Major rectal bleeding following transrectal needle prostate biopsy

Transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided prostate core biopsy is a very useful diagnostic tool in urological diseases. It constitutes a simple and safe outpatient procedure in the majority of patients, although hemorrhagic complications, ranging from transient hematuria to catastrophic rectal bleeding, may occur [1]. Rectal bleeding affects up to 50% of patients, although only 1% of these patients present with hemodynamic instability requiring blood transfusion support [2,3]. Endoscopic haemostatic measures present an efficient, noninvasive method to control these kinds of serious events [2 – 5].

Case 1
A 71-year-old man presented at the emergency department with rectal bleeding since the past 12 hours. He had undergone TRUS-guided prostate biopsy 10 days previously and was on long-term aspirin therapy. He was pale and tachycardic. His hematocrit was 23%, and platelet count and coagulation parameters were normal.

Two units of packed red blood cells were transfused. On colonoscopy, an adherent blood clot was visualized on the anterior rectal wall (Fig. 1a). Aspiration of the blood clot revealed a blood vessel (lFig. 1a). The bleeding was stopped by administering adrenaline 10 mL (1/10 000) plus 4 mL polidocanol (Aethoxysklerol 1% sclerosing agent; Chemische Fabrik, Kreussler GmbH, Germany). However, the bleeding restarted 24 hours later. Elastic band ligation (EBL) was then carried out, which resolved the situation (Fig. 1b).

Case 2
A 62-year-old man was admitted to the emergency department following TRUS-guided prostate biopsy performed 2 hours earlier. The hemorrhage was not contained by digital compression or rectal packing. The patient was hemodynamically stable and hematologic analysis did not show a marked drop in hematocrit (42.6% to 38.4%). Urgent colonoscopy was carried out, which revealed active bleeding from the biopsy site in the anterior rectal wall (Fig. 2a), which was easily controlled with argon plasma coagulation (APC) (Fig. 2b).

In conclusion, irrespective of its accuracy, every endoscopic technique has a margin of error occasionally demanding combination therapy. In this particular context, both APC and EBL were effective.
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