Midcarpal Hemiarthroplasty for Wrist Arthritis: Rationale and Early Results
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Abstract

Midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is a novel motion-preserving treatment for radiocarpal arthritis and is an alternative to current procedures that provide pain relief at the expense of wrist biomechanics and natural motion. It is indicated primarily in active patients with a well-preserved distal row and debilitating arthritic symptoms. By resurfacing the proximal carpal row, midcarpal arthroplasty relieves pain while preserving the midcarpal articulation and the anatomic center of wrist rotation. This technique has theoretical advantages when compared with current treatment options (i.e., arthrodesis and total wrist arthroplasty) since it provides coupled wrist motion, preserves radial length, is technically simple, and avoids the inherent risks of nonunion and distal component failure. The KinematX midcarpal hemiarthroplasty has an anatomic design and does not disrupt the integrity of the wrist ligaments. We have implanted this prosthesis in nine patients with promising early results. The indications for surgery were as follows: scapholunate advanced collapse wrist (three), posttraumatic osteoarthritis (three), inflammatory arthritis (two), and Keinböck disease (one). Prospective data has been collected and the results are preliminary given the infancy of the procedure. The mean follow-up was 30.9 weeks (range: 16 to 56 weeks). The mean Mayo wrist score increased from 31.9 preoperatively to 58.8 ($p < 0.05$) and the mean DASH score improved significantly from 47.8 preoperatively to 28.7 ($p < 0.05$). There was a trend toward increased motion but statistical significance was not reached. Two patients required manipulation for wrist stiffness. There was no evidence of prosthetic loosening or capitolunate narrowing. The procedure is simple (average surgical time was 49 minutes) and maintains coupled wrist motion through preservation of the midcarpal articulation. The preliminary data show that it appears safe but considerably longer follow-up is required before conclusions can be drawn as to its durability, reliability, and overall success. The level of evidence for this study is therapeutic level IV (case series).
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Painful wrist arthritis is a debilitating condition for which current treatment options are limited. The primary goal of treatment for the symptomatic, arthritic wrist is to achieve a pain-free, functional, and stable joint that is able to bear load. This is ideally achieved while preserving wrist motion. The causes of wrist arthritis are varied and include the sequelae of trauma, carpal instability, Keinböck disease, and inflammatory arthropathy.1,2 The pattern and severity of articular involvement differ according to the etiology and guide the surgeon in recommending treatment. Ultimately, patients
that remain symptomatic after exhausting conservative options are indicated for one of the several operative procedures, loosely grouped into ablative surgery, arthrodesis, or arthroplasty.

Ablative procedures are those in which the pain-generating segment of the arthritic joint is excised. Radial styloidec-tomy, partial scaphoidectomy, and proximal row carpectomy (PRC) are the more common ablative procedures performed, with PRC being a recognized salvage operation for scapholunate advanced collapse (SLAC) and scaphoid nonunion advanced collapse (SNAC). Ablative procedures, while oftentimes successful in relieving pain, can be complicated by resultant instability or progressive articular degeneration leading to further symptoms.

Total wrist arthrodesis is a reliable operation for pain relief and is favored as a surgical option in the severely arthritic wrist because of its relative ease of execution, durability, and predictable long-term results. Pain relief, however, comes at the expense of wrist motion with notable functional limitations in personal hygiene, applying a forceful grip, picking up small objects, and working in tight spaces.

Partial arthrodesis involves fusing specific segments of the wrist to preserve some degree of wrist motion while addressing symptomatic arthritic articulations. Limitations include variable success in fusion rates and the technical difficulties of fusing small carpal bones. Scaphoid excision and four-corner fusion is the most commonly performed limited arthrodesis as it preserves a functional arc of motion with satisfactory grip strength. Yet, the nonunion rate averages 5%, with reported rates upwards of 25%, and remaining radioulnar motion is primarily limited to the flexion–extension plane. Radioscapholunate fusion is another partial arthrodesis for radiocarpal arthritis and is an attractive surgical option as it preserves critical midcarpal motion and carpal height. Retaining the midcarpal articulation allows for continued coupled wrist motion known as the “dart-thrower’s” arc of radial-extension to ulnar flexion. Modifications to this procedure, including distal scaphoid and triquetral excision, have further improved the range of motion and overall outcomes. However, problems such as nonunion rates of 3 to 26%, and progressive symptomatic midcarpal arthritis, are well documented.

