Toxicogenomics for the Prediction of Toxicity Related to Herbs from Traditional Chinese Medicine

Abstract

Toxicogenomics represents the integration of genomics and toxicology to investigate the interaction between genes and environmental stress in human health. It is a scientific field that studies how the genome is involved in responses to environmental stressors and toxicants. The patterns of altered gene expression that are caused by specific exposures or disease outcomes reveal how toxicants may act and cause disease. Nowadays, toxicogenomics faces great challenges in discriminating the molecular basis of toxicity. We do believe that advances in this field will eventually allow us to describe all the toxicological interactions that occur within a living system. Toxicogenic responses of a toxic agent in one species (e.g., laboratory animals) may predict the mode of action in another species (e.g., humans) (predictive toxicology). Development and application of toxicogenomic databases and new bioinformatics tools are among the most important aspects of toxicogenomic research which will facilitate sharing and interpretation of the huge amount of biological information generated in this field. Medicinal herbs have played an important role in pharmacy from ancient to modern times. Nowadays, there is a revival of interest in medicinal plants and an increasing scientific interest in bioactive natural products. Medicinal herbs are usually considered to be nontoxic. However, the consumption of herbs could produce prominent toxic effects either due to inherent toxicity or to contaminants (heavy metals, microorganisms, pesticides, toxic organic solvents, radioactivity, etc.). Therefore, a critical assessment of their toxicity is an urgent issue. This review explores the field of toxicogenomics, pinpoints some of its research approaches and describes the challenges it faces. In particular, Chinese herbal preparations have been implicated.

Introduction

Toxicogenomics is a scientific field aiming to understand the interaction between the genome, toxic chemicals in the environment, and disease. If cells or organisms are exposed to xenobiotic compounds, they respond by altering the pattern of expression of genes. Genes are transcribed into mRNA, and the chemical information encoded in genes is translated into proteins that serve a variety of cellular functions in response to the exposure. Depending upon the type of exposure and the cellular response, the production of protein encoded by a given gene may be increased, decreased, or remains unchanged. Toxicogenomics can be used to predict adverse toxic effects of toxic compounds on susceptible individuals. This usually involves using “-omics” techniques such as DNA microarray, protein microarray, single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis of genetic variations of individuals, etc. Such studies are then correlated to adverse toxicological effects in clinical trials for developing suitable diagnostic biomarkers [1].

Even though natural products are regarded as “gentle medicines”, there are some exceptions: not only contamination might cause toxicity, but also the addition of wrong and poisonous herbs can be a major health problem [2]. For instance, in the 1990s, incidences of poisoning by aristolochic acids were reported in European countries where herbal mixtures for slimming erroneously included the poisonous plant Aristolochia fangchi, which contains the nephrotoxic and carcinogenic aristolochic acids [3,4]. These compounds, after metabolic activation, form DNA adducts that lead to gene mutation [5]. The reason for such intoxication by aristolochic acid was that some plant
species in different regions of China have very similar names. The name “fajng ji” is used in some parts of China for the plant Stephania tetrandra (han fang ji), which is the correct constituent of the herbal slimming mixture, whereas the same name in other regions of China is used for the plant Aristolochia fangchi (guang fang ji), which was mistaken for Stephania tetrandra [2].

The ability to identify mechanisms of toxicity of environmental toxicants or toxic contaminants of medicinal herbs is an important challenge to protect human health. In addition, problems of identifying environmental factors involved in the etiology of human disease and of performing safety assessments for drugs and chemicals have long been formidable issues [6].

