorescein angiography confirmed an escalation in the number and size of retinociliary choroidal lesions in the RE, extending to the midperiphery. Subsequent therapy involved a further course of 3 methylprednisolone infusions (500 mg/day), followed by a transition to oral prednisone at a dose of 1 mg/kg/day (50 mg/day). Concurrently, azathioprine therapy was initiated at a dosage of 100 mg/day.

Notably, a second 2-unit TST and a TBFeron test were conducted after the second dose of intravenous methylprednisolone but before starting azathioprine therapy, both yielding negative results. Notably, these laboratory results were obtained while the oral cortisone therapy was ongoing.

Therapy and Outcome

Despite continuous immunosuppressive treatment, the patient’s clinical condition exhibited a clear progression of the disease, necessitating referral to the Jules-Comin Uveitis Clinic in Lausanne in March 2023. At the time of evaluation, her visual acuity remained at 10/10 in both eyes. Fundoscopy revealed multiple atrophic disseminated lesions at the posterior pole and periphery of her RE (Fig. 1a), without vitritis. Fluorescein and indocyanine green (ICG) angiography depicted multiple hyperfluorescent and hypofluorescent lesions, respectively (Fig. 1c, d). The left eye (LE) fundus was normal (Fig. 1b).

Considering the clinical history marked by two relapses under steroid therapy, together with the origin from an area with an “upper-moderate” incidence of TB ac-
According to the 2021 WHO report, a diagnosis of tuberculous multifocal choroiditis was strongly suspected. Primary exposure to Mtb was confirmed by a positive ELISpot TB and QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus. Knowledge of these diagnostic findings and after a multidisciplinary discussion involving ophthalmologists, immunologists, and infectious disease specialists, the decision was made to withdraw immunosuppressive therapy and instigate a quadruple therapy regimen targeting active TB.

Discussion

According to the WHO Global TB report of 2021, the incidence of TB in Algeria is 54 per 100,000 people per year, categorizing it as an “upper-moderate” risk area.

IGRAs are T cell-based in vitro tests that measure the presence of T cell responses against Mtb antigens, including early secreted antigenic target 6 (ESAT-6), culture filtrate protein 10 (CFP-10), and, in some cases, TB7.7 [11]. Notably, these antigens are not present in the BCG strain used for vaccination, so prior BCG vaccination does not interfere with IGRAs tests based on the aforementioned antigens [12]. In general, especially in regions with endemic Mtb infection or high vaccination rates, IGRA tests are recommended to avoid false-positive results [13]. Nowadays, most laboratories propose IGRA tests as appropriate alternatives to the TST [7]. It is important to note that both IGRA and TST are functional assays that can be influenced by corticosteroids or immunosuppressive therapy [13], so it is advisable to perform these tests before initiating immunosuppression in order to avoid false-negative results.

The QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube assay (QFT-GIT; Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) is one of the most widely used IGRAs. It is an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based, whole blood test that uses three antigens (ESAT-6, CFP-10, and TB7.7 peptides) to predominantly stimulate CD4 T cells in an in-tube format [14].

Recently, a new ELISA-based IGRA, the Standard E TB-Feron ELISA (TBF; SD Biosensor, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea), was approved by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of the Republic of Korea. The principles of this assay, including the antigens for stimulating T cells, are almost the same as those of QFT-GIT [15, 16]. One distinctive difference between TBF and QFT-GIT is the structure of the TB-specific antigens; the antigens in TBF are recombinant whole proteins of ESAT-6, CFP-10, and TB7.7 [16], while those of QFT-GIT are TB-specific synthetic peptide antigens [15]. In addition, the incubation temperature and the time of the incubation procedure in ELISA differ, while TBF offers advantages in terms of cost-effectiveness compared to QFT-GIT.

A study [13] comparing the analytical performance of the TBF assay with that of QFT-GIT for detecting LTBI among health care workers demonstrated that TBF exhibits a comparable and clinically acceptable performance in detecting LTBI, positioning it as a valuable alternative to QFT-GIT. However, it is crucial to note that TBF employs proteins instead of peptides, potentially influencing results in comparison to QuantiFERON TB Gold or Gold Plus.

A recent meta-analysis suggests that the site of TB infection significantly impacts the sensitivity of IGRAs. Notably, central nervous system TB emerged as a significant risk factor for false-negative IGRA results, possibly due to the presence of the blood-brain barrier limiting lymphocyte encounters with specific Mtb antigens [17]. A similar condition may affect IGRA results in ocular TB cases, given the existence of the blood-ocular barrier.

In our clinical case, the patient came from a region with an “upper-moderate” risk of Mtb infection, lacked systemic features, and thorax CT scans showed no pathological findings. Although the TST was negative twice, no IGRA test was conducted before initiating systemic corticosteroid
therapy. The first TBF test, performed 6 months after initiating immunosuppressive therapy, showed negative results. Despite ongoing treatment, the patient experienced relapses with increased retinochoroidal lesions, prompting reconsideration of the diagnosis of unilateral multifocal idiopathic choroiditis.

At the time of examination in Lausanne, the patient had been on azathioprine for over a month. Recognizing the diverse manifestations of ocular TB, a repeat IGRA (QuantiFERON TB Gold PLUS) was performed with a positive result. Given the patient’s origin from Algeria, the presence of multiple retinochoroidal lesions, recurrent relapses, and lack of improvement despite therapy, the positive QFT-GIT test raised suspicion for ocular TB. Consequently, we recommended tapering ongoing therapy and the initiation of TB therapy.

This case underscores the imperative of excluding infectious causes, such as TB or syphilis, before initiating cortisone therapy. If the disease persists despite treatment, alternative diagnoses must be explored. Infectious causes should be ruled out before introducing cortisone therapy, highlighting the crucial role of a multidisciplinary approach in a systemic disease such as TB. Additionally, ophthalmologists’ understanding of the nuances of available laboratory tests is essential to ensure the correct diagnostic investigations in terms of specificity, sensitivity, and predictive value. We reiterate the superiority of IGRA over TST in terms of specificity and emphasize the distinctions between QuantiFERON Gold and TB-Feron tests.

Conclusion

Accurate diagnosis is crucial in these cases as immunosuppression in a patient with undiagnosed TB could lead to dissemination of the infection. Laboratory tests should be performed before the introduction of corticosteroids or immunosuppressive drugs to avoid false-negative tests.
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