Wrist arthroplasty is an attractive motion-sparing concept for the treatment of arthritis and has evolved in multiple iterations over the past 40 years. Swanson first reported arthroplasty for the wrist in 1973, using a flexible silicone implant. Complications such as implant failure and silicone synovitis fueled the design of metal-on-polyethylene modular prostheses. Despite advances in design, total wrist prostheses continue to be complicated by instability and distal component failure. Nonetheless, total wrist arthroplasty is a viable option in a carefully selected patient with inflammatory arthritis of the wrist and low functional demands.

While the mechanics of the wrist remain incompletely understood, growing evidence has illustrated the importance of the dart-thrower’s motion. Studies have demonstrated that the pattern of carpal bone motion associated with wrist movement along the dart thrower’s path is unique. The mechanical axis of the wrist is not aligned with the anatomic axes of flexion–extension and radioulnar deviation, but is rather aligned with the dart thrower’s axis of radial-extension to ulnar-flexion. It is hypothesized that all highly functional motions of the upper extremity utilize the dart thrower’s motion. Its presence in many occupational, recreational, and household activities demonstrates the functional importance of this wrist motion.

The dart-thrower’s plane of coupled flexion–extension and radioulnar motion occurs predominantly at the midcarpal joint, suggesting the importance of this articulation in prosthetic design. Past designs of total wrist arthroplasty have largely replicated the contour and kinematics of the radiocarpal joint, thus constraining motion to the anatomical directions and minimizing the important dart thrower’s arc. Further, excising portions of the distal radius relocates the center of rotation (COR) proximal to that of the normal wrist. There is a substantial clinical evidence that prosthetic failure is associated with failure to replicate normal joint kinematics in the spine, knee, shoulder, and the wrist. A proximal wrist center of rotation would increase the moment on the bone-cement interface of the distal component and may contribute to the high incidence of distal component loosening.

The concerning failure rate of current radiocarpal arthroplasty designs, and the improved understanding of coupled wrist motion, prompted the authors (S.W.W. and J.J.C.) to design a wrist replacement that would emulate the kinematics of the midcarpal joint. The KinematX (Extremity Medical, Parsippany, NJ) midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is a monobloc component that replaces the proximal carpal row, while retaining the anatomic COR, the normal capsuloligamentous envelope, and preservation of midcarpal coupled motion. Conceptually, replacement of the arthritic proximal carpal row with a hemiarthroplasty implant is appealing in that it simultaneously preserves midcarpal motion and carpal height without the attendant risks of nonunion as seen in partial arthrodeses or the threat of distal component failure as documented in total wrist arthroplasty. Furthermore, the technique is potentially easier to execute and leaves recourse for either total wrist arthroplasty or arthrodesis should revision become necessary. The purpose of this article is to review the early outcomes of the first nine cases of midcarpal hemiarthroplasty performed for a variety of etiologies.

### Indications

Midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is a motion-preserving alternative for the treatment of painful radiocarpal arthropathy that remains symptomatic and functionally limiting despite nonsurgical management. Indications include SLAC, SNAC, posttraumatic osteoarthritis, Kienböck disease, or inflammatory arthritis (Fig. 2). Localized radial styloid osteoarthritis can be treated by less invasive means. The ideal patient for midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is an active patient whose midcarpal joint is relatively preserved. Patients with ipsilateral shoulder or elbow disease are also excellent candidates as the
preservation of wrist range of motion optimizes upper extremity function.

**Contraindications/Precautions**

Successful midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is determined more by individual patient selection than the underlying diagnosis. This technique is a ligament-sparing method that respects the complex wrist anatomy to provide coupled wrist motion. As such, the condition of the surrounding soft tissue envelope and underlying bony architecture is crucial. The capsuloligamentous sleeve of the wrist must be preserved and patients with severe soft tissue compromise may be at risk for resultant instability, excluding them as candidates for hemiarthroplasty. Patients with inflammatory disease and aggressive active synovitis are likewise at risk for instability or distal carpal row articular erosion, and may be better served by alternate procedures.

Midcarpal wrist hemiarthroplasty is a prosthetic replacement of the proximal row. This resurfacing procedure relies on the midcarpal articulation and to what extent midcarpal arthrosis precludes a good outcome remains unknown. It seems logical that advanced degenerative disease of the capitolunate joint is a contraindication to midcarpal hemiarthroplasty but early narrowing may be acceptable. Capitolunate narrowing in the setting of PRC or distal scaphoid excision has not been shown to compromise results; and the only published account of wrist hemiarthroplasty reports good pain relief despite degenerative changes of the capitate head.6,9,52

Durability of the hemiarthroplasty is dependent on prothetic stability and thus the distal radius must be capable of supporting the implant. Significant osteopenia, erosions due to inflammatory arthropathy, or exaggerated epiphyseal/metaphyseal deformity may adversely affect implant fixation and lead to loosening.