Toxicology is defined as the study of poisons and focuses on any substance and/or exposures that cause adverse toxic effects in living organisms. A vital part of this study is the contextual characterization of such adverse effects at the level of the whole organism, tissues, cells, and intracellular molecular systems [7]. Recently, the rapid accumulation of genomic sequence data and associated gene and protein annotation has catalyzed the application of gene expression analysis to understand the molecular modes of action of chemicals and other environmental stressors on biological systems [6]. These developments have facilitated the emergence of the field of toxicogenomics, which aims to study the response of a whole genome to toxicants or to other environmental stressors [8–13]. The related field of toxicoproteomics [14–16] is similarly defined with respect to the protein subset of the genome. Global technologies such as cDNA and oligonucleotide microarrays, protein chips, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)-based molecular profiling, respectively, can simultaneously measure the expression of numerous genes, proteins, and metabolites, thus providing the power to accelerate the discovery of mechanisms of action, toxicant pathways, and specific chemical and drug molecular targets [17–19]. Hence, toxicogenomics combines toxicology with genetics, global “-omics” technologies, and appropriate pharmacological and toxicological models to provide a comprehensive view of the function of the genetic and biochemical machinery of cells [6].

The goal of this review is to report recent progress in the development and application of toxicogenomics, to share some experience with the use of toxicogenomics in drug discovery and development, and to provide our perspective on its value as a mechanistic tool for the study and prediction of adulterations of medicinal plants derived from traditional Chinese medicine.

Toxicogenomics in Drug Development

The early prediction of possible side effects that occur in clinical use with new drugs can be used for assessing their safety before time- and cost-consuming clinical studies. In an effort to develop drugs with less adverse effects, the National Institute of Health Sciences (NIH) in collaboration with 17 pharmaceutical companies started a collaborative project in 2002 to elucidate interrelationships between toxicants and gene expression [20]. About 150 chemicals were administered to rats and/or human primary cultured hepatocytes, and the expression profiles in the liver and kidney of animals or in cultured hepatocytes were comprehensively analyzed by microarrays. A database was created with the accumulated genomic information to generate a tool for predicting the safety of candidate chemicals in an early stage of drug development [20]. The three principal goals of toxicogenomics are to interpret the relationship between environmental stressors, drugs, and human disease susceptibility, to identify useful biomarkers of disease and exposure to toxic substances, and to elucidate the molecular mechanisms governing toxicity [6].

In traditional drug development, pharmaceutical companies evaluate the toxic effects of drugs through preclinical studies, including acute toxicity, safety pharmacology, and reproductive toxicity, to ensure the safety of new drugs before administration to humans. However, it is practically impossible to completely avoid unexpected side effects in clinical use. Moreover, it has been observed that unexpected adverse effects first emerged even after the drugs were distributed in the market because of their low incidence in small-scale clinical trials [20]. The field of toxicogenomics in the NIH project has the aim to detect toxic effects of drug candidates by “-omics” technologies at an early time point in the drug development process [13].

Toxicogenomics Methods

A typical toxicogenomic study might consist of animal experiments with the following four groups: high-dose and low-dose treatment groups, a vehicle control group that has received only the solvent used with the test agent, and another control group that has received no treatment and no solvent (to control the solvent effect). These groups will be observed at two or three points in time, with a minimum of five animal subjects per group. In this respect, a toxicogenomic investigation resembles a traditional, acute toxicity study. The high-dose and low-dose approaches differ in the scope of the response they aim to detect and in the methods used.

In a typical toxicogenomic experiment, differentially expressed genes are created for each biological test sample in comparison to the control sample [21]. Differentially regulated genes can be subjected to signalling pathway analyses to identify signal transduction routes of toxic effects and to identify candidate genes of interest [21]. Relevant knowledge systematically extracted and assembled from microarray data can then be used to differentiate between the adaptive responses of biological systems and biomarkers that are associated with adverse effects in the clinical setting [22]. During the past decade, the concept of gene expression profiles as signatures of toxicant classes, disease subtypes, or other biological and clinical end points has been validated [6]. These signatures have directed the analytical search for predictive biomarkers of toxicant effects and contributed to the understanding of the dynamic alterations in molecular mechanisms that are associated with toxic and adaptive responses [6].