Additional contraindications to hemiarthroplasty include recent or recalcitrant infection, previous surgical fusion, and lack of active wrist extension. Younger age and active lifestyle are not considered contraindications, as with total wrist arthroplasty, since activity restrictions are less stringent given that distal component loosening is not a concern.

**Surgical Technique**

The following technique is for implantation of the KinematX midcarpal hemiarthroplasty (Extremity Medical, Parsippany, NJ) (Table 1). Use of a radiographic template is recommended to preoperatively determine appropriate implant size. The templated implant should reproduce the size of the proximal carpal row and fit the distal radius on PA and lateral radiographs.

Routine skin preparation and upper extremity draping is conducted after the administration of preoperative IV prophylactic antibiotics. A padded tourniquet is applied to the upper arm. A dorsal longitudinal incision, 4 to 5 cm in length, is made over the wrist in line with the third metacarpal. Thick subcutaneous radial and ulnar skin flaps are elevated directly off the extensor retinaculum to minimize the risk of wound-healing problems. The extensor retinaculum is incised just
radial to Lister’s tubercle, reflected ulnarly, and the fourth dorsal compartment is elevated in continuity from the dorsum of the radius. At the discretion of the surgeon, the extensor pollicis longus (EPL) tendon can be freed from its sheath and transposed radially. Additional dissection of the radius is rarely required, as access to the intramedullary canal is facilitated by excision of the proximal carpal row. We prefer to preserve the posterior interosseous nerve as its role in wrist proprioception may prove valuable.53,54

A wide, proximally based rectangular dorsal capsular flap is created by incising the capsule beneath the tendons of the second and fifth dorsal compartments. The capsule is divided distally at the mid-capitate and reflected proximally, leaving a large capsular sleeve for later reattachment. Using an osteotome, a wafer of the triquetrum is raised in continuity with the capsule to preserve the attachments of the dorsal radiotriquetral and dorsal intercarpal ligaments.

With the joint exposed, the proximal row is excised. Removal of the scaphoid, triquetrum, and lunate can be accomplished in piecemeal fashion or en bloc using joysticks and sharp curved elevators. It is critical that the surgeon does not damage the palmar extrinsic ligaments or the cartilage surface of the capitate during removal.

The articular surface of the radius is exposed by wrist flexion and palmar translation of the distal row. Radial exposure is facilitated with insertion of a thin retractor under the volar lip. Particular care should be taken to protect the capitate articular surface at all times. The radius is first prepared using a custom elliptical power rasp that is contoured to the articular surface of the radius. The intent of the rasp is to remove the articular cartilage, flatten the interfacet ridge, and shape the subchondral plate while leaving the origins of the important extrinsic wrist ligaments fully intact dorsally and volarly. The tool is best used in a radial to ulnar and dorsal to volar sweeping motion. The subchondral bone provides support for the implant and should not be removed.

A 2.5 mm primary guidewire is inserted down the medullary canal, beginning ~5 mm volar to the dorsal lip of the distal radius, or between the middle and dorsal thirds of the radial articular surface height. The ulnar border of Lister’s tubercle is an anatomic landmark to guide proper placement in the coronal plane. Central positioning of the guidewire is verified under fluoroscopy.

Canal preparation begins with creating a window through the subchondral plate for broaching. A box chisel corresponding to the templated implant size is placed over the guidewire and impacted with the mallet until seated. The portion of the subchondral bone designated by the box chisel outline may be removed using small osteotomes or a high speed burr. The starter broach is impacted over the guidewire until flush with the subchondral bone. The canal is sequentially broached until the templated size is reached. Maintaining appropriate alignment during broaching is critical. Malrotation may cause the ulnar and radial borders of the implant to lift off of the supporting subchondral bone. The guidewire is removed once broaching is complete.

The trial component is impacted into position and the carpus is gently reduced onto the bearing surface of the implant, taking care to avoid injury to the capitate articular surface. Implant size, joint range of motion, and stability are assessed. In general, a degree of laxity is preferred over tension in the dorsal and volar ligaments, and will lead to more rapid return of motion. The final implant is gently impacted until it is fully seated on the contoured subchondral plate. Pressurized cementing is an option if compromised bone prohibits press fit technique, although we have not found this to be necessary. The carpus is reduced and the capsule repaired anatomically with a single running suture. The extensor retinaculum is repaired and the EPL transposed at the surgeon’s discretion. The wound is closed in layers with or without suction drainage, and a volar plaster wrist splint applied in neutral position.

The postoperative protocol for midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is relatively straightforward. Active digital, shoulder and elbow range of motion is initiated on postoperative day 1. The patients return to the office 10 to 14 days after surgery for suture removal. Immobilization is discontinued at that time and patients are enrolled in a supervised program of progressive wrist range of motion (flexion, extension, radial and ulnar deviation, coupled motion including dart-throwing, pronation, supination, and circumduction). Strengthening begins 4 to 6 weeks from the time of surgery and full activity is permitted at 8 weeks. There are no permanent activity restrictions once strength is returned.

### Potential Complications

Wrist hemiarthroplasty assumes the following general risks of implant arthroplasty: wound healing problems, infection, hematoma, aseptic loosening, joint stiffness, nerve or tendon injury, and intraoperative fracture. Potential complications specific to the wrist include extensor adhesions, wrist instability, carpal impingement, and development of symptomatic midcarpal arthrosis. The extent to which these potential complications will play a role in midcarpal hemiarthroplasty is yet to be determined.
Outcomes

Restoration of wrist function, while an obvious goal of wrist reconstructive surgery, has been suboptimal in the treatment of painful arthritis of the wrist. Hemiarthroplasty is a novel approach and provides patients with a motion-preserving alternative to procedures that focus on pain relief at the expense of wrist biomechanics and natural motion. This technique restores anatomy by resurfacing the proximal row to preserve the midcarpal joint and the anatomic center of wrist rotation. Carpal kinematics research has demonstrated that this crucial articulation is key to the highly functional dart-thrower’s motion of radial-extension to ulnar-flexion.43–46,55

Wrist hemiarthroplasty is a new technique and current literature is accordingly limited. The only published account of the procedure details the experience of a single surgeon that implanted the proximal component of a Universal 2 Total Wrist (Integra Life Sciences, Plainsboro, NJ) in two young, active patients who were not candidates for total wrist arthroplasty. At 1 and 2 years after surgery, each patient remained pain free, resumed unlimited activity, and maintained range of motion comparable to preoperative levels (flexion arcs of 80 and 69 degrees, respectively). However, there was evidence of hamate erosion in the second case, presumably due to prosthetic impingement, which may compromise long-term success.52 Using a cadaveric model, Adams et al showed retention of static capitate alignment after PRC and hemiarthroplasty. Furthermore, their series of 12 patients had no evidence of dislocation or prosthetic complications at early follow-up (“Distal radius arthroplasty with PRC using the Universal 2 implant.” International Wrist Investigator’s Workshop. 2010).

The KinematX midcarpal hemiarthroplasty was designed to emulate the anatomic contour of the native midcarpal joint, and the corresponding surgical technique developed to preserve the anatomic capsuloligamentous and neural anatomy. The prosthesis preserves radial length and maintains the anatomic COR to provide coupled wrist motion. Replacement of the diseased proximal row provides a painless, stable midcarpal platform for activities requiring combined force and precision.

Over the past 13 months, we have implanted the KinematX hemiarthroplasty in nine patients. Prospective outcome data are currently being collected with Institutional Review Board approval and includes both validated subjective questionnaires and objective measurements. The average age at the time of midcarpal hemiarthroplasty was 43.6 years (range: 23 to 74 years.) Three patients were male and six were female. The dominant hand was involved in six patients. Seven of the nine patients treated were working at the time of surgery, none of which were manual laborers. The average total surgery time was 49 minutes (range: 45 to 60 minutes).

Before surgery, all patients were suffering from chronic, painful degenerative wrist arthritis that limited use of the hand for daily and recreational activities. Posteroanterior and lateral wrist radiographs confirmed the diagnosis in all patients and advanced imaging was not required. The indications for surgery were as follows: SLAC stage 2 (one), SLAC stage 3 (one), SNAC stage 3 (one), posttraumatic osteoarthritis (three), inflammatory arthritis (two; rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis), and Keinböck’s stage 4 (one). Two patients had preoperative radiographic evidence of early joint space narrowing of the capitolunate articulation. Prior surgery for wrist pain had been performed in two patients (radial styloidectomy for SLAC I, distal radioulnar joint arthroplasty for posttraumatic osteoarthritis). Preoperative range of motion, grip strength, DASH, and Mayo wrist scores are shown in Table 2.