A shortcut to test the toxicity towards specific organs in various organ systems in the adult organism is to measure embryo-toxicity, which requires large numbers of animals are required. An alternative, stem cell lines represent a feasible proposition to reduce animal experiments. Using stem cell lines, efforts are being made to standardize protocols for preclinical toxicology in the field of drug development [23]. Stem cells are a valuable tool for toxicogenomic approaches using medicinal herbs derived from traditional Chinese medicine (TCM).
Advances in Chemical and Biological Screening of Herbal Poisoning

Recent technological innovations allow mRNA profiling of formalin-fixed tissues and potentially make archived tissues from generations of toxicological studies accessible to gene-expression analysis [24]. Nowadays, gas chromatography, liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry, DNA microarray, and protein array are among the methods that can be used to profile thousands of small molecules and to array thousands of toxicologically relevant protein antibodies in a high-throughput mode. In addition to their application in the screening of herbal poisoning, chromatography and spectroscopy have been used to study chemical compositions of Chinese medicinal plants and complex herbal mixtures. Using ultraviolet spectroscopy (UV), gas chromatography (GC), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), Raman spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy (IR), nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), mass spectroscopy (MS), GC-MS, HPLC-MS, and X-ray diffraction, many chemical compounds from Chinese medicinal herbs have been isolated and identified. Recently, capillary electrophoresis has been used to deduce the botanical sources and to assess the quality of Ephedra Herba [25], Paoniae Radix [26], Coptidis Rhizoma [27] and Ginseng Radix [28]. Coupling HPLC or GC with other analytical systems has increased the sensitivity of such techniques. For instance, the combination of liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry was efficient to detect coeluting closely related substances [29] and to quantify active components from traditional Chinese medicine over a concentration range of 1 ng/mL to 10 µg/mL [30]. In TCM, synergistic actions provided by some chemically unknown or not isolated ingredients in compound prescriptions have proven effective from double-blind clinical trials. Thus these analytical methods alone may not be appropriate for quality and efficacy assurance [31].

In addition to the fact that some of the instruments such as HPLC, capillary electrophoresis, and mass spectrometry are expensive and may not generally be available in analytical laboratories, chemical methods usually require large amounts of samples for a proper analysis. Classical cytogentic methods including karyotyping and chromosome counting may also be used to differentiate medicinal materials and play a role in assessing hybridity of plants [31]. DNA molecules are trustable biological markers for informative polymorphisms as the genetic composition is unique for each individual and is less affected by physiological conditions, age, as well as environmental factors. Nuwasir et al. [32] generalized the term “toxicogenomics” to describe the use of microarrays to measure the responses of genes, and to identify selective, sensitive biomarkers of toxicity. The first published toxicogenomic investigation compared the gene expression profiles of human cells responding to the allergen lipopolysaccharide with those responding to mitogenic activation by phorbol myristate acetate [33]. RNA samples, isolated at various time points after exposure, showed the expected increases in cytokine, chemokine, and matrix metalloproteinase transcripts. Similar gene expression profiles were seen in synoviocytes and chondrocytes from a patient with rheumatoid arthritis, confirming the ability of the system to mimic the biological changes that occur during inflammatory disease [33]. Subsequent studies extended this type of observation to other tissues and for a wide range of toxicants, enabling the association of specific molecular profiles with specific toxicities [6].

DNA marker detection may also be appropriate for toxicological screening of Chinese medicinal herbs and products, as most TCM products are combinations of multiple herbs. Advantages of DNA markers include: (1) small amounts of samples are sufficient for analysis; and (2) the physical form of the sample for assessment does not restrict detection. DNA can be extracted from stems, leaves, or roots of herbal materials. Therefore, DNA fingerprinting is a very powerful tool to assess and confirm the plant species of complex herbal mixtures in order to exclude adulterations.

Traditional Chinese Medicine with Toxic Contaminants

TCM is becoming more and more popular in western countries [31]. Whilst problems relating to the toxicity of their herbal ingredients have been previously reported [31], safety issues for TCM products on the market have frequently not been appropriately addressed. Adulterations of TCM products with conventional drugs, toxic organic solvents, heavy metals, pesticides, microbial contaminations or even radioactivity represent considerable quality control issues (Fig. 1). Toxicogenomics may provide a tool for quality assurance, if TCM products should be marketed on a worldwide scale.