All nine patients were interviewed and examined at regular intervals during their postoperative course. The average length of follow-up was 30.9 weeks (range: 16 to 56 weeks). The mean Mayo wrist score increased from 31.9 (range: 10 to 60) preoperatively to 58.8 (range: 30 to 80; \( p < 0.05 \)) and the mean Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) score improved significantly from 47.8 (range: 22.7 to 70.5) preoperatively to 28.7 (range: 0 to 68.2) at latest follow-up (\( p < 0.05 \)). The index patient reported a DASH score of 0 at 1 year postoperatively and has resumed golfing regularly. Five of the seven patients that were working before surgery had returned to their regular occupation.

Although there was a trend toward increased motion after surgery, there was no significantly detectable difference in pre- and postoperative range of motion or grip strength measurements (Table 2). Mean flexion-extension arc was 79 degrees (range: 30 to 130 degrees) and 52.8% of the opposite hand. Radioulnar deviation averaged 22.9 degrees

### Table 2 Pre- and Postoperative Dataa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
<th>Significance (( p ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayo wrist score</td>
<td>31.9 (10–60)</td>
<td>58.8 (30–80)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH score</td>
<td>47.8 (22.7–70.5)</td>
<td>28.7 (0–68.2)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE arc, degrees</td>
<td>64.6 (40–125)</td>
<td>79.3 (30–130)</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD-UD arc, degrees</td>
<td>16.9 (5–50)</td>
<td>22.9 (5–37)</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip, kg</td>
<td>16.1 (6–35)</td>
<td>18.9 (6–38)</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip, % of opposite side</td>
<td>56.3 (30–77.8)</td>
<td>61.7 (31–91)</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes all patients who underwent hemiarthroplasty (posttraumatic and inflammatory etiologies). Data reported as mean value (range).

a All patients.

DASH, disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand; FE, flexion extension; RD-UD, radial deviation-ulnar deviation; kg, kilograms.
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tion reached statistical significance, and discovered that postoperative increase in motion-preserving technology for the treatment of painful radiocarpal arthritis.

Table 3 Data for Posttraumatic Patients (Inflammatory Arthritis Excluded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayo wrist score</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH score</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE arc, degrees</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD-UD arc, degrees</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip, kg</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data reported as mean value.
DASH, disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand; FE, flexion extension; RD-UD, radial deviation-ulnar deviation; kg, kilograms.

(range: 5 to 37 degrees) and 33.6%. Mean grip strength was 18.9 kg (range: 6 to 38 kg), which corresponded to 61.7% of the nonsurgical side.

To date, there was no detectable change in subsequent postoperative radiographs. Neither progressive capitolunate degeneration nor signs of implant loosening were observed.

The only complication identified in our series was postoperative wrist stiffness requiring manipulation under anesthesia in two patients (patient 4 with SLAC wrist and patient 8 with psoriatic arthritis). Their postoperative flexion–extension arcs were restricted to 0 and 5 degrees, respectively, which improved to 50 and 30 degrees after manipulation. Open contracture release was not required. Of note, each of these patients was severely limited in their preoperative motion (45 and 40 degrees), which possibly contributed to their resultant stiffness.

Of specific interest, the two patients in the series with inflammatory arthropathy performed poorly across all points and time. Their postoperative Mayo wrist score and DASH (65.9 and 68.2) scores, and grip strengths (8 kg in both) indicate persistent functional limitation not observed in the posttraumatic patients. This prompted us to closely re-examine our data, with the inflammatory patients eliminated from the analysis, and discovered that postoperative increase in motion reached statistical significance. We also observed that the mean postoperative Mayo wrist and DASH scores improved further (Table 3). Perhaps this is due to unrecognized and persistent inflammatory activity not addressed by the proximal row replacement. While it is not possible to draw a firm conclusion based on the early results of the two patients, we may learn that this procedure is best suited for the patients with posttraumatic degenerative disease.

The limitations of our study must be acknowledged when reviewing our results. Our data are based on single cohort of patients, which presents potential inherent bias. The brief follow-up period precludes any determination of implant durability.

Hemiarthroplasty of the wrist is an exciting and novel technique which is still in its infancy. The procedure is simple and maintains coupled wrist motion through preservation of the midcarpal articulation. The preliminary data in our series support other reports that this technique, in the early postoperative period, appears safe, and has demonstrated encouraging early results. We acknowledge that longitudinal tracking of these patients is required before conclusions can be drawn as to its durability, reliability, and overall benefit. Further study is currently underway to determine whether midcarpal hemiarthroplasty represents an advancement of motion-preserving technology for the treatment of painful radiocarpal arthritis.
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