Diagnosis of a serious illness such as cancer is among the most stressful experiences of modern life [34]. In addition to standard treatments of western “school” medicine, the majority of cancer patients seek complementary measures, sometimes with, sometimes without the knowledge of their treating physicians [35–37]. In East Asia, patients often try to enhance their general health by taking herbal remedies [37]. The reasons why cancer patients use TCM are heterogeneous. Some authorities claim weak scientific evidence for the efficacy of TCM [38]. Only a minority of cancer patients expects that TCM will exert specific and curative anticancer activity [39,40], even though certain herbs, e.g., Codonopsis (dang shen), Coix (yi yi ren), and Gynostemma (jiao gu lan) are claimed to possess such efficacy towards liver cancer [41]. Rather, many cancer patients expect that TCM will improve disease symptoms or decrease side effects of conventional cancer therapies [42]. This point of view is indeed substantiated by clinical trials showing the benefit of supplementing conventional chemotherapies or radiotherapy by TCM [43,44]. Among the most frequent reasons claimed by patients for taking TCM preparations is to enhance general health or “vital energy” (qi) by restoring “balance” (yin/yang) [45], to individualize an otherwise standard treatment plan (especially in breast and gynecologic cancer patients) [46], to detoxify or strengthen immunity [47], to reduce the adverse effects of conventional anticancer treatments [48], to use a treatment modality that is less harmful than standard therapies [49], to regain a sense of control over a life-threatening disorder [50,51], or to offset the noncurative goals of “scientific” palliative treatments and, thus, to help accept the inevitability of death [35,52]. Complementary medicines have been reported in one study to be used more frequently by cancer patients who believe they will die within one year [53]. This speaks for a kind of psychological support that many patients may obtain from TCM and other unconventional therapies. Certain TCM-derived drugs, such as the antimalarial artemisinin (from the herb Artemisia annua [qing haosu]) have been scientifically proven to have therapeutic efficacy [54–56]. Other TCM-derived herbs may offer benefits such as myeloprotection (e.g., improvement of chemotherapy-induced cytopenias by the putative
toxin-cleaning herb Oldenlandia), gut mucosal protection (e.g., prevention of irinotecan-induced diarrhea by Scutellaria), anti-emesis, hepatoprotection, neuroprotection, or nephroprotection. However, convincing evidence for both efficacy and safety is frequently weak [35, 57]. However, it should be noted that active natural products reveal both wanted and unwanted effects in rational cancer therapy and the combined application of the genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic technologies will improve the overall understanding of mechanisms of toxicity and disease.

Mechanisms of TCM Toxicity and Interactions

Most xenobiotic compounds including phytochemicals are metabolized and detoxified in the liver by the hepatic P450 cytochrome system. For example, aristolochic acid is metabolized by CYP1A2, whereas CYP3A4 interactions are influenced by pyrrolizidine alkaloids [58]. Interactions between TCM products and conventional drugs may involve interaction with cytotoxic drugs, medications being administered for symptomatic indications, or drugs taken for disorders such as hypertension or diabetes. Such drug interactions are the most frequent causes of TCM-dependent clinical complications [59]. Moreover, intentional or accidental contamination of TCM preparations with impurities, heavy metals, or bacteria is another source of clinical problems. Intentional adulteration of TCM with bioactive additives such as corticosteroids, hormones, salicylates, or antihistamines is yet another cause of toxicity. In addition, immunoallergic TCM responses are common and microbial reactivation of diseases such as tuberculosis or viruses (HBV, HCV, VZ) can also occur [35]. Heavy metals are among the major contaminants of TCM. Numerous case reports of heavy metal poisoning associated with the use of TCM have been reported [60]. Lead, for example, has always been implicated as a source of poisoning through administration of TCM products. Mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, and copper have also been found in TCM remedies [60]. Californian officials have screened for unidentified pharmaceuticals, adulterations, and heavy metals in imported Chinese remedies on sale in Californian herbal retail stores [61]. Out of the 251 products tested, 7% contained undeclared pharmaceuticals (e.g., chlorpheniramine, ephedrine, phenacetin, and methyltestosterone). Sixty-three contained an average of 14.6 ppm arsenic; 24 products contained at least 10 ppm lead; 35 contained an average of 1046 ppm mercury; and 23 had more than one contaminant and/or adulterant. In addition to the previously mentioned analysis, Koh and Woo [62] reported the detection of heavy metal toxicity that exceeded the legal limits of Singapore in 42 Chinese herbal medicines among approximately 2080 Chinese medicine samples collected in Singapore and screened for their heavy metal content. Mercury was found in 28 products, lead in eight, arsenic in six and copper in one. One product contained both mercury and lead and another product contained both mercury and arsenic [63].

Melchart et al. [64] screened 317 batches of dried Chinese herbs delivered to a German hospital of Chinese medicine. They reported that 3.5% of these samples contained heavy metals beyond the legal limits. Herbette et al. [65] investigated the transcriptional regulation in response to cadmium treatment in both roots and leaves of Arabidopsis, using the whole genome microarray containing at least 24576 independent probe sets. Arabidopsis plants were treated with low (5 µM) or high (50 µM) cadmium concentrations during 2, 6, and 30 hours. Analyses of response profiles demonstrated the existence of a regulatory network that differentially modulates gene expression in a tissue- and kinetic-specific manner in response to cadmium [65]. Moreover, using microarray slides containing 7000–9000 genes, Kozumi et al. [66] studied the gene expression profiles of a human cervical carcinoma cell line, HeLa S3, exposed to Cadmium (Cd). They reported that by exposure to a nonlethal concentration of Cd, 46 upregulated and 10 downregulated genes whose expression levels changed twofold or more were observed. The expression of genes related to cellular protection and damage control mechanisms such as those encoding metallothioneins, antioxidant proteins, and heat shock proteins was simultaneously induced. In addition, altered expression of many genes involved in signalling, metabolism, and so on was newly described. When
constituents represent other relevant contaminations [71]. Moreover, it is relevant to note that TCMs might also present when Chinese herbs were grown on seriously polluted manufacturing utensils [62]; and (3) Heavy metals might be from grinding weights or lead-increasing containers or other processes that, according to ancient Indian belief, would detoxify such toxic heavy metals, e.g., by heating them until they glow [67]. In TCM, mercury is part of some preparations under the terminology of “cinnabaris” (mercury sulfide), “calomel” (mercury chloride), or “hydargyri oxydum rubrum” (mercury oxide). Such preparations are used for a variety of indications, for example, as a tranquilizer, an antiepileptic, for ulcers, or to treat insomnia [62]. Lead is used as Mī Tiū Şeng (Lithargyrum) [68] and arsenic as Xiong Huang (Realgar) in the manufacturing of several TCMs [68]. These constituents are, thus, not contaminants, but ingredients intentionally included for a specific medical purpose; (2) The presence of heavy metal contaminants in TCM remedies could be the result of contamination during manufacturing, either from grinding weights or lead-increasing containers or other manufacturing utensils [62]; and (3) Heavy metals might be present when Chinese herbs were grown on seriously polluted soil [63, 69]. Moreover, it is relevant to note that TCMs might also contain animal and mineral products which might be contaminated with heavy metals [70].

Obviously, heavy metals are not the only possible source for toxic ingredients in Chinese herbal remedies. Mycotoxins from micro-organisms, herbicides, pesticides, insects, or undeclared herbal constituents represent other relevant contaminations [71–73]. In addition, contamination with toxic herbal constituents, which may be introduced through misidentification of the herbal ingredients, can be a serious problem. In Belgium, the use of a TCM product contaminated with plant material from Aristolochia (fangchi) resulted in an epidemic subacute intestinal nephropathy. Many of the affected patients required kidney transplantation. Histopathological examination of surgically removed kidneys and urethras from those patients showed conclusive signs of neoplasms in 40% of cases [74]. Numerous case reports originating from countries such as Australia, Belgium, China, The Netherlands, New Zealand, UK, and USA demonstrate adulterations of TCM products with synthetic drugs and associate the use of adulterated herbal medicines with health problems of users [63]. The resulting clinical findings are mostly serious and sometimes life-threatening. Moreover, Cushing’s syndrome, agranulocytosis, and coma have been reported. Comparable analyses are available for Chinese herbal medicines collected in Australia, Taiwan, and UK [63, 75–77]. The largest of these studies is that of Huang and colleagues from Taiwan [75], who showed that 24% of all 2609 samples collected contained at least one adulterant. Other clinical cases were also reported [78, 79]. Approximately half of the patients using complementary herbal medicines do not inform their doctors [80], which further increases health risks, because physicians might fail to diagnose adverse effects caused by treatments of which they are not notified. Moreover, people taking herbal remedies mostly combine them with conventional drugs [80]. This opens the possibility of herb-drug interactions [81, 82], which, in turn, further raises concerns about consumers’ safety and underlines an urgent need for improved toxicogenomics tools.

### The Future of Toxicogenomics

The etiology of various chronic diseases and poisonings involves interactions between environmental factors, chemicals, and genes that modulate physiological processes. Toxicogenomic approaches will provide insights into the molecular mechanisms of chemical actions, diseases, toxicity, and therapeutic drug interactions. These insights could be provided by toxicogenomic databases by integrating data describing relationships between chemicals, genes, proteins, and human diseases [83]. In the context of TCM, toxicogenomics will help not only to detect trace amounts of contaminants in TCM remedies by providing specific gene expression signatures which are characteristic for specific contaminants, but also will facilitate understanding their molecular mode of toxic action in the human body.

Toxicogenomics integrates multiple data derived from transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabonomics with traditional toxicological and histopathological evaluation. This integration will improve the understanding of the relationship between toxicological outcomes and molecular genetics [13]. A prerequisite for the evolution of a predictive toxicology, in which the knowledge of toxicogenomic responses of an agent in one species (e.g., laboratory animals) could be used to predict the mode of action of a similar agent in related or different species (e.g., human beings), is that the results of various toxicogenomics investigations should be assimilated into multigenomic knowledge databases, which should be easily searchable [6].

In addition to toxicogenomics, toxicoproteomic research will lead to the identification, measurement, and evaluation of proteins and other biomarkers that might be more sensitive, accurate, and specific than those available nowadays. Metabonomics research will also help to identify alterations at the level of small endogenous molecules and their associated pathways. Such metabolite fingerprints might then help to diagnose and define the ways in which specific xenobiotics, environmental pollutants, or contaminated TCM products cause diseases or poisonings. This, combined with the ability to detect damage to particular organs by observing alterations in serum and urine components and histopathological examinations, is expected to lead to the more sensitive detection of harmful risk factors [84]. Other considerations that should be included in assessing the toxicogenomic response to xenobiotics and contaminated TCM products are the individual genotype, exposure history, age, and lifestyle [6.85].

Toxicogenomics will help to discover the modes of action of both contaminated and noncontaminated complex herbal mixtures. Moreover, it will improve our understanding to the unique genetic characteristics of certain species and population subgroups that make them susceptible to toxicants [86, 87]. The combined application of the genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic technologies will improve the overall understanding of mechanisms of toxicity and disease [86].
Conclusion and Perspectives

Recent advances in technologies and molecular sciences have enabled the interpretation of complex networks and cellular pathways at the genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic levels in response to treatment with conventional drugs, environmental pollutants, or contaminated TCM products. The promise of toxicogenomics is to provide deeper insights into the molecular action of different classes of toxicants by analyzing gene and/or protein expression profiles. The further development of bioinformatics and biostatistics will be necessary to refine pathways distinguishing the effect of large sets of agents representing a broad range of toxic effects. The goal of this review is to report recent progress in the development and application of toxicogenomics and to provide our perspective on its value as a sophisticated tool for the study and prediction of toxicity of TCM. In general, evidence suggests that toxicogenomics should improve quality control of TCM products and risk assessment.